

University of Oklahoma College of Law

University of Oklahoma College of Law Digital Commons

American Indian and Alaskan Native Documents in the Congressional Serial Set: 1817-1899

11-19-1856

Report of the Commissioner of the General Land Office, 1856

Follow this and additional works at: <https://digitalcommons.law.ou.edu/indianserialset>



Part of the [Indigenous, Indian, and Aboriginal Law Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

S. Exec. Doc. No.5, 34th Cong., 3rd Sess. (1856)

This Senate Executive Document is brought to you for free and open access by University of Oklahoma College of Law Digital Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in American Indian and Alaskan Native Documents in the Congressional Serial Set: 1817-1899 by an authorized administrator of University of Oklahoma College of Law Digital Commons. For more information, please contact Law-LibraryDigitalCommons@ou.edu.

REPORT OF THE COMMISSIONER OF THE GENERAL LAND OFFICE.

GENERAL LAND OFFICE, *November 29, 1856.*

SIR: The following report exhibits the operations of this branch of the public service for the fiscal year ending 30th June, 1856, and also for the quarter ending 30th September, 1856:

During the year ending 30th September, 1856, the new lands surveyed for market, exclusive of school sections, and the approved plats of which have been returned to this office, embrace an area of 16,873,699 acres. The portion of this surveyed surface which lies on the Pacific, covers 9,991,884 acres; adding the area first above mentioned to the quantity of surveyed new lands in the year 1855, we have the quantity of 41,118,443 acres, of which 17,573,654 acres are situated in the State of California, and in the Territories of Oregon, Washington, Kansas, Nebraska, and Utah.

The particulars are presented in the following tabular exhibit:

Exhibit of the quantities of public land, exclusive of the sixteenth or school sections, advertised for sale in the year 1856, the quantities prepared for market and not yet advertised, and the probable quantities which will be prepared in 1857.

States and Territories.	Quantity advertised for sale in 1856, and not postponed.	Quantities, the plats of survey of which have been returned to the General Land Office.		Quantities prepared for market, and not yet advertised for sale, on 30th Sept., 1856.	Estimated quantities, the plats of survey of which are expected to be returned in the year 1857.
		Prior to last annual report.	Since the last annual report.		
	<i>Acres.</i>	<i>Acres.</i>	<i>Acres.</i>	<i>Acres.</i>	<i>Acres.</i>
Ohio.....					
Indiana.....					
Michigan.....		609,701		609,701	
Illinois.....					
Wisconsin.....		1,270,760	1,609,519	2,880,279	668,166
Iowa.....		3,157,139	1,937,015	5,094,154	1,149,000
Minnesota Territory.....		5,132,819	2,711,650	7,844,469	577,600
Missouri.....	Railroad lands.	408,051		408,051	
Arkansas.....	Railroad lands.	733,278		733,278	
Louisiana.....		2,348,115	207,017	2,555,132	
Mississippi.....					
Alabama.....		90,530		90,530	
Florida.....		2,912,581	416,614	3,329,195	1,889,240
Total.....		16,662,974	6,881,815	23,544,789	4,284,006

EXHIBIT—Continued.

States and Territories.	Quantity advertised for sale in 1856, and not postponed.	Quantities, the plats of survey of which have been returned to the General Land Office.		Quantities prepared for market, and not yet advertised for sale, on 30th Sept., 1856.	Estimated quantities, the plats of survey of which are expected to be returned in the year 1857.
		Prior to last annual report.	Since the last annual report.		
	<i>Acres.</i>	<i>Acres.</i>	<i>Acres.</i>	<i>Acres.</i>	<i>Acres.</i>
California		5,880,731	6,513,006	12,393,737	3,570,000
Oregon Territory		1,332,214	836,036	2,168,250	2,160,000
Washington Territory		368,825	234,348	603,173	1,152,000
Kansas Territory			1,864,141	1,864,141	2,860,000
Nebraska Territory			336,304	336,304	2,211,840
Utah Territory			208,049	208,049	1,621,600
New Mexico Territory					1,230,800
Total		7,581,770	9,991,884	17,573,654	14,806,240
Aggregate		24,244,744	16,873,699	41,118,443	19,090,246

It will be seen by this statement, that the quantity of new land now liable to be disposed of, is greater than at any previous period in the history of the land system. In regard to the new lands in and near the valley of the Mississippi, the progress of actual settlement has been so rapid under the pre-emption system, and the propriety of dealing with this class of our citizens in the most liberal spirit so obvious, that it has not been deemed advisable to precipitate public sale of these lands; and this fact accounts for the present great accumulation over former years, and for the small quantity introduced into market by public sale during the year 1856. Except so far as Congress may make grants to the land States and Territories in aid of educational and internal improvement purposes, it is believed to be the true policy of the government to secure the public lands to actual settlement thereon, and withhold them, as far as practicable, from speculation. This may be accomplished under the present system, by a slight modification of the pre-emption laws. In the case of lands not subject to private entry, not having been offered at public sale, the existing laws prescribe no limited period within which the pre-emptor shall complete his entry by the requisite proof and payment, except that it shall be done before the time fixed for the public sale of the land.

To compel a consummation of the entry, it is necessary to proclaim the land for public sale. Were a time fixed by law, within which the proof of settlement and improvement should be presented and payment made, it would obviate the necessity of public sales, and leave the entire public domain to the settlers under the pre-emption laws. An amendment of the act of 1841 with this view is recommended.

During the fiscal year ending 30th June, 1856, there were sold for cash.....	9,227,878.98 acres.
Located by warrants, under military bounty- land laws, mainly under the act of 3d March, 1855	8,382,480.00 “
Making a total of.....	<u>17,610,358.98</u> “
In addition, there have been reported under swamp grants.....	6,036,874.39 “
Estimated quantity covered by railroad grants, by acts of May, June, and August, 1856, and certained quantity of other internal im- provements.....	15,680,875.00 “
Making an aggregate of.....	<u>39,328,108.37</u> “
For the quarter ending September 30, 1856, there were sold for cash, part estimated.....	834,228.21 “
Located with military bounty-land warrants....	954,060.00 “
Being for said quarter.....	<u>1,788,288.21</u> “
Making a grand aggregate for the five quarters ending 30th September, 1856, of.....	<u><u>41,116,396.58</u></u> “

By comparing the foregoing with the last annual report, it will be found that, whilst there has been a falling off in the present year in the cash sales, when compared with the previous one, there has been a compensating increase in the quantity disposed of by bounty-land warrants in satisfaction of military services.

In the aggregate disposal of the public domain, it will appear that there has been a large increase of millions of acres over the quantity of the five quarters ending with the 3d calendar quarter of 1855, caused by the recent extensive railroad grants. The diminution in the sales and locations for the quarter ending 30th September, 1856, is attributable to the withdrawal of large bodies of public lands along the lines of the roads in the seven land States to which the grants were made by the acts of Congress above mentioned.

For the fiscal year ending 30th June, 1856, the re- ceipts from the sales of the public lands amount to	\$8,821,414 53
The receipts for the quarter ending 30th September, part estimated, are.....	726,639 64
Making a total of.....	<u><u>9,548,054 17</u></u>

The diminution in the cash receipts is caused also by the sale of large quantities of lands at the reduced prices fixed by the graduation act of 4th August, 1854, varying from 12½ cents per acre to the regu-

lar minimum of \$1 25, and the fact that new lands to no considerable extent have been exposed to public sale during this period.

The following table exhibits at one view the quantity of public land sold at the regular and graduated prices, located for military bounties, and selected under swamp grants, for the fiscal year ending 30th June, 1856, and for the quarter ending 30th September, 1856.

Sales and other disposals of the public lands.

	Number of acres sold for cash at and above the minimum price, \$1 25.	Amt't received therefor.	Number of acres sold at graduated prices.	Amount received for the same.	Number of acres located with military warrants.	Number of acres reported under swamp land grant.	Number of acres granted for internal improvements, railroads, &c.	Total amount received for lands sold for cash, at all prices.	Total number of acres sold for cash, and otherwise disposed of.
	Acres.	Dollars.	Acres.	Dollars.	Acres.	Acres.	Acres.	Dollars.	Acres.
During the fiscal year ending June 30, 1856	5,230,584.50	7,228,318.31	3,997,294.48	1,592,096.22	362,480.00	6,036,874.39	15,680,875.8	821,414.53	39,328,108.37
During the 3d quarter, ending September 30, 1856	335,402.55	487,214.62	498,825.66	239,425.02	954,060.00	None	None	725,639.64	1,788,288.21
Total	5,565,987.05	7,715,532.93	4,496,120.14	1,832,521.24	336,540.00	6,036,874.39	15,680,875.9	548,054.17	41,116,396.58

• Part estimated.

The following table exhibits the condition of the bounty-land business under the acts of 1847, 1850, 1852, 1855, on September 30, 1856

ACT OF 1847.

Grade of warrant.	Number issued.	Acres embraced thereby.	Number located.	Acres embraced thereby.	Number outstand'g.	Acres embraced thereby.
160-acre ..	79,972	12,795,520	73,521	11,763,360	6,451	1,032,160
40-acre ..	7,503	300,120	5,943	237,720	1,560	62,400
Total ..	87,475	13,095,640	79,464	12,001,080	8,011	1,094,560

ACT OF 1850.

160-acre ..	27,363	4,378,080	25,543	4,086,880	1,820	291,000
80-acre ..	57,640	4,611,920	49,909	3,992,720	7,740	619,000
40-acre ..	103,852	4,154,080	86,522	3,460,880	17,330	693,000
Total ..	188,864	13,144,080	161,974	11,540,480	26,890	1,603,000

ACT OF 1852.

160-acre ..	1,220	195,200	897	143,520	323	51,680
80-acre ..	1,695	135,600	1,321	105,680	374	29,280
40-acre ..	9,062	362,480	7,272	290,880	1,790	71,600
Total ..	11,977	693,280	9,490	540,080	2,487	153,000

ACT OF 1855.

160-acre ..	43,764	7,002,240	15,083	2,413,280	27,681	4,588,000
120-acre ..	86,145	10,337,400	40,161	4,819,320	45,984	5,518,000
80-acre ..	42,312	3,384,960	18,091	1,447,280	24,221	1,937,680
60-acre ..	317	19,020	98	5,880	219	13,140
40-acre ..	366	14,640	129	5,160	237	9,480
10-acre ..	5	50	2	20	3	30
Total ..	172,909	20,758,310	73,564	8,690,940	99,345	12,067,370

SUMMARY.

Act of 1847	87,475	13,095,640	79,464	12,001,080	8,011	1,094,560
“ 1850	188,864	13,144,080	161,974	11,540,480	26,890	1,603,000
“ 1852	11,977	693,280	9,490	540,080	2,487	153,000
“ 1855	172,909	20,758,310	73,564	8,690,940	99,345	12,067,370
Total ...	461,225	47,691,310	324,492	32,772,580	136,733	14,918,730

The views of this office relative to the power of revision executed by the department in the examination of Virginia military warrants filed for scrip pursuant to the "act of August 31, 1852," are presented in the last annual report.

During the year ending October 18, 1856, warrants have been filed under this act amounting to 90,484 acres, of which amount 56,291 acres have been satisfied by the issue of scrip under act of 1852, leaving suspended or rejected 23,094 acres.

The state of this business is such that all claims can promptly be satisfied upon presentation.

There are also now filed in this office, in addition to the quantity mentioned as suspended and rejected, claims amounting in the aggregate to 120,000 acres, which are not in a condition to be finally disposed of, owing to the want of material evidence to perfect them—such as proofs relating to heirship, evidence of service, and minor points. Besides this, many claims are still outstanding, including those warrants located in the military districts of Kentucky and Ohio, and which have been lost by interference with previous valid locations, amounting probably in the aggregate to 100,000 acres.

In connexion with this subject, attention is again invited to the vacant land lying in the Virginia military district, Ohio, ceded to the United States by the State of Virginia, December 9, 1852, pursuant to the "act of August 31, 1852," and the recommendation is repeated, that it be donated to the State of Ohio for school purposes, with the restrictions of the right of purchase of those having surveys adjacent to such vacant lands. It is also suggested, that the act of March 3, 1855, entitled "an act allowing the further term of two years to those holding lands by entries in the Virginia military district in Ohio, which were made prior to 1st January, 1852, to have the same surveyed and patented," may be so extended for a period of five years or without restriction as to time, as to enable parties in whose name such entries stand to perfect them by survey and obtain a legal title. Many of these entries stand in the name of non-residents, viz: the officers or soldiers in whose names the warrants, issued or their heirs-at-law; and who, if barred from perfecting their claims, have no other recourse than to receive land scrip in lieu thereof, pursuant to the act of 1852.

There have been issued 38 patents for land in this district, from the 1st July, 1855, to October 18, 1856, amounting to 3,411 acres.

Authority from Congress is desired to perfect a number of the Virginia military records filed in this office, in which the surveys of the land patented are merely posted in the records, and in some instances not inserted at all, consequently showing an imperfect recital of title. As the lands so granted are valuable, and this office is the source from which parties interested can alone obtain official evidence of their title, it is important the records should be perfected.

There have been granted 196 patents founded on warrants issued for the services of soldiers of the war of 1812, pursuant to the "act of May 6, 1812," revived and extended by the acts of July 27, 1842, and February 8, 1854, amounting to 32,045 acres.

As a measure of justice to the claimants under this act, it is recom-

mended that a law be passed without restriction as to time, either in *location* or *issue* of warrant for service.

As the law now stands, if a warrant should be located after the provisions of the act of February 8, 1854, had expired, there is no authority to perfect the same by the issue of a patent; and if a warrant for this class of service should not be issued before the 26th June, 1859, under the act of February 8, 1854, the claimants would be deprived of all claim, although the service is equally meritorious as those for whose benefit subsequent bounty land laws have been passed.

The same remarks are applicable to the restriction as to time, in the act of January 27, 1853, entitled "an act authorizing certain soldiers of the late war with Great Britain to surrender the bounty lands drawn by them and to locate others in lieu thereof."

It is also recommended, that the right to make such exchange where lands are unfit for cultivation, be extended to the heirs-at-law of the soldier, as in a majority of cases the patentees are deceased.

The right to the warrants pursuant to the above mentioned act extends to the *heir-at-law* of the soldier. If in the event of his death and after land has been duly patented to him it is found worthless, his heirs should be entitled to good land in accordance with the intention of Congress in conferring upon his ancestor the right to the warrant.

Scrip to the amount of 2,000 acres, pursuant to the act of May 30, 1830, has been granted to officers and soldiers, in satisfaction of United States military warrants issued under act of September 16, 1776.

The three following tables exhibit the progress in the adjustment of the swamp grants under the act of 1849, which is limited to the State of Louisiana, and the general grant of 1850:

Statement exhibiting the quantity of land selected for the several States under the acts of Congress approved March 2, 1849, and September 28, 1850.

State.	Third quarter, 1855.	Fourth quarter, 1855.	First quarter, 1856.	Second quarter, 1856.	Third quarter, 1856.	Year ending June 30, 1856.	Total since date of grants.
Ohio							32,438.15
Indiana					14,636.01		1,329,835.83
Illinois			29,709.70	374.66		30,084.36	3,235,233.48
Missouri	256.85	38,171.92	17.06	20,511.91	20,751.92	58,957.74	3,374,205.13
Alabama							2,595.51
Mississippi	10,500.00		25,227.41	397.19		36,124.60	2,753,873.69
Iowa							1,099,715.80
Louisiana, act of 1849†	67,913.42	26,146.79	64,629.38	39,815.44	91,204.26	198,505.03	10,162,756.56
Louisiana, act of 1850†			476.28	18,064.58	14,351.56	18,540.86	523,475.44
Michigan							7,273,724.72
Arkansas		55,254.87			†119,262.04	55,254.87	8,520,792.50
Florida	2,729,813.15	2,538,719.01		358,165.98	839,534.19	5,626,698.14	11,630,271.51
Wisconsin							2,350,000.00
California							
Total.....	2,808,483.43	2,658,292.59	120,059.83	437,329.76	1,099,739.98	6,024,165.60	52,288,918.32

* List cancelled by request of surveyor general.

† Relative to Louisiana lists. See special Exhibit C herewith.

‡ Previously reported in surveyor general's list C of 1851; embraced in former aggregates.

Statement exhibiting the quantity of land approved to the several States under the acts of Congress approved March 2, 1849, and September 28, 1850.

State.	Third quarter, 1855.	Fourth quarter, 1855.	First quarter, 1856.	Second quarter, 1856.	Third quarter, 1856.	Year ending June 30, 1856.	Total since date of grants.
Ohio							25,640.71
Indiana			20,072.46			20,072.46	1,228,505.62
Illinois							1,251,874.18
Missouri							3,011,151.69
Alabama							2,595.51
Mississippi							2,605,588.86
Iowa							
Louisiana, act of 1849 ..							7,379,994.23
Louisiana, act of 1850 ..							221,541.23
Michigan							5,465,232.41
Arkansas							5,920,024.94
Florida	1,448,440.55		4,350,350.44	218,010.94		6,016,801.93	9,581,610.15
Wisconsin							1,650,712.10
California							
Total	1,448,440.55		4,370,422.90	218,010.94		6,036,874.39	38,344,471.63

Statement exhibiting the quantity of land patented to the several States under the act of Congress approved September 28, 1850.

States.	Third quarter, 1855.	Fourth quarter, 1855.	First quarter, 1856.	Second quarter, 1856.	Third quarter, 1856.	Year ending June 30, 1856.	Total since date of grants.
Ohio							25,640.71
Indiana							1,211,042.91
Illinois							471,236.43
Missouri	86,319.39	206,642.87			1,116,991.42	292,962.26	1,409,953.68
Mississippi							1,695,928.03
Michigan			1,538,045.60	596,474.93	307,451.76	2,134,520.53	4,455,053.27
Arkansas	3,483.32				878,772.65	3,483.32	2,246,375.47
Florida	143,347.29			2,621,031.07	2,839,924.05	2,764,378.36	5,604,902.41
Wisconsin				534,431.61		534,431.61	760,776.79
Total	233,150.00	206,642.87	1,538,045.60	3,751,937.61	5,143,139.88	5,729,776.08	17,880,909.70

* 160 acres of this relinquished by State authorities.

In the proceedings had under the act of 1850, the act of 1849 was treated as merged in the former, until January, 1856, when, by a decision of the 4th of that month, the Secretary of the Interior held the reverse of this, and that the act of 1850, was merely cumulative so far as it related to the State of Louisiana embracing certain lands specially excepted from the prior law. Governed by this decision of the supervisory power, it was ascertained that the great bulk of the sections, and approvals which had been made under the act of 1850, should be transferred to the law of 1849, and this was effected by departmental corrective certificates.

The annexed exhibit C is a summary of the quantity of lands selected under both laws for the State of Louisiana, the quantity heretofore approved, that selected, and in conflict with sales, locations, reservations, prior grants and private claims, and the quantity suspended and remaining to be acted upon. The bulk of the latter is in the New Orleans district, the greater part within the limits of the rejected Dauterive claim, and will as speedily as practicable be certified to the State.

In order to bring to a close the business of individual contests of the claims of the States, under the swamp grants, a notice bearing date December 28, 1855, was promulgated, and has been extensively circulated. That notice calls upon all parties objecting to the approval of swamp selections to file their affidavits in support of the objection within six months with the proper local officers.

Under this notice, a large number of affidavits have been received from the States of Illinois, Missouri, Iowa, Mississippi, and Arkansas, and a few from Indiana, Louisiana, and Florida. The labor in this office incident to the classification of these, and the preparation of the necessary list for the land officers, has been very great, and is finished so far as Illinois, Missouri, and Iowa are concerned.

The reference to the local offices is deferred because many of the affidavits are not in due form, and it has been necessary to return the original; should there be any undue delay in the appearance of contestants, it will be treated as an abandonment of the contest.

The following is a conjectural statement of the quantity of land applied for by contestants in contrast with that reported as selected:

States.	Total selections reported under the grant.	Quantity applied for by contestants
Indiana.....	1,329,835.83	5,038.08
Illinois.....	3,235,233.48	672,560.00
Missouri.....	3,374,205.13	102,113.43
Mississippi.....	2,753,873.69	90,160.00
Iowa.....	1,097,715.80	150,320.00
Louisiana.....	10,686,231.00	2,240.00
Arkansas.....	8,520,792.50	142,840.00
Florida.....	11,630,271.51	3,180.00
Total.....	42,628,158.94	1,168,451.51

The contested quantity in Arkansas may exceed that in the foregoing estimate by reason of a contest on the part of the railroad, under the

law making a grant to aid in the construction of a railroad from Cairo to Fulton, with branches to the Mississippi and Fort Smith. The period allowed the contestants to present proof was extended upon the application of the governor and the senators from the State, to October 20, 1856, and affidavits embracing 116,000 acres of the quantity in the aforesaid estimate have been received in behalf of the railroad claimants, and others are expected. By a resolution of the 7th May last, the Senate called upon the department to report what legislation is necessary to give full effect to the swamp grant and quiet conflicts.

A report was made and certain legislation recommended. The subject is now adverted to with the suggestion that the legislation most requisite is first to fix a limit to the time within which selections must be made, and next to secure bona fide settlers who have gone upon the land, relying on the State title, in case of the rejection of the State's claim.

The swamp land business in the state of California is yet entirely unsettled, although important correspondence has taken place on the subject between this office and the authorities of the State. In May last the register at Marysville reported that many county surveyors in the State had been returning to the authorities as swamp tracts of land not shown by the plats to be of that character; that by an act of the legislature parties were allowed to take up claims of 320 acres of "swamp and overflowed lands," and those surveyors were employed in locating the same; that in many cases, within his own knowledge, settlers in good faith, who had complied with the United States pre-emption laws, found their "lands, improvements and dwelling houses covered up by these swamp and overflowed land claims, taken up on speculation," and that in some instances the lands thus claimed were valued at \$50 per acre. A copy of the communication to this effect was transmitted to the governor of California, and concert of action suggested between the authorities of the State and general government; and that before any sales in that part of the State, conference should be had with the authorities of the general government, so as to save innocent parties from conflict and loss of title; at the same time the views of this office were presented touching the character of the lands which properly fall within the purview of the grant. It is also proposed as speedily as possible to relieve this business in California from embarrassment, and to use proper efforts to place the same upon a legal basis.

Patents for swamp selections have been issued, covering 765 pages, and lists covering 652 pages have been approved, and transcripts forwarded to the governor; besides which, the business has given rise to a very heavy correspondence.

