University of Oklahoma College of Law

University of Oklahoma College of Law Digital Commons

American Indian and Alaskan Native Documents in the Congressional Serial Set: 1817-1899

3-24-1876

Fees paid United States attorneys

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.law.ou.edu/indianserialset



Part of the Indigenous, Indian, and Aboriginal Law Commons

Recommended Citation

H.R. Rep. No. 298, 44th Cong., 1st Sess. (1876)

This House Report is brought to you for free and open access by University of Oklahoma College of Law Digital Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in American Indian and Alaskan Native Documents in the Congressional Serial Set: 1817-1899 by an authorized administrator of University of Oklahoma College of Law Digital Commons. For more information, please contact Law-LibraryDigitalCommons@ou.edu.

FEES PAID UNITED STATES ATTORNEYS.

March 24, 1876.—Recommitted to the Committee on Expenditures in the Department of Justice and ordered to be printed.

Mr. DURHAM, by unanimous consent, from the Committee on Expenditures in the Department of Justice, submitted the following

REPORT:

[To accompany bill H. R. 2822.]

The Committee on Expenditures in the Department of Justice, to whom was referred the following resolution, viz:

JANUARY 13, 1876. .

On motion of Mr. Parsons of Kentucky,

Resolved, That the Committee on Expenditures in the Department of Justice be, and they are hereby, instructed to inquire into the employment of special counsel by the Department in cases where the United States is a party, and to report to this House the number of special counsel so employed, the nature of the cases, and the amount of fees paid them for services; also the amount of extra fees, if any, paid to district attorneys of the United States in similar cases.

And this investigation the committee are directed to extend as far back as may be

And this investigation the committee are directed to extend as far back as may be necessary to a full knowledge of what has been and is being done by said Department in the way of paying fees for services in the character of cases mentioned.

would report:

That they have extended their investigations from the organization of said Department, viz, July 1, 1870, to January 1, 1876, and find that a large number of special counsel have been employed by said Department in cases where the United States is a party, and a large amount of fees has been paid to them. They also find that many and a large amount of fees have been paid to district attorneys of the United States in similar cases. The whole number of special counsel employed is about 164, and the fees paid them \$220,228.46. The amount paid district attorneys of the United States during the same time has been \$53,389.20.

A detailed statement of when, where, and in what cases said fees were paid is filed herewith, marked "A," and made a part thereof. Besides the above there are on file many claims for fees of large amounts

awaiting the action of the Department, and yet unadjusted.

While your committee is of opinion that the United States should be ably represented in all cases where large amounts are involved, or where grave and serious crimes have been committed, yet we are of opinion that the regular district attorneys can manage many of these cases alone where large fees have been paid for assistant attorneys.

While good attorneys should be employed when absolutely needed, and reasonable fees paid them, yet the fees generally paid these assistants are very much larger than are paid for similar services rendered for individuals, and in many instances are exorbitant and unreasonable. In some instances the fees paid these assistants for six months' or even

1873.

three or four months' labor, amounts to more than the yearly salary of

the Attorney-General of the United States.

We also think in many instances the fees paid the regular United States district attorneys for extra work are large and exorbitant, and for the purpose of rectifying the evil complained of, and that the Attorney-General may have some assistance in regulating these matters, we present herewith a bill repealing section 363 of the Revised Statutes of the United States and substituting another section therefor, and ask that the same may be passed; and this is submitted as our report.

A.

A statement showing the employment of special counsel by the Department of Justice, in cases where the United States is a party, and the number of counsel so employed; nature of the cases; the amount of fees paid them for services, and the amount of extra fees, if any, paid to district attorneys of the United States, in similar cases, from the first of July, 1870, to January 1, 1876.

ALABAMA, NORTHERN DISTRICT.

1872.		
Jan. 4.—J. A. Minnis, United States attorney northern district of Alabama, for special services. (Case, Selma and Meridian Railroad Com-	****	0.0
Dec. 31.—J. A. Minnis, United States attorney for the northern district of Al-	\$500	00
abama, for special services. (Case, Alabama & Chattanooga Railroad Company)	500	00
July 15.—John A. Minnis, United States attorney for the northern district of Alabama, for services rendered at the instance of the Attorney-General, in the case of the Alabama and Chattanooga Railroad Company	1,000	00
1875.		
Mar. 27.—Lewis E. Parsons, on account of services rendered the United States attorney, in Alabama, in directing in the prosecutions of parties charged with violations of the enforcement laws, to 31st		
March, 1875 Dec. 7.—John S. Clark, a special assistant United States attorney for the	500	00
northern and middle districts of Alabama, for fees, for prose- cuting cases against counterfeiters, before United States Com- missioner Rice, on the 12th, 13th, 14th, and 15th days of Septem-		
ber, 1875	100	00
ALABAMA, MIDDLE DISTRICT.		
1873.		
April 24.—Alex. White, special assistant United States attorney, middle district of Alabama, for services in the trial of ku-klux cases	2,500	00
ALABAMA, SOUTHERN DISTRICT.		
Mar. 20.—D. P. Lewis, of Montgomery, Ala., southern district of Alabama, for services in the trial of ku-klux cases at the May term, 1872,		
United States district court	5,000	00
Feb. 19.—J. P. Southworth, late United States attorney, southern district of Alabama, for special services in certain cotton cases, rendered by direction of the Attorney-General, defending suits vs. A. A.		
June 26.—J. P. Southwart, late United States attorney, southern district of Alabama, for special services in certain cotton cases, rendered	2,000	00
by direction of the Attorney-General; remaining cases as above.	2,000	00
ADVANSAS PASTERN DISTRICT		

ARKANSAS, EASTERN DISTRICT.

Dec. 26.—F. W. Compton, for services rendered as special assistant to the United States attorney for the eastern district of Arkansas, in case of the United States vs. Cairo & Fulton Railroad Company. 1,000 00

