### University of Oklahoma College of Law

## University of Oklahoma College of Law Digital Commons

American Indian and Alaskan Native Documents in the Congressional Serial Set: 1817-1899

1-10-1833

Silas D. Fisher (to accompany bill H. R. no. 680).

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.law.ou.edu/indianserialset



Part of the Indigenous, Indian, and Aboriginal Law Commons

#### **Recommended Citation**

H.R. Rep. No. 37, 22nd Cong., 2nd Sess. (1833)

This House Report is brought to you for free and open access by University of Oklahoma College of Law Digital Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in American Indian and Alaskan Native Documents in the Congressional Serial Set: 1817-1899 by an authorized administrator of University of Oklahoma College of Law Digital Commons. For more information, please contact Law-LibraryDigitalCommons@ou.edu.

#### SILAS D. FISHER.

[To accompany bill H. R. No. 680.]

JANUARY 10, 1833.

Mr. PLOMMER, from the Committee on the Public Lands, made the following

#### REPORT:

That, by the provisions of the second article of the supplement to the treaty made and entered into between the United States and the Choctaw nation of Indians, at Dancing Rabbit creek, on the 27th day of September, A. D. 1830, a reservation of one section of land was granted to Silas D. Fisher, alias Silas D. Fisher the petitioner, to be located so as to include his residence and improvement at the time of the treaty. It appears from the statements of the petitioner, as well as other evidence, that the said Fisher had no permanent residence and improvement within the limits of the Choctaw nation at the date of the treaty, but that he was residing, temporarily, with his father-in-law, near the Choctaw line, without the boundaries of the nation. The petitioner is therefore not only unable to avail himself of the provisions of the treaty in his favor, but, in consequence of his peculiar situation, is deprived of the provisions of the 14th article of the said treaty granting to each head of a family a section of land, to include his improvement, on condition of his residing on the same for five years; and also of the provisions of the 19th article granting to each head of a family a tract of land in proportion to the quantity he might have had in cultivation at the date of the treaty.

Other persons, provided for in the same article of the supplement to the treaty, who were similarly situated with Mr. Fisher, and did not reside in the nation, were, by a provision in the treaty, authorized to locate the reservations granted to them on any of the unimproved and unoccupied lands within that section of country acquired from the Choctaws by the aforesaid treaty. The petitioner was one of the mingoes or saptains who signed the treaty. It is, therefore, evident from the foregoing, and other facts and circumstances; that it did not occur to the commissioners that the petitioner had no residence and improvement in the nation at the time of the execution of the treaty, or he would have been permitted, by a clause in the treaty, to locate the reservation granted to him on any of the unappropriated lands. The petitioner, therefore, prays the passage of a law authorizing him to locate the section of land granted to him on any of the unappropriated lands.

They may by the say being out of the say

within the limits of that tract of country ceded to the United States by the treaty aforesaid. In the opinion of the committee, equity and justice demand the relief prayed for. The committee will not go into an argument in favor of the petitioner, but refer to the arguments so forcibly set for h in the petition, and the facts stated in the accompanying documents made a part of this report.

The committee report a bill for his relief.

To the Honorable the Senators and Representatives of the United States, in Congress assembled:

The petition of the undersigned, one of the Captains of the Northwest District of the Choctaw Nation, in the State of Mississippi,

RESPECTFULLY SHOWETH:

That the name of your petitioner is contained in the 2d article of the supplement to the late treaty between the United States' Government and the Choctaw people, which reads as follows, viz. "Article 2. And to each of the following persons there is allowed a reservation of a section and a half of land, (to wit:) James L. McDonald, Robert Jones, Noah Wall, James Campbell, G Nelson, Vaughan Brashears, R. Harris, Little Leader, S. Foster, J Vaughan, L Durans, Samuel Long, T. Magana, Thomas Evnidye, Giles Thompson, Thomas Garland, John Bend, William Leflore, and Turner Brashears. The two first named persons may locate one section each, and one section jointly, on any unimproved and unoccupied land, these not residing in the nution. The others are to include their present residence and improvement. Also, one section is allowed to the following persons, (to wit.) Middleton Mackey, Wesley Trahern, Chodehmo, Moses Foster, D. W. Wall, Charles Scott, Molly Nail, Susan Colbert, who was formerly Susan James, Samuel Garland, Silus Fisher, D. McCurtain, Oaklahoma, and Polly Fillicutchy to be located in entire sections, to include their present residence and improvement, with the exception of Molly Nail and Sysan Colbert, who are authorized to locate their's on any unimproved and unoccupiedland." (Reference to the supplement to the treaty.) And your pentioner further showeth, that his residence, at the time of the execution of the treaty, was, and from the time he left his father's house, more than a year previous, had been, without the limits of the Choctaw country; and that he never had a separate residence, or any improvement, in the said Choctaw country. (Reference to the annexed certificates, and to the return of the agent of Government, employed to take an account of the Chiciaw improvements and residences.) And your petitioner further showeth, that the 18th article of the treaty contains the following provision, viz. "And further, it is agreed that, in the construction of this treaty, where well founded doubt shall arise, it shall be construed most favorably towards the Choclaws." (Refesence to the treaty.) Your pesitioner, therefore, prays that his case may receive from your honorable bodies a favorable construction, and that, in the decision to be made, regard may be had to the following considerations, viz. 1st. The intention of Government to grant him a section of land as expressed in the words, " also one section is allowed to the following persons, &c."