Under the act of the last session of Congress making liberal grants of land to several States, to aid in the construction of between thirty and forty railroads therein named within their respective limits, the necessary preliminary steps have been taken by this office for carrying them into effect. As usual, under similar laws, the lands falling within the probable limits of the grants were promptly withdrawn from sale and location, so as to fulfil the intention of Congress, which otherwise might have been frustrated on account of the increased de-

mand which it was reasonable to presume would arise for lands in the vicinity of the roads, stimulated by the prospect of large gains incident to these improvements. The sudden withdrawal from market of such important bodies of land almost simultaneously in seven different States, had a tendency to produce great public and private inconvenience. All the means, however, in the power of this office have been employed in its correspondence with the executive officers of the States interested to secure the speedy transmission of the maps of survey of the roads, in order to enable us to restore to market, as early as possible, all lands withdrawn, which, on the receipt of such maps, should be found not actually needed to satisfy the several grants.

The State of Iowa was the first to accept, as she did, by an act of her legislature, which had been specially convened for the purpose. The State act was passed on the 14th July last, and disposes of the grant, for each road, to regularly incorporated companies.

The maps of two of the roads, the "Mississippi and Missouri river," and the "Dubuque and Pacific," as "definitely fixed," were the first received, and maps of the others have since come to hand. The adjustment of the grant now in progress, with the co-operation of the regularly authorized agents of the State, will be completed as rapidly as possible.

The maps of final location of the "Alabama and Florida," being the road from Montgomery, in the direction of Pensacola, and of its continuation to the last mentioned point, together with informal maps of the "Girard and Mobile" road in Alabama, and of the Louisiana portion of the New Orleans and Jackson road, have been received, on all of which such official action will be had as may be admissible preliminary to the legislation of those States for accepting the grants.

It is understood that the legislature of the State of Wisconsin have just accepted the grant for her roads, by the passage of an act authorizing certain companies to receive the grant of lands to that State.

The following is an approximate estimate of the quantity of lands which will probably inure to each State, under those new grants, after deducting estimated sales and other disposals of lands within the prescribed limits, viz :

State.	Date of act.	Miles of road.	Area of grant.	Net, which will inure.
			<i>Acres.</i>	<i>Acres.</i>
Iowa	May 15, 1856	1,124	4,320,000	3,456,000
Florida	May 17, 1856	630	2,419,200	1,814,400
Alabama	May 17, 1856	1,264	4,853,760	1,213,920
	June 3, 1856			
	Aug. 11, 1856	626	2,403,840	1,602,240
Louisiana	June 3, 1856			
	Aug. 11, 1856	330	1,267,200	950,400
Mississippi	Aug. 11, 1856			
Wisconsin	June 3, 1856	560	2,150,400	1,622,400
Michigan	June 3, 1856	1,075	4,128,000	3,096,000
Total		5,610	21,512,400	13,755,550

Since the last annual report, the grant to Arkansas and Missouri, for the Cairo and Fulton railroad and branches, under the act of

February 9, 1853, has been nearly closed, and the lands remaining to the general government have been restored, by a public proclamation, by sale and entry.

The quantity of land inuring to the States named in virtue of this grant is as follows, viz :

	Area of grant.	Net selections.
	<i>Acres.</i>	<i>Acres.</i>
Missouri, for her part of the Cairo and Fulton.....	219,262	54,066
Arkansas, for her part of the Cairo and Fulton.....	1,160,067	764,772
Arkansas, Memphis branch.....	397,347	150,000
Arkansas, Fort Smith branch.....	550,525	550,525
Total.....	2,327,201	1,519,363

From this it will be seen that in consequence of previous sales, swamp selections, and other disposals, the quantity inuring to the States falls short of the area of the grant, as follows :

	Acres.	Acres.
Missouri.....		165,196
Arkansas, Cairo and Fulton.....	395,395	
Arkansas, Memphis branch.....	247,347	
		642,642
Making a total of acres less than the quantity within the limits claimed.....		807,838

In reference to the adjustment of the Fox and Wisconsin river grant in Wisconsin, under the act of August 8, 1854, and the several supplementary acts, it appears from the returns to this office, that there only remain 9,171 acres yet to be selected, under our special official certificate of April 26, 1855, referred to and explained in last annual report. This residuum, it is expected, will be selected before the close of the approaching winter, and in that event the selections will be complete. As regards the 60,832 acres of old selections, on account of this grant, awaiting the confirmation of Congress, they are still withheld from sale at the written request of the honorable chairman of the Committee on Public Lands of the House of Representatives, to await the legislation which had been expected on the matter.

The following is a statement of this grant as it now stands, viz :

Quantity granted under the several acts.....	684,269 acres.
Quantity selected and regularly approved.....	208,303
Quantity selected under the special certificate, not approved..	405,963
Quantity, old selections, awaiting confirmation by Congress...	60,832
	675,098 "
Leaves.....	9,071 acres.

to be selected, should Congress confirm by law the old selection of 60,832 acres, which is of lands *not offered*. Otherwise, that amount

must be added to the last mentioned quantity to be selected under existing laws from any vacant lands in the State *subject to private entry*.

The surveys in the State of Iowa have now progressed sufficiently for closing the adjustment, within the limits of that State, of the Des Moines river grant, under the act of August 8, 1846, but no further action can be taken until the compromise proposition for the final settlement of the grant, recently proposed in the opinion of the Attorney General and adopted by the department, shall be either accepted or rejected by the proper authorities. In the mean time the lands in dispute, *above the Raccoon Fork*, appear to be intersected by three of the Iowa railroad grants, made by the act of 15th May last, thus creating a third party to the already complicated condition of this business.

The following will show the amount selected and approved of lands situated in the State of Iowa for this grant up to date :

Situated below the Raccoon Fork.

Approved July 13, 1848, by Secretary R. J.
Walker..... 321,028.03 acres.

Situated above the Raccoon Fork.

Approved October 30, 1851, by Secretary A. H.
H. Stewart :

List No. 1.....	107,195.78
“ “ 2.....	25,487.87
“ “ 3.....	81,707.93

214,391.58

Approved Dec. 17, 1853,
by Sec. R. McClelland, 33,142.43

Approved Dec. 30, 1853,
by Sec. R. McClelland, 12,813.51

45,955.94

260,347.52

Making aggregate approved..... 581,375.55

The lands granted to the State of Wisconsin by the act of June 18, 1838, to aid in the construction of a canal, to connect the waters of Lake Michigan with those of Rock river, amount to 138,996 acres. That work not having been constructed, the lands reverted, by the terms of the act, to the United States. The State, however, had sold 125,431 acres thereof. In order to settle the matter, the lands thus sold, under the opinion of the Attorney General of the 24th July, 1852, have been computed at \$2 50 per acre, and charged to the five per cent. fund of the State; and those remaining unsold, amounting to 13,565 acres, charged to the 500,000 acre grant, made by the act of September 4, 1841. The selections under the latter act have all been since made and approved, except the comparatively small amount of

22,533 acres, in the list of selections bearing date December 6, 1855, which requires correction of a small excess. This State was, by compensation made in August last, entitled to 35,683 acres, under the provisions of the act of March 2, 1855, in lieu of her swamp lands located by individuals at the United States land offices.

The operations under the graduation act of August 4, 1854, have been laborious and embarrassing, fruitful of numerous and perplexing questions. These have been settled upon the most liberal principles consistent with the provisions of the act prescribing settlement and cultivation as a condition of the purchases. Upon entries under this law, made prior to June 1, 1856, purchasers are allowed one year from that period to make proof of actual settlement, &c. All purchasers after that period are allowed one year from the date of sale to prove up their claims. This is the extent of executive discretion in the premises; and it is extremely desirable, in order that the matter may be finally put to rest, that Congress shall either affirm by law the action of the department, which has assimilated this class of cases, in regard to the time allowed, to the period prescribed in relation to lands subject to private entry in the pre-emption act of 1841, or prescribe the terms on which further proceedings shall be had by the executive in the matter, or waive the condition of settlement and cultivation altogether. It is proper here to remark, that, as the sales under this law are necessarily mixed up in the daily progress of sales with those at the regular minimum, much delay must ensue in the regular issue of patents, unless it shall hereafter be determined to select and exclude the graduation sales, and suspend the patents for proof for the period allowed for its presentation, and proceed with the ordinary sales. In that case, the effect will be to break the series of numbers and lead to embarrassment and a departure from the regular order of issue under our system.

The accounts of receivers of public moneys and disbursing agents have generally been audited and adjusted to the end of the third quarter of the calendar year.

The act of Congress approved April 20, 1818, "changing the compensation of receivers and registers of the land offices," provides "that instead of the compensation now allowed by law to the receivers of public moneys for the lands of the United States, they shall receive an annual salary of five hundred dollars each, and a commission of one per centum of the moneys received, as a compensation for clerk hire, receiving, safe keeping and transmitting such moneys to the treasury of the United States: *Provided always*, That the whole amount which any receiver of public moneys shall receive under the provision of the act shall not exceed, for any one year, the sum of three thousand dollars."

Second.—"That instead of the compensation now allowed by law to the registers of the land offices, they shall receive an annual salary of five hundred dollars each, and a commission of one per cent. on all the moneys expressed in the receipts by them filed and entered, and of which they shall have transmitted an account to the Secretary of the Treasury: *Provided always*, That the whole amount which any register of the land office shall receive under the provisions of this act

shall not exceed, for any one year, the sum of three thousand dollars."

The rate which was adopted by the treasury for the computation of the commission under this act was rejected by the Supreme Court in the case of *United States vs. Dickson*, 15 Peters, 141 *et seq.*, and decided to be contrary to the intention of the act.

The court decided that "the commission is a compensation attached to the particular officer for his yearly service, and not to the office itself for a fiscal year." And in conformity with the decision of the court in the case referred to, the accountants were instructed to calculate the commissions of receivers of public moneys in the following manner:

First.—If, under a temporary appointment, made by the President during the recess of the Senate, sums received by a receiver of public moneys shall have amounted to \$250,000, and shall have been duly accounted for at the treasury, then such receiver will be entitled to his maximum of commissions for said temporary service which ends with the day preceding the date of his commission under the Senate's confirmation. Thus, for example:

A B was appointed by the President receiver of public money on November 1, 1855, and gave his bond and took his oath and accepted for the books and papers on the 1st December, 1855, and was subsequently appointed by the President and confirmed by the Senate for the term of four years from and after the 1st January, 1856; now, if the moneys received prior to 1st January, 1856, amounted to \$250,000 and were duly accounted for, such receiver was entitled to one month's salary, and to his maximum of commissions of \$2,500, although he had served but for one month.

Second.—If, under his confirmed appointment, dated January 1, 1856, the moneys received in the year ending December 31, 1856, shall amount to \$250,000, the receiver will be entitled to his maximum when such sum shall be accounted for at the treasury.

Under this rule, should the receiver's office become vacant, and a new receiver be appointed each quarter, each receiver would be entitled to the maximum commission of \$2,500, provided the sales of land, during the incumbency of each, should amount to \$250,000, amounting in the aggregate to the sum of \$10,000 for the year, which seems to me to be contrary to the intention of the act. The wording of the act may justify the construction given to it by the court; but, believing the legislature never intended that the United States should, in any one calendar year, pay more than one per cent. upon all moneys received during that year, and that the commission for any one year, to whomsoever paid, should exceed, in the whole, the sum of \$2,500, it is deemed proper to invite attention to the subject.

Should my views respecting this matter meet your concurrence, further legislative action will be necessary to give the proper construction to the act of 20th April, 1818, so as to restrict the commission of the registers and receivers to a quarterly *pro rata* allowance of the maximum of \$2,500 per annum, their compensation, both for salary and commissions, to commence and be calculated from the time they enter on the discharge of their duties.

It would also recommend that the 2d section of the act of 22d March, 1852, (see Stats. at Large, page 4,) be so amended as to require that, before a military warrant shall be located, the holder of said warrant shall pay the location fee to the receiver of public moneys, and that he shall be held accountable for the same, as in case of receipts of the ordinary proceeds of the public lands.

For the details of the surveying operations reference is made to the accompanying annual reports of the surveyors general, of which the following synopsis is submitted :

MICHIGAN.—The surveys of new lands in this State, with the exception of a few islands in the rivers and lakes, have been completed. The surveys already cover one-fourth part of the lower, and one-twentieth part of the upper peninsula.

It has been determined to complete the resurveys of about 75 townships, the greater portion of which are embraced in outstanding contracts, to complete the archives, and then close the office. For the attainment of this object measures are contemplated, so as to be able to turn over the archives to the authorities of Michigan under the act of 12th June, 1840, by the 30th September, 1857. No new appropriations are asked, except for salaries and incidental expenses, which are submitted for the entire fiscal year, so as to cover contingencies that may arise.

ILLINOIS.—The surveys in this State have likewise been completed, except some small islands in the Mississippi, Rock, and Fox rivers, which are now being surveyed and returned on individual applications to the surveyor general, at the expense of the parties applying. The surveys of a few townships have been retraced to renew the old lines, and all the surveying operations in the State are so nearly closed that it is expected the archives will be in a condition to be transferred to the State by the 30th June next.

MISSOURI.—The surveys of new lands yet to be effected are reduced to some small tracts in the rivers, a few islands, and about ten full townships between the St. Francis and Mississippi rivers, in the southeastern part of the State, with some town and village surveys, on which description of work the surveying force has been engaged the past year. From recent examinations it is expected that the business of this surveying department will be so far advanced as to place the archives in readiness for transfer within the period of two years.

WISCONSIN.—The surveys have progressed rapidly north of the fourth correction line, and west of the meridian, bordering on the south shore of Lake Superior, and embracing desirable lands for settlers. The surveys between the meridian and the Michigan line, north of the third correction line, have been referred. These surveys are proposed, at a convenient period, with a view to the protection of the timber, constituting their principal value, and also in reference to recent railroad grants.

IOWA.—From the rapid progress of the surveys last year in this State, the field of operations has been narrowed down to a small district in the northwest angle, between the northern boundary and township 96 north, extending from the Big Sioux river to the Des

Moines. It is expected that all the surveys will be completed by the close of the fiscal year ending 30th June, 1858.

MINNESOTA.—The surveys in this Territory west of the Mississippi river, and bordering on the Minnesota and its tributaries, have kept pace with the rapid settlement of this region and the great demand for farms.

Under the law of the late session of Congress, creating two new land districts in the north part of the Territory, and providing an appropriation of \$40,000 for new surveys, instructions were promptly despatched for surveys on the northwest shore of Lake Superior and on the St. Louis river; also for the extension of the surveys west of the Mississippi to the valley of the Crow-wing river, and further westward to the Red river of the north. Subdivisional surveys were also ordered to meet the demands of the numerous settlers. The extensions of certain connecting lines have also been ordered for tribal and other Indian reserves, under the Chippewa treaties of 30th September, 1854, and 22d February, 1855, lying beyond the main body of the contemplated surveys. Partial returns of meridian and township lines have already reached this office, and as early in the coming spring as practicable it is proposed to resume operations and press the work forward to completion.

ARKANSAS.—The surveys of new lands for market having been completed, the work yet to be done is confined to the correction of some surveys alleged to be erroneous and fraudulent, which require to be retraced under existing appropriations, and then the surveys of this State will be finished. By a proper restriction of these operations to such resurveys only as the United States are bound in good faith to have made, for which the proper steps have been taken, this office will probably soon be added to the list of closed offices, and its archives turned over to the State authorities.

LOUISIANA.—Surveying operations in this State are nearly complete, those remaining being some corrections of old surveys, the location of private claims, and surveys of beds of lakes which have become dry and belong to the United States.

Shallow lakes or ponds, it is held, do not pass to the State under the swamp grants. It is designed to complete these surveys as rapidly as possible and to abolish the office; and this will make the fourth out of the twelve now in operation, which it is expected may be closed within the next two years, and the treasury thus relieved from the present charges incident to their existence.

FLORIDA.—The Indian disturbances have proved a serious impediment to the surveys, having occasioned the flight of eight deputies from the field, near "Lake O-kee-cho-bee," in January last, with loss of their property. Since then, the surveyors have not been able to resume operations. Considerable progress, however, has been made in the office-work, by the systematic arrangement and protraction of the private land claims, thus advancing the business with a view to the transfer of the surveying archives to the State authorities at no distant day.

The survey of the Keys off the coast of Florida is under the immediate superintendence of the Coast Survey office

Some of the Keys lying south of the extreme peninsula of Florida, and northeast of Key West, have been surveyed during the past season, and plats indicating them by latitude and longitude, unconnected with the surveys of public lands on the main land, have been returned to this office. From the returns, it appears the area of the surveyed Keys is about 16,000 acres, covered to some extent by shallow water. The operations of the triangulations present a surveyed area of 36 square miles, within 263 miles of shore line.

For more detailed description of the Keys, reference is made to copies of the reports herewith from sub-assistants of the United States Coast Survey, addressed to the Superintendent of the Coast Survey, and by him transmitted to this office.

In the well assured expectation of the future operations of the coast survey, I have submitted the usual estimate for continuing the survey of the Florida Keys, for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1858.

NEW MEXICO TERRITORY.—The surveys have been confined to 674 miles of meridian base and correction lines, 265 miles of township and subdivision lines, notwithstanding the Indian hostilities and the difficulty of procuring supplies in a sparsely settled country.

Since the last annual report, there have been filed with the surveyor general sixteen private land claims; three of them have been examined by him, the remaining thirteen, with fifteen other claims previously filed, are awaiting further testimony. The examination of such titles has been delayed by the apathy of claimants, and their neglect to present the necessary testimony. There have been filed, in fourteen of the *pueblo* claims, which, having been examined, will be submitted for the action of Congress. Only thirty-two donation claims have been filed since the opening of the office.

The selection from the archives of the Spanish and Mexican governments, which were turned over to the surveyor general's office by the governor of New Mexico, resulted in the collection of 1,014 grants and documents relating to land titles, of which 197 are private grants. These have all been classified, alphabetically, arranged, and constitute permanent official records.

From the advices received at this office from the surveyor general of New Mexico and other sources, it is evident individuals claiming lands under former governments before the treaty of Guadalupe Hidalgo of 1848, are very averse to respond to the call made on them by the surveyor general's notice of January 18, 1855, to produce the evidences of their claims to his office at Santa Fé; some from fear of losing the evidence of their titles, inspired, it is supposed, by designing individuals.

In many instances, the *pueblo* Indians have been deterred from filing their title-papers with the surveyor general, in the apprehension they would never again get possession of them.

Others, conscious of an indisputable possessory right of landed estates, feel perfect security on the subject, and do not care to exhibit, much less file, their title-papers, for the purpose of enabling the surveyor general to report upon the claims to Congress for confirmation under the act of July 22, 1854. This tardiness in complying with the requirement under the law delays the action on the part of

the surveyor general, and on the part of Congress, and of course will cause corresponding delay in the survey of claims, and restrict the field of operations in New Mexico. It interferes also with donations to claimants under the law of July 22, 1854.

To obviate the difficulty, it is suggested that a period of time be prescribed by law within which claimants shall file their claims, and that a summary system for their final settlement, on principles of justice, be provided by Congress. There existing no authority of law for the survey of private claims, nor of the pueblos in New Mexico, until the same shall have been acted upon by the surveyor general, reported to Congress and finally confirmed, all the executive can do, under present circumstances, is to exclude them from sectional subdivisions, in the gradual extension of the lines of the public surveys.

KANSAS.—The returns of the surveys of public lands, Indian trust lands, and permanent Indian reservations, show that they have been prosecuted with great despatch, considering the severity of the last winter, which forced the deputy surveyors to abandon field operations. Besides this, the disturbances in the country, and the intricacy of surveys under Indian treaty stipulations, which had devolved upon the surveyor general, tended to retard the progress of the business. These accumulated causes deferred the preparation of the Iowa, Delaware, and other Indian trust lands for market as early as had been contemplated; and consequently no public lands, although surveyed to the extent of hundreds of thousands of acres, and plats of the same are prepared, could be brought into market. The eastern portion of the Delaware trust lands, of about ten townships, were, however, proclaimed for sale, to take place on the 17th of the present month.

NEBRASKA.—The surveys of the public lands in this Territory have not progressed as rapidly as in Kansas, owing mainly to the desire to expedite the survey of the *Indian trust lands* in Kansas, on which the deputies have been chiefly employed; leaving but few to carry on operations in Nebraska. This cause, added to the necessity of re-surveys to correct some erroneous returns, has retarded the progress of the field work during the past season.

UTAH TERRITORY.—The surveying operations from the 27th July, 1855, the date of the arrival of the surveyor general at Salt Lake city, the place of his official residence, consisted in a preliminary reconnaissance of the country in the neighborhood of the Salt Lake, and in the establishment of the initial point at the corner of the Temple block in the Salt Lake city, by erecting a suitable monument at the point of the intersection of the principal meridian with the base line, from which the base line was extended due east four miles, and due west thirty-six miles. The principal meridian was extended due north from the initial point, on line and by offsets, eighty-four miles, and south seventy-two miles. The meridian and the base line pass through Salt Lake valley, Cache, Malade, Blue Spring, and Hand Spring valleys to the north of the base; through Tuilla, and part of Bush valleys on the west, and through Jordan, Utah, Cedar, Tintic, and a portion of Youab valleys on the south.

Contracts have been entered into for surveying these valleys, with the exception of the desert lying west of the Salt Lake. The surveys

are to be made into townships, with authority for subdividing them into sections. The field work under the contracts has been reported to this office as returned to the surveyor general's office, and the office work as completed and ready for transmission to Washington. The extent of the field operations embraces between one hundred and thirty and one hundred and forty townships, including fractional townships.

A partial examination of the country has been made beyond the localities enumerated by the surveyor general, who recommends the extension of the base and meridian lines hereto, and suggests the propriety of surveying the valuable timber lands found in the cañons of the mountains in Utah.

The surveyor general reports that the Salt Lake city is far greater in extent than the corporate authorities are entitled to under the town site act of 23d May, 1844. He represents that the city occupies several square miles, and suggests the propriety of legal enactment to enable him to close the lines of the public surveys upon such limits of the city as it may be proper to recognize. It is further stated that the public domain in the city, and out of the limits thereof, settled by Mormons, is being conveyed by the members of the church of Latter Day Saints, in consideration of their good-will towards the church, to Brigham Young, trustee of the same, he requiring them to defend the property thus conveyed against any one.

This proceeding in the Territory is incompatible with our system, and suggests the propriety of congressional interposition. As the town site act of 1844 limits the grant to 320 acres, it might be proper to consider the peculiar condition of the capital of Utah, and pass such legislative enactment as would award to the city a sufficient number of the legal subdivisions to embrace *its present actual improvements*, such legal subdivisions contiguous to those improvements to be, of course, laid open for disposal under the general land laws applicable to the same.

CALIFORNIA.—The progress of the surveying operations has been confined to the valleys of Upper Sacramento and Shasta rivers, and to the southeastern portion of the State bordering on the Colorado river; also to lands in the vicinity of the Tejon Indian reserve, and along the valleys of Mono and Tahichopa lakes, situated on the eastern slope of the Sierra Nevada mountains. Since the surveyor general's last annual report, he has entered into twenty-eight different contracts for the survey of the public lands; and the returns of surveys to his office, which have been examined and approved, include the following work:

Surveys of base and meridian lines.....	180 miles.
Standard parallels.....	530
Traverse and meander.....	364
Township lines.....	4,251
Section lines.....	20,198
Ranch surveys.....	65

Making an aggregate of miles..... 25,588

Including a surveyed area of nearly eight million acres.