1005	ARKANSAS, WESTERN DISTRICT.		
1875. Jan. 2.—	William Walker, for services rendered as special assistant of the United States attorney for the western district of Arkansas, in	ı	
	the prosecution and conviction of Gerhard Schneider, alias Hardy Snyder, at the November term, 1874, of the United States dis-		
1874.	trict court for said district	\$100	00
	B. T. Duval, for services as special assistant district attorney for the western district of Arkansas, in making investigation of ex- penditures in the marshal's office of that district since July 1, 1870, pursuant to the resolution of the House of Representatives,		
	of June 9, 1874	250	00
July 15.—	B. T. Duvaldodo		00
Oct. 9.—	dodododo		00
1875.		0.000	
Mar. 10.—			14
-			
	ARKANSAS, WESTERN DISTRICT.	5, 376	14
1875.			
Mar. 22.—	J. G. Peavy, for services in assisting Ben. T. Duval in investigating the accounts of the late marshal for western district of Arkansas, from 10th August to 7th November, 1874	300	00
	CALIFORNIA.		
1871.	Fronk M Dielos and t D Latinus for rateining for for profes		
Jan. 21.—	Frank M. Pixley and L. D. Latimer, for retaining fee for professional services rendered the United States as assistant counsel for the defendant, J. C. Tucker, in the action entitled Chas E.	\$5,000	00
Nov. 9.—	Pickett vs. S. C. Hastings et al L. D. Latimer, for retaining fee for professional services in the investigation before the surveyor-general of the United States for California, touching the swamp and overflowed land in the	ф5,000	00
	State	515	00
Jan. 5.—1 1872.	L. D. Latimer, for retainer in the case of King vs. Swain in the district court of the fifteenth judicial district of California	2,500	00
June 29.—	L. D. Latimer, for professional services and expenses under special		
	retainer as counsel for defendant, in action of H. W. Kreiker vs. L. B. Ayer in fifteenth judicial district of California	742	83
Dec. 3.—	L. D. Latimer, attorney at law, San Francisco, Cal., for professional services.	504	55
1873.	SOL VICES	904	00
Mar. 21.—	L. D. Latimer, United States attorney for district of California, for special services in case of T. B. Valentine	1,000	00
June 16.—I	L. D. Latimer, United States attorney, district of California, for		
Dec. 19.—	professional services in case of Keisker vs. Ayer	267	44
Dec. 20.—I	pta, for professional services, travel, and hotel bill, case of Sherman O. Houghton vs. James R. Hardenberg, November 28, 1873. L. D. Latimer, United States attorney, Sau Francisco, district of	773	86
200. 200. 2	California, for fees for searching for title of certain lands and		
	travel in case United States vs. James F. Houghton and E. H. Miller, December 11, 1873.	97	65
1875.			
Jan. 30.—1	The parties hereinafter named for services rendered in ejectment suit, affecting the title of the United States to the marine hospital property at San Francisco, as follows, viz:		
	Frank M. Pixley L. D. Latimer	1,500 1,500	
Feb. 22.—	Walter Van Dyke, United States attorney, district of California, for fee in case of United States vs. William LeBur, San Francisco,		
June 3.—V	Cal	50	00
	of Hope	260	81

COLORADO.

COLORADO.			
Aug. 29.—W. C. Alleman, United States attorney, Colorado Territory, for expenses incurred in investigating the management of penitentiary at Canon City, and making report as per order of Attorney-	Ø100	Cm	
General, dated July 8, 1873	\$180	67	
May 16.—H. C. Alleman, United States attorney for Colorado Territory, for services and expenses in case of the United States vs. A. Bartlett and J. J. Thomas, sureties of Holloway, late marshal	200	45	
1875.			
March 1.—H. C. Alleman, United States attorney for Colorado, for collecting from L. C. Rochwell, late attorney, the amount of the judgment in the case of United States vs. Caleb B. Clement	250	00	
Jan. 17.—John W. Jenkins, special assistant United States attorney for the Territory of Colorado, on account of services in the case of the United States vs. Marc A. Shaffenburg, late marshal of Colorado	500	00	
Territory	500	00	
CONNECTICUT.			
Jan. 3.—Lewis E. Stanton, for professional services in case of United States vs. Joseph Forsyth, for passing counterfeit money	250	00	
Apr. 24.—C. G. Childs, of Stamford, district of Connecticut, for services and expenses as special counsel. (Middleton Church Case.)	91	45	
DAKOTA.			
Aug. 25.—S. L. Spink, for services in assisting the United States attorney for Dakota in the prosecution of the case vs. M. L. Stickney	200	00	
DELAWARE.			
March 6.—W. C. Spruance, for professional services as special assistant to United States attorney, Delaware, under enforcement acts	1,200	00	
DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA.			
Nov. 29.—Caleb Cushing and William Schley, for services in the case of Kimberley vs. Butler, \$1,000 to Cushing and \$1,000 to William	000	00	
Dec. 13.—John A. Wills, for retainer and services in land case No. 204, December term, 1869, in the Supreme Court of the United States,	200		
in the Vigil case	1,010	00	
Feb. 23.—S. Hepburn, jr., for professional services in the case of Lieut. W.	0 000	00	
J. Crain	2,000	00	
May 21.—A. B. Williams, for professional services in the case of the United	900	00	
June 1.—John A. Wills, of Washington, D. C., for special services. June 5.—George P. Fisher, United States attorney, District of Columbia, for	3,000	00	
July 8.—George P. Fisher, for professional services in case of Work vs. Bout-	500	00	
Sept. 11.—George P. Fisher, United States attorney, for the District of Co-	1,000	00	
lumbia, for special services in searching title to property known as Columbia Hospital, and preparing deed for same, and claim of title.	150	00	
1873.			
Jan. 16.—Edwin L. Stanton, for professional services in case of Clapperton vs. Craig et al.	250	00	
Feb. 28.—S. F. Phillips, of Washington, D. C., for services as special assistant United States attorney, in the case of A. H. Swasey vs. North Carolina Railroad Company; appointed by acting Attorney-Gen-			
Caronna transcar Company, appointed by acong Attorney-Gen-			
eral United States. July 29—R. M. Corwine, for services as special counsel in the Farragut prize	1,000	00	

1873.		
Aug. 22J. W. Harlin, for services as special counsel, in the prosecution of		
cases under enforcement act	\$1,000	
vs. The Union Pacific Railroad Company et al	500	00
May 2.—E. C. Brearley, for professional services, case of William Hoff nan		
for an extension of patent on improved frog for bayonet-scab- bard.	200	00
Sept. 24.—William G. M. Davis, Washington, D. C., for professional services in two suits vs. H. Jenkins and sureties on official bonds given by Jenkins as internal-revenue collector for district of Florida	200	
Nov. 10E. C. Brearley, for services relative to the claim of the Giant Pow-	200	
Nov. 23.—E. C. Brearley, for making examination and reports on patents of E. Rice and F. Chilingworth, and case of W. C. Hicks vs. Whit-		
ney Arms Company, during fourth quarter of 1874	300	
lic cartridge. Dec. 24.—E. C. Brearley, for professional services in the matter of the claims of the Western Union Telegraph Company under the patent of Charles Grafton Page, and the interest of the Government	400	00
therein, including, examination of patents, final report, and		
settlement thereof	200	00
1875.		
Jan. 26.—E. C. Brearley, for special services from August 24, 1874, to January 26, 1875.	400	00
Feb. 18.—William Birney, on account of professional services as attorney, in the case of Miller's Executors vs. The Mayor, Board of Common Council, and Board of Aldermen, of Washington City, D. C.,		
tried at November term, 1874, of the supreme court of the Dis- trict of Columbia.	500	00
Mar. 12.—George P. Fisher, for argument of the case of the United States ex relatione Catherine Harris, being rule on the Commissioner of Pensions, to show cause why mandamus should not issue to restore the name of the relator to the pension-rolls, on 6th		
March, 1875	500	00
patent cases	250	
May 15.—Horace S. Commings, for services in the Farragut prize caseJuly 6.—E. C. Brearley, for services in cases Millbank vs. Benton, and the	250	
Roberts royalty claim July 26.—E. C. Brearley, for services as special assistant attorney-general	500	00
of the United States, in cases regarding patents in which the United States were interested, and which were referred to him, to 24th July, 1975	{ 266 444	
1873.		
Sept. 7.—Robert E. Fort, for professional services rendered in May, 1873, in		
the United States courts for the southern district of Florida, in cases arising under internal revenue		00
1875. Dec. 10.—A. E. Maxwell, assistant United States attorney, northern district		
Florida, for services Burk Holden, on September 24, 25, and 28, 1875	100	00
GEORGIA, NORTHERN DISTRICT.		
Jan. 27.—J. D. Pope, United States attorney, district of Georgia, for fees and special counsel. (Case of Murphy.)	125	00
May 22.—J. D. Pope, United States attorney, district of Georgia, for special	120	00
services. (Case Foster Blodgett & Hopkins)	413	75
Jan. 22O. A. Lochrane, Georgia, for services as special counsel of the		
United States vs. Andersonville Cemetery property Feb. 20.—H. P. Farrow, United States attorney, Georgia, for special serv-	500	00
ices approved by the Attorney-General, Railroad vs. Telegraph	100	00

