

University of Oklahoma College of Law

University of Oklahoma College of Law Digital Commons

American Indian and Alaskan Native Documents in the Congressional Serial Set: 1817-1899

9-18-1890

Elizabeth P. Satterfield

Follow this and additional works at: <https://digitalcommons.law.ou.edu/indianserialset>



Part of the [Indigenous, Indian, and Aboriginal Law Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

H.R. Rep. No. 3118, 51st Cong., 1st Sess. (1890)

This House Report is brought to you for free and open access by University of Oklahoma College of Law Digital Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in American Indian and Alaskan Native Documents in the Congressional Serial Set: 1817-1899 by an authorized administrator of University of Oklahoma College of Law Digital Commons. For more information, please contact Law-LibraryDigitalCommons@ou.edu.

ELIZABETH P. SATTERFIELD.

SEPTEMBER 18, 1890.—Committed to the Committee of the Whole House and ordered to be printed.

Mr. DE LANO, from the Committee on Pensions, submitted the following

REPORT:

[To accompany H. R. 9668.]

The Committee on Pensions, to whom was referred the bill (H. R. 9668) granting a pension to Elizabeth P. Satterfield, have considered the same, and report as follows:

The petition of the claimant for relief by special act is based upon the service of her deceased husband, John R. Satterfield, in Capt. James Bowman's company of Illinois Militia, Black Hawk war.

The muster-roll of the company can not be found in the files of the Government and the records are otherwise incomplete, but the Second Auditor of the Treasury reports that Mr. Satterfield's name appears on the pay-roll of the above-named company as a sergeant, and that he was paid for service from June 10 to June 16, 1832.

That this item on the pay-roll does not show the full term of Satterfield's service is shown by the testimony of Samuel W. Carpenter and Wyatt Parish, who swear that they were in the same company with Satterfield, and that they were all away from home about ninety days at the time of their service, and that the period of actual service was about sixty days.

That the claimant is the lawful widow of the soldier is fully shown by testimony filed with the committee, and it is also established that she is about seventy-five years old and without any means of support.

Your committee believe the case to be a just and meritorious one, and the bill is therefore returned with a favorable recommendation.