The surveys in California, notwithstanding their rapid progress, have been subjected to strict scrutiny in the field by commission examining deputies, whose duty it is to repair to the field, and test the accuracy of work executed by the deputies under contracts. These measures have led to the discovery of several contracts in the execution of which errors had occurred, and the parties have been required to correct their work, or be subjected to the expense of its correction through other deputies. The salutary provision made in the act of 3d March, 1853, authorizing the examination of surveys in the field, has been the means of securing accuracy in surveying operations. The amount of the office work performed in the surveying department at San Francisco during the year has been considerable, and co-extensive with the multifarious requirements of the office. Among many miscellaneous duties, incident to the custody of the old Spanish and Mexican archives, and the documents of the late board of land commissioners, the following work has been performed: The original field notes of surveys which had been returned to the surveyor general's office during the year have been examined and their accuracy tested by protraction; maps of the same have been made in triplicate, amounting to 1,261 plats; transcripts of the field notes and descriptive notes of townships, and a general map of the State, have been prepared, exhibiting the progress of the surveys. Since the commencement of field operations in California to the present time, 61,416 miles of surveying have been accomplished, equivalent to the area of about twenty millions of surveyed acres. This accumulation of the public lands, at heavy governmental expenditure, suggests the propriety of offering portions of them for sale, to satisfy the public expectation, as soon as a proper separation can be made of the agricultural from the reserved mineral lands. Referring to the remarks in connexion with the subject presented in the last annual report, it is submitted whether, in further legislation in the premises, it would not be proper to declare by an express enactment that, in the event of a sale, or location by the local officers, either at public auction or otherwise, of lands *as agricultural*, which may hereafter be ascertained to be strictly *auriferous*, and as such reserved under existing laws, any such sale or patent granted in pursuance thereof shall be held to convey only the portion of such sale as may embrace agricultural lands.

OREGON.—The surveys are nearly completed of the public lands and donation claims *between the Cascade mountains and the ocean*, as authorized by the law creating the surveying district of Oregon, notwithstanding the difficulties occasioned by the recent war with the Indians. The surveyor general renews the suggestion in his predecessor's last annual report for the extension by law of this surveying district *east of the Cascades*. The explorations of the country have satisfied him that it is not practicable to continue the base line and the correction parallels over that range of mountains; and being aware of the existence of rich and inviting lands for settlement in the transmontane portions of the Territory, especially near the borders of the Columbia and its tributaries, such as Des Chutes, John Day's, and the Umatilla rivers, he recommends that separate base and meridian lines be established for that region. With this view, I have sub-

mitted the necessary estimates for the fiscal year ending 30th June, 1858.

WASHINGTON TERRITORY.—The disastrous results of the recent Indian war, which continued for several months, have prevented surveying operations to the extent anticipated, and has paralyzed, to a great extent, operations in this surveying department. But four contracts have been made for surveys since the last annual report, only one of which has been completed, and that was for running township lines on Whitby's island. Under former contracts, returns have been made to the extent of twenty-four miles of the Willamette meridian, by offset, situated between the Admiralty inlet and the Cascade mountains. The extension of 36 miles of the 3d standard parallel west to Gray's Harbor, and 30 miles of the 7th standard parallel, passing through the vast expanse of the Admiralty inlet and Whitby's island, have been executed. Besides, there have been returned to the surveyor general's office 19 townships of subdivisional survey, and 6 of exterior lines. The surveyor general reports that the donation law in Washington Territory requires the survey of extensive tracts of the country, to define and connect those claims with the public lands.

Geological reconnaissance in Oregon and Washington Territories.

Under the appropriation of March 3, 1855, "for expenses incurred by Dr. John Evans in geological explorations in Oregon, Washington, &c., and for the completion of those explorations in Washington Territory and on Coose Bay," the sum of \$13,000 25 was placed with the geologist on the 20th March of that year, with instructions to prosecute his examinations and reconnaissance of the coal regions in Washington Territory, to show the value and extent of the deposits, and to complete and make final report of the same. It was particularly impressed upon him not to exceed the appropriation of \$13,000 25 made for that purpose. On the 18th day of August, 1855, this office had occasion to repeat the instructions as to the line of duty of the geologist under the aforesaid appropriation.

A report, bearing date June 12, 1856, has recently reached this office from the geologist, showing an admitted unexpended balance in his hands of about \$2,000 of this appropriation, but representing additional liabilities incurred, which will absorb the apparent unexpended balance and exceed the appropriation by \$5,000. For this last amount, an additional appropriation is intimated to be necessary, with reference to any other expenditures that may be needed in case Congress shall see fit to publish his report, which is stated to be in the course of preparation, and expected to be completed on his return to this city.

The advices we have received concerning coal and its analysis on Bingham bay, in Washington Territory, represent that it will produce coke in large quantities, and is better for manufacturing purposes than that on Dwamish river, or on Nanaimo river on Vancouver's island; that the thickness of the deposit is from a few inches to twenty feet, and there is an abundant supply. It is also reported that considerable progress has been made in the preparation of illus-

trations appertaining to the proposed geological report, but it would be impossible to perfect the manuscript until the analysis is completed; and as the appropriation is almost exhausted, the geologist has properly hesitated to incur further liability unless Congress shall authorize this office to publish the report. Inasmuch as the last appropriation was proposed for the *completion* of the geological researches, and was intended to cover the expense of the report in manuscript, we do not feel justified in recommending any further appropriations until a final return shall have been made of the work contemplated by the aforesaid appropriation.

Indian Reservations.

By the act of Congress approved 17th July, 1854, authority is conferred upon the President of the United States "to cause to be surveyed the tract of land in the Territory of Minnesota belonging to the half-breeds, or mixed bloods, of the Dakota or Sioux nation of Indians," and, upon the execution by those Indians of a proper relinquishment, in form to be prescribed by the Commissioner of the General Land Office, scrip is required to be issued for the amount "to which each individual would be entitled, in case of a division of the said grant or reservation, *PRO RATA* among the claimants," the scrip "to be located upon any of the lands within said reservation not now occupied by actual and *bona fide* settlers of the half-breeds, or mixed bloods, or such other persons as have gone into said Territory by authority of law; or upon any other unoccupied lands subject to pre-emption or private sale, or upon any other unsurveyed lands, not reserved by government, upon which they have respectively made improvements," with a limitation in the scrip not to exceed to each 640 acres, nor less than 40 acres.

The survey of this Indian reservation, on Lake Pepin, has been executed; the area ascertained to be 320,819.48 acres, and this office has furnished the form of *RELINQUISHMENT*, in sufficient number of printed copies, as contemplated by the act, and, also, the form of *SCRIP*; and, upon the execution of the relinquishment, and the receipt of your instructions touching certain questions which have arisen and been submitted, in connexion with the preceding, this office will be prepared promptly to co-operate in fully carrying out the provisions of the aforesaid act.

Under several recent and numerous Indian treaties, ratified in years past, individual reservations of various classes are constantly coming up for our action; and, as rapidly as the same are matured, this office is prepared to issue the requisite grants.

The new land offices for Kansas and Nebraska Territories have been located; for the former at Leocompton, and the latter at Omaha City—the respective seats of government of those Territories.

Under the operation of the act of 12th June, 1840, the following old land offices have been closed and the districts consolidated to wit:

The office at Iowa City has been discontinued and annexed to Fort Des Moines, Iowa.

That at Danville has been consolidated at Springfield, Illinois.

For the public convenience, the following changes in the location of the land offices have been made: Dacorrah, removed to Osage, Iowa; Lebanon, removed to Centre, Alabama; Cahaba, removed to Greenville, Alabama; Brownsville, removed to Chatfield, Minnesota Territory, and orders have been given for the temporary removal of the land office at Winona on 1st January, 1857, to Faribault. The **W**amazoo office, Michigan, was closed, but has since been reopened, pursuant to the act of Congress for that purpose, approved 5th April last.

The necessary steps have been taken for carrying into effect the act of 8th July, 1856, creating the "northeastern" and "northwestern" land districts in Minnesota Territory. The office for the former has been located at Buchanan, on Lake Superior, and the latter at Ojibway, on the Mississippi river, and registers and receivers have been appointed. Instructions, immediately after the passage of the law, were communicated to the surveyor general at Dubuque, for the new **S**urveys, under the appropriation of \$40,000 provided by the said act of 8th July, 1856, as hereinbefore mentioned, so as to expedite the **S**urveys as much as practicable before the setting in of winter, with orders to resume operations at the earliest moment of the spring.

The attention of this office has been drawn to the subject of silver mines in the Territory of New Mexico, by representations that a mine has been discovered and worked, subsequent to the treaty of 1848 of Guadalupe Hidalgo, and application for information has been made as to the protection that would be extended to a party by whom, in such a case, all the laws of old Mexico had been strictly complied with in regard to the matter.

This office, in reply, has held that the executive department could not recognize any right or claim in such mine, on the part of any private individual, nor take any action respecting the alleged discovery without the authority and direction of Congress. Preparatory to such legislation, an examination to develop the facts as to the existence and extent of such, and other valuable mineral deposits in the Territory, seems to be proper, and it is recommended that the authority of law be conferred for that purpose.

On examination it is found that the existing laws relating to the public lands in Oregon and Washington Territories authorize donations and sales by pre-emption, but give no power to the Executive to order **P**ublic sales east of the Cascade mountains in those Territories. The **S**urveys are progressing rapidly, and already large bodies of the public lands are prepared for market, and an earnest desire has been manifested that the same may be exposed to sale, especially on the **W**mette river.

It is recommended, in view of the interests of the Territories and those seeking homes in that region, that the necessary power be conferred by Congress for public sales east, as the law now authorizes sales west of these mountains.

By a provision in the appropriation act of 18th August, 1856, the "reserved lands," no longer needed for military purposes in Florida, are, with the assent of the Secretary of War, to be sold as other public

lands. There being a large number of tracts similar in character in other land States, it is recommended that this provision be made general.

The recommendation in the last annual report is repeated, that the pre-emption act of 1841 be amended so as to authorize, in the future, settlements *generally* upon *unsurveyed* lands, as the privilege under existing legislation extends only to California, Oregon, Washington, Minnesota, Kansas, Nebraska, and New Mexico; and that whenever, upon return of survey, two or more persons are found residing upon the same quarter-section, the entry be made by them jointly, with an allowance in adjacent legal subdivisions of unoccupied lands, not to exceed 160 acres to each permanent settler.

The recommendation also is renewed to amend the act of 3d March, 1853, so as to render United States reserved sections along the lines of railroads liable to pre-emption, as well when the settlement was made *after* as before the final allotment—that is, at any time before the public sale.

Special attention is also invited to the condition of the settlers in Kansas and Nebraska.

The twelfth section of the act of 22d July, 1854, chapter 103, applies the provisions of the act of 4th September, 1841, to all the public lands in these Territories, *whether surveyed or not*; and the act of 1841, which restricts settlements to *surveyed* lands, makes provision only for its *first* settler on the quarter-section.

As settlements under the law of 1854 are authorized *before* the surveys, and as parties so settling are then necessarily in ignorance where the lines will run, it is proper to protect, by further legislation, such settlers as may have gone, or may hereafter go, upon these lands, so that when two or more settlements are made, and persons are found upon the same quarter after the survey shall have been made, the first settler may not have it in his power, as he might do under existing legislation, to appropriate the labor and improvements of another and more recent settler, which had been made in good faith.

In Minnesota, where the act of 1841 has been extended to *unsurveyed* lands, the act of 4th August, 1854, chapter 249, intervenes, and declares that where two or more have settled upon the same quarter before the survey, "each is permitted to enter his improvement as near as may be, by legal subdivisions."

Sections sixteen and thirty-six being reserved for school purposes in Kansas and Nebraska, Oregon, Washington, and New Mexico, and also in Minnesota, where a settler may have gone upon these sections *before* the surveys were made, and the numerical designation given with a view of acquiring a homestead, justice requires that other lands, as a substitute, should be allotted to the schools, and that the actual settler should be allowed to purchase ordinary school sections under such circumstances, if he brings himself in all respects within the requirements of the laws of 1841 and 1854.

Legislation making provision to this effect will be found in the California act of 3d March, 1853, chapter 145.

Important questions having arisen under applications for the benefit conferred by the municipal pre-emption law of May 23, 1844, the

matter was referred by the head of the department to the Attorney General, who has rendered the opinion, that the occupation of a town site is sufficiently indicated, either by an actual survey, upon the ground, of the town, into streets, alleys, and blocks, or the publication of the plat of the same, evidencing the connexion with the public surveys, so as to give notice to others of the extent of the town site, all within the limit of 320 acres, and that such survey and publication of a plat is a sufficient evidence and indication that the lands have been selected as a municipal site, and, therefore, not subject to rural pre-emption under the law of 1841; and further, that the settlement and occupation of any one of the subdivisions of the 320 acres maximum, as a town site, is sufficient to entitle the occupants to an entry of the whole under the aforesaid act of 1844.

The question having been raised, whether either of the district land officers could, while in commission, avail himself of the pre-emption privilege, this office had no difficulty in determining that, in its opinion, they stood *disabled*, in this respect, under the general principles of law; and on the question being submitted to the Attorney General, he concurred, holding that, "although not expressly forbidden by statute, still it appears inadmissible by the general principles of law, and of statutory construction." Positive *legislation* is recommended in connexion with this principle, forbidding under penalty registers and receivers, and any other officer connected with the land system, from purchasing, in any way, directly or indirectly, any of the public lands; such being the law in regard to the employés of the General Land Office.

Spoliation of Timber.

In connexion with the sale and superintendence of the public lands, important interests in the timber growing thereon in the States of Michigan and Wisconsin, and Minnesota Territory, have engaged the attention of this office, in consequence of complaints made by settlers and others of its spoliation. To meet the exigency, printed instructions were issued to the local land officers, directing them, among their other duties, to take charge, as a special duty, of the preservation of the public timber on lands within their respective districts; and with a view to indemnity to the government and punishment of offenders, to seize the timber cut, and report the names of trespassers, for such action as the public interest may require. It is gratifying to report that this prompt, economical, and direct method of suppressing the growing evil, has been in a great measure successful, and depredations, hitherto committed to a deplorable extent, have almost entirely ceased.

The usual statistical tables of lands sold, granted, and otherwise disposed of, described in the annexed synopsis and schedule, are respectfully submitted, as showing the details of operations mentioned in the foregoing.

With great respect, your obedient servant,

THOMAS A. HENDRICKS,

Commissioner.

Hon. ROBERT McCLELLAND,

Secretary of the Interior.

Abstract of annual report of the Commissioner of the General Land Office.

GENERAL LAND OFFICE, *November 29, 1856.*

SIR: Pursuant to the resolution of the Senate of the 28th February, 1855, the following is submitted as an "abstract or compendium" of the annual report from this office, bearing date the 29th of November, 1856. This report treats of the following subjects, and is accompanied by the usual statistical tables of the lands surveyed, exposed for market, sold, granted, and otherwise disposed of, to wit:

1. The quantity of lands ready for market and not advertised—surveys returned—aggregate annual statement of sales and lands disposed of.
2. Bounty land warrants—acts of 1847, '50, '52, and '55—annual statements, with views respecting Virginia military claims.
3. Swamp land grant—statements and recommendation of further legislation.
4. Statements relating to Cairo and Fulton railroad and branches; and to grants for railroads, under laws of the last session of Congress; also in relation to large withdrawal of tracts along routes of roads; preliminary action had by General Land Office under said laws to expedite the adjustment, and for restoring the lands to market at an early day.
5. Grants in Wisconsin—Fox and Wisconsin river grant—Des Moines river grant in Iowa.
6. Reference to decisions under graduation act; adjustment of accounts of registers and receivers; legislation suggested touching the measure of compensation to land officers.
7. Progress of survey of Indian trust lands in Kansas, and synoptical views of the operations of the surveyors general.
8. Indians—half-breed Sioux of Lake Pepin—relinquishments, scrip, &c.; reference to individual reservations under treaties.
9. New land districts created in Minnesota; change of location and consolidation of old offices.
10. Reference to operation of pre-emption laws—further legislation recommended—recommendation renewed for extension of act of 3d March, 1853.
11. Spoliation of timber on public lands in Minnesota, Michigan, and Wisconsin.

With great respect, your obedient servant,

THOS. A. HENDRICKS,

Commissioner

Hon. ROBERT McCLELLAND,
Secretary of the Interior.

SCHEDULE OF ACCOMPANYING DOCUMENTS.

- A. Statement of public lands sold, &c., in the first half of the fiscal year ending June 30, 1856.
- B. Similar statement for second half of said fiscal year.
- C. Land in Louisiana, selected under acts of March 2, 1849, and September 28, 1850.
- D. Estimate of appropriations for office of Commissioner of General Land Office.
- E. Estimate of contingent appropriations for same.
- F. Estimate of appropriations for surveying department.
- G.—1. Estimate of appropriations for surveying public lands.
- G.—2. Estimate of same for supplying *deficiencies*.
- H. Reports of surveyors general and accompanying documents.
- I. Report of Coast Survey Office relative to progress of the survey of Keys and Islands on the coast of Florida.

A.—Statement of public land sold, of cash, &c., received therefor, of thereof, in the first half of the fiscal year commencing

Land offices.	Quantity sold in first half of fiscal year at and above the minimum price, \$1 25, and amount received for the same.		Quantity sold in the first half of the fiscal year at graduated prices.				
	Acres.	Amount.	At \$1 per acre.	At 75 cts. per acre.	At 50 cts. per acre.	At 25 cts. per acre.	At 12½ cts. per acre.
OHIO.							
Chillicothe.....	2,551.79	\$4,488.59					6,488.59
Defiance.....							
Total.....	2,551.79	4,488.59					6,488.59
INDIANA.							
Vincennes.....	86.53	108.16					3,900.75
Indianapolis.....	610.00	1,020.50					
Total.....	696.53	1,128.66					3,900.75
ILLINOIS.							
Shawneetown.....	4,160.92	9,159.67					76,982.85
Kaskaskia.....	6,807.37	16,927.03					5,430.00
Vandalia.....	25,641.20	65,791.42					1,949.20
Springfield.....	33,225.60	46,429.96		473.96	8,461.52	18,059.41	1,079.64
Banville.....	165,227.55	462,221.79					
Dixon.....	7,618.19	11,700.50	984.73	40.00	69.78		
Total.....	242,680.83	612,230.37	984.73	513.96	8,531.30	18,059.41	85,449.09
MISSOURI.							
St. Louis.....	9,183.92	18,905.22		3,790.83	11,531.43	11,519.97	72,049.67
Fayette.....	1,705.57	2,250.10		795.08	2,036.41	8,022.69	46,129.96
Palmyra.....	24,256.57	33,663.40	4,257.21	23,025.69	8,438.35		34,106.10
Jackson.....	8,069.03	11,193.64	80.00	3,115.80	24,928.44	9,731.67	150,178.56
Warsaw.....	44,638.90	56,906.78	28,356.05	84,588.91	308.60	1,075.62	11,263.00
Springfield.....	24,877.86	46,467.10	8,079.30	19,700.50	3,915.22		
Plattsburg.....	112,171.85	177,547.33	56,718.85	53,289.69	5,971.43	1,009.43	59,286.00
Milan.....	113,680.76	160,246.32	44,418.33	41,395.05	829.80		9,645.40
Total.....	338,584.46	507,179.89	141,909.74	229,731.55	57,959.68	31,359.38	382,638.63
ALABAMA.							
St. Stephen's.....	3,473.37	5,041.75			5,922.47		96,744.33
Cahaba.....	12,953.82	16,192.27		43.38	110,428.54	12,414.38	43,052.32
Huntsville.....	1,039.26	1,299.07				14,706.60	153,067.90
Tuscaloosa.....	440.56	550.70			78.75	5,827.69	144,000.00
Elba.....	1,223.03	1,534.31			6,583.71	89,175.90	127,076.40
Demopolis.....	80.00	100.00			2,032.33		4,669.60
Montgomery.....	1,636.35	2,045.44		963.65	28,245.24		
Lebanon.....	1,853.15	2,316.44	7,819.02	4,500.53	34,333.64		
Total.....	22,699.54	29,079.98	7,819.02	5,507.56	187,624.68	122,124.57	500,103.11
MISSISSIPPI.							
Washington.....	634.27	801.50					58,947.20
Augusta.....	10,938.48	20,379.15	12,089.21		1,850.47	80.22	31,371.50
Jackson.....	444.77	555.96		80.00	79.58	720.48	24,580.50
Grenada.....	1,163.50	1,454.38	80.34		3,496.00		
Columbus.....	13,396.90	18,196.30	10,576.94				2,376.00
Total.....	26,577.22	41,387.29	22,746.49	80.00	5,426.05	800.70	117,823.20
LOUISIANA.							
New Orleans.....	2,466.07	3,082.69					
Opelousas.....	173.50	216.87		114.50	81.44	239.50	2,054.90
Monroe.....	30,740.33	38,427.11	883.05	10,006.62	5,402.74	12,373.90	14,197.50
Greensburg.....	2,596.73	3,245.91					
Natchitoches.....	4,481.45	5,601.81	397.43	13,166.81	9,936.31		1,211.30
Total.....	40,458.08	50,574.39	1,280.48	23,287.93	15,420.49	12,613.40	17,463.00

incidental expenses thereon, and of payments into the treasury on account July 1, 1855, and ending June 30, 1856.