July 6.—Henry P. Farrow, United States attorney for the district of Georgia, for services in the district court and on appeal in the circuit court, in the case of United States ex relatione L. A. Guild vs.	,	
John T. Brown, principal keeper Georgia penitentiary, in April and May, 1875	\$300	00
ILLINOIS, NORTHERN DISTRICT.		
1872.		
Oct. 3.—Joseph O. Glover, United States attorney, for services in the case of Bernhard A. Burrum vs. George W. Wood and Francis A. Eastman, in State court	100	00
1873.		
Feb. 6.—J. O. Glover, United States attorney for northern district of Illinois, for services in the United States district court, in the case of Thomas Shea, United States, vs. S. B. M. William Young, captain, U. S. A., (habeas corpus)	50	00
March 8.—J. O. Glover, United States attorney for the northern district of Illinois, for professional services in re-instating cases to 30th June, 1873, caused by the great fire	400	
1874.		
April 8.—Joseph O. Glover, United States attorney for the northern district of Illinois, for services in case People, ex rel. J. McCume, vs. Mark Walker, corporal, &c	50	00
1874.		
May 16.—Joseph O. Glover, United States attorney for northern district of Illinois, for services in case of George R. Davis qui tam. vs. John A. Packard, by direction of the Attorney-General	500	00
1875.		
Dec. 3.—L. H. Boutelle, northern district of Illinois, for services under retainer in whisky cases. Dec. 8.—B. F. Ayer, northern district of Illinois, for professional services	1,500	00
under retainer in whisky cases	1,500	00
Sept. 23.—Wirt Dexter, northern district of Illinois, for professional services under retainer in whisky cases	2,500	00
April 8.—Joseph O. Glover, United States attorney for the northern district of Illinois, for fees in case vs. Eichols et al., at March term, 1871, United States circuit court.	20	00
INDIANA.		
1871. June 26.—C. W. Stagg, of Indianapolis, district of Indiana, for services in		
case of Milligan against Hovey and others	465	
counsel, (Milligan vs. Hovey)	2,000	00
April 30.—James R. Slack, attorney at law, of Huntington, Ind., for special services, (Milligan rs. Hovey)	2,500	00
May 9.—Jonathan W. Gordon, of Indianapolis, district of Indiana, for special services in the case of Milligan vs. Slack and others	5,500	00
1876.		
Jan. 6.—Thomas M. Brown, as retainer in the prosecution of whisky frauds in Indiana	500	00
KENTUCKY.		
Aug. 12.—B. H. Bristow, late United States attorney, district of Kentucky, for special services, (habeas corpus case)	250	00
1872. Oct. 23.—John E. Newman, attorney at law, Louisville, Ky., for special serv-		
ices, United States vs. Louisville and Portland Canal Oct. 23.—G. C. Wharton, attorney at law, Louisville, Ky., for special serv-	1,000	
1873.	2,800	00
Dec. 31.—John W. Harlan, on account for professional services in the prosecution in the United States courts for the district of Kentucky of the cases known as the Lexington election cases	1,500	00

1874. April 8.—G. C. Wharton, United States attorney for the district of Kentucky, for special services, case of the Commonwealth of Kentucky vs. A. J. Harrington, deputy United States marshal	\$15 0	00
Dec. 27.—G. C. Wharton, for services rendered for the United States on a special employment of the Attorney-General in the defense of Deputy Marshal Russell and posse in Owens County, Kentucky, criminal court in December, 1875	1,000	00
LOUISIANA.		
June 22.—J. R. Beckwith, United States attorney, district of Louisiana, for special services, (deposition before United States commis-	05	. 00
July 13.—J. R. Beckwith, United States attorney, district of Louisiana, for special services, (Farragut bounty claim)		00
KANSAS.		
1874.		
July 23.—George R. Peck, United States attorney, district of Kansas, for money advanced in procuring record, evidence, and printing, pleading, and briefs in two suits in the circuit court of the United States, district of Kansas, against the Leavenworth, Lawrence and Galveston Railroad Company, and Missouri, Kansas and Texas Railroad Company, respectively, from 2d March to 29th		
June, 1872	377	50
1875. Feb. 27.—George R. Peck, United States attorney of Kansas, on account of services rendered in cases of United States vs. Missouri, Kansas	4 000	
Nov. 19.—Lewis Harbuck, for services rendered as special assistant United States attorney, district of Kansas, from 11th to 26th Oc-	1,000	
tober, 1875	160	00
Jan. 26.—George R. Peck, United States attorney, district of Kansas, for special services from 31st August to 2d December, 1875, in defending Col. Richard I. Dodge, Twenty-third Infantry, trustees of Ottawa University; and for representing the United States in the matter of riparian rights on Smoky Hill River, as affected by the erection of a mill-dam	242	00
LOUISIANA.		
1872.		
April 26.—J. R. Beckwith, Louisiana, for special services, (Wolfley vs. Humphreys et al.)	5,000	00
March 25.—Wm. Grant, for professional services as special counsel in the case of the United States vs. W. R. Whitaker	3,000	00
April 24.—Wm. Grapt, of New Orleans, district of Louisiana, for services in certain Spanish land grant cases, under authority of Attorney-General of United States, dated 9th November, 1871	5, 021	00
Jan. 8.—Wm. Grant, for services as special attorney for the United States, in prosecuting in the United States circuit court for the district of Louisiana of the case of the United States vs. Thomas P. May et al., which was finally disposed of by trial on 2d June, 1874	2,500	00
1874.		
Aug. 10.—J. R. Beckwith, United States attorney for the district of Louisiana, for services rendered defendant in the case of Ed. A. Yorks et al. vs. C. W. Howell, captain of engineers, United States Army, in the United States circuit court for said district, April		
1874	300	00
1875.		
May 1.—J. R. Beckwith, United States attorney for district of Louisiana, for services rendered in January and February 1875, in taking depositions of witnesses in the matter of the steamboat A. V.		
Quarrier, Joseph W. Shannon, claimant, pending before the Third Auditor	2 50	00

MARYLAND.

