University of Oklahoma College of Law University of Oklahoma College of Law Digital Commons

American Indian and Alaskan Native Documents in the Congressional Serial Set: 1817-1899

2-19-1838

Petition of a number of citizens of the County of Coahoma, in the Choctaw Purchase, State of Mississippi, praying the passage of a pre-emption law.

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.law.ou.edu/indianserialset

Recommended Citation

S. Doc. No. 212, 25th Cong., 2nd Sess. (1838)

This Senate Document is brought to you for free and open access by University of Oklahoma College of Law Digital Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in American Indian and Alaskan Native Documents in the Congressional Serial Set: 1817-1899 by an authorized administrator of University of Oklahoma College of Law Digital Commons. For more information, please contact Law-LibraryDigitalCommons@ou.edu.

[SENATE.]

25th Congress, 2d Session.

PETITION

A NUMBER OF CITIZENS OF THE COUNTY OF COAHOMA,

OF

IN THE

Choctaw purchase, State of Mississippi, praying the passage of a preemption law.

FEBRUARY 19, 1838.

- Other and the re-

Laid on the table, and ordered to be printed.

To the honorable the Senate and House of Representatives of the United States of America in Congress assembled:

The petition of the subscribers, citizens of the county of Coahoma, in the Choctaw purchase, State of Mississippi, who present themselves before you in the attitude of memorialists, viewing our Government paternal, and we, members of the great family, believing that we have but to make known to your honorable body our wants, and to lay before you our grievances, and they will, with promptitude and despatch, be supplied and redressed. With this confidence, therefore, we proceed.

The most of us are emigrants from the north and middle States of the Union. We have become pioneers of the west, and have located ourselves in the wilds and forests of the State and county aforesaid. We are mostly poor men, and men with dependant families. We have undergone the toils and hardships of settling a wild and uncultivated country, all for the purpose of securing a home for ourselves and families; and by our indefatigable industry and perseverance, we have opened farms sufficient to make a support for our dependants. It now rests with your honorable body to say, whether we shall have those homes; whether we shall reap the fruit of our toil and labor. To say that we shall, if we give the highest price at a public sale, is virtually to say that we shall not have them at all. We are not able to compete with the capitalist, or the speculator.

We, your memorialists, believe that we have a right to claim favor and indulgence at your hands. First. Because this Government has always held out the presumption that liberal changes in the existing land laws would take place. The present Executive has gone into power as much upon this principle as any other; he was known to but very few personally, but believing him favorable to the interests of settlers, he was supported by the western people. Secondly. Inducements have certainly been held out to the people to emigrate to the wild and waste lands. Promises have been Blair & Rives, printers.

[212]

made to them, again and again, and they have been looking with confidence and prospect to your honorable body, that something should be done for Would it not be a species of injustice to say, that they shall not them. have their lands? to say, that they are transgressors, and must be driven off? This would indeed seem hard, to give them an inchoate title, allow them to take possession, and after having cleared your forests, opened roads, improved rivers, built towns and court-houses, and have brought your country to public view, having greatly enhanced its value by their enterprise and industry, could you eject them without trial, compensation, or sympathy, houseless wanderers over a soil created for their benefit, and enriched bytheir industry. What, we ask, would an intelligent world say of such a course ? would they not denounce it as an act of cruelty, heartless cruelty, in a Government professedly paternal? What, then, we ask, must be the views and feelings of the suffering west, should the Government continue to disregard their cries upon this momentous subject?

Thirdly. We claim your favorable legislation upon this subject on another ground, and that is on the principle of impartial legislation. When we examine your statute books, we see them teeming with laws that operate to the benefit of some portion of society; we see acts for the relief of the wealthy merchant, for the endowment of colleges; we see you supporting, at great expense, a military institution monopolized by the rich and opulent ; we see you conferring extravagant salaries on those who manage cotillions; we see your ear open to every cry, and your hand stretched forth to redress every wrong; let the application be for what it may, relief from damage sustained by fire, or pensions, or for the erection of custom-houses, improving harbors, or obsolete claims, or turnpikes and canals, or what not, with promptitude and despatch you alleviate. To all this we do not object, but from those facts we deduce our right, as a part of the same great family, to claim some enactments in our favor. We do not ask for large appropriations; nor have we heretofore asked for the endowment of colleges, or turnpikes, or canals. But we now humbly ask your honorable body that a change in the system of the sales of the public lands may be so made as to confine them entirely to the cultivator of the soil, that monopolies in the public lands may be stopped. Our country is now greatly cursed by thousands of sections of our best lands being held by speculators, and destined to remain in its uncultivated and wild state, perhaps for half a century held up to the cultivator at from five to thirty dollars per acre. Unless you make a speedy change in the land system, the public domain will be entirely monopolized by a few capitalists, your land offices will be extinct, and offices will be reared up by those lords of the soil at the minimum price of from five to thirty dollars per acre, which must be given by the cultivator, not benefitting the public at all. At the ratio of the sale of public lands in 1836, the speculator will have it all in a very few years; and it is evident from official documents, that they have not, on an average, given more than from one and a half to three per cent. above the minimum price. This small fraction cannot be an inducement sufficient for any Government to protract a system so deliterious in its nature. We are apprized that, under the present system, the public lands will pass into market much more rapidly; but this is not desired by your honorable body. You wish to reduce the revenue; you have now far more than the demands of Government. A change in the land system would effect all the purpose of reducing the revenue. Confine the sale of land entirely to the cultivator, and prevent the honest laborer

