University of Oklahoma College of Law

University of Oklahoma College of Law Digital Commons

American Indian and Alaskan Native Documents in the Congressional Serial Set: 1817-1899

4-9-1834

Jesse Manley.

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.law.ou.edu/indianserialset



Part of the Indigenous, Indian, and Aboriginal Law Commons

Recommended Citation

H.R. Rep. No. 402, 23rd Cong., 1st Sess. (1834)

This House Report is brought to you for free and open access by University of Oklahoma College of Law Digital Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in American Indian and Alaskan Native Documents in the Congressional Serial Set: 1817-1899 by an authorized administrator of University of Oklahoma College of Law $\label{lem:decomposition} \mbox{Digital Commons. For more information, please contact Law-LibraryDigitalCommons@ou.edu.} \\$

tions had an developed in consequence thereof. The inferrings of the gold a ribbers by the intermed marries of his family, while man been great said. There has belowered by the last all her personal property where, in a rese

JESSE MANLEY.

APRIL 9, 1834.

Read, and laid upon the table.

Mr. WHITTLESEY, from the Committee of Claims, made the following

REPORT:

The Committee of Claims, to which was presented the petition of Jesse Manley, report:

That the petitioner, before and at the commencement of the late war, resided in Humphreys county, State of Tennessee, and in April, 1812, contemplated to remove his family to a place of safety, but, owing to the delicate condition of his wife, he was unable to do so; and the petitioner and his neighbor, Captain Crawley, in May, 1812, descended the Tennessee river to obtain some corn, and on their return home, on the 12th of May, he found his wife shot by the Indians through the knee, which was broke to pieces, a ball had passed through one of her ears, and one of her eves burnt out with the powder, her head was scalped, and she was otherwise indecently and cruelly mutilated, and three of his children were killed. The Indians had destroyed all his property in his house, which was valued by his neighbors at three hundred and three dollars. He was about to raise a party to take satisfaction for the injury he had sustained, but on taking advice he deferred it, and joined the troops that afterwards went into the Indian country, and was at the Tallahassee, Talladega, Horse Shoe, and finally closed his military career at New Orleans. He did not intend to petition for remuneration, but his health is poor, and he has become old, and he is unable to support himself; and thinking the Government is bound to defend the citizen, he draws the conclusion that, if he is not protected, the Government becomes responsible for the losses the citizen may have sustained.

John Read states Mr. Manley is a citizen of that county; that his history is well known, and he accords with the statement made in the petition. He saw Mrs. Manley upon her death bed, shortly after the shocking occurrence. He says Mr. Manley is a respectable citizen, and of

unimpeachable character.

The petition is not accompanied by any testimony except the statement

made by Mr. Read, which is not under oath.

The United States have not recognised the principle that they are holden to remunerate their citizens for all the losses they may sustain in time of war, but their liability is confined to the instances of lost property, when said property was in the military or naval service of the United States, and was lost or destroyed in consequence thereof. The sufferings of the petitioner, by the inhuman murder of his family, must have been great; and, from his statement, he lost all his personal property, which, in a new country, and with very limited means, could not very readily be replaced. For such sufferings and losses, however, Congress has not heretofore granted relief, and the committee are not disposed to enlarge the liability of the United States in such cases; they therefore submit the following resolution:

Resolved, That the prayer of the petitioner ought not to be granted.

The Committee of Claims, to which you prevented the section of Julia

That the politioner, before and at the connectment of the late was, resided in Hangberry county, State of Temperor, and in April 1919,

deficals condition of his wife, he was made to do my and the relatings and his metallion. Captain Crismley, in May, 1852, it will be 1900 on message river as about mono corn, and on their return home, on the 1002 of May, he found his wife snot by the fadican through the forest which was

highed. The findings had described all his property is his line, which was a bit with

dry on laking where he delerred it, and mined the integer that are market

Bloc, and finally chared his millioner career at New Orleans. It did not be not be peaking the peaking for commerciation, but his nealth be peak and by Jun Ber

ment of bound to delead the ridings, but dead the conclusion there if he is

ting is well known, and he are and with the statement made in the pathtion. He saw Mes Margley again, her death herb shortly after the shocking, occurrence. He says Mr. Manley in a respectable officer, and of

The petition is not arrangenise by any testimony except the statement

The United States have someongoised the principle that they are builden to remanerate their citizates for all the lower they may sustain in there of war, but their lightlifty is confined to the instances of hot property, when said property was in the military or mayal section of the United States, and

made by Mr. Read, which is not under oath.