Quantity sold at graduated prices, and amt received for the same.		Aggregate quantity sold at all prices, and amount received for the same.		Amount received in military bounty-land scrip and cash.		Incidental expenses.	Amount paid into the treasury during the first half of the fiscal year.
Acres.	Amount.	Acres.	Amount.	Mil. bounty-land scrip.	Cash.		
6,482.67	\$810 56	9,035.46	\$5,299 15	\$61 66	\$5,237 49	\$945 35 152 47	\$4,638 89 3,068 74
6,482.67	810 56	9,035.46	5,299 15	61 66	5,237 49	1,097 82	7,707 63
3,200.72	400 11	3,287.25	508 27	508 27	452 56	1,649 45
.....	610.00	1,020 56	1,020 50	979 17	1,209 94
3,200.72	400 11	3,287.25	1,528 77	1,528 77	1,431 73	2,859 39
74,982.87	9,626 71	81,143.79	18,786 38	18,786 38	3,003 37	13,335 30
4,488.04	678 92	12,238.01	17,605 95	17,605 95	957 27	16,155 77
1,949.86	244 91	27,590.56	66,036 33	33 33	66,003 00	1,828 92	52,947 78
5,774.83	9,236 11	61,300.13	55,666 07	431 41	55,234 66	2,430 20	45,643 95
.....	165,227.55	462,221 79	9,482 97	452,738 82	2,884 55	365,288 63
1,049.51	1,049 62	8,712.70	12,750 12	12,750 12	588 37	14,998 67
113,601.91	20,836 27	356,212.74	633,066 64	9,947 71	623,118 93	11,692 68	508,370 10
26,901.90	20,535 53	108,075.82	39,440 75	39,440 75	6,347 74	39,670 00
30,846.14	9,462 68	58,689.71	11,712 78	11,712 78	2,036 18	11,117 00
60,857.30	30,031 53	84,113.93	63,694 93	63,694 93	3,226 71	71,800 57
128,004.47	36,086 30	196,103.50	47,280 44	47,280 44	3,780 00	42,543 41
121,000.00	93,629 66	170,230.49	150,536 44	300 00	150,236 44	5,139 58	151,247 13
11,000.00	24,812 44	56,572.88	71,279 54	71,279 54	4,137 72	67,047 95
178,900.67	107,466 38	288,427.52	285,013 71	767 58	284,246 13	5,663 33	296,422 48
66,300.64	77,085 33	209,969.40	237,331 65	237,331 65	7,208 55	245,368 37
343,588.70	399,110 35	1,182,183.25	906,290 24	1,067 58	905,222 66	37,539 81	925,216 91
31,000.00	6,304 28	36,140.19	11,346 03	11,346 03	1,381 59	12,682 00
101,000.00	63,810 78	179,523.22	80,003 05	100 00	79,903 05	5,996 44	78,050 59
271,000.00	22,808 89	168,803.81	24,107 96	24,107 96	6,807 66	23,474 00
150,000.00	19,607 75	151,238.62	20,158 45	20,158 45	4,897 96	22,861 63
225,000.00	41,470 39	224,059.09	43,004 70	43,004 70	4,162 84	42,540 86
6,701.97	1,599 86	6,781.97	1,699 86	1,699 86	4,041 38	2,093 08
22,500.00	14,845 37	30,845.24	16,890 81	16,890 81	1,394 56	14,392 43
60,633.10	28,361 24	48,506.34	30,677 68	30,677 68	2,370 01	31,941 61
222,193.84	198,808 56	845,898.48	227,688 54	100 00	227,788 54	31,052 44	228,036 20
22,247.20	7,368 42	59,581.60	8,169 92	8,169 92	2,417 99	5,503 45
48,291.16	16,955 91	56,329.64	37,335 06	37,335 06	1,762 82	34,444 98
25,000.00	3,352 48	25,905.39	3,908 42	3,908 44	5,298 46	4,000 00
1,350.34	1,828 34	4,739.84	3,282 72	3,282 72	732 28	3,353 42
12,933.03	10,873 95	26,349.23	29,070 25	29,070 25	2,952 89	23,849 00
141,228.00	40,379 10	172,905.70	81,766 39	81,766 39	13,164 44	71,150 85
.....	2,466.07	3,082 69	3,082 69	1,385 16	4,229 29
2,000.00	443 23	2,663.88	660 20	660 20	443 22	3,736 64
42,853.81	15,957 54	73,604.14	54,384 65	54,384 65	3,098 44	48,747 57
.....	2 596.73	3,245 91	3,245 91	644 92	3,355 26
20,111.94	15,392 11	29,193.39	20,993 92	20,993 92	1,378 24	16,045 00
30,060.13	31,792 66	110,524.21	82,367 37	82,367 37	6,949 98	76,113 76

A.—Statement of public lands

Land offices.	Quantity sold in first half of fiscal year at and above the minimum price, \$1 25, and amount received for the same.		Quantity sold in the first half of the fiscal year at graduated prices.				
	Acres.	Amount.	At \$1 per acre.	At 75 cts. per acre.	At 50 cts. per acre.	At 25 cts. per acre.	At 12½ cts. per acre.
MICHIGAN.							
			<i>Acres.</i>	<i>Acres.</i>	<i>Acres.</i>	<i>Acres.</i>	<i>Acres.</i>
Detroit	2,625.27	\$3,431 61	2,230.80	28,881.49	612.00	2,251.61
Kalamazoo.....	1,107.77	1,384 71	1,964.84	2,667.06
Genesee	22,958.76	35,649 49	5,251.22	46,186.84	1,665.64
Ionia	31,860.80	39,826 00	28,648.18	23,171.24
Saut Ste. Marie	22,674.86	28,343 68
Duncan.....	29,532.74	37,452 32	576.81	7,106.95
Total.....	110,760.90	146,087 81	576.81	43,237.15	100,204.41	3,279.06	3,857.23
ARKANSAS.							
Batesville	169.09	206 36	160.74	164.66	232.24	9,043.84
Little Rock	4,004.99	5,006 24	2,423.67	840.00	4,474.55	1,877.28	17,385.48
Washington	3,167.86	3,959 85	2,537.17	5,117.85	8,193.13	6,255.21	8,441.71
Fayetteville.....	953.62	1,192 02	1,223.91	3,409.30	1,953.96
Helena	1,820 68	2,275 84	1,288.54	646.43	1,600.00	1,548.17
Clarksville.....	122.84	153 55	324.60	645.75	80.00	189.61
Champagnole	9,091.68	11,364 48	8,436.79	2,839.72	14,047.53	6,749.01
Total.....	19,330.66	24,158 34	16,695.42	13,017.28	29,627.84	16,671.12	33,419.35
FLORIDA.							
Tallahassee.....	3,672.73	4,590 90	4,973.40	65,244.88	1,829.69
St. Augustine	216.27	270 33	1,029.41
Newnansville	4,047.90	5,080 33	223.93	10,548.52	6,202.35	17,613.63
Tampa	3,198.98	3,998 80	319.73
Total	11,135.88	13,940 36	543.66	11,577.93	11,175.75	82,858.51	1,829.69
IOWA.							
Dubuque	249,372.98	311,717 92	2,153.22	8,347.36
Fairfield.....	14,454.26	18,067 82	480.00	120.00
Iowa City.....	27,921.46	34,995 26	763.68	595.96
Chariton.....	292,675.75	365,883 08
Fort Des Moines	205,361.03	268,269 81
Council Bluffs	211,628.91	264,908 90
Dacorrah.....	38,365.14	47,956 55
Fort Dodge.....	117,217.20	154,421 13
Sioux City.....	12,003.95	15,232 76
Total.....	1,169,000.68	1,481,453 23	3,396.90	9,063.32
WISCONSIN.							
Mineral Point	146,543.64	183,210 42	40,887.11	109,014.24
Menasha.....	54,899.79	69,235 84	3,527 80	7,779.10	103,231.77
Milwaukee.....	2,408.00	3,025 70	120.00	40.00
Hudson.....	112,963.31	141,268 13
Stevens' Point.....	154,779.74	193,475 14	17,769 36
La Crosse.....	257,976.28	322,476 33	8,163.36
Superior.....	6,460.62	8,075 93
Total.....	736,031.38	920,767 49	52,578.27	25,668.46	212,286.01
CALIFORNIA.							
Benicia.....
Los Angeles.....
Marysville.....
Total.....
MINNESOTA TERRITORY.							
Stillwater.....	60,753.71	75,942 14
Sauk Rapids.....	32,884.41	42,923 32
Brownsville.....	171,090.67	214,864 54
Minneapolis.....	103,946.33	142,114 08

old, of cash received, &c.—Continued.

Quantity sold at graduated prices, and amount received for the same.		Aggregate quantity sold at all prices, and amount received for the same.		Amount received in military bounty-land scrip and cash.		Incidental expenses.	Amount paid into the treasury during the first half of the fiscal year.
Acres.	Amount.	Acres.	Amount.	Mil. bounty-land scrip.	Cash.		
22,975.90	\$16,548 28	36,601.17	\$19,979 89	\$245 42	\$19,734 47	\$1,465 52	\$19,734 40
4,631.90	1,649 20	5,739.67	3,033 91	3,033 91	730 04	6,236 51
5,043.70	27,232 54	76,002.46	62,882 03	62,882 03	2,711 45	63,008 36
11,000.42	33,071 97	83,680 22	72,897 97	72,897 97	2,096 99	71,738 77
.....	22,674.86	28,343 68	28,343 68	1,400 11	19,579 00
7,683.76	5,907 02	37,216.50	43,359 34	820 17	42,539 17	2,225 85	43,443 82
151,104.68	84,409 01	261,914.88	230,496 82	1,065 59	229,431 23	10,629 96	223,740 86
9,601.54	1,538 14	9,779.63	1,744 50	1,744 50	481 68
2,000.90	7,933 53	31,005.98	12,939 77	12,939 77	1,305 33	17,625 39
13,091.25	13,091 25	33,712.93	17,051 10	17,051 10	2,495 58	17,668 68
5,057.17	5,057 86	7,840.79	6,249 88	6,249 88	766 45	5,444 64
2,205.27	2,205 27	6,903.82	4,481 11	4,481 11	925 36	4,929 44
1,900.26	896 31	1,362.80	1,049 86	1,049 86	577 45	1,674 60
24,023.95	19,277 59	41,164 63	30,642 07	30,642 07	1,814 75	28,672 47
112,400.02	49,999 95	131,761.58	74,158 29	74,158 29	7,884 92	76,496 90
72,047.37	19,026 63	75,720.70	23,617 54	23,617 54	2,403 24	23,617 54
1,029.41	772 66	1,245.68	1,042 39	1,042 39	688 85	1,158 80
31,588.42	15,639 94	38,636.33	20,720 27	20,720 27	1,275 20	18,591 84
319.73	319 73	3,518.71	4,318 53	4,318 53	1,335 33	5,049 60
112,000.54	35,758 36	119,121 42	49,698 73	49,698 73	5,702 62	48,417 78
14,000.25	8,413 74	259,873.56	320,131 66	5,730 38	314,401 28	708 80	316,000 00
.....	570 00	15,054.26	18,637 82	765 68	17,872 14	1,191 64	18,053 00
1,000.04	1,210 65	29,281.10	36,205 91	78 60	36,127 31	1,807 90	40,750 66
.....	292,675.75	365,883 08	2,974 90	362,908 18	10,774 07	420,418 69
.....	285,361.03	268,269 81	9,435 24	258,834 57	3,192 46	291,314 08
.....	211,628.91	264,908 90	10,871 37	254,037 53	6,498 45	315,604 59
.....	38,365.14	47,956 55	1,700 00	46,256 55	1,828 99	40,000 00
.....	117,217.20	154,421 13	1,645 52	152,775 61	1,208 44	45,500 00
.....	12,003.95	15,232 76	400 00	14,832 76	1,219 16	5,478 52
11,200.20	10,194 39	1,181,460.90	1,491,647 62	33,601 69	1,458,045 93	28,429 91	1,493,119 54
119,901.25	85,524 65	296,444.99	278,735 07	278,735 07	2,941 85	283,947 72
114,000.07	60,978 65	169,438.46	130,214 49	607 91	129,606 58	2,987 88	115,779 64
100.00	110 00	2,568.00	3,135 70	3,135 70	820 85	5,260 51
.....	112,963.31	141,268 12	25 00	141,243 13	3,276 11	144,565 56
17,000.30	13,326 97	172,549.10	206,802 11	521 87	206,280 24	6,202 83	216,041 29
5,000.30	8,163 36	266,139.64	330,639 69	125 00	330,514 69	2,841 83	348,831 25
.....	6,460.62	8,075 93	8,075 93	715 75	36 87
20,032.70	178,103 63	1,026,564.12	1,098,871 12	1,279 78	1,097,591 34	19,780 13	1,114,462 84
.....	6,919 02
.....	3,689 00
.....	2,539 00
.....	13,147 02
.....	60,753.71	75,942 14	100 00	75,842 14	2,475 01	79,710 71
.....	33,884.41	42,923 32	200 00	42,723 32	2,250 20	44,287 44
.....	171,090.67	214,864 54	25 00	214,839 54	5,680 99	212,756 19
.....	103,946.33	142,114 08	142,114 08	5,126 95	136,984 08

A—Statement of public lands

Land offices.	Quantity sold in first half of fiscal year at and above the minimum price, \$1 25, and amount received for the same.		Quantity sold in the first half of the fiscal year at graduated prices.				
	Acres.	Amount.	At \$1 per acre.	At 75 cts. per acre.	At 50 cts. per acre.	At 25 cts. per acre.	At 12½ cts. per acre.
MINNESOTA—Continued.							
Winona	147,630.42	\$189,411 97					
Red Wing	147,473.29	190,781 23					
Total	664,778 83	856,037 28					
OREGON TERRITORY.							
Oregon City	8,813.36	11,016 73					
Winchester							
Total	8,813.36	11,016 73					
WASHINGTON TERRITORY.							
Olympia	495.75	819 69					
KANSAS TERRITORY.							
Lecompton							
NEBRASKA TERRITORY.							
Omaha City							

RECAP

States and Territories.	Quantity sold in first half of fiscal year at and above the minimum price, \$1 25, and amount received for the same.		Quantity sold in the first half of the fiscal year at graduated prices.				
	Acres.	Amount.	At \$1 per acre.	At 75 cts. per acre.	At 50 cts. per acre.	At 25 cts. per acre.	At 12½ cts. per acre.
Ohio	2,551.79	\$4,448 59					6,483.67
Indiana	696.53	1,128 66					3,200.70
Illinois	242,680.83	612,230 37	984.73	513.96	8,531.30	18,059.41	8,442.20
Missouri	338,584.46	507,179 89	141,909.74	229,731.55	57,959.68	31,359.37	382,636.00
Alabama	22,699.54	29,079 98	7,819.02	5,507.56	187,624.68	122,124.57	500,123.00
Mississippi	26,577.22	41,387 29	22,746.49	80.00	5,426.05	800.70	117,275.00
Louisiana	40,958.08	50,574 39	1,280.48	23,287.93	15,420.49	12,613.40	17,463.00
Michigan	110,760.20	146,087 81	576.81	43,237.15	100,204.41	3,279.06	3,857.00
Arkansas	19,330.66	24,158 34	16,695.42	13,017.28	29,627.84	16,671.12	36,419.00
Florida	11,135.88	13,940 36	543.66	11,577.93	11,175.75	82,858.51	1,829.00
Iowa	1,169,000.68	1,481,453 23	3,396.90	9,063 32			
Wisconsin	736,031.38	920,767 49	52,578.27	25,668.46	212,286.01		
California							
Minnesota Territory	664,778.83	856,037 28					
Oregon Territory	8,813.36	11,016 73					
Washington Territory	495.75	819 69					
Kansas Territory							
Nebraska Territory							
Total	3,395,095.19	4,700,150 10	248,531.52	361,685.14	628,256.21	287,766.14	1,154,733.70

of cash received, &c.—Continued.

Quantity sold at graduated prices, and amt received for the same.		Aggregate quantity sold at all prices, and amount received for the same.		Am't received in military bounty-land scrip and cash.		Incidental expenses.	Amount paid into the treasury during the first half of the fiscal year.
Acres.	Amount.	Acres.	Amount.	Mil. bounty-land scrip.	Cash.		
		147,630.42	\$189,411 97	\$700 00	\$188,711 97	\$5,739 20	\$181,922 99
		147,473.29	190,781 23		190,781 23	4,951 63	193,831 71
		664,778.83	856,037 28	1,025 00	855,012 28	26,223 98	849,493 12
		8,813.36	11,016 73		11,016 73	3,019 11	4,408 01
		8,813.36	11,016 73		11,016 73	3,019 11	4,408 01
		495.75	619 69		619 69	3,580 80	

ULATION.

Quantity sold at graduated prices, and amt received for the same.		Aggregate quantity sold at all prices, and amount received for the same.		Am't received in military bounty-land scrip and cash.		Incidental expenses.	Amount paid into the treasury during the first half of the fiscal year.
Acres.	Amount.	Acres.	Amount.	Mil. bounty-land scrip.	Cash.		
6,240.00	\$810 56	9,035.46	\$5,299 15	\$61 66	\$5,237 49	\$1,097 89	\$7,707 63
2,200.00	400 11	3,897.25	1,528 77		1,528 77	1,431 73	2,859 39
102,531.00	20,836 27	356,212.74	633,066 64	9,947 71	623,118 93	11,692 68	508,370 10
83,528.00	309,110 35	1,182,183.25	906,290 24	1,067 58	905,222 66	37,539 81	925,216 91
183,118.00	198,808 56	845,898.48	227,888 54	100 00	227,788 54	31,052 44	228,036 20
16,328.00	40,79 10	172,905.70	81,766 39		81,766 39	13,164 44	71,150 85
20,865.00	31,792 98	110,524.21	82,367 37		82,367 37	6,949 98	76,113 76
131,134.00	84,409 01	261,914.88	230,496 82	1,065 59	229,431 23	10,629 96	223,740 86
107,000.00	49,999 95	131,761.58	74,158 29		74,158 29	7,824 92	76,496 90
107,000.00	35,758 36	119,121.42	49,698 73		49,698 73	5,702 62	48,417 78
12,400.00	10,194 39	1,181,460.90	1,491,647 62	33,601 69	1,458,045 93	28,429 91	1,483,119 54
28,512.00	178,103 63	1,026,564.12	1,098,871 12	1,279 78	1,097,591 34	19,780 13	1,114,462 84
		664,778.83	856,037 28	1,025 00	855,012 28	13,147 02	
		8,813.36	11,016 73		11,016 73	26,223 98	849,493 12
		495.75	619 69		619 69	3,019 11	4,408 01
						3,580 80	
1,600,372.00	1,050,603 27	6,075,567.93	5,750,752 38	48,149 01	5,702,604 37	221,327 35	5,629,593 89

B.—Statement of public lands sold, of cash, &c., received therefor, and account thereof, in the second half of the fiscal year

Land offices.	Quantity sold in second half of fiscal year at and above the minimum price, \$1 25, and amount received for the same.		Quantity sold in the second half of the fiscal year at graduated prices.				
	Acres.	Amount.	At \$1 per acre.	At 75 cts. per acre.	At 50 cts. per acre.	At 25 cts. per acre.	At 12 1/2 cts. per acre.
OHIO.							
Chillicothe.....	771.13	\$1,344 92	520.9
INDIANA.							
Vincennes.....
Indianapolis.....	8,897.08	11,429 67	240.00	1,831.91	40.00	5,049.91
Total.....	8,897.08	11,429 67	240.00	1,831 91	40 00	5,049.91
ILLINOIS.							
Springfield.....	81,030.05	193,095 21	1,039.46	185.76	4,176.80	2,306.08	4,638.80
Danville.....	14,811.20	30,287 71
Total.....	95,841.25	223,482 92	1,039.46	185.76	4,176 80	2,306.08	4,638.80
MISSOURI.							
St. Louis.....	6,480.72	12,358 32	3,466.33	7,774.88	7,240.38	24,536.31
Fayette.....	1,565.67	1,987 08	200 00	3,412.70	8,441.52	21,536.28
Palmyra.....	24,619.52	32,833 15	1,202.86	10,775 70	2,017.54	10,291.98
Jackson.....	10,974 66	15,003 60	4,490 89	24,100.80	4,517 29	129,846.07
Warsaw.....	58,484.82	74,218 38	20,852.43	67,451.17	600.00	1,271.27	2,480.14
Springfield.....	25,065.66	42,595 58	11,262.91	29,468.00	2,980 68
Plattsburg.....	80,698.96	134,615 00	28,590.54	18,602.40	3,544 96	240.00	6,069.67
Milan.....	112,686.64	160,725 92	27,933.37	23,917.59	1,014.19	1,360.28
Total.....	320,576.65	473,837 03	89,842.11	158,372 08	45,445.75	27,710.46	196,143.79
ALABAMA.							
St. Stephens.....	1,105.89	1,432 24	140.33	3,942.32	9,154.24
Greenville, late Cahaba.....	7,783.71	9,729 64	40.00	52,717.44	4,192.01	16,671.83
Huntsville.....	1,257.23	1,571 54	277.52	10,280 76	15,356.67
Tuscaloosa.....	992.85	1,241 06	78.96	3,089.58	92,689.20
Elba.....	488.13	610 16	3,018.46	32,158.96	37,226.56
Demopolis.....	280.42	350 52	439.94	2,554.57
Montgomery.....	801.51	1,001 89	40.00	377.23	11,844 62
Lebanon.....	2,142.66	2,715 32	8,455.03	3,505.76	29,520 56
Total.....	14,852.40	18,652 37	9,212.49	4,063.32	101,122 36	49,721.31	272,673.92
MISSISSIPPI.							
Washington.....	353.84	442 30	11,046.02
Augusta.....	5,169.05	8,934 81	7,974.09	305.29	7,770.37
Jackson.....	965.90	1,207 37	56.00	1,751.39	12,334.46
Grenada.....	1,125.85	1,407 31	564 76
Columbus.....	6,654.73	8,857 44	4,524.07	2,086.86
Total.....	14,269.37	20,849 23	13,118 92	305.29	1,751.39	34,226.72
LOUISIANA.							
New Orleans.....	646.09	807 61
Opelousas.....	3,227.48	4,034 35	39.91	441 25	421 54	3,350.96	1,690.30
Monroe.....	24,087.46	30,109 32	296.12	5,243.87	559.13	4,691.28	5,437.52
Greensburg.....	2,954.37	3,692 96
Nachitoches.....	3,440.86	4,301 08	159.84	5,620.83	2,579.24	620.26
Total.....	34,356.26	42,945 32	495.87	11,305.95	3,559.91	8,042.24	7,756.88
MICHIGAN.							
Detroit.....	1,860.82	2,989 92	82.14	791.72	6,787.06	58.38	561.72
Kalamazoo.....	276.53	1,230 55	1,635.99	1,705.94
Genesee.....	9,036.81	15,378 09	2,220.79	17,035.43	56.80

idental expenses thereon, and of payments into the treasury on ac-
 mencing July 1, 1855, and ending June 30, 1856.