MARYLAND.		
1871. Dec. 27.—Archibald Sterling, United States attorney, district of Maryland, for special services in case of Binckley vs. Rollins	\$7 50	00
1873. July 29.—A. Sterling, jr., United States attorney, district of Maryland, for	000	00
special services under authority of Attorney-General	200	00
1874. Feb. 27.—Archibald Sterling, jr., United States attorney for the district of	30	00
Maryland, for services in defense of George M. Casson, United States inspector of customs, charged with murder in United States circuit and criminal courts, as per report to Attorney-		
General February 14, 1874. Mar. 21.—William M. Danenbower, for services rendered as special assistant	500	00
to the United States attorney for the district of Maryland, under	1,000	00
April 8.—Reverdy Johnson, special assistant to United States Attorney- General, Baltimore, Md., for fees from 7th January to 19th March, 1874.	800	00
Nov. 14.—Reverdy Johnson, of Baltimore, Md., for services relative to acts of Congress of July 24, 1866, June 10, 1872, &c	1,500	
Dec. 5.—William W. Danenhower, assistant United States attorney, district of Maryland, for services under the enforcement acts from November 19, 1873, to December 4, 1874	2,000	
1875. Mar. 10.—Archibald Sterling, jr., United States attorney, district of Mary-	2,000	
land, for taking testimony, &c., in United States vs. Chaffey, in February, 1875	100	00
MASSACHUSETTS.		
1871. Nov. 21.—W. A. Field, for services in the superior court, Suffolk County, Mass., in case of Nancy M. Fredericks et al. vs. M. F. Dickinson,		
jr 1872.	300	00
Jan. 12.—Wm. Whiting, for special services by request of the Attorney-General from April 18 to December 20, 1871. May 21.—George S. Hillard, of Boston, Mass., for services in case of United	1,913	00
States vs. Dexter T. Mills et al	1,500	00
Nov. 29.—G. S. Hillard, district of Massachusetts, for professional services in case of Ely vs. Benton	300	00
July 27.—R. H. Dana, jr., for professional services in matters of State Bank and Merchants' Bank	4,000	00
MICHIGAN, EASTERN DISTRICT.		
Feb. 7.—A. B. Maynard, United States attorney for eastern district Michigan, special counsel-fee in case of United States vs. Frederick	1 000	00
Aug. 16.—A. B. Maynard, United States attorney, eastern district of Michigan, for special compensation for services, preparing act of	1,000	00
legislation, and securing proper and immediate legislation, to amend State laws in matter habeas corpus, of prisoners sent from	140	
Territories to Detroit house of correction	140	00
case	500	00
MINNESOTA.		
Mar. 26.—C. K. Davis, United States attorney for district of Minnesota, for professional services in certain cases vs. S. Harriman, tried in	1 500	
July 29.—C. K. Davis, United States attorney for district of Minnesota, for services rendered by direction of the Attorney-General, in case of	1,500	00
United States vs. Duluth et al., in the circuit court of Minnesota, from 2d May to 8th June, 1871	300	00

TEED TAID UNITED STATES ATTOMBUTE.		0
1004		
May 12.—O. P. Stearns, for services and expenses in case vs. John C. Bates and others, from 6th September, 1873, to 15th January, 1874	\$806	50
AND		
MISSISSIPPI, NORTHERN DISTRICT.		
Sept. 11.—G. W. Wells, United States attorney, northern district Mississippi, for special services	113	00
1872.		
Oct. 19.—W. F. Doud, of Aberdeen, northern district of Mississippi, for special services as assistant to the United States attorney, Ku-Klux cases	2,500	00
1873.		
April 1.—William F. Doud, of Oxford, northern district of Mississippi, for services as special counsel from June to December, 1872, (enforcement acts)	385	00
MISSISSIPPI, SOUTHERN DISTRICT.		
1871.		
March 4.—E. P. Jacobson, United States attorney, southern district of Mississippi, for services as special attorney, &c., defense of Hospital Steward Walters	250	00
MISSOURI, EASTERN DISTRICT.		
1872.		
May 25.—J. W. Noble, Missouri, for special services, (Fox vs. Myers) 1873.	5,000	00
Dec. 4.—W. Patrick, United States attorney, eastern district Missouri, for special services in case against the Continental Bank, Saint	00	00
Louis	20	00
June 2.—William Patrick, United States attorney, eastern district Missouri, for special services in case of State of Missouri against Col. David Murphy, for murder, summoned to United States court under authority of Attorney-General.	500	00
1875.	1,00	00
May 5.—John W. Noble, special counsel retained by Attorney-General, eastern district Missouri, for special services in case vs. John W. Wright.	2,000	00
May 5William Patrick, eastern district Missouri, for special services in		
case vs. John W. Wright et al	2,000	00
MISSOURI, WESTERN DISTRICT.		
1872.		
May 24.—H. B. Johnson, western district of Missouri, for special services in case of United States vs. Doss et al	500	00
NEBRASKA.		
1873.		
Aug. 11.—James Neville, United States attorney for Nebraska, for professional services rendered in defense in case of John Burgess vs. Maj. N. A. M. Dudley, U. S. A., in July, 1873	203	00
1875.		
Mar. 8.—James Neville, United States attorney for the district of Nebraska, for professional services in accordance with instructions from the Attorney-General, in case of Ezra Ives, vs. Edward Painter vs. Indian agent, finally disposed of January 8, 1876	300	00
1875.		
Dec. 22.—John M. Thurston and A. J. Burnham, for special services in defense of Maj. George D. Ruggles, assistant adjutant-general, and four other persons attached to the Army, in suits instituted against the latter by John Gordon, in the State court of Nebraska, at Omaha, in October, 1875	100	00
NEW HAMPSHIRE.		
1875.		
July C.—Joshua G. Hall, United States attorney for the district of New Hampshire, for fees in cases against steamers Morning Star, May Flower, Wennepessogue, and Osseppee, for violation of the steamer laws.	80	00
opeamer tamo	00	00