2d The fact that the two persons first named in the second article of the supplement, which contains the name of your petitioner, having been known

to the Utrited States' commissioners to reside without the limits of the Choctan country, were permitted expressly upon that ground to locate their res-

ervations upon any unimproved and proccupied land.

3d. The nature of the case presented by your petitioner, (there being a grant of land without any residence or improvement to fix its location,) as one involving a well founded doubt to be construed agreeable to the 18th article of the treaty; and

4th. The fact, that your petitioner will remain entirely unfrowided for, unless the grant made to him by Government shall be constituted a floating re-

servation.

In view of these considerations, your petitioner prays, that he may be permitted and authorized to locate the section of land granted to him by a provision in the 2d article of the supplement to the treaty lately concluded, between the United States' Government and the Choctaw people, upon any lands in the northwest district of the country cedled by said treaty, (to which your petitioner was attached) unimproved and unoccupied at the time of the execution of said treaty. And your petitioner, as in duty bound, will ever pray, &c.

S. D. FISHER.

October 15th, 1832.

# Certificate of Colonel G. Leftorg.

I Greenwood Leftore, chief of the Northwest District of the Choctaw notion, in the State of Mississippi, do hereby tertify, that Silas D. Fisher, named in the 2d article of the supplement to the late fresty between the United States' Government and the Chocraw people, was, at the time of the execution of said treaty; one of the captains of said district, and; as such, used his influence in favor of the measure, and signed the weaty. That, in consideration of his merit as a virtuous man and an active officer, he was proposed as one eminently entitled to the grathity of a section of land, and his name was accordingly inserted in the 2d article of the supplement to the treaty. That the fact of his baving no residence or improvement in the Chectaw country did not occur at the time his name was fathoduced, hat that it is well known to me that the said Fisher resided, at the time of the execution of the treaty, with his father-in law, a white man, without the time mits of the Choctaw country, where he had lived from the date of his marriage, a musiderable time previous, and that he never had a separate residence or any improvement in said Choctaw country. As therefore, the article embracing the name of said Fisher is so worded as, in connection with the fact above stated, may prevent a location of the land granted to him by Government, in consequence of which, if a rigid construction is enforced, a poor but highly meritorious man may be deprived of the bounty intended to be bestowed, I would earnestly recommend his case to Government as one involving a well founded doubt as to the location of a claim, which, I hope, may be so construed as to admit of a location upon any lands in the Northwest District of said Choctaw country, to which said Fisher was attacked, unimproved and unoccupied at the time of the execution of said treaty.

Given under my hand, the 15th day of October, 1832.

· GREENWOOD LEFLORE.

## Centificate of United States' Agents.

We, the undersigned, agens of Government for the Chactaw people, do hereby certify our belief of the facts stated in the foregoing certificate of Chief Greenwood Leftore, and, from our knowledge of the character and services of Captain Silas D Fisher, as a man of correct moral department, and an efficient assistant of the agents of Government in the removal of his people, do cheerfully concur in the recommendation of his case to the faverable consideration of Government, believing that his interests can only be protected by a grant of the privilege of locating his claim in the manner stated in the foregoing certificate.

Given under our hands, the 15th day of October, 1832. S. T. CROSS, Agent Cr. Removal. WM. S. COLQUHOUN, Assistant Agent.

N. B. The foregoing certificate of the agents for the Chactaws, would have been signed by Major W. Armstrong, the supermitenting, and only remathing agent of the Choctaw removal, and also by Colonet F. Armstrong, the agent for the Choctaws west, and one of the agents for examining the Choctaw improvements, if it had been possible for my friend, who assisted me in procuring certificates, to have seen them. When I last saw Colonel Armstrong: he informed me that he had represented my case to the department, and expressed his wish and his confident belief that my claim would be constituted a floating reservation.

The major med and but we consider they are my he should be at segment

S. D. F.

#### and standards a reference of the second of the second of the second of Washington, January 7, 1833.

In answer to your request to be informed what I know of the facts stated by Mr. Silas D. Fishek in his potition to Congress on the subject of his claim to a reservation of land under a provision the late treaty with the Choctaw tribe of Indians; I have the woner to state that I am personally acquainted with Silas D. Fisher: he was at the time of the treaty, a captain in Col. Greenwood Laflores district: he had returned from school from Kentucky, and married a short time before the treaty. I am confident he had no improvement in the nation. He had not settled, but was at the time staying with his father-in-law Mr. Kelly.

Yours, &c.

JOHN BLACK.

been considered by the first out of the west with a significant and the test to and the control of the second second

to the state matter and are supported to the state of the Many the second the property of the country of the second that it

a companion was the so but in which

THE RESERVE THE PARTY OF THE PA