Quantity sold at gradu- ated prices and am't received for the same.		Aggregate quantity sold at all prices and amount re- ceived for the same.		Amount received in mili- tary bounty-land scrip and cash.		Incidental expenses.	Amount paid into the treasury during the sec- ond half of fiscal year.
Acres.	Amount.	Acres.	Amount.	Mil. bounty land scrip.	Cash.		
809.91	\$65 04	1,291.34	\$1,409 96	\$1,409 96	\$668 46	\$1,931 14
7,000.14	2,265 08	16,058.22	13,694 75	13,694 75	1,118 84	11,156 96
7,000.14	2,265 08	16,058.22	13,694 75	13,694 75	1,118 84	11,156 96
12,347.05	4,518 61	93,377.10	197,713 82	\$991 66	196,722 16	5,536 93	234,552 59
.....	14,811.90	30,287 71	30,287 71	125 34	116,621 77
12,347.05	4,518 61	108,188.30	228,001 53	991 66	227,009 87	5,662 27	351,174 36
43,017.70	11,733 62	49,498.44	24,091 94	24,091 94	1,859 72	21,170 00
21,693 00	6,534 17	35,159.28	8,521 25	8,521 25	1,446 26	8,305 67
21,324 00	11,579 45	48,907 60	43,912 60	43,912 60	1,860 22	40,792 16
102,923.00	32,779 09	173,930.01	47,782 69	47,782 69	1,910 73	54,378 57
102,655 01	72,369 19	151,139.83	146,587 57	200 00	146,387 58	4,804 45	142,061 33
43,017.00	34,914 98	68,777.25	77,510 56	77,510 56	4,012 88	80,806 00
27,067.00	45,164 98	137,766.53	179,779 98	452 08	179,327 90	4,782 51	161,249 05
14,226.00	46,547 59	166,911.91	207,273 51	207,273 51	4,647 47	208,776 52
111,111.00	261,623 07	832,090.85	735,460 10	652 08	734,808 02	25,324 24	717,539 30
13,220.00	3,220 69	14,342.78	4,652 93	4,652 93	511 17	5,242 47
23,621 40	29,520 72	81,405.11	39,250 36	39,250 36	1,076 56	30,772 63
112,464.00	17,267 29	197,172.18	18,838 83	18,838 83	903 86	20,231 70
102,923.00	12,308 12	96,851.30	13,639 18	13,639 18	2,484 73	13,203 10
72,494 00	14,202 30	72,892.13	14,812 46	14,812 46	1,028 82	14,465 98
4,220.00	759 26	3,274.93	1,109 78	1,109 78	540 52	310 00
12,220.00	6,245 92	13,063.36	7,247 11	7,247 11	1,066 51	7,390 36
41,421.00	25,844 63	43,624.01	28,559 95	28,559 95	2,129 70	31,820 37
47,771.00	109,458 23	452,625.80	128,110 60	128,110 60	9,741 87	123,436 61
11,449 53	1,381 13	11,402.87	1,823 43	1,823 43	2,916 88	4,457 37
16,609 75	9,098 02	21,218.80	18,032 83	18,032 83	993 37	21,159 84
12,111 85	2,163 15	16,127.75	3,370 53	3,370 52	822 98	7,665 36
594.76	564 76	1,690.61	1,972 07	1,972 07	799 08	2,165 04
6,923.00	4,784 80	13,264.66	13,642 24	13,642 24	2,383 38	22,387 49
4,031.32	17,991 86	63,704.69	38,841 09	38,841 09	7,915 69	57,835 10
.....	646.09	807 61	807 61	708 27
.....	1,631 65	9,179 49	5,666 00	5,666 00	657 89	4,905 43
.....	6,361 10	40,315.45	36,470 42	36,470 42	3,587 01	28,779 26
.....	2,954.37	3,692 96	3,692 96	622 96	4,029 25
.....	5,749 69	12,421.63	10,043 77	10,043 77	1,016 17	14,969 56
.....	65,517 03	56,680 76	56,680 76	6,592 30	52,683 50
.....	4,154 97	10,141.84	7,144 19	25 00	7,119 19	850 45	7,119 19
.....	1,244 49	3,618.46	2,475 04	2,475 04	717 96	1,252 28
.....	10,190 48	28,349.83	25,568 57	25,568 57	1,260 37	25,245 03

B.—Statement of public lands

Land offices.	Quantity sold in second half of fiscal year at and above the minimum price, \$1 25, and amount received for the same.		Quantity sold in the second half of the fiscal year at graduated prices.				
	Acres.	Amount.	At \$1 per acre.	At 75 cts. per acre.	At 50 cts. per acre.	At 25 cts. per acre.	At 12 1/2 cts. per acre.
MICHIGAN—Continued.							
Ionia.....	28,243.50	\$36,057.32	18,557.99	5,760.83
Saut Ste. Marie.....	2,753.55	3,441.95
Duncan.....	12,300.92	15,857.68	167.60	662.82
Total.....	54,472.13	74,955.51	249.74	22,233.32	31,219.31	1,764.32	618.50
ARKANSAS.							
Batesville.....	552.36	690.45	445.99	80.00	2,425.51	767.02	31,850.22
Little Rock.....	4,311.16	5,388.95	1,080.34	480.00	2,318.98	971.00	7,925.22
Washington.....	2,444.06	3,055.09	1,758.31	1,829.06	5,716.55	1,921.78	5,616.21
Fayetteville.....	1,321.96	1,652.74	1,170.06	5,164.39	2,360.28
Helena.....	1,492.31	1,865.39	1,039.19	427.93	1,558.77	1,514.58
Clarksville.....	122.71	153.38	282.82	608.27	246.14
Champagnole.....	6,418.71	8,023.40	3,724.59	1,240.00	12,442.11	4,476.51
Total.....	16,663.27	20,829.40	9,501.30	9,401.72	25,691.36	9,941.22	46,906.00
FLORIDA.							
Tallahassee.....	2,301.69	2,877.01	2,210.26	12,519.27	1,192.30
St. Augustine.....	115.13	163.95	240.24
Mewnansville.....	7,265.84	9,442.03	758.72	7,751.96	5,650.01	10,515.97
Tampa.....	1,136.69	1,420.88	116.74
Total.....	10,819.35	13,903.87	875.46	7,992.20	7,860.27	23,035.24	1,192.30
IOWA.							
Dubuque.....	10,191.85	13,279.96	464.70	3,076.57
Iowa City.....	1,767.20	2,935.07
Chariton.....	134,795.21	168,666.31
Fort Des Moines.....	61,481.85	80,587.81
Council Bluffs.....	28,158.88	63,063.77
Osage, late Dacorrh.....	135,845.69	169,407.38	40.00
Fort Dodge.....	85,813.96	108,185.07
Sioux City.....	14,723.42	18,450.82
Total.....	472,778.06	624,976.19	504.70	3,076.57
WISCONSIN.							
Mineral Point.....	64,143.01	86,385.73	8,918.67	13,068.94
Menasha.....	56,196.59	72,007.70	945.09	8,581.32	23,044.58
Hudson.....	86,783.43	108,479.26
Stevens' Point.....	71,865.28	89,520.99	6,623.86
La Crosse.....	159,435.35	199,822.62	3,161.12
Superior.....	9,320.84	11,649.88
Total.....	447,744.50	567,876.18	13,024.88	15,205.18	36,113.52
CALIFORNIA.							
Benicia.....
Los Angeles.....
Marysville.....
Total.....
MINNESOTA TERRITORY.							
Stillwater.....	42,387.60	52,988.09
Eau Rapids.....	15,828.03	22,432.09
Chatfield, late Brownsville.....	67,232.59	84,056.36
Minneapolis.....	35,242.63	44,537.69
Winona.....	117,146.96	146,433.69
Red Wing.....	59,514.03	74,392.61
Total.....	337,351.84	424,840.53

old, of cash received, &c.—Continued.

Quantity sold at graduated prices and amount received for the same.		Aggregate quantity sold at all prices and amount received for the same.		Amount received in military bounty-land scrip and cash.		Incidental expenses.	Amount paid into the treasury during the second half of fiscal year.
Acres.	Amount.	Acres.	Amount.	Mil. bounty land scrip.	Cash.		
24,318.82	\$16,798 90	52,562 32	\$52,856 22	\$52,856 22	\$1,629 24	\$56,065 40
.....	2,753 55	3,441 95	3,441 95	763 79	2,150 00
664.42	664 72	13,131.34	16,522 40	\$400 00	16,122 40	1,131 25	12,130 52
10,085.21	33,052 86	110,557.34	108,008 37	425 00	107,583 37	6,353 06	103,962 79
5,895 25	5,895 25	36,121.87	6,585 70	6,585 70	1,300 27	5,655 29
3,833 37	3,833 37	17,087.40	9,222 32	9,222 32	1,017 82	7,294 59
7,170 97	7,170 97	19,286.69	10,226 06	10,226 06	1,067 29	9,859 00
6,223 49	6,223 49	10,016.69	7,876 23	7,876 23	856 00	8,939 27
1,832 16	1,832 16	6,032 79	3,697 55	3,697 55	808 65	3,838 52
800 55	800 55	1,259.94	953 94	953 94	536 21	1,082 61
11,994 76	11,994 76	28,301.92	20,018 15	20,018 15	1,575 90	24,466 72
37,750 55	37,750 55	118,107.30	58,579.95	58,579 95	7,162 14	61,136 00
4,383 98	4,383 98	18,223.61	7,261 00	7,261 00	818 48	3,220 79
100 07	100 07	355.37	344 00	344 02	537 85	322 39
12,026 71	12,026 71	31,942.50	21,466 00	21,468 74	1,792 82	25,063 73
116 74	116 74	2,253.43	1,537 62	1,537 62	532 92	329 78
16,707 50	16,707 50	51,774 91	30,611 38	30,611 38	3,682 02	28,936 69
2,772 14	2,772 14	13,733.12	16,052 10	16,052 10	743 32	14,000 00
.....	1,767.20	2,935 07	2,935 07	728 01	7,069 80
.....	134,795.21	168,666 31	950 58	167,715 73	3,614 60	179,986 57
.....	61,481.85	80,587 81	3,181 83	77,405 98	2,302 44	73,588 02
.....	28,158.88	63,063 77	63,063 77	2,330 50	67,160 80
40 00	40 00	135,885.69	169,847 38	5,673 37	164,174 01	5,795 27	168,828 99
.....	85,813.96	108,185 07	2,419 68	195,765 39	2,060 10	190,000 00
.....	14,723.42	18,450 82	2,248 14	16,202 68	1,770 87	24,371 09
2,812 14	2,812 14	476,359.33	627,788 33	14,473 60	613,314 73	19,345 11	725,005 27
15,453 15	15,453 15	86,130.62	101,848 88	101,848 88	1,451 76	98,211 14
18,903 44	18,903 44	88,767.58	90,911 14	200 00	90,711 14	2,441 55	104,238 25
.....	88,783.43	108,479 26	758 43	107,720 83	1,992 60	110,887 56
5,028 25	5,028 25	78,489.14	94,549 24	94,549 24	2,336 34	108,913 65
3,161 12	3,161 12	162,596.47	202,983 74	2,247 00	200,736 74	4,824 21	208,261 20
.....	9,320.84	11,649 88	11,649 88	524 62	7,000 00
42,545 96	42,545 96	512,088.08	610,422 14	3,205 43	607,216 71	13,575 08	637,511 80
.....	2,628 25
.....	1,506 00
.....	5,651 20
.....	9,785 45
.....	42,387.60	52,988 09	1,377 78	51,610 31	2,311 13	56,364 35
.....	15,828.03	22,432 09	200 00	22,232 09	1,853 94	16,944 72
.....	67,232.59	84,056 36	1,220 53	82,835 83	1,606 22	80,454 19
.....	35,242.63	44,537 69	44,537 69	1,159 18	33,710 04
.....	117,146.96	146,433 69	312 50	146,121 19	2,608 66	154,156 74
.....	59,514.03	74,392 61	74,392 61	3,470 02	72,897 68
.....	337,351.84	424,840 53	3,110 81	421,729 72	13,009 35	414,427 72

B.—Statement of public lands

Land offices.	Quantity sold in second half of fiscal year at and above the minimum price, \$1 25, and amount received for the same.		Quantity sold in the second half of the fiscal year at graduated prices.				
	Acres.	Amount.	At \$1 per acre.	At 75 cts. per acre.	At 50 cts. per acre.	At 25 cts. per acre.	At 12½ cts. per acre.
OREGON TERRITORY.							
Oregon City.....	2,858.09	\$3,572 61	<i>Acres.</i>	<i>Acres.</i>	<i>Acres.</i>	<i>Acres.</i>	<i>Acres.</i>
Winchester.....	3,737.93	4,672 45					
Total.....	6,596.02	8,245 06					
WASHINGTON TERRITORY.							
Olympia.....							
KANSAS TERRITORY.							
Lecompton.....							
NEBRASKA TERRITORY.							
Omaha City.....							

B—RECAP

States and Territories.	Quantity sold in second half of fiscal year at and above the minimum price, \$1 25, and amount received for the same.		Quantity sold in the second half of the fiscal year at graduated prices.				
	Acres.	Amount.	At \$1 per acre.	At 75 cts. per acre.	At 50 cts. per acre.	At 25 cts. per acre.	At 12½ cts. per acre.
Ohio.....	771.13	\$1,344 92	<i>Acres.</i>	<i>Acres.</i>	<i>Acres.</i>	<i>Acres.</i>	<i>Acres.</i>
Indiana.....	8,897.08	11,429 67	240.00	1,831.91	40 00		5,049.33
Illinois.....	95,841.25	223,482 92	1,039.46	185.76	4,176.80	2,306.08	4,638.00
Missouri.....	320,576.65	473,837 03	89,842.11	158,372.08	45,445.75	21,710.46	196,143.70
Alabama.....	14,852.40	18,652 37	9,212.49	4,063.32	101,122.36	49,721.31	278,688.20
Mississippi.....	14,269.37	20,849 23	13,118.92		305.29	1,751.39	36,888.20
Louisiana.....	34,356.26	42,945 32	495.87	11,305.95	3,559.91	8,042.34	7,788.50
Michigan.....	54,472.13	74,955 51	249.74	22,233.32	31,219.31	1,764.32	618.50
Arkansas.....	16,663.27	20,829 40	9,501.30	9,401.72	25,691.36	9,941.22	46,900.00
Florida.....	10,819.35	13,903 87	875.46	7,992.50	7,860.27	23,035.24	1,192.00
Iowa.....	472,778.06	624,976 19	504.70	3,076.57			
Wisconsin.....	447,744.50	567,876 18	13,024.88	15,205.18	36,113.52		
California.....							
Minnesota Territory.....	337,351.84	424,840 53					
Oregon Territory.....	6,596.02	8,245 06					
Washington Territory.....							
Kansas Territory.....							
Nebraska Territory.....							
Total.....	1,835,989.31	2,528,168 20	138,104.93	233,668.01	255,534.57	118,272.26	570,741.00

old, of cash received, &c.—Continued.

Quantity sold at graduated prices, and amt ^t received for the same.		Aggregate quantity sold at all prices, and amount received for the same.		Amount received in military bounty land scrip and cash.		Incidental expenses.	Amount paid into the treasury during the second half of fiscal year.
Acres.	Amount.	Acres.	Amount.	Mil. bounty land scrip.	Cash.		
		2,858.09	\$3,572 61		\$3,572 61	\$2,523 57	
		3,737.93	4,672 45		4,672 45	3,871 71	
		6,596.02	8,245 06		8,245 06	6,395 28	
						3,022 52	\$1,313 80

ULATION.

Quantity sold at graduated prices, and amt ^t received for the same.		Aggregate quantity sold at all prices, and amount received for the same.		Amount received in military bounty land scrip and cash.		Incidental expenses.	Amount paid into the treasury during the second half of fiscal year.
Acres.	Amount.	Acres.	Amount.	Mil. bounty land scrip.	Cash.		
220.91	\$65 04	1,291 34	\$1,409 96		\$1,409 96	\$668 46	\$1,931 14
7,101.14	2,265 08	16,058 22	13,694 75		13,694 75	1,118 84	11,156 96
12,347 00	4,518 61	108,188.30	228,001 53	\$991 66	227,009 87	5,662 27	351,174 36
51,000.00	261,623 07	832,090.85	735,460 10	652 08	734,808 02	25,324 24	717,539 30
45,720.40	109,458 23	452,625.80	128,110 60		128,110 60	9,741 87	123,436 61
4,000.00	17,991 86	63,704.69	38,841 09		38,841 09	7,915 69	57,835 10
3,160.77	13,735 44	65,517.03	56,600 76		56,680 76	6,592 30	52,683 50
35,000.00	33,052 86	110,557.34	108,008 37	425 00	107,583 37	6,353 06	103,962 79
10,000.00	37,750 55	118,107.30	58,579 05		58,579 95	7,162 14	61,136 00
10,000.00	16,707 50	51,774 91	30,611 38		30,611 38	3,682 07	28,936 69
1,200.00	2,812 14	476,359.33	627,788 33	14,473 60	613,314 73	19,345 11	725,005 27
6,200.00	42,545 96	512,088.08	610,422 14	3,205 43	607,216 71	13,575 08	637,511 80
						9,785 45	
		337,351.84	424,840 53	3,110 81	421,729 72	13,009 35	414,427 72
		6,596.02	8,245 06		8,245 06	6,398 28	
						3,032 52	1,313 80
1,011,331.77	542,526 34	3,152,311.05	3,070,693 65	22,858 58	3,047,835 97	139,363 73	3,228,051 04

C.

Table exhibiting the quantity of land in the State of Louisiana, selected under the acts of Congress approved March 2, 1849, and September 28, 1850, granting swamp and overflowed lands, the quantity thereof approved to the said State, and the disposition made of the remainder.

ACT APPROVED MARCH 2, 1849.

Land District.	Quantity selected.	Quantity approved.	Sold prior.	Sold subsequent.	Granted to State for schools.	Granted to State for seminary.	Granted to State by act of 1841.	Reservations.	Covered by private claims.	Suspended and unacted on.
	<i>Acres.</i>	<i>Acres.</i>	<i>Acres.</i>	<i>Acres.</i>	<i>Acres.</i>	<i>Acres.</i>	<i>Acres.</i>	<i>Acres.</i>	<i>Acres.</i>	<i>Acres.</i>
South Eastern, east of river.	892,988.92	827,313.07	31,378.23	22,578.32	8,519.30	3,200.00
West of river.	1,931,225.04	1,342,763.86	197,846.41	37,523.15	488.72	55,549.63	11,795.42	53,115.82	232,142.03
Natchitoches.	779,155.63	554,204.20	139,676.17	441.81	22,582.71	6,796.13	13,224.35	16,464.48	25,765.78
Greensburg ..	357,662.36	268,511.69	11,418.63	8,683.85	1,102.49	881.93	67,063.77
Opelousas	3,636,416.66	3,055,682.23	283,034.36	6,838.50	100,462.36	89,930.51	26,034.16	5,806.24	68,628.30
Monroe	2,565,307.95	1,331,519.18	643,345.72	80.54	57,009.08	6,815.95	31,127.31	58,320.16	437,080.01
Total	10,162,756.56	7,379,994.23	1,306,699.52	7,360.85	248,839.47	14,100.80	199,463.59	37,829.58	137,788.63	830,679.89

ACT APPROVED SEPTEMBER 28, 1850.

South Eastern, west of river.	319,786.50	153,224.95	137,607.37	680.31	5,277.24	502.86	1,592.45	850.93	20,050.39
East of river..	22,376.70	16,446.10	4,826.36	751.90	192.52	159.82
Opelousas	40,856.32	30,361.09	7,446.41	357.25	2,050.38	641.19
Monroe	133,819.08	14,872.25	112,872.78	3,564.73	1,301.25	657.29	550.78
Greensburg ..	6,636.84	6,636.84
Total	523,475.44	221,541.23	262,752.92	680.31	9,951.12	1,804.11	3,835.35	1,508.22	21,402.18

D.

Estimate of appropriations required for the office of the Commissioner of the General Land Office, for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1858.

For salary of Commissioner of the General Land Office, per act July 4, 1836, 5 Laws, p. 111, section 10.	\$3,000 00
For salary of recorder, per act March 3, 1857, 5 Laws, p. 164.	2,000 00
For salary of chief clerk, per act March 3, 1853, 10 Laws, p. 211.	2,000 00
For salary of two principal clerks (one of each) on private land claims and surveys, per act of July 4, 1836, 5 Laws, p. 111.	3,600 00
For salary of three clerks of the fourth class, at \$1,800 each, per act March 3, 1855, 10 Laws, p. 209, section 3.	5,400 00
For salary of twenty-three clerks of the third class, at \$1,600 each, per act of April 22, 1854, 10 Laws, p. 276.	36,800 00
For salary of forty clerks of the second class, at \$1,400 each, same act.	56,000 00
For salary of forty clerks of the first class, at \$1,200 each, same act.	48,000 00
For salary of draughtsman and assistant draughtsman, same act.	3,000 00
For salary of two packers, per acts of July 4, 1836, and April 22, 1854, 5 Laws, p. 111, and 10 Laws, p. 276, at \$600 each.	1,200 00
For compensation of principal clerk of private land claims, as superintendent of Okasaw business, per act of March 3, 1851, 9 Laws, p. 616.	250 00
For salary of one principal messenger, per joint resolution of August 18, 1856.	840 00
For salary of one messenger and three assistant messengers, per same resolution, at \$700.	2,800 00
For salary of six laborers, per same resolution, at \$600 each.	3,600 00
For salary of six night watchmen, for rooms occupied by General Land Office in Patent Office building, per act August 18, 1856, at \$600 each.	3,600 00
For salary of one day watchman.	600 00

Additional appropriations under military bounty act of March 3, 1855, and heretofore provided, per act of August 18, 1856, making appropriations, &c.

For salary of one principal clerk as director of division.	\$2,000 00
For salary of one clerk of third class, at \$1,600.	} 55,200 00
For salary of four clerks of second class, at \$1,400.	
For salary of forty clerks of 1st class, at \$1,200.	
For salary of two additional laborers, at \$600, per joint resolution of August 18, 1856.	1,200 00
<p>Provided that the Secretary of the Interior at his discretion shall be, and he is hereby authorized to use any portion of said appropriation for piece work, or by the day, week, month or year, at such rate or rates as he may deem just and fair.</p>	

E.

Estimate of appropriations required to meet contingent expenses of the office of Commissioner of the General Land Office, for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1858.

For cash system and military patents under laws prior to September 23, 1850, patent and other records, tract books and blank books for this and the district land offices, binding plats and field notes, stationery, furniture, and repairs of same, and miscellaneous items, including two of the city daily newspapers, to be filed, bound, and preserved for the use of the office, &c.....	\$33,500 00
For contingent expenses under swamp land acts of September 28, 1850, and March 22, 1852, and act of August 31, 1852, for the satisfaction of Virginia land warrants	26,100 00
<i>For contingent expenses under act of March 3, 1855, granting bounty land, &c., and amendatory act of May 14, 1856.</i>	
For patents, patent and other records, stationery and miscellaneous items on account of bounty lands under said acts.....	13,000 00
For records and patents for donation and other claims on the Pacific slope and elsewhere	2,000 00
For fuel, lights, and incidental expenses attending the same, including pay of furnace keepers.....	4,000 00
For desks, cases, &c., to complete furnishing the office, and for the accommodation of the rapidly increasing records and papers therein.....	5,000 00

F.

Estimates of appropriations for the surveying department, for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1858.