NEW MEXICO.		
1871.		
May 24.—S. B. Elkins, defense Lieutenant Price	\$250 0	90
Sept. 13S. M. Ashenfelter, United States attorney, Territory of New Mex-		
ico, for special services, (case, Perea & Co.)	75 0	00
Nov. 4.—S. M. Ashenfelter, United States attorney, for special services, (case, Perea & Co.)	150 0	00
1873.		
April 26.—T. B. Wheelock, of Santa Fé, N. Mex., for professional services as attorney in case against the sureties of J. S. Collins, late depos-	1 000 0	
1875.	1,000 0	00
Jan. 11.—Thomas B. Catron, United States attorney for the Territory of		
New Mexico, for services in defending Capt. J. T. Randlett, by direction of the Attorney-General, in five cases of the Territory of New Mexico against him, at the October term, 1874, of the second district court for Socorro County.	1,350 0	00
district court for Socotto County	1,000	,0
NEW YORK, NORTHERN DISTRICT.		
1871.		
June 7John Sedgwick, for services in the matter of the enforcement of	0 500 0	
election law, (defense of deputy marshal, &c.)	3,500 0	00
vs. William Fullerton	3,500 0	00
1879.		
Jan. 23.—William Hildreth, of Canandaigua, northern district of New York, for special services, (claim of Lieut. E. S. Rowland)	61 1	4
May 24.—Edwards Pierrepont, professional services in the case of the		
United States vs. Joshua D. Minor	3,500 0	00
NAME AND A STRAIGHT AS A STRAI		
NEW YORK, EASTERN DISTRICT.		
July 15R. Crowley, United States attorney, northern district of New York,		
for special services, (title to land in Oswego)	200 0	0
Dec. 4.—C A. Seward, attorney-at-law, New York City for professional services, (Jones vs. W. H. Seward)	1,411 2	8
1873.	2, 222 10	
Mar. 12.—John McKeon, for retainer and legal services in the surrogate's court of the city of New York, in case of the United States against		
the estate of George A. Gardner, in the year 1854	500 0	0
Mar. 21.—Richard Crowley, United States attorney for northern district of		
New York, for special services in case of E. L. Patrick, November 18, 1871	50 0	0
10, 10(1	00 0	
NEW YORK, NORTHERN DISTRICT.		
1874.		
Feb. 6.—Joel B. Erhardt, special assistant attorney of the United States,		
for services and expenses in Europe, in the suit of the United States vs. Schlessinger et al. and the De Laine cases	2,500 0	0
Feb. 5.—Richard Crowley, United States attorney for the northern district		
of New York, for professional services from 25th September, 1873, to 24th January, 1874, in defense of N. M. Curtis, late col-		
lector of customs for Oswegatchie, New York, in suit brought		
against him by Walter Doty, for services alleged to have been	000 0	0
rendered him as collector of that district	200 0	U
Nov. 11.—Richard Crowley, United States attorney for the northern district		
of New York, for counsel-fee October 1, 1873, in collecting from		
W. S. Bull, late first lieutenant Company E, Forty-ninth New York Volunteers, the sum of \$206.50	25 0	0
1874.		
April 8.—Richard Crowley, United States attorney for the northern district		
of New York, for special services in case John H. Campbell, James Dougrey, and Alfred Seaman vs James Forsyth, late pro-		
vost marshal fifteenth congressional district of New York,		
February, 1874	500 00	U

1 ONE	
Mar. 9.—Richard Crowley, United States attorney northern district of Nev York, for services rendered in defense of the suit of Jonatha B. Sheeley vs. Otto R. Pabstin, the supreme court of the Stat of New York, Cayuga County, dismissed February 24th, 1876.	n se
NEW YORK, SOUTHERN DISTRICT.	
Dec. 6.—Caleb Cushing, for services as special counsel and assistant to the district attorney for the southern district of New York. (Frase Trenholm & Co., Kimberly vs. Butler)	r,
1873. Feb. 20.—John E. Develin, for services in defense of the case of H. A. Ti den vs. Benj. F. Butler, for acts performed by defendant in hi capacity as major-general in volunteer service of United State	8
tried in United States courts, southern district of New York. April 29.—Wm. G. Peck, expert, for services in case of Wm. H. Cammeye and another vs. John Newton and others, in circuit court of United States for southern district of New York, from 30th Jar	4, 915 10 or of
uary to 1st April, 1873. April 29.—G. Irvine Whitehead, special examiner in case last above mentioned, Cammeyer et al. vs. John Newton et al., from 30th Janu	325 00
Mar. 11.—Noah Davis for retainer and counsel-fees in suit of H. A. Tilder vs. B. F. Butler, tried the June term, 1872, of the United States	. 285 70
circuit court for southern district of New YorkJuly 15.—Thomas Harland, for services as special counsel for defendant i suit of George H. Randall vs. Sheridan Shook, late collector cinternal revenue, and tried in the United States circuit cour	n of
southern district of New York, June 12 to 18, 1873 Dec. 27.—George Bliss, United States attorney for the southern district of New York, for services rendered in the following cases, viz: Cas of G. B. Lamar vs. C. A. Dana, case of W. A. Button vs. B. F. But	250 00 of e
ler, and case of National Bank of Ireland vs. P. H. Jones, post master New York City	. 320 00
April 23.—A. H. Purdy, special attorney for the southern district of New York for services and expenses in case of The United States vs. Thoma T. Brooks, in first quarter of 1874	s . 118 50
July 23.—C. M. Keller, for professional services case of Cammeyer & Lewi vs. Newton and others, circuit court southern district of Nev York, from 30th August, 1873	v 1,342 65
defense of the case of Wm. H. Cammeyer et al. vs. John Newton et al., in 1874	3,093 76
Oct. 28.—Roger M. Sherman, special assistant to the United States attorned for the southern district of New York, for services in Europe from 1st July to 1st October, 1874, under commission dated 15th	1
December, 1873. Sept. 11.—Edwards Pierrepont, conuselor at law, New York City, souther district of New York, for disbursements and services rendered relative to the will of Charles Fox, from March 30, 1872, to Feb	-
Sept. 29.—George Bliss, United States attorney, southern district of New York, for professional services in case vs. J. H. Draper et al.	,
executor. Nov. 18.—George Bliss, United States attorney, southern district of New York, for professional services in case of the National Bank o Ireland vs. Patrick H. Jones, postmaster at New York, and an	f
other 1875. May 1.—George Bliss, United States attorney, southern district of New York, for services in case of Bartholomew C. Galvin vs. George	1,000 00
S. Boutwell, late Secretary of the United States Treasury, tried in the circuit court for said district	500 00 r
services rendered previous to July 1, 1874, for the southern district of New York	

