University of Oklahoma College of Law

University of Oklahoma College of Law Digital Commons

American Indian and Alaskan Native Documents in the Congressional Serial Set: 1817-1899

3-17-1882

Dr. John A. Tonner

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.law.ou.edu/indianserialset



Part of the Indigenous, Indian, and Aboriginal Law Commons

Recommended Citation

H.R. Rep. No. 809, 47th Cong., 1st Sess. (1882)

This House Report is brought to you for free and open access by University of Oklahoma College of Law Digital Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in American Indian and Alaskan Native Documents in the Congressional Serial Set: 1817-1899 by an authorized administrator of University of Oklahoma College of Law $\label{lem:decomposition} \mbox{Digital Commons. For more information, please contact Law-LibraryDigitalCommons@ou.edu.} \\$

DR. JOHN A. TONNER.

MARCH 17, 1882.—Reported adversely, Committed to the Committee of the Whole House, and ordered to be printed.

Mr. TAYLOR, from the Committee on Claims, submitted the following

REPORT:

[To accompany bill H. R. 4744.]

The Committee on Claims, to whom was referred the bill (H. R. 4744) for relief of John A. Tonner, having had the same under consideration, beg leave to make the following report:

John A. Tonner was Indian agent at the Colorado River Reservation, Arizona Territory, and while so acting was requested to render medical pervices by E. I. Baily, surgeon United States Army, stationed at Prespott, Arizona, and did so from September 1, 1871, till July 31, 1873, for which services he claims compensation at the rate of \$50 per month, \$1,150.

The services were needed when rendered, and are reasonable in mount. The Second Comptroller of the Treasury rejected the claim

under the act of Congress, August 23, 1842.

This claimant was receiving, during all the time this service was being andered, a salary at the rate of \$1,500 per year. The law referred to revents payment beyond his salary to any officer of the government ituated as this claimant was for services performed. Your committee think the law a wholesome one, and see no sufficient reason for suspending it in this case. Your committee therefore report back the bill, with the recommendation that it do not pass.