Object of appropriation.	Amount.	Total.
For salaries of surveyors general and their clerks, in addition to the balances of former appropriations, viz :		
1. For compensation of the surveyor general northwest of the Ohio, per section 10 of the act of May 18, 1796, (Statutes at Large, volume 1, page 464).....	\$2,000 00	
2. For clerks in his office, per section 1 of act of May 9, 1836, (Statutes at Large, volume 5, page 26).....	*6,300 00	\$8,300 00
3. For compensation of the surveyor general of Illinois and Missouri, per section 1 of act of April 3, 1818, (Statutes at Large, volume 3, page 412).....	2,000 00	
4. For clerks in his office, per section 1 of act of May 9, 1836, (Statutes at Large, volume 5, page 26).....	3,820 00	5,820 00
5. For compensation of the surveyor general of Louisiana, per section 5 of act of March 3, 1831, (Statutes at Large, volume 4, page 492).....	2,000 00	

* The office of the surveyor general at Detroit, Michigan, in consequence of arrangements made, is expected to be closed up by September 30, 1857. This estimate is submitted for the whole fiscal year, with the view to unforeseen incidents which possibly may transpire and may prolong the period of the closing of that office.

Statement—Continued.

Object of appropriation.	Amount.	Total.
6. For clerks in his office, per section 1 of the act of May 9, 1836, (Statutes at Large, volume 5, page 26).....	\$2,500 00	\$4,500 00
7. For compensation of the surveyor general of Florida, per section 1 of the act of March 2, 1833, (Statutes at Large, volume 4, page 624).....	2,000 00	5,500 00
8. For clerks in his office, per section 1 of the act of May 9, 1836, (Statutes at Large, volume 5, page 26).....	3,500 00	8,300 00
9. For compensation of the surveyor general of Wisconsin and Iowa, per section 1 of the act of August 18, 1846, (Statutes at Large, volume 9, page 79).....	2,000 00	8,300 00
10. For clerks in his office, per same act.....	6,300 00	7,500 00
11. For compensation of the surveyor general of Arkansas, per section 1 of the act of August 8, 1846, (Statutes at Large, volume 9, page 79).....	2,000 00	15,500 00
12. For clerks in his office, per same act.....	6,300 00	7,000 00
13. For compensation of the surveyor general of Oregon, per section 11 of the act of March 3, 1853, (Statutes at Large, volume 10, page 248).....	3,500 00	7,000 00
14. For clerks in his office, per section 2 of the act of September 27, 1850, (Statutes at Large, volume 9, page 496)....	4,000 00	8,300 00
15. For compensation of the surveyor general of California, per section 1 of the act of March 3, 1851, (Statutes at Large, volume 9, page 617).....	4,500 00	41,000 00
16. For clerks in his office, per section 2 of the act of March 3, 1853, (Statutes at Large, volume 10, page 245).....	11,000 00	500 00
17. For compensation of the surveyor general of Washington Territory, per section 26 of the act of March 3, 1853, (Statutes at Large, volume 10, page 674).....	3,000 00	134,520 00
18. For clerks in his office, per same act.....	4,000 00	
19. For compensation of the surveyor general of New Mexico, per section 1 of the act of July 22, 1854, (Statutes at Large, volume 10, page 308).....	3,000 00	
20. For clerks in his office, per same act.....	4,000 00	
21. For compensation of the surveyor general of Kansas and Nebraska, per section 10 of the act of July 22, 1854, (Statutes at Large, volume 10, page 309).....	2,000 00	
22. For clerks in his office, per same act.....	6,300 00	
23. For compensation of the surveyor general of Utah Territory, per section 1 of the act of February 21, 1855, (Statutes at Large, volume 10, page 611).....	3,000 00	
24. For clerks in his office, per same act.....	4,000 00	
25. For compensation of clerks in the offices of the surveyors general, to be apportioned to them according to the exigencies of the public service, and to be employed in transcribing field notes of surveys for the purpose of preserving them at the seat of government.....		41,000 00
26. For salary of the recorder of land titles in Missouri, per act of March 2, 1805, (Statutes at Large, volume 2, page 326,) paid prior to July 1, 1849, out of the surveying fund.....		500 00
Total.....		134,520 00

G. (1.)

Estimate of appropriations required for surveying the public lands, for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1858.

Object of appropriations.	Amount.
1. For surveying the public lands (exclusive of California, Oregon, Washington, New Mexico, Kansas and Nebraska, and Utah,) including incidental expenses and island surveys in the interior, and all other special and difficult surveys demanding augmented rates, to be apportioned and applied to the several districts according to the exigencies of the public service, including expenses of selecting swamp lands and the compensation and expenses of surveyor to locate private land claims in Louisiana, in addition to the unexpended balances of all former appropriations for the same objects..	\$100,000 00
2. For correcting erroneous and defective lines of public and private surveys in Illinois and Missouri, at a rate not exceeding six dollars per mile.....	2,000 00
3. For completing the surveys of towns and villages in Missouri, named in the acts of June 13, 1812, and May 26, 1824	2,000 00
4. For surveying in Louisiana, at augmented rates now allowed by law..	4,776 00
5. For resurvey of township twelve south, range eleven east, in the southeastern district, Louisiana, at a rate of twenty dollars per mile, on account of unusual difficulties attending the execution of the work, as explained in the surveyor general's annual report of October 1, 1855.....	3,000 00
6. For rent of the surveyor general's office in Oregon Territory, fuel, books, stationery, and other incidental expenses.....	3,000 00
7. For surveying new base, principal meridian, and correction parallel lines east of the Cascade mountains in Oregon, at the rates authorized by law for similar kind of work west of those mountains; provided authority of law is granted for the extension of the surveys in Oregon, east of the Cascade mountains.....	5,000 00
8. For surveying township and section lines east of the Cascade mountains, in Oregon, at the rates authorized by law for similar kind of surveys west of those mountains; provided authority of law is granted for the extension of the surveys east of the Cascade mountains.....	30,000 00
9. For surveying the public lands and private land claims in California, including office expenses incident to the survey of claims, and to be disbursed at the rates prescribed by law for the different kinds of work	100,000 00
10. For surveying standard, parallel, and meridian lines in Washington Territory, an estimated distance of 300 miles	7,500 00
11. For surveying township and subdivision lines in Washington Territory, at a rate not exceeding twelve dollars per mile.....	20,000 00
12. For office rent for the surveyor general of Washington Territory, fuel, books, stationery, and other incidental expenses.....	3,000 00
13. For compensation of a translator in the office of the surveyor general of New Mexico.....	2,000 00
14. For the purchase of Spanish and Mexican law books for the office of the surveyor general of New Mexico, required as authorities in the investigation of private land claims.....	500 00
15. For continuing the survey of base, meridian, standard parallel, township and section lines in New Mexico.....	50,000 00
16. For rent of surveyor general's office in New Mexico, fuel, books, stationery, and other incidental expenses	3,000 00
17. For surveying the necessary base, meridian, standard parallel, township and section lines in Kansas and Nebraska, also outlines of Indian reservations.....	50,000 00
18. For continuing the survey of the base, meridian, correction parallel, township and section lines in the Territory of Utah, at augmented rates	50,000 00
19. For office rent for the surveyor general of Utah Territory, fuel, books, stationery, furniture, and other incidental expenses.....	3,000 00

ESTIMATE—Continued.

Object of appropriations.	Amount.
20. For preparing the unfinished records of public and private surveys to be transferred to the State authorities, under the provisions of the act of June 12, 1840, in those districts where the surveys are about being completed.....	\$12,000 00
21. For resurveys and examinations of the survey of the public lands in those States where the offices of the surveyors general have been, or shall be, closed, under the acts of June 12, 1840, and January 22, 1853, including \$2,000 for the salary of the clerk, detailed to this special service in the General Land Office.....	2,500 00
22. For continuing the survey of the Keys off the coast of Florida by the officers of the Coast Survey Office.....	30,000 00
23. For continuing the survey of the islands off the coast of California...	40,000 00
	523,266 00

GENERAL LAND OFFICE, October 10, 1856.

G. (2.)

Estimate of appropriation for the surveying department, to supply deficiency for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1855.

For compensation of the surveyor general of Utah Territory, from March 16 to June 30, 1855, per 1st section of the act of February 21, 1855, (Statutes at Large, volume 10, page 611).....	\$883 33
Subject to the following deduction: By appropriation of May 15, 1856, based upon an erroneous estimate of..	833 33
Leaving still the amount of the deficiency occasioned by error in calculation, and for which appropriation is asked.....	50 00

GENERAL LAND OFFICE, October 10, 1856.

H.

ANNUAL REPORTS OF THE SURVEYORS GENERAL.

ANNUAL REPORT OF SURVEYOR GENERAL OF MICHIGAN.

SURVEYOR GENERAL'S OFFICE,
Detroit, October 23, 1856.

SIR: Complying with your letter of instructions, dated 11th of April last, I have prepared, and herewith submit in triplicate, my annual report, embracing statements (numbered from 1 to 10 inclusive) which will serve to exhibit the progress made in both field and office work, in this district, since my report of November 1, 1855, my estimates for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1856, and the salary and disbursement accounts of this office for the past year.

The original surveys in this State are completed, and existing appropriations will be sufficient to bring to a close all resurveys that it is deemed necessary to make.

Upon being advised that provision had been made for the resurvey of a district of eighteen townships, adjoining and south of the this correction line, west of the meridian, and immediately after the receipt of your letter of instructions of 2d of September last, I took active steps to place under contract all of the remaining field work, with a view to close the same, if possible, prior to the 31st day of December next.

Districts were offered to all deputies of this office not already in the field, that it was supposed would enter the service, and to whom I deemed it proper to offer employment. Acting strictly in accordance with your instructions, each deputy undertaking work was required, under a heavy penalty, to complete his contract, and make return to this office of his field notes within the present calendar year. The time unavoidably consumed in communicating with the deputies, together with that necessarily required by them in obtaining assistants, in procuring an outfit, and in reaching their district, would leave less than ninety days in which to complete the work to be undertaken by them under the conditions above named, and this at a season of the year when the days are short, and when their progress must be at times greatly impeded by heavy storms of rain and snow.

Under such circumstances, no surveyor, having a full knowledge of these facts, would undertake a contract of more than four townships; and I have only been able to contract eighteen townships to five different deputies, leaving eight, the resurvey of which cannot be made until next season, as no responsible surveyor, possessing a practical knowledge of the country and the climate in the central and the northern portions of this peninsula, where these townships are situated, will undertake to execute surveys, as required by this office during the winter season. That a large amount of the original surveys were contracted for, and that the field work is reported to have been

done at all seasons of the year, is true; but that it was not done in a proper manner, and that a large proportion of it was never done, or ever seen by the contractor, this office has most satisfactory evidence.

Early in September letters were addressed to those deputies who had entered the field during the past spring and summer, urging them to make every exertion, consistent with a proper execution of their work, to complete their several districts, and return their notes to this office as soon as practicable, and enjoining them not to leave their districts unfinished while it might be possible for them to remain.

I am confident that all of the surveys now under contract will be completed this season, excepting two townships north of third correction line and east of the meridian, in the district undertaken by Alfred Millerd, and one or two townships north of the third correction line and west of the meridian, in the district undertaken by Edward D. Atwater. On the 5th day of April, 1855, Mr. Millerd entered into a contract for the resurvey of seven townships, and was required to complete and return notes of the same before the first day of January, 1856. Soon after entering the field, he was prostrated by sickness. Being compelled by his contract and instructions to run all lines and to take all notes in person, no progress could be made until he regained his health. The season being exceedingly wet, and much of the country low and swampy, he was forced, by bad weather and the positive refusal of his men to remain longer with him, to return home, leaving two townships uncompleted. Upon the opening of spring he again proceeded to his work, and I assigned to him, in addition, three full and two fractional townships, lying adjoining his contract—the surveys in all of which he expected to finish the present season, and would have done so had not sickness and death in his family called him home, and rendered it impossible for him to return. I have recently been informed by Mr. Atwater that a series of misfortunes have befallen him which will probably render the completion of his contract the present year impossible. He also advises me that the township lines upon which his sub-divisions are to be closed, are, in many instances, entirely different from what he expected to find them, and, in consequence of this, he has been subjected to unexpected labor and great loss of time. The progress that ordinarily would have been made with his work has been retarded by the loss, soon after entering the field, of the whole of his supplies and provisions by fire. In addition to this, his party has at times been reduced by sickness and desertion, and recently his depot has been plundered of supplies by Indians. He expresses the determination, however, of remaining until abandoned by the balance of his party, or driven in by deep snows.

In such cases as that of Mr. Millerd and Mr. Atwater, no blame can reasonably be attached to a deputy for the non-performance of a contract within the period specified; and I have not hesitated to do an act of simple justice by allowing additional time in which to complete their districts.

The townships that remain to be contracted, together with those under contract, the surveys in which will not be completed this sea-

son, will not exceed twelve in number. It is therefore evident that the public surveys in this State can be brought to a close the ensuing summer. That it may not be inferred that, in the opinion of this office, no other defective, imperfect, or fraudulent surveys exist in Michigan than those for the re-survey of which appropriations have been made, it is proper to state that it is well known that there are several contracts in both the upper and lower peninsula of this State in which the surveys are in places defective, and in some cases fraudulent; but, from partial examinations made, it does not appear that defects and frauds exist to such an extent as to make a resurvey indispensable, as has been the case in those townships for the resurvey of which I have heretofore forwarded estimates. In those districts where it is now known that erroneous and fraudulent surveys exist to some extent, the north and south lines are reported by the examiners as generally run, and the quarter and section posts on them as established, although not in all instances as represented by the original notes. Many of the east and west lines have never been run, but the posts governing them being established, they may be supplied by those who find it necessary to use them. From the comparatively limited knowledge possessed by this office in relation to the actual condition of these surveys, it is supposed to be practicable; but not without some considerable difficulty and trouble to purchasers of the lands, to make them answer, in a great measure, the purposes for which they were made; which supposition, if correct, I am satisfied would not, in the opinion of the department, justify me in presenting further estimates for re-surveys.

Since the date of my last report, field notes of the survey of sixty-seven islands, most of them located in Grand river, have been returned to this office, original Commissioner's and register's plats of which have been made. A survey of such other islands as are known to this office is now in progress.

As soon as the surveys and resurveys in this State are fully completed, a much more rapid and satisfactory advancement will be apparent in bringing up office work. A regular force can then be constantly employed upon much work that has heretofore, in consequence of other more pressing duties, only received attention during short intervals that periodically occur between the office labor incident to the field work of one year, and before entering upon that of another.

The preparing of record maps of the upper peninsula is probably the largest single item of labor to be performed in this office. It is expected to commence and make considerable progress in this work during the next year. The surveys in a large portion of this country having been made with particular reference to "mines and minerals," afford a very large amount of geological and topographical information that is not usual in the common linear surveys. The amount of additional office labor created thereby can scarcely be fully understood without practical personal knowledge. I estimate the average increase in making the plats of each township to be four times over that of an ordinary survey. Records and transcripts of the notes, in some instances, cover twice the number of pages occupied by notes of the same number of miles in the old surveys; while descriptions of the geology,

graphy, soil, timber, &c., that are entered upon the registers and descriptive sheets, require as much labor as the making of entire sheets from many of the older surveys. This may also be said of the surveys. A comparison of the plats, records, and descriptive notes, made from these surveys, with others of an earlier date, exhibits a great dissimilarity, but it is questionable if any but practical knowledge and personal experience will enable a person to correctly judge of the actual difference in labor that has been bestowed upon each.

My evidence may be added to that given in the annual returns of other surveyor generals, that the time necessarily consumed in transient and miscellaneous business, of which I make no detailed statements, is not inconsiderable, giving constant employment to at least one clerk.

I am aware that every reasonable effort should be made to prepare for a transfer within the shortest possible time, consistent with a proper execution of the work to be performed, of the papers, records, and documents in this office to the authorities of the State. With this object in view, I shall make all practicable progress with the work in charge.

I am, sir, very respectfully, your obedient servant,
LEANDER CHAPMAN,
Surveyor General.

Hon. THOMAS A. HENDRICKS,
Commissioner General Land Office, Washington.

Statement showing the character, locality, and present condition of the field-work that had been contracted prior to and that was uncompleted November 1, 1855, the date of last annual report.

Name of deputy, with date of contract or instructions.	Character and locality of the work.	Remarks.
George H. Cannon, contract, October 5, 1854.	Resurvey of township 35 north, range 1 west; townships 34, 35, 36, 37, and 38 north, ranges 1 and 2 east; townships 34, 35, 36, and 37 north, range 3 east, and township 36 north, ranges 4 and 5 east.	Work completed, returned, and accepted.
Zelotus B. Searls, contract, April 5, 1855.	Resurvey of townships 45 and 46 north, range 19 west; townships 44, 45, 46, and fractional townships 47 and 48 north, range 20 west.	Work completed.
Harvey Mellen, contract, April 6, 1855.	Resurvey of townships 36 and 37, and fractional township 38 north, range 1 west; townships 35, 36, 37, and fractional townships 38 and 39 north, range 2 west; townships 35, 36, 37, 38, and fractional township 39 north, range 3 west.	Work completed, and full returns received.
Butler Ives, contract, May 24, 1855.	Resurvey of township 31 north, ranges 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, and 9 east.....	Township 31 north, ranges 4, 5, 6, and 7 east, completed, returned, and accepted. Mr. Ives has been released from execution of balance of contract.
Josiah Knaur, contract, July 16, 1855.	Resurvey of township 32 north, ranges 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, and 9 east, and fractional township 33 north, ranges 8 and 9 east.	Work completed, and partial returns received; balance of returns will be made soon.
Alfred Millerd, contract, April 5, 1855.	Resurvey of township 33 north, ranges 4, 5, 6, and 7 east, and fractional township 34 north, ranges 6, 7, and 8 east.	Work in township 33 north, ranges 4, 5, 6, and 7 east, and township 34 north, range 8 east, completed, and partial returns received. Deputy has returned from the field on account of sickness, leaving township 34 north, ranges 6 and 7 east, to be completed next season.
Orange Risdon, instructions, June 9 and July 6, 1855.	Correction and resurvey of fractional township 32 north, ranges 10 and 11 west; township 30 north, range 7 west, and townships 29 and 30 north, range 9 west.	Work completed and returns received.
Thos. Whelpley, instructions, May 24, 1854.	Survey of islands in Grand river.....	Work completed, returned, and accepted.

No. 2.

Statement showing the amount, character, locality, and present condition of the field-work that has been undertaken in this district since November 1, 1855, the date of last annual report.

Name of deputy, with date of contract or instructions.	Character and locality of the work.	Remarks.
E. D. Atwater, contract, April 10, 1856.	Resurvey of townships 31 and 32 north, ranges 6, 7, 8, and 9 west.	Deputy in the field; partial returns received.
Alfred Millerd, instructions, April, 1856.	Resurvey of townships 34 north, ranges 4 and 5 east, and township 35 north, ranges 4, 5, and 6 east.	Surveys completed and returned.
Francis Coleman, contract, April 29, 1856.	Resurvey of townships 19 and 20 north, range 6 west, and townships 19, 20, and 21 north, range 7 west.	Deputy in the field; no returns received.
Thomas Whelpley, contract, April 30, 1856.	Resurvey of townships 14, 15, and 16 north, range 14 west, and township 16 north, range 13 west.	Deputy in the field; no returns received; work completed.
Thomas Whelpley, instructions, April 30, 1856.	Survey of unsurveyed islands in the Muskegan, Manatee, and Pierre Marquette rivers.	Deputy in the field; no returns received.
Milton Nye, contract, May 8, 1856.	Resurvey of townships 15 and 16 north, ranges 11 and 12 west, and townships 14 and 15 north, range 13 west.do.....
George E. Adair, contract, May 20, 1856.	Resurvey of townships 20 north, ranges 1, 2, and 3 west, and townships 19 and 20 north, ranges 4 and 5 west.do.....
George H. Cannon, contract, Sept. 17, 1856.	Resurvey of townships 18 north, ranges 2, 3, 4, and 5 west.do.....
Harvey Mellen, contract, Sept. 17, 1856.	Resurvey of townships 29 north, ranges 1, 2, 3, and 4 west.do.....
A. P. Brewer, contract, Sept. 17, 1856.	Resurvey of townships 30 north, ranges 1, 2, 3, and 4 west.do.....
Walter Warner, contract, Sept. 17, 1856.	Resurvey of townships 28 north, ranges 1, 2, 3, and 4 west.do.....
Levi H. Cannon, contract, Sept. 17, 1856.	Resurvey of townships 19 north, ranges 2 and 3 west.do.....

LEANDER CHAPMAN, Surveyor General.

SURVEYOR GENERAL'S OFFICE,
 Detroit, October 23, 1856.

Statement of original plats made, and of copies transmitted to the General Land Office, since November 1, 1855, with date of transmission.

Townships.	Ranges.	Land District.	No. of copies.			When sent to Commissioner
			Original.	Commissioner.	Total.	
33.....north.	5 and 6.....east.	Sheboygan ...	2	2	4	Dec. 4, 1855
36.....do..	1.....west.do.....	1	1	2do.....
36.....do..	3.....do..do.....	1	1	2do.....
45 and 46.....do..	18.....do..	Lake Superior.	2	2	4do.....
48.....do..	19.....do..do.....	1	1	2do.....
35 and 37.....do..	2 and 3.....do..	Sheboygan ...	4	4	8	Jan. 26, 1856
35.....do..	1, 2, and 3..east.do.....	3	3	6	Feb. 13, 1856
31.....do..	4, 6, and 7..do..do.....	3	3	6	Mar. 6, 1856
33.....do..	4.....do..do.....	1	1	2	Mar. 14, 1856
46.....do..	19.....west.	Lake Superior.	1	1	2	Apr. 11, 1856
44, 45, 46, and 47.....do..	20.....do..do.....	4	4	8do.....
31.....do..	5.....east.	Sheboygan ...	1	1	2	Apr. 22, 1856
44, 47, and 48.....do..	18.....west.	Lake Superior.	3	3	6	May 21, 1856
44 and 47.....do..	19.....do..do.....	2	2	4do.....
47 and 48.....do..	20.....do..do.....	2	2	4do.....
4 (representing island)south.	1.....do..	Detroit.....	1	1	2	July 24, 1856
1.....do..	1.....east.do.....	1	1	2do.....
4.....do..north.	2.....west.	Grand river ..	1	1	2do.....
2, 3, and 4 ..do..do..	3.....do..do.....	3	3	6do.....
4 and 5 ..do..do..	4.....do..do.....	2	2	4do.....
5, 6, and 7 ..do..do..	5.....do..do.....	3	3	6do.....
7.....do..do..	6, 10, and 12.do..do.....	3	3	6do.....
6.....do..do..	8 and 9 ..do..do.....	2	2	4do.....
36.....do..	1 and 2 ..do..	Sheboygan ...	2	2	4	July 25, 1856
36.....do..	1.....east.do.....	1	1	2	Sept. 23, 1856
36, 37, and 38 ..do..	2.....do..do.....	3	3	6do.....
36.....do..	3, 4, and 5 ..do..do.....	3	3	6do.....
35.....do..	4, 5, and 6 ..do..do.....	3	3	6	Not sent.
34.....do..	5.....do..do.....	1	1	2do.....
30.....do..	7 and 9 ..west.do.....	2	2	4do.....
31.....do..	6.....do..do.....	1	1	2do.....
32.....do..	10 and 11..do..do.....	2	2	4do.....
Total.....			65	65	130	

LEANDER CHAPMAN,
Surveyor General.