1875.		
May 11.—William Barre, Brooklyn, New York, for services in case vs. Herr et al., February 27, 1875	\$31 25	
June 2.—George Bliss, United States attorney, southern district of New York, for services in defense of the suit in case of Poultrey et al. vs. James G. Benton, tried in the circuit court for said district,		
Aug. 6.—John E. Develin, for services and expenses in defense of the case of Britton vs. Butler, tried and concluded in the United States circuit	250 00	
court for the southern district of New York, in January, 1875. Nov. 5.—E. C. Brearley, for professional services rendered and to be rendered as special assistant to the United States attorney for the southern district of New York, in defense of John Newton, in the case of the Giant Powder Company vs. R. W. Warren and John Newton, pending in the United States circuit court for said dis-	2,054 96	
trict, November 2, 1875	500 00	
Jan. 27.—E. C. Brearley, special assistant United States attorney, for services in full from November 3, 1875, to January 17, 1876, in case of the Atlantic Giant Powder Company vs. John Newton, and Atlantic Giant Powder Company vs. George M. Mobray et al., in the south-	995 00	
ern district of New York	225 00	
NEW YORK, EASTERN DISTRICT.		
1873.		
Oct. 3.—B. F. Tracy, for services rendered in defending James Bigot, deputy United States marshal for the eastern district of New York; said services rendered from November 4, 1871, to January and February, 1872.	500 00	
1875.	500 00	
May 11.—Joseph G. Curry, for services in case vs. A. W. Tenney, United States attorney, eastern district of New York, 20th March, 1875.	322 50	
Dec. 7.—George L. Douglas, special assistant United States attorney, in and for the eastern district of New York, for fees or commission in certain cases directed by the Attorney-General	323 85	
NORTH CAROLINA, EASTERN DISTRICT.		
1872.		
July 15.—J. M. Justice, of Rutherfordton, district of North Carolina, for professional services from 13th April to 13th June, 1872, as special assistant United States attorney in enforcement cases 1875.	400 00	
Ang. 21.—Marcus Erwin for professional services in the case of the Eastern Band of Cherokee Indians vs. William H. Thomas et al. and in the case of the Eastern Band of Cherokee Indians vs. James W.		
Terrell et al	600 00	
NORTH CAROLINA, WESTERN DISTRICT.		
1872.		
Nov. 29.—J. M. Justice, special assistant United States attorney, western district of North Carolina, for salary from 21st June to 20th September, 1872, in enforcement cases	600 00	
1873. Dec. 11.—Marcus Erwin, assistant United States attorney for the district of Western North Carolina, for expenses incurred in procuring		
evidence, &c., in cases in relation to Cherokee Indians, as per approval of the Attorney-General. Dec. 17.—Joshua A. Franks, for services rendered the assistant United	209 00	
States attorney for western district of North Carolina, in pro- curing testimony in case of Cherokee Indians vs. Thomas et al	125 00	
Feby. 5.—V. S. Lusk, United States attorney, western district North Caro-		
lina, for services in defending Lieut. J. L. Mast, indicted in the State court of North Carolina	100 00	
Dec. 7.—Marcus Erwin, assistant United States attorney for the western district of North Carolina, for expenses in case of the Western		
Band of Cherokees as Thomas et al. after July 1, 1874	172 95	ál.

1874.		
Dec. 18.—Marcus Erwin, special assistant United States attorney for the		
western district of North Carolina, for services rendered in the prosecution of certain suits at law and in equity pending in the		
circuit court of the United States for the western district of		
North Carolina, in behalf of the Eastern Band of the Cherokee		
Indians vs. W. H. Thomas et al., and disposed of at the October		
term, 1874, of the United States courts at Statesville	\$2,500	00
1875.		
Mar. 16.—Marcus Erwin, special assistant United States attorney, for and		
on account of professional services in behalf of the United		
States in the cases of the Eastern Band of the Cherokee Indians		
vs. W. H. Thomas et al., in the United States courts for the western district of North Carolina, payable out of the appropri-		
ation to pay costs adjudged against the Eastern Band of the		
Cherokee Indians, &c., including compensation to special coun-		
sel, and for other purposes, contained in an act making appro-		
priation to supply deficiencies, &c., approved 3d March, 1875	3,000	00
Mar. 30.—The parties hereinafter named for services rendered in the case of		
the Eastern Band of Cherokee Indians against W. H. Thomas et		
* al., as follows: Rufus Barringer	1,000	00
Thomas Ruffin	1,000	
E. R. Hampton	194	
John H. Dillard	1,000	00
July 15.—David Coleman, for services in defending Noah H. Rice, deputy		
United States marshal for the western district of North Caro-		
lina, indicted in the State court for murder, and removed to the		
United States circuit court for western district of North Carolina, and tried at the May term, 1875	250	00
July 15.—C. M. McLoud, for services in defending Noah H. Rice, deputy	200	00
United States marshal for the western district of North Caro-		
lina, indicted in the State court for murder, removed to the		
United States circuit court for said district, and tried at the		
May term, 1875	250	00
Dec. 22.—Marcus Erwin, for services in case Eastern Band Cherokees, and		
that of J. Y. Blunt, tried at the April term, 1875, of the United States circuit court for the western district of North Carolina	350	00
Dec. 22.—The parties hereinafter named for services in defending at the	000	-
November term, 1875, of the United States circuit court for the		
western district of North Carolina, at Asheville, W. P. Allman,		
deputy United States marshal, charged with murder:	200	00
To David Coleman	200 200	
10 O. M. McDoud	200	00
OHIO, SOUTHERN DISTRICT.		
1873.		
July 10.—W. M. Bateman, for services rendered in matter of L. A. Harris, jr.,		
private in the United States Army, on habeas corpus, before pro-	70	00
bate court, Hamilton County, Ohio	50	00
Dec. 13.—Joseph McMaken, Cincinnati, Ohio, for examining records in But- ler County court of common pleas, and preparing abstract there-		
from, in aiding and assisting United States attorney and mar-		
shal, in September, 1873	63	00
OHIO, NORTHERN DISTRICT.		
Oct. 5.—Warner M. Bateman, Ciucinnati, Ohio, for services in collecting		
claim from L. H. Libby, formerly lieutenant One hundred and		
sixteenth Regiment Ohio Volunteers, September 17, 1874	10	00
1875.		
June 3.—Warner M. Bateman, for fees in defense of suit in case of Kohl et		
al. vs. Samuel Hanaford tried in the superior court of Cincinnati,	300	00
Ohio	300	00
OHIO, SOUTHERN DISTRICT.		
1871.		
Mar, 17.—W. H. McDowell, notary public, for Hamilton County, Ohio, for		
taking depositions in the case of The United States vs. 2,000		
pounds smoking-tobacco &c., T. H. Curd, claimant, pending in the United States court, southern district Ohio	14	75
the Officer States Court, Southern district Officers.	7.3	