SHOKD, FEIRIO

from having to purchase his home at so extravagant a rate, and that too from the speculator.

In addition to a general change of the land system, we, your memorialists, would humbly request your honorable body to favor us with a pre-emption law, regarding those who are actual and bona fide settlers. We know that many have been the objections to a pre-emption law, because of the frauds that have been practised through them. This we freely acknowledge. But the greatest injury has been to the individuals themselves; it is with them and their God. The Government has lost but little by the many pre-emptions that have been proved; at most she has not lost more than from two to four cents per acre. This is a sum too trifling for a second thought. We know that great good has been done by the pre-emption law. Many families have homes that otherwise could never have owned them. We would inquire, is the principle a good one on which the occupant law is founded? We all say it is. Let us then hold to a principle that is good in itself, and correct bad practice. Fortify your law well; hedge up every possible ground that a designing and speculating world could occupy. We wish, further, that you would pass a law that may prevent designing and unfeeling men from laying their Indian floats upon These floats, we have no doubt, at least many of them, our settlements. have been gotten up by fraud. They have gotten into the hands of speculators under the pretext of the Indian's agent, when, by the by, the Indian has received but little value. Many of them are now located on the best Mississippi lands, and on our farms too. It is not equity to suffer them to bring their floats from the poor lands of the country, and settle them on the richest lands in the State, and thereby annoy the settler. Your honorable body, we believe, will say that it is unjust, and consequently establish a law that will secure the settler, and confine the claim by Indian float to similar rate land with that lost by the Indian.

We, moreover, wish your honorable body to take into consideration the situation of many who have lived under the former pre-emption law, but have not been able to make all the proof the law requires, owing to their land not being surveyed. They have proved their settlement and cultivation, but cannot prove any more, until you pass an act giving longer time to make the proof, which, we have no doubt, you will do. And your petitioners, as in duty bound, will ever pray, &c.

J. L. Watkins R. C. Friar A. Shelly J. F. Brusier J. B. Waller Stephen H. Hedrick G. H. Waller Henry Robb John R. Butler Gearge Stephenson Richard Price James Jones William Shelly E. P. Gillett Bezal M'Onila A. H. Cooper Moses Green Francis Montray D. G. Carter M. Huff Wm. Dobson Russell Dewty Enatholus Huff Elias Huff Henry Weathers Berriman Weathers David Weathers Christopher Weathers Henry Thomas Samuel McCehoe

[212]

John Ballard George B. Warren Bushrod B. Warren J. H. Temple Wm. Ritchev Lewis McAdooe John McDowan Bedford B. Lynn James Emory Chas. P. Robinson Hiram Boucher John Hamerick Thomas J. Winters Elias New John Moorefield **Isaac Henderson Richard Ricketts** Washington Durang D. A. Coke Finis E. Shelby D. H. Gowan **James Slaughter** H. J. Palmerton James W. Lunsford John Rice **Oscar** Doke George Herllone William Crump Saulamon Dempsy S. C. Moonev Leonard Baker Eli Casey John Casey Benjamin Simmons John Simmons Madison Casstell Washington Goucher John Wike **James** Johnson Ira A. Piper M. C. Stewart E. H. Waller Wm. McMellan Bruce Percefull

Joel Carter Miller Irvin A. G. Clements R. W. Bard John Ford John B. Ford John C. Bond William Bradbery Roderick Bethea J. S. Porter John House J. H. House Madison House George Kenedy T. J. Kenedy Wm. Ewing W. McAdooe Francis Russell David B. Allen William Williams Jonathan Cooper Franklin Woods Randolph Bradlye Charles A. Combs John McDermott Reese Harlin Marrvill E. Suggs William P. Bryant Jon'a R. Harrison John R. Jones William Beasly Geo. B. Hopson Robert McCutcheon John McAnally Francis Montry James Allen Pitts Rice Jas. J. Hopson William Bloodworth Matthew Farran W. W. Ogleby Solomon McCloud Henry Robb Christian Duty