SURVEYOR GENERAL'S OFFICE,
Detroit, October 23, 1856.

No. 4.

Statement of registers' plats made and of maps sent to the land offices in this district since November 1, 1855, with date of transmission.

Townships.	Ranges.	Land district.	No. of copies.	When sent.
34 and 35 north.....	1, 2 and 3 east.	Sheboygan.....	6	July 15, 1856
31 and 33 north.....	4, 5 and 6 east.....do.....	6do.....
31 north.....	7 east.....do.....	1do.....
35 and 38 north.....	1 west.....do.....	2do.....
35 and 37 north.....	2 west.....do.....	2do.....
35, 36, and 37 north.....	3 west.....do.....	3do.....
44, 45, 46, 47, and 48 north.....	18, 19 and 20 west	Lake Superior..	15	Not sent....
36 north.....	1 and 2 west...	Sheboygan.....	2do.....
36 north.....	1, 3, 4 and 5 east.do.....	4do.....
38 north.....	2 east.....do.....	1do.....
4 (representing islands) south.....	1 west.....	Detroit.....	1do.....
1 (representing islands) south.....	1 east.....do.....	1do.....
4 (representing islands) north.....	2 west.....	Grand river....	1do.....
4 (rep'ng islands) north..	3 west.....do.....	3do.....
4 (representing islands) north.	4 west.....do.....	2do.....
5 (rep'ng islands) north..	5 west.....do.....	3do.....
7 (representing islands) north.....	6, 10 and 12 westdo.....	3do.....
6 (representing islands) north.....	8 and 9.....do.....	2do.....
Total.....			58	

LEANDER CHAPMAN, Surveyor General.

SURVEYOR GENERAL'S OFFICE, Detroit, October 23, 1856.

No. 5.

Statement of record plats made since November 1, 1855, the date of last annual report.

Townships.	Ranges.	Locality.	No. of copies.
18, 19 and 20 north.....	9 west.....	Lower peninsula...	3
11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19 and 20 north.	10 west.....do.....	10
11 north.....	11 west.....do.....	1
11, 12, 13 and 14 north.....	12 west.....do.....	4
11, 12, 13, 17, 18, 19 and 20 north.....	13 west.....do.....	7
11, 12, 13, 17, 18, 19 and 20 north.....	14 west.....do.....	7
16 (islands in Saginaw bay) north.....	8 east.....do.....	1
16, 17, 18 & 19 (islands in Saginaw bay) north	9 east.....do.....	4
51, 52, 53, 56 and 57 north.....	30 west.....	Upper peninsula....	5
Total.....			42

LEANDER CHAPMAN Surveyor General.

SURVEYOR GENERAL'S OFFICE, Detroit, October 23, 1856.

No. 6.—*List of townships the field-notes of which have been recorded or transcribed since November 1, 1855, the date of the last annual report.*

Townships.	Ranges.	Locality.	Pages.	Character of work.
43, 44, 45, 46, and 47 north.....	35 west.....	Upper peninsula.	288	Records
41 north.....	32 west.....	do.....	520	Transcripts.
48, 49, and 50 north.....	34 west.....	do.....		
41, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, and 47 north.	35 west.....	do.....		
50 north.....	40 west.....	do.....	684	Records
44, 45, 46, 47, 48, 49, and 50 north.	41 west.....	do.....		
44, 45, 46, 47, and 48 north.....	42 west.....	do.....		
32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, and 40 north.....	28 west.....	do.....	688	Records
34, 35, 37, 38, 39, and 40 north...	29 west.....	do.....		
39 and 40 north.....	30 and 31 west.....	do.....		
41 north.....	32 west.....	do.....	126	Transcripts.
44 and 45 north.....	40 west.....	do.....		
42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47, and 48 north.	32 west.....	do.....		
48, 49, and 50 north.....	35 west.....	do.....	815	Transcripts.
42, 43, 44, 45, and 46 north.....	36 west.....	do.....		
49 and 50 north.....	42 west.....	do.....		
44, 45, 46, 47, 48, 49, and 50 north.	43 west.....	do.....	863	Records
45, 46, 47, 48, 49, and 50 north...	44 west.....	do.....		
45 and 46 north.....	45 west.....	do.....		
31 north.....	6 east.....	Lower peninsula.	74	Transcripts.
42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47, and 48 north	32 west.....	Upper peninsula.	810	Records
48, 49, and 50 north.....	35 west.....	do.....		
42, 43, 44, 45, and 46 north.....	36 west.....	do.....		
31 north.....	4 and 7 east...	Lower peninsula.	135	Transcripts.
46, 47, 48, 49, and 50 north.....	40 west.....	Upper peninsula.	552	Transcripts.
44, 45, 46, 47, and 48 north.....	41 west.....	do.....		
47, 48, 49, and 50 north.....	36 west.....	do.....		
42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47, 48, 49, and 50 north.....	37 west.....	do.....	881	Transcripts.
43, 44, 45, and 46 north.....	38 west.....	do.....		
47, 48, 49, and 50 north.....	45 west.....	do.....		
45, 46, 47, 48, 49 and 50 north...	46 west.....	do.....	683	Records
46, 47, 48, and 49 north.....	47 west.....	do.....		
47, 48, and 49 north.....	48 west.....	do.....		
48 north.....	49 west.....	do.....	881	Records
47, 48, 49, and 50 north.....	36 west.....	do.....		
42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47, 48, 49, and 50 north.....	37 west.....	do.....		
43, 44, 45, and 46 north.....	38 west.....	do.....	740	Transcripts.
49 and 50 north.....	41 west.....	do.....		
44, 45, 46, 47, 48, 49, and 50 north.	42 west.....	do.....		
44, 45, 46, 47, 48, and 49 north...	43 west.....	do.....	860	Transcripts.
39 and 40 north.....	25 west.....	do.....		
32, 33, 34, and 35 north.....	26 west.....	do.....		
47, 48, 49, and 50 north.....	38 west.....	do.....	781	Records
43, 44, 45, 46, 47, 48, 49, and 50 N.	39 west.....	do.....		
47, 48, 49, and 50 north.....	38 west.....	do.....		
43, 44, 45, 46, 47, 48, 49, and 50 N.	39 west.....	do.....	460	Transcripts.
44, 45, and 46 north.....	18 west.....	do.....		
50 north.....	43 west.....	do.....		
45, 46, 47, 48, 49, and 50 north...	44 west.....	do.....	10,841	Aggregate number of pages of records and transcripts.....
45 and 46 north.....	45 west.....	do.....		
To which add making and copying lists of swamp lands granted to the State by act of April 28, 1850.....			138	
Total.....			10,979	

LEANDER CHAPMAN, *Surveyor General*

SURVEYOR GENERAL'S OFFICE, *Detroit, October 23, 1856.*

No. 7.

List of registers' descriptive notes made since November 1, 1855, the date of the last annual report.

Townships.	Ranges.	Land district.	No. of copies.
21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 35 and 38 north.....	1 west.....	Sheboygan.....	9
21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 35 and 37 north.....	2 west.....	do.....	9
21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 35, 36, and 37 north..	3 west.....	do.....	10
21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, and 27 north.....	4 west.....	do.....	7
21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, and 27 north.....	5 west.....	do.....	7
21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, and 27 north.....	6 west.....	do.....	7
22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, and 29 north.....	7 west.....	do.....	8
21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, and 30 north..	8 west.....	do.....	10
21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, and 28 north.....	9 west.....	do.....	8
21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, and 27 north.....	10 west.....	do.....	7
21, 22, 27, 28, 29, 30, and 31 north.....	11 west.....	do.....	7
21, 22, 27, 28, 29, 30, and 31 north.....	12 west.....	do.....	7
17 and 18 north.....	18 west.....	Grand river....	2
Total.....	98

LEANDER CHAPMAN, *Surveyor General.*

REGISTRY GENERAL'S OFFICE, *Detroit, October 23, 1856.*

No. 8.—SALARY ACCOUNT.

The United States in account with Leander Chapman, surveyor general northwest of the Ohio.

DR.		CR.			
1855. December 31 ..	To amount paid surveyor general and clerks for 4th quarter, 1855	\$2,388 53	1855. October 5.... December 28.	By balance of account for 3d quarter, 1855 By treasury draft (in part) No. 1273 on Treasury Interior warrant No. 922 for.....	\$175 90 2,575 00
1856. March 31	To amount paid surveyor general and clerks for 1st quarter, 1856.....	2,475 20	1856. March 24....	By treasury draft (in part) No. 1776 on Treasury Interior warrant No. 1416 for.....	2,575 00
June 30.....	To amount paid surveyor general and clerks for 2d quarter, 1856	2,535 90	June 25.....	By treasury draft (in part) No. 2428 on Treasury Interior warrant No. 2042 for.....	2,575 00
September 30..	To amount paid surveyor general and clerks for 3d quarter, 1856	2,439 34	September 23.	By treasury draft (in part) No. 3099 on Treasury Interior warrant No. 2714 for.....	2,500 00
	By amount to balance	561 93			
		<u>10,400 90</u>			<u>10,400 90</u>
				The United States Cr. by balance in hands of surveyor general.....	561 93

SURVEYOR GENERAL'S OFFICE, *Detroit, October 23, 1856.*

LEANDER CHAPMAN, *Surveyor General.*

The United States in account with Leander Chapman, surveyor general northwest of the Ohio.

1855.		Dr.	1855.	Cr.	
December 31..	To amount of disbursements for 4th quarter, 1855.....	\$280 96	October 5....	By balance of account for 3d quarter, 1855.....	\$128 63
1856.			December 28.	By treasury draft (in part) No 1273 on Treasury Interior warrant No. 922 for.....	275 00
March 31.....	To amount of disbursements for 1st quarter, 1856.....	136 27	1856.		
June 30.....	To amount of disbursements for 2d quarter, 1856.....	246 03	March 24....	By treasury draft (in part) No. 1776 on Treasury Interior warrant No. 1416 for.....	275 00
September 30..	To amount of disbursements for 3d quarter, 1856.....	255 13	June 25.....	By treasury draft (in part) No. 2428 on Treasury Interior warrant No. 2042 for.....	275 00
	By amount to balance.....	185 24	September 23.	By treasury draft (in part) No. 3099 on Treasury Interior warrant No. 2714 for.....	150 00
		<u>1,103 63</u>			<u>1,103 63</u>
				The United States Cr. by balance in hands of surveyor general.....	185 24

SURVEYOR GENERAL'S OFFICE, Detroit, October 23, 1856.

LEANDER CHAPMAN, Surveyor General.

No 10.

Estimate of appropriations required for the surveyor general's office, northwest of the Ohio, at Detroit, for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1858.

For compensation of surveyor general, per 10th section of the act of May 18, 1796	\$2,000 00
For compensation of clerks, per 1st section of the act of May 9, 1836.....	6,300 00
For compensation of clerks to be employed in transcribing field-notes for preservation at the seat of government, and in making record plats and descriptive notes preparatory to a transfer of the books, papers, and documents in the surveyor general's office to the authorities of the State of Michigan.....	2,000 00
For incidental expenses of the office, embracing cost of stationery, binding, printing, postage, office rent, fuel, services of messenger, &c.....	1,350 00
Total.....	11,650 00

LEANDER CHAPMAN,
Surveyor General

SURVEYOR GENERAL'S OFFICE, *Detroit, September 22, 1856.*

ANNUAL REPORT OF THE SURVEYOR GENERAL OF IOWA.

SURVEYOR GENERAL'S OFFICE,
Dubuque, October 13, 1856.

SIR: I have the honor to submit the following report of the operations of this office during the past year:

In the State of Wisconsin, there have been surveyed five hundred and ninety-four miles of township lines, and eighty-one townships have been subdivided, amounting in all to five thousand one hundred and fifty-six and a half miles of subdivisional and meander lines. Of this amount, fourteen townships are situated east of the fourth principal meridian; and the surveys in this portion of the State, south of the third correction parallel, are entirely completed, with the exception of a single fractional township, the survey of which was rejected, townships Nos. 28 and 29, of range No. 15, situated in the Menominee reservation.

North of said correction line the survey of sixty-three townships has also been completed, and the plats all forwarded. West of the said fourth meridian the surveys are entirely completed; south of the third correction, and also south of the fourth correction parallel, with the exception of twenty-three townships, eight of which were let, but were afterwards abandoned by the contractor, on account of the difficulty of the work. North of said parallel thirteen townships have been subdivided; and in the valuable portion of the State adjacent to Lake Superior, thirty-three townships have been surveyed and returned.

ed. I regret to have to state that the survey of township No. 51, of ranges 3 and 4, with the Apostle islands, the contract for which was let to Mr. A. H. Barber, has been rendered useless by the death of the contractor, who was drowned, near the mouth of Montreal river, whilst engaged in closing up the work, only a few miles (some 30) of which remained to be completed. The greater part of the notes were in his possession at the time of the accident, and, though every effort was made to recover them, it was, I believe, without avail; consequently, the work will have to be retraced. This is very greatly to be regretted, from the fact that this immediate vicinity is at present attracting great attention.

Surveys in Iowa.

In this State the field-work is rapidly approaching completion. One hundred and eighty-three miles of township lines have been surveyed, and eighty-two townships, amounting to four thousand eight hundred miles of subdivisional and meander lines have been returned, carefully examined and platted, whilst deputies are now in the field engaged in the survey of a number more, which will, in all probability, be returned and in your possession before the winter closes. All the piece-work and fractions have been completed, and when the notes of the few deputies now in the field shall have been returned, there will remain but a small portion in the extreme northwest corner of the State surveyed.

Surveys in Minnesota.

West of the Mississippi river, in this Territory, four hundred and ninety-six miles of township lines have been run, and eighty-nine townships, making five thousand five hundred and ninety-four miles of subdivisional lines, have been surveyed. In fact, all the country east of the third guide meridian, or line dividing ranges Nos. 24 and 25, to the Mississippi river, with the exception of one township, has been surveyed; and all east of the fourth guide meridian, or line dividing ranges Nos. 31 and 32, and south of the fourth standard parallel, except eight townships, is in the same condition, and the plats have been furnished your own and the respective district land offices. Forty-two townships, south of the fifth parallel, have been let for subdivision; and the township base and standard lines have been contracted for as far north as the eighth standard parallel, as well as a district, containing forty-two townships, east of the fifth guide meridian, and south of and adjoining the sixth standard parallel. This, with the requisite subdivisions, will, in my opinion, cover all the wants of settlement the present season.

East of the river, in this Territory, one hundred and thirty-four miles of township lines have been surveyed and carefully examined, and thirty-two townships, amounting to two thousand two hundred and forty-five miles of subdivisional and meander lines, have been surveyed, examined, platted, and forwarded. The survey of the reservation at Fort Ripley, I regret to state, is not yet approved.

Owing to the difficulty in defining one of the boundaries, (a rivulet) the work was suspended, and, until within a few days, I have never had an opportunity to have it corrected, or rather examined. This will now be done by the deputy intrusted with the district of township lines which has been let west of the line dividing ranges twenty-three and twenty-four, and north of the line dividing townships forty-two and forty-three. In this district are located several Indian reservations, which will be surveyed in strict accordance with your instructions of 8th April last.

In the Chippewa cession the district of township lines indicated by said letter of instructions was let to Mr. William Burt, who has already executed a portion of the work, which has been forwarded for your approval. Special instructions were also prepared for the survey of the Indian reservations in this vicinity; but owing to the inability of the Indian agent to attend to the business, I fear that it will be impossible to execute the survey this season. I am confident, however, that Mr. Burt will do all in his power to comply with the wishes of the department. Before closing this report, I would respectfully call your attention to that portion of Minnesota east of the Mississippi river. A great part of it is valuable only for the pine timber which is found scattered over its surface. This continues to be cut off every season, and especially is this the case when the land is surveyed and not brought into market. The scarcity of this description of timber is already beginning to be felt, as is evident from the exorbitant price that lumber commands in the valley of the Mississippi river; and it is still further evident that the demand is increasing whilst the supply is decreasing yearly. The unexampled rapidity with which northern Iowa and Minnesota is settling has and will tend to increase the demand; and I therefore think that it is the duty as well as the interest of the government to protect these lands from the waste as well as depredations to which they are subject. This can, in a measure, be accomplished by bringing the lands into market with the least delay practicable, and will enable those desiring to purchase to enter the land and secure it from trespassers. Already attention is attracted towards this class of lands, and numerous entries are being made, I am informed, as safe and profitable investments.

Summary of office-work.

Original lists descriptive of the land and all the corners in one hundred and sixty-six townships have been made; also, one copy of each for the use of the land officers—making in all three hundred and thirty-two lists.

The original field-notes of two hundred and fifty-two townships have been recorded, examined carefully, and placed on file in this office. Transcripts of the original field-notes of the same two hundred and fifty-two townships have also been made for preservation at the seat of government.

Index diagrams to five hundred and four townships have been prepared.

The original field-notes of fourteen hundred and six miles of township lines, and eighteen thousand three hundred and twenty-five miles of subdivision and meander lines, have been received, and carefully examined and platted.

Two hundred and eighty-eight township plats have been constructed from the original field-notes, the areas to the north and west boundaries and those adjoining the banks of navigable streams and lakes calculated; in addition to which, two copies of each have been made—making a total of eight hundred and sixty-four plats.

In addition to the above, there are many things that it is impossible to keep a record of, all of which require time and attention, and quite as much of these as those noted above; for instance, records of letters and accounts, special instructions to surveyors, diagrams to accompany such instructions, diagrams for various purposes, construction of swamp-land lists, traverse of streams, platting detached tracts, and, last, the extensive correspondence which such an office as this must necessarily be burdened with.

Papers accompanying, which form a part of this report.

- No. 1. Map of the State of Wisconsin and Territory of Minnesota.
- No. 2. Map of the State of Iowa.
- No. 3. Estimate of appropriations for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1858.
- No. 4. Summary of my salary accounts for the year ending September 30, 1856.
- No. 5. Summary of disbursements for the same period.
- No. 6. Statement of surveys contracted for out of the appropriations of March 3, 1853, and May 31 and August 4, 1854, that were unfinished at the date of the last report.
- No. 7. Statement of surveys contracted for out of the appropriation of March 3, 1855, that were not let or finished at the date of the last report.
- No. 8. Statement of surveys contracted for out of the appropriation of July 8th, 1856.

I am, very respectfully, your obedient servant,
WARNER LEWIS,
Surveyor General.

Hon. THOMAS A. HENDRICKS,
Commissioner of the General Land Office,
Washington, D. C.

No. 3.

Estimate of appropriations requisite for continuing the public surveys in the State of Wisconsin and Territory of Minnesota, for the year ending June 30, 1858.

For surveys in the State of Wisconsin.....	\$16,000 00
For surveys in the Territory of Minnesota, east.....	20,000 00
For surveys in the Territory of Minnesota, west.....	25,000 00
	61,000 00
For incidental expenses of the office.....	3,500 00
Total.....	64,500 00

SURVEYOR GENERAL'S OFFICE,
Dubuque, October 13, 1856.

Estimate of salary for surveyor general and regular clerks, and for compensation of piece clerks, for the year ending June 30, 1858.

For salary of surveyor general and regular clerks, as per act of August 10, 1846.....	\$8,300 00
For compensation of piece clerks.....	10,000 00
Total.....	18,300 00

WARNER LEWIS,
Surveyor General

SURVEYOR GENERAL'S OFFICE,
Dubuque, October 13, 1856.

No. 4.

The United States in account with Warner Lewis, surveyor general of the United States for Wisconsin and Iowa.

SALARY ACCOUNT.

		DR.	
1855.			
Dec. 30	To this amount due surveyor general, from 3d quarter 1855.....		\$40 53
	To amount paid surveyor general and clerks, for 4th quarter 1855.		4,585 77
			4,626 30
1856.			
March 31	To this amount due surveyor general, from 4th quarter 1855.		51 30
	To amount paid surveyor general and clerks, for 1st quarter 1856.		4,712 37
			4,763 67
June 30	To this amount due surveyor general, from 1st quarter 1856.....		188 67
	To amount paid surveyor general and clerks, for 2d quarter 1856.		4,083 03
	To amount due United States, and carried to credit of 3d quarter 1856		724 72
			4,996 42
Sept. 30	To this amount paid surveyor general and clerks, for 3d quarter 1856		4,168 22
	To this amount due United States, and carried to credit of 4th quarter 1856.....		556 50
			4,724 72
		CR.	
1855.			
Dec. 30	By treasury draft No. 1272, on Treasury Interior warrant No. 921.		4,575 00
	By amount due surveyor general, and charged to 1st quarter 1856.		51 30
			4,626 30
1856.			
March 31	By treasury draft No. 1741, on Treasury Interior warrant No. 1385.		4,575 00
	By this amount due surveyor general, and charged to 2d quarter 1856		188 67
			4,763 67
June 30	By amount of treasury draft No. 2421, on Treasury Interior warrant No. 2035.....		4,875 00
	By James A. Reid's account, suspended.....		121 42
			4,996 42
July 1	By this amount due United States, and carried to credit of 3d quarter 1856.....		724 72
Sept. 30	By amount of treasury draft No. 3098, on Treasury Interior warrant No. 2713		4,000 00
			4,724 72
	By this amount due United States, from 3d quarter 1856.....		556 50

WARNER LEWIS,
Surveyor General.

■ SURVEYOR GENERAL'S OFFICE, Dubuque, October 13, 1856.

The United States in account current with Warner Lewis, surveyor general of United States for Wisconsin and Iowa.

Dr. DISBURSEMENT ACCOUNT. Cr.

1855. Dec. 31	To this amount disbursed during the fourth quarter 1855.....	\$443 40	1855. Dec. 31	By this amount due the United States, from third quarter 1855.....	\$135 48
	To this amount due United States, and credited to first quarter 1856.....	292 08		By treasury draft No. 1272, on Treasury Interior warrant No. 921	600 00
		<u>735 48</u>			<u>735 48</u>
1856. March 31	To this amount disbursed during first quarter 1856..	421 38	1856. Jan. 2	By this amount due the United States, from fourth quarter 1855.....	292 08
	To this amount due United States, and credited to second quarter 1856	495 70	Mar. 31	By treasury draft No. 1741, on Treasury Interior warrant No. 1385.....	625 00
		<u>917 08</u>			<u>917 08</u>
June 30	To this amount disbursed during second quarter 1856	464 38	June 30	By this amount due United States, from first quarter 1856.....	495 70
	To this amount due United States, and credited to third quarter 1856.....	331 32		By treasury draft No. 2421, on Treasury Interior warrant No. 2035	300 00
		<u>795 70</u>			<u>795 70</u>
Sept. 30	To this amount disbursed during third quarter 1856.....	511 80	July 1	By this amount due the United States, and credited to third quarter 1856.....	331 32
	To this amount due the United States, and credited to fourth quarter 1856.....	69 52	Sept. 27	By treasury draft No. 3098, on Treasury Interior warrant No. 2713	250 00
		<u>581 32</u>			<u>581 32</u>
			Sept. 30	By this amount due United States, and carried to credit of fourth quarter 1856.....	69 52

REPORT OF THE

Statement of surveys contracted for out of the appropriations of May 31 and August 4, 1854, and that were either not closed or contracted for at the date of my last report.