1872.		
July 20.—James McMaken, for services in examining records as to property of certain parties in cases in which the United States were		
parties, to aid United States attorney and marshal, for southern	#OF O	00
July 15.—Stanley Matthews, for professional services as assistant United States attorney, southern district of Ohio, in the case of United States vs. A. P. Williams et al., tried in the United States circuit	\$25 0	00
court, at April term, 1872	1,500	00
1873.		
Mch. 20.—Warner M. Bateman, United States attorney, southern district of Ohio, for services in collecting claim against Wm. R. Stewart, assistant United States marshal, of census, for money by him wrongfully collected on draft, favor W. Stewart	25	00
April 29.—Warner M. Bateman, United States attorney, southern district of Ohio, for special services in case of Hamilton vs. Secrist et al.	F0	00
before the superior court of Cincinnati, Ohio	50	00,
May 24.—W. M. Bateman, United States attorney, southern district of Ohio, for services rendered in the case vs. Gassaway Brashers, in common pleas court of Hamilton County, Ohio, under instructions from Attorney-General United States, 27th February, 1863	50	00
July 7.—Aaron F. Perry, for professional services rendered before a committee of Congress, in the case United States vs. The Union		
Pacific Railroad Company	10,000	00
district of Ohio, for services in collecting from H. B. Banning, late lieutenant-colonel One hundred and twenty-first Ohio	90	00
Volunteers, amount of pay overdrawn by him	20	00
May 16.—Warner M. Bateman, United States attorney for the southern district of Ohio, for services in cases vs. S. S. Davis & Co. and	05	00
June 12.—Warner M. Bateman, United States attorney for the southern district of Ohio, for services rendered in collecting from S. H.	20	00
Hurst, late lieutenant-colonel Seventy-third Ohio Volunteers, amount overdrawn by him for services	15	00
1875.		
Mar. 15.—William Johnston, for legal services, March 12, 1875, in case of Newport and Cincinnati Bridge Company vs. The United States, southern district of Ohio	500	00
June 3.—Warner M. Bateman, for travel and per diems, from 9th April to 7th May, 1875, in case vs. Crane Chaffee and others, in circuit		
Oct. 20.—Warner M. Bateman, United States attorney southern district of Ohio, for special services in case of the United States vs. Moore	230	00
et al. and vs. Crane, Carpenter et al. 1st and 20th October, 1875, in accordance with instructions from the Attorney-General	68	00
Nov. 1.—Warner M. Bateman, United States attorney district of Ohio, for special services and expenses in taking testimony at Philadel-		
phia, Pa., in case of the Newport and Cincinnati Bridge Company vs. The United States, from 25th to 31st July, 1875	168	40
Dec. 10.—Warner M. Bateman, for special services, taking depositions at Saint Louis, Mo., in case vs. Bennett and Carpenter, and Crane	200	20
and Hutching, pending in the United States circuit court, southern district of Ohio, 18th and 19th November, 1875	78	00
Feb. 3.—Warner M. Bateman, for services in general term of the superior court of Cincinnati, southern district of Ohio, in the case of Kohl vs. Hanaford, from 1st July, 1875, to 22d January, 1876	200	00
vo. Hanasoru, nom 180 oury, 1070, 10 220 oanuary, 1070	200	30
OREGON.		
Dec. 26.—W. W. Paye, for special services in case of United States vs. E. G. Randan, former postmaster at Portland, Oregon	250	00
1873. April 4.—B. T. Dowell, of Portland, district of Oregon, for special services in the prosecution of Wright and others for mail robbery at the		
Ang. 13.—A. C. Gibbs, for professional services as attorney in cases of Barclay and Rouse vs. Lieut. G. A. Goodale, in the United States cir-	1,000	00
cuit court, district Oregon	1,000	00

1876.		
Jan. 20.—Stephen Powers, for expenses incurred and services rendered in case State of Texas vs. French, vs. Fredicks, Blackstone, and Troutman, in July, November, and December, 1875	\$767	52
, OREGON.		
Jan. 27.—J. N. Dolph, special assistant for the district of Oregon, for services in prosecuting mail robbers, Smith and Montgomery	250	00
PENNSYLVANIA, EASTERN DISTRICT.		
July 6.—W. McMichael, United States attorney for the eastern district of Pennsylvania, for services in the case of Woods vs. Hansell Dec. 28.—George L. Douglas, special assistant United States attorney for the eastern district of Pennsylvania, for services in collection cases to December 24, 1875	200	
PENNSYLVANIA, WESTERN DISTRICT.		
1875. Dec. 23.—B. B. Carnahan, special assistant United States attorney for the western district of Pennsylvania, United States vs. J. Lansberry	500	00
for murder	500	00
1873.		
Feb. 12.—John A. Gardner, United States attorney, district of Rhode Island, for professional services in case of the officers of the steamer	140	00
Metis	140 3,750	
SOUTH CAROLINA.		
1871.		
Dec. 27.—D. T. Corbin, United States attorney, district of South Carolina, for special services at Raleigh and Yorkville	1, 200	00
June 1.—D. H. Chamberlain, of Columbia, S. C., for special services from November 27, 1871, to January 5, 1872, under enforcement acts. 1875.	5,000	00
May 28.—D. T. Corbin, United States attorney for the district of South Carolina, for services in cases vs. Robert Small and A. Mattison, at the December term, 1874, May term, 1875, of the United States circuit court	1,000	00
TENNESSEE, EASTERN DISTRICT.		
June 8.—Richard S. Tuthill, for legal services in defending John Roberts and Henry Shannon, United States soldiers, in term, on a charge of assault with intent to kill	100	00
May 9.—Geo. Andrews, United States attorney for eastern district of Ten-		
nessee, for special services rendered the circuit and district courts for middle district of Tennessee, at the request of the Attorney-General, at April and October term, 1872	{ 687 { 686	
April 13.—John Baxter, for services rendered in the prosecution of twenty- two indictments, known as the Boyd cases, in the United States circuit court for the eastern District of Tennessee	2,000	00
1874. July 23.—A. H. Pettibone, for services in defending J. E. Dyer, of secret service, in the circuit court of Union County, Tennessee, at the July term, 1874.	200	00
1875. Aug. 18.—George Andrews, United States attorney, eastern district of Tennessee, for special services in cases vs. Camp Walker and Edwards, tried in the United States circuit court of said district,		
at the July term, 1874	200	00

