

4-11-1834

Colonel Gideon Morgan [to accompany bill H. R.
no. 425].

Follow this and additional works at: <https://digitalcommons.law.ou.edu/indianserialset>



Part of the [Indian and Aboriginal Law Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

H.R. Rep. No. 409, 23rd Cong., 1st Sess. (1834)

This House Report is brought to you for free and open access by University of Oklahoma College of Law Digital Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in American Indian and Alaskan Native Documents in the Congressional Serial Set: 1817-1899 by an authorized administrator of University of Oklahoma College of Law Digital Commons. For more information, please contact darinfox@ou.edu.

COLONEL GIDEON MORGAN.

[To accompany bill H. R. No. 425.]

APRIL 11, 1834.

Mr. CHILTON, from the Committee on Invalid Pensions, made the following

REPORT:

The Committee on Invalid Pensions have, according to order, had under consideration the evidence in support of the claim of Col. Gideon Morgan, of the State of Tennessee, who seeks to be placed on the roll of invalid pensioners, on account of wounds received during the late war, and report :

The petitioner states, and proves satisfactorily to the committee, that, as colonel, he commanded the Cherokee Indians at the battle of the Horse Shoe, and that in said battle he was wounded by a ball directly over the right eye, which has entirely destroyed the sight of that eye, and produced a paralysis of the right side and arm. Two respectable physicians have certified that they consider his disability as total; and though his case does not come within the provisions of the pension laws now in force, yet it is recommended as being meritorious by the Commissioner of Pensions, who has passed on and rejected his application, merely on the ground that Col. Morgan was in command of the Cherokees.

The committee can perceive no good reason why a pension should not be allowed Col. Morgan, in the same manner as though he had been in command of any other portion of the troops employed on that occasion, particularly when it is recollected that he was not only fighting in the same cause with his brother officers, but fought bravely. Precedents are also found justifying this conclusion.

Being, therefore, of opinion that the application is meritorious, your committee herewith report a bill.

[Gales & Seaton, print.]