Date of contract.	Style of work.	Names of contractors.	State or Territory.	Date of account.	Total number of—			Amount.	Remarks.
					Mls.	chs.	lks.		
Sept. 2, 1854	Subdivisions.....	Henry Allen	Iowa	Oct. 25, 1855	360	30	86	\$991 60	Full returns.
Sept. 16, 1854do.....	Hiram Taylor.....	East Minnesota.....	Oct. 31, 1855	410	47	24	1,874 65	2 townships suspended.
Nov. 10, 1854do.....	Henry B. Welsh....	West Minnesota....	Nov. 2, 1855	105	16	06	420 80	Full returns.
July 29, 1854do.....do.....do.....do.....	229	06	05	916 80	Do.
Mar. 14, 1855do.....	James M. Marsh....	East Minnesota.....do.....	75	39	14	396 31	Do.
Sept. 1, 1854do.....	George Temple.....	Iowa	Nov. 16, 1855	178	77	52	492 16	Do.
May 8, 1855do.....	J. A. Langton.....	West Minnesota....	Nov. 29, 1855	289	55	97	1,158 79	Do.
Sept. 19, 1854do.....	J. O. Brunius.....do.....do.....	249	05	13	996 25	Do.
Sept. 11, 1854do.....	D. M. White.....	Wisconsin	Dec. 17, 1855	359	57	59	1,618 73	Do.
Sept. 26, 1854do.....	John T. Everett....	West Minnesota....	Dec. 19, 1855	361	70	15	1,447 50	Do.
Sept. 19, 1854do.....	W. W. Smith.....	Iowa	Jan. 31, 1856	119	43	72	328 75	Do.
Aug 28, 1854do.....	W. E. Daugherty...	Wisconsindo.....	93	55	51	421 62	Do.
Mar. 14, 1855do.....	George Stump.....	Iowa	July 28, 1856	359	15	06	987 76	Do.
April 22, 1855do.....	T. McCulloch.....do.....	Nov. 19, 1855	120	71	14	332 44	Full returns; out of appropriation of March 3, 1853.
Sept. 27, 1853	Township lines.....	Henry Maddin.....	Wisconsin	Dec. 29, 1855	115	74	35	869 47	Full returns; balance relet to E Sears; out of appropriation of March 3, 1853.
Mar. 13, 1855	Subdivisions.....	William Chadwell ..	West Minnesota....	Aug. 4, 1856	181	13	77	724 63	Full returns; out of appropriation of March 3, 1853.

SURVEYOR GENERAL'S OFFICE, Dubuque, October 13, 1856.

WARNER LEWIS, Surveyor General.

Statement of surveys contracted for out of the appropriation of March 3, 1855, that were either not closed or contracted for at the date of my last report.

Date of contract.	Style of work.	Names of contractors.	State or Territory.	Date of account, with plats transmitted to Gen'l Land Office.	Total number of—	Net amount.	Remarks.
					<i>Mls. chs. lks.</i>		
Mar. 14, 1855	Subdivisions...	Oscar F. Davis.....	East Minnesota.	Nov. 5, 1855	697 75 57	\$3,664 20	Full return.
April 2, 1852do.....	Jehn Snook.....	Iowa.....	Jan. 31, 1856	203 64 27	560 45	Do.
May 5, 1855do.....	R. O Chaney.....	Wisconsin.....	Jan. 31, 1856	632 41 01	3,320 69	Do.
May 16, 1855	Township lines.	Edgar Sears.....do.....	Dec. 39, 1855	207 25 46	1,762 20	Do.
May 17, 1855	Subdivisions...	J. T. Nowlin.....	Iowa.....	Dec. 19, 1855	485 72 22	1,336 23	Do.
May 21, 1855do.....	Silas Barnard.....	East Minnesota.	April 9, 1856	372 51 12	1,956 35	One township platted and will be forwarded soon.
May 21, 1855	Township lines.	A. C. Stuntz.....	Wisconsin.....	Dec. 4, 1855	270 13 66	2,296 45	Advance return.
May 22, 1855	Subdivisions...	A. H. Runyon.....	West Minnesota	May 12, 1856	747 76 29	3,216 20	Full return.
May 22, 1855do.....	W. E. Daugherty.....	Wisconsin.....	Feb. 20, 1856	620 09 85	3,255 64	Do.
May 25, 1855do.....	Wm. J. Anderson.....	West Minnesota	Nov. 16, 1855	239 16 86	1,028 60	Advance return.
May 25, 1855do.....	Joel Bailey.....	Wisconsin.....	Dec. 17, 1855	240 79 43	1,265 21	} Full return.
May 25, 1855do.....do.....do.....	June 14, 1855	262 12 57	1,376 32	
June 2, 1855do.....	Wm. W. Smith.....	Iowa.....	Jan. 31, 1856	482 10 05	1,325 84	Do.
June 2, 1855do.....	H. C. Fellows.....	Wisconsin.....	June 2, 1856	882 38 80	4,633 04	Do.
June 4, 1855	Township lines.	John Ryan.....	West Minnesota	Dec. 4, 1855	313 51 82	2,322 29	Do.
June 9, 1855	Subdivisions...	H. Nowlin.....do.....	March 18, 1856	651 60 11	2,802 53	} Do.
June 9, 1855do.....do.....do.....	Jan. 11, 1856	301 23 43	1,295 55	
May 2 and June 9, 1855do.....	Simeon Rockwell.....do.....	Nov. 19, 1855	477 22 91	1,909 14	Do.
June 16, 1855do.....	Seth Millington.....	Iowa.....	Sept. 22, 1856	358 23 24	985 29	Advance return.
June 20, 1855do.....	Jno. P. Brown.....do.....	April 9, 1856	360 18 27	990 62	Full return.
June 25, 1855do.....	S. W. Durham.....do.....	Jan. 19, 1856	479 21 77	1,317 99	Do.
July 10, 1855do.....	Wm. B. Yerby.....	Wisconsin.....	Aug. 6, 1856	245 17 80	1,287 42	Advance return, balance relinquished.
Sept. 1 and July 10, 1855do.....	J. P. Houghton.....do.....	June 30, 1856	692 33 68	3,635 21	Full return.

July 10, 1855	do	T. Simpson	West Minnesota	Dec. 29, 1855	527 78 88	2,521 80	Do.
July 13, 1855	do	Thomas Gilmore	do	March 12, 1856	656 18 75	619 78	Do.
July 24, 1855	do	A. H. Barber	Wisconsin	Oct. 23, 1855	118 04 35	963 26	Do.
July 24, 1855	do	do	do	June 2, 1856	183 38 26	479 89	Advance return.
Aug. 1, 1855	do	Harvey & McMichael	Iowa	June 14, 1856	174 40 72	2,476 83	Full return.
Aug. 1, 1855	do	J. T. Everett	West Minnesota	May 12, 1856	576 00 69	1,476 55	Advance return.
Aug. 7, 1855	do	E. Sears	Wisconsin	June 30, 1856	281 19 83	2,845 52	Full return.
Aug. 20, 1855	do	T. Simpson	do	Jan. 3, 1856	542 00 43	2,326 91	Do.
Aug. 22, 1855	do	J. A. Banker	East Minnesota	June 30, 1856	443 17 74	731 68	Do.
Aug. 28, 1855	Township lines.	Alexander Anderson	Iowa	Jan. 19, 1856	182 73 63	694 06	Do.
Sept. 5, 1855	Subdivisions	A. Sherrill	do	April 9, 1856	252 31 03	681 58	Do.
Sept. 5, 1855	do	do	do	Sept. 11, 1856	247 68 07	511 25	Advance return.
Sept. 8, 1855	do	John W. Webber	do	April 9, 1856	185 72 96	1,149 35	Full return.
Feb. 12, 1856	do	Wm. W. Smith	do	Aug. 27, 1856	417 75 81	1,935 35	Advance return, two townships suspended for meanders.
Mar. 10, 1856	do	A. P. Foster	Wisconsin	Oct. 6, 1856	368 15 17	794 24	Full return.
Sept. 1, 1856	do	Joel Bailey	do	Oct. 6, 1856	151 22 78		In the field.
Oct. 29, 1855	do	J. O. Brunius	West Minnesota				
Oct. 29, 1855	do	Dennis Langton	do				

SURVEYOR GENERAL'S OFFICE, Dubuque, October 13, 1856.

WARNER LEWIS, Surveyor General.

No. 8.

Statement of surveys contracted for out of appropriation of July 8, 1856.

Date of contract.	Style of work.	Name of contractor.	State or Territory.
May 21, 1856.....	Township lines ...	William Burt	East Minnesota
May 25, 1856.....	Township lines ...	William J. Anderson	West Minnesota
May 26, 1856.....	Township lines ...	John Ryan	West Minnesota
August 21, 1856.....	Subdivisions.....	E. N. Darling	West Minnesota
July 14, 1856.....	Subdivisions.....	A. H. Runyon.....	West Minnesota
July 15, 1856.....	Subdivisions.....	H. C. Fellows.....	Wisconsin
July 16, 1856.....	Subdivisions.....	W. E. Daugherty.....	Wisconsin
August 25, 1856.....	Subdivisions.....	Oscar Taylor.....	West Minnesota
September 12, 1856 ...	Township lines ...	J. T. Everett and R. O. Chaney	East Minnesota
September 12, 1856 ...	Township lines ...	John P. Brown	Iowa
September 30, 1856 ...	Subdivisions.....	William B. Yerby.....	Iowa

WARNER LEWIS, *Surveyor General*SURVEYOR GENERAL'S OFFICE, *Dubuque, October 13, 1856.*

ANNUAL REPORT OF SURVEYOR GENERAL FOR ILLINOIS AND MISSOURI

OFFICE OF THE SURVEYOR GENERAL

FOR ILLINOIS AND MISSOURI,

St. Louis, October 20, 1856.

SIR: In accordance with the usages and customs of this office, and in compliance with the instructions from you of the 11th April last, I have the honor to submit the following report upon the operations of this office since the 25th October last, the date of my last annual report.

I.—*Receipts and disbursements of the public funds.*

The amount of money received from the treasurer, as well as the sums paid out by me for the current expenses of the office, during the present year are exhibited in detail by the accompanying statement No. 1.

These expenditures, it appears from the examinations made at your office, and by the auditing department, have been properly applied to the uses for which they were respectively designed.

There remains, upon the rendition of my accounts for the 3d quarter, 1856, a balance of \$1,068 80 due by me to the United States, which amount is deposited with the assistant treasurer at this place and subject to order.

II.—*Surveys of public lands in Illinois and Missouri, and resurveys of erroneous and defective lines.*

The field notes of the corrections of surveys in the vicinity of Fort Hartres and Prairie du Rocher, the execution of which had been intrusted to James Thompson, esq., on the 11th December, 1851, mentioned in my last report as having been returned to the office, were found to contain errors, that rendered further field operations indispensably necessary. Accordingly Mr. Thompson was directed to repair again to the ground and endeavor to correct the inaccuracies in his returns.

After much trouble and delay, owing to the many attempts which had been made to correct the lines of the original survey, he at length succeeded in presenting his operations in such form that they could be approved; which was done, and certified copies of the plats sent to your office and that of the register.

The account of the deputy accompanied the plat, for direct settlement with the Treasury Department, as instructed by you on 21st March and 24th April last.

I will here remark that the same course was pursued with all the accounts which have accrued under this head since the reception of those instructions.

The corrections of the lines in township 29, range 4 east, (southeastern portion of this State) that were found to be erroneous, the instructions for which were issued to Adam Snider, esq., on the 27th March, 1855, have been completed, and the field notes thereof returned to this office. These, upon examination, were found to be correct, and the matter will be finally disposed of by the transmission of approved plats to the department.

Isaac S. Biker, esq., under his contract of 12th November, 1855, has surveyed township 18 north, ranges 1 and 2 east of the third principal meridian in Illinois, which had been ordered by your office, upon the petition therefor of the owners of land in said township—they being unable to properly identify their several bounds and limits, on account of the obliteration of the lines and destruction of the corners of the original surveys.

The work of the deputy having been properly executed, it was approved, and certified plats thereof were transmitted to your office and to the register at Springfield.

The same deputy has also returned field notes of his resurveys in township 15, range 1 east, under his contract of 21st March, 1855. This work was also approved, and the business disposed of in the usual manner.

The citizens of township 48 north, range 5 east, (Missouri,) were informed on 21st November last, by public notice, that the resurveys therein as executed by B. R. Wardlaw, which had been ordered under the directions of your office given on the 18th June, 1855, would be approved unless valid objections thereto were made by the owners of land affected by the said survey. No good reason against its adoption having been urged, the said resurvey was approved and plats thereof sent to the proper offices.

This course I am assured has given more general satisfaction than could have been anticipated when we take into consideration the high valuation of the lands at this time, and the material difference between the present location of the section lines and the position which they were formerly supposed to occupy.

As promised in my last annual report, the returns made by Deputy Joseph Burnap of his resurveys in townships 49, 50, 51 and 52, range 2, and townships 49, 50 and 51 north, range 3 east, in Missouri, which had been made under instructions of November 24, 1854, and April 21, 1855, were taken up and disposed of without unnecessary delay.

With your approval I cancelled the survey of an island of the Mississippi river opposite the town of Saint Genevieve, as executed by Joseph Indest, esq., under instructions of February 24, 1855, because the said island was found to encroach upon portions of the confirmed lots of the Grande prairie common field of Saint Genevieve on the main land.

In recording plats of Missouri, it has been found that the surveys in several townships through which Grand river runs, in the northwest part of the State, are so defective that plats thereof cannot be constructed. It is my intention to have errors corrected so soon as the trees shall have lost their leaves, for in this portion of the State the forests are so dense as to render field operations during the summer months almost impracticable.

During the year orders have been given to different deputies for the survey of quite a number of small islands situated in the Mississippi, Missouri, Des Moines, Fox, Illinois, and Rock rivers, upon the application of individuals therefor. The field notes of such as have been found in a fit condition for approval have been platted, and certified copies of the plats sent to you and the local land offices.

In all cases where the area of an island was not sufficient to reimburse to the United States the cost of its survey, I have referred the deputies to the applicant for compensation.

There are, no doubt, many islands in the various rivers of this district remaining unsurveyed, yet this office has no means of determining their number, situation, or size. Under the general instructions of October 19, 1853, the experiment was made of despatching a deputy up the Mississippi river with directions to run out all the islands which he could find that had not previously been located by the United States, but the business proved difficult and unprofitable. On this account no other proceedings were instituted to carry out those instructions; for it was believed that any further attempts at searching out and surveying the islands which remain would prove as unsatisfactory as the foregoing essay.

The course now pursued is to wait for application from individuals for the survey of such islands, and then to direct it under the conditions of the letter of June 8, 1855.

This office has received many communications concerning the survey of certain townships in the southeastern portion of the State that have not heretofore been run out by the government, because they were considered as containing so small a quantity of arable land that

the United States would not be reimbursed for the cost of survey. The counties in which these townships are situated claim the greater portion of the land they embrace, as inuring to the State under the act of 1850, donating swamp and overflowed lands, &c., and have returned affidavits to that effect to this office; but as neither a description nor actual contents of the tracts could be given, your office could not approve of the selections.

Since the State authorities were informed of that decision, they have been extremely anxious to have the lands surveyed. Pemiscot county authorities have even gone so far as to have the subdivisions of several townships made under their own instructions; the plats and field notes of which operations were transmitted to this office with the request that they should be examined, and, if found correct, approved.

Having no authority to act in the matter without special instructions from your office, I submitted, on the 4th February, the case to you for consideration and decision.

The subject is one of considerable importance to the residents in that portion of the State. From the swampy nature of the land and its liability to inundation, the greater part of the soil is not only rendered unfit for all agricultural purposes, but the health of the surrounding country is so much impaired as to greatly retard its settlement.

I have been informed by persons residing in that region, that could the counties secure the title of those lands under the act of 1850, and to which they have a just right, they would be enabled to raise means sufficient for the purpose of establishing dykes and drains of such character as to effectually reclaim the soil from its present unavailable condition.

III.—*Swamp lands donated by the act of 1850.*

The work under this branch of the service during the year has been confined principally to examining the evidence and giving decisions in the various contests which have arisen between individuals and the State authorities; the former claiming that certain lands which have been selected as coming within the purview of the act of 1850 are not of that character, but are good, dry, tillable lands.

It having been decided by the department that an application to contest the State's claim to a tract of land should be regarded as equivalent to an application to purchase the same, providing the contestor succeeded in having the contested tract cancelled on the swamp list, these cases were very numerous.

In Missouri the contests numbered 136, embracing an aggregate of 28,347.22 acres which it was claimed were not swamp or overflowed land. Of this number, 20,433.33 acres were struck off from the lists.

In Illinois, there were 61 contests, which included 25,784.51 acres. From this quantity, the claim of the State to 14,979.67 acres was rejected.

The same course which was mentioned in the last report as having been pursued in contested cases theretofore, has been followed since. The evidence has been rigidly examined, and in every instance

the original field notes have been consulted. Wherever by them the land was described as being wet and unfit for cultivation, the case was decided in favor of the State, as instructed by your office.

Besides the work already mentioned under this head, there have been returned to your office, and to those of the registers, additional lists of swamp and overflowed lands as follows :

<i>In Missouri.</i> —Three each in the Clinton (now Warsaw) and Springfield districts; two in that of Jackson, and one in the St. Louis district; which 9 lists include.....	79,452.81 acres.
<i>In Illinois.</i> —One list in the Quincy district, in that of Danville one, and in the district of Chicago two. These 4 lists embrace an aggregate of.....	30,084.36
<i>Making a total in both States of.....</i>	<u>109,537.17</u>

IV.—*Surveys of towns and villages in Missouri, named in the acts of 13th June, 1812, and 26th May, 1824.*

The following field work has been executed under the direction of this office, during the year, to wit :

Of the 8th November, by Joseph Indest, the survey of a piece of ground east of block No. 43 of this city.

The survey was approved, and the land which it embraces, assigned to the public schools, in conformity to the provisions of the second section of the act first above named.

Of the 3d December, by the same deputy, a parcel of land east of block 44, in St. Louis. This was also assigned to the schools.

Of the 30th April, by Deputy Clarence Delafield, in the southeast quarter of block 32, of Carondelet, a confirmation to Adam Brown under Louis Motié, by the act of 29th April, 1816. The survey was approved, and a plat and transcript thereof returned to the records of land titles.

Of the 12th May, by the same deputy, the survey of the confirmation to Françoise Dupuis, under Charles Dufois, and the resurvey of the confirmation to Pierre Guerette, under Auguste Chouteau, in block No. 41 of St. Louis. This latter was confirmed by the board as being 120 by 150 feet, and was so run out by Jos. C. Brown; but the lot having been augmented by the purchase of 30 feet from the owners of the lot bounding it on the south, and confirmed with this addition by the act of 1824, it was considered necessary that the said survey should be so made as to include 150 by 150 feet. The returns of the deputy were found to have been correctly executed, and certified plats and transcripts of the said survey returned to the records of land titles.

In addition to the assignments already mentioned, there were designated and set apart for the use of schools the following pieces of ground, viz:

In the city of St. Louis: a portion of block No. 89, in the rear of the Chouteau lot, and two parcels in block No. 45, which were sur-

veyed by Deputy Delafield under the instructions dated the 12th of May for the former, and the 23d of same month for the latter.

In the town of St. Genevieve: an entire block, for the rightful ownership of which no claimant was found of record.

The school lands of the said town of St. Genevieve and New Bourbon, made the subject of my report of the 19th of April, in which I pointed out what, to my judgment, seems to be the only mode of settling this complex matter. It is now in the hands of Congress; and I respectfully request that its attention be called to the case, with a view of passing the necessary act to remove the difficulties of its adjustment, under the existing laws.

The business of making to the schools of the town of St. Charles the assignments of lands to which they are entitled by the acts of 1812 and 1824, is now progressing. There are no instructions from your office, (as those laws seem to require,) which were specially given for these assignments to the schools of St. Charles; but I, however, consider those of the 28th June, 1851, issued to Surveyor General Clark, as sufficiently explicit to justify the proceeding.

When the St. Charles assignments are terminated, the school allotments to the towns and villages named in the acts aforesaid will have been completed.

V.—*Record of land titles under the act of June 12, 1840.*

The record of New Madrid certificates, and the papers pertaining to them, as well as that of the contracts for surveying the public lands since the opening of the office in 1815, adverted to in my last report, is completed.

There were recorded during the year, viz:

A.—Of documents that had been furnished by the recorder of land titles to the surveyor general in pursuance of law:

The list of the private claims in Missouri, confirmed by the board of commissioners, and by the act of April 29, 1816.

The transcripts of seventy-two claims confirmed by the act of July 4, 1836, to replace originals lost, or those too much defaced or otherwise injured to be bound into volumes.

The list of confirmations of towns, out, and common field lots by the board of commissioners; and the list of lots of the same nature confirmed by the act of April 29, 1816.

The list of confirmations by Recorder Hunt under the acts of June 13, 1812, and May 26, 1824, in the towns and villages of Missouri.

All of those records are provided with direct and inverted indexes.

B.—Of our own files:

The five volumes A, B, C, D, E, containing the correspondence of the surveyor general from November 23, 1824, to April 11, 1839.

The letters from the General Land Office to the surveyor general, during the years 1824 to 1832—four volumes.

The two volumes furnished by your office of the missing correspondence between the Commissioner and this office previous to the year 1824.

The field notes of three hundred and sixty-three exterior lines, and of one hundred and fifty-six subdivisions of townships in Missouri; also the notes of the State lines between Missouri and Arkansas, and

Missouri and Iowa; likewise the notes of resurveys, of private surveys, detached lines, meanders, and small fractions of land, have been added to the record of the townships to which they respectively belong.

The field notes of the resurvey of and in fifteen townships of Illinois, with similar additions to those just mentioned for Missouri.

From the accompanying statement No. 3, you will perceive that twenty plats of townships (4 in Illinois and 16 in Missouri) have been recorded or constructed; and that fifty-seven township plats (8 in Illinois and 49 in Missouri) have been copied, either for the General Land Office or for the registers; making an aggregate of 77 plats.

VI.—*Miscellaneous work.*

There have been transcribed for preservation at