TENNESSEE, MIDDLE DISTRICT.		
Jan. 13.—Horrace H. Harrison, United States attorney middle district of Tennessee, for extra services defense United States soldiers, Chambers and Wearlet.	\$300	00
1874. June 11.—A. M. Hughes, United States attorney for the middle district of		
Tennessee, for services rendered in the cases vs. M. C. and A. C. Phillips, in pursuance to instructions from the Attorney-General,		
dated November 3, 1873	200	
rs. McAdo Vannata; pursuant to instructions from the Attorney-General, dated May 8, 1874, \$50.00, and November 3, 1874	{ 50 100	
TENNESSEE, WESTERN DISTRICT.		
Mar. 12.—D. K. McRae, for one-half fee for services as associate counsel with the United States attorney for the western district of Tennessee, in prosecuting J. P. Hale et al., in circuit court for said dis-		
May 28.—D. K. McRae, being balance of fee due for services as associate counsel for the United States in the Gibson County conspiracy	1,000	00
cases, tried at the spring term, 1875, of the United States courts for the western district of Tennessee	1,000	00
TEXAS, WESTERN DISTRICT.		
1872.	-	
Aug. —.—C. H. Howard, special counsel United States, vs. Bentzoni	258	45
1873.		
May 20.—Robert G. Street, of Galveston, eastern district of Texas, for professional services in case of United States vs. J. R. Morris	50	00
18.75. Feb. 11.—W. E. Horne, for services in prosecuting in the Montague County, Tex., conspiracy cases, under the enforcement act, vs. Robert Onare et al., from July 1 to November 30, 1874	500	00
Mar. 3.—William E. Horne, for services in the prosecution of Robert Ohain et al., in the county of Montague, Tex., under the enforcement act, after July 1, 1874.	250	
act, alter oury 1, 2014	200	00
1871.		
April 21.—B. F. Fifield, United States attorney for the district of Vermont, for special services in examination into the guilt of the so-called Fenian leaders, and in looking up evidence to secure their indictment and conviction before any indictments were procured against them in pursuance of instructions from the At-		
torney-General	1,000	00
1874. Oct. 5.—B. F. Fifield, United States attorney for the district of Vermont, services in the settlement of the estate of H. R. Day, deceased.	500	00
VIRGINIA, EÄSTERN DISTRICT.		
June 1.—H. H. Wells, United States attorney district of Virginia, for special services, (defense of Colonel Barry)	300 (00
May 25.—H. H. Wells, United States attorney, eastern district of Virginia, for special services in case Atlantic Telegraph Company vs.	300	00
Orange, Alexandria and Manassas Railroad Company		
for special services, (case of W. Faunt'eroy)	300	00
Mar. 31.—J. W. Jenkins, of Richmond, eastern district of Virginia, for special services as attorney, in case of the United States against Fillmore's estate	250	00

1873. Aug. 29.—John W. Jenkins, of Richmond, eastern district of Virginia, for		-
oct. 18.—John W. Jenkins, of Richmond, Va., for professional services, as	\$250	00
attorney, in case of J. B. Pace vs. Rush Burgess, in the United States circuit court, eastern district of Virginia	750	00
1874.		
May 16.—L. L. Lewis, United States attorney, eastern district of Virginia, for special services, searching title of Henry M. Byrne to distil-		
lery, under authority of Attorney-General, April, 1874	100	00
ney-General, in case vs. Brooks, charged with embezzlement, &c. Oct. 19.—L. H. Chandler, for services rendered in the United States courts for the eastern district of Virginia, in the prosecution of the criminal cases known as the Petersburg and Norfolk election cases, in	200	00
September and October, 1874	250	00
the Petersburgh and Portsmouth election cases, in the United States circuit court, in the eastern district of Virginia, in September and October, 1874	250	00
Nov. 27.—R. P. Lowe, for services, &c., in the presecution of the case vs. Broocks, in the United States court for the eastern district of	200	00
Virginia, in November, 1874	600	00
of Virginia, at the fall terms, 1874, in what are known as the Petersburgh and Norfolk election cases, viz:		
L. H. Chandler	500	00
Alfred Morton	500	
Dec. 12.—L. Lewis, United States attorney for the eastern district of Virginia, for expenses from Petersburgh, Va., to New York, in the case vs. T. T. Brooks, indicted for violation of national-currency act, in October, 1874	46	00
acu, in October, 104 4	40	00
WEST VIRGINIA.		
1875.		
Dec. 18.—Ward H. Lamon, for services in the case of the United States, and Water-power and Manufacturing Company, vs. The Baltimore Railroad Company, in the United States district court at		
Wheeling, West Virginia	500	00
WISCONSIN, EASTERN DISTRICT.		
May 21.—Levi Hubbell, United States attorney for eastern district of Wisconsin, for special services in defense of an Indian	287	20
Nov. 7.—Levi Hubbell, United States attorney, Wisconsin, for special service in defense of Ah-co-me-inay	185	20
1875. Sept. 20.—J. C. McKenney, for services as special assistant United States	3,000	00
Dec. 29.—J. C. McKinney, special assistant United States attorney for eastern district of Wisconsin, for services in whisky cases, during		
the October term, 1875, of the United States courts	2,500	00
Jan. 20.—L. S. Dixon, special assistant United States attorney for the east- ern district of Wisconsin, for services in whisky cases	3,000	00
WISCONSIN, WESTERN DISTRICT.		
Nov. 29.—J. C. McKenney, western district of Wisconsin, for services as counsel in case of United States vs. Milwaukee and St. Paul Rail-	0 500	00
way Company Dec. 6.—Harlon S. Orton, for professional services rendered and to be rendered in the two suits in chancery, in the circuit court of the United States for the western district of Wisconsin, of the United States vs. The Milwaukee and St. Paul Railway Company, said services rendered and to be rendered in said suits as special assistant counsel of the district attorney of the United States	3,500	00
for said district	2,500	00

1874. May 23.—Charles M. Webb, United States attorney, western district Wis-	
consin, for expenses in case United States vs. Milwaukee and St.	
Paul Railroad Company	\$50 00
1875.	
Sept. 29.—L. S. Dixon, for retainer, and services rendered and to be rendered	
as special assistant United States attorney, in prosecution of	0 000 0
the whisky cases in the western district of Wisconsin	2,000 0
WASHINGTON TERRITORY.	
1873.	
Feb. 20.—Samuel C. Wingard, United States attorney, Washington Territory,	
for services in defense of the case, Territory of Washington vs.	
E. M. Gibson, Indian agent	100 00
Aug. 29.—James G. Swan, acting United States attorney for Washington	
Territory, for fees in cases vs. Jas. Doyle, heard in the district court for third judicial district, May 13, 1873	20 00
1874.	20 00
May 5 Samuel C. Winyard, United States attorney for Washington Ter-	
ritory, for defending Edwin Eells, Indian agent, &c., indicted for	
an assault with a deadly weapon, and tried and acquitted at the	
March term, 1874, of the second district court, at Olympia	100 00
1875. Feb. 11.—S. C. Winyard, United States attorney for Washington Territory,	
for services in the case of the United States vs. Samuel P. War-	
ren and others, bankrupts	100 0
Mar. 12 S. C. Winyard, United States attorney for Washington Territory,	
for services rendered in the investigation of charges against	
Henry G. Strum, secretary of Washington	100 0
Oct. 11.—Elwood Evans, for services in case vs. J. H. Munson et al., tried and concluded in the district court for the second judicial dis-	
trict Washington Territory	250 0
The state of the s	200 0
WYOMING.	
1875.	
June 2E. P. Johnson, United States attorney for the Territory, for ser-	
vices in defense of Captain Ilges, under the direction of the	****
Attorney-General of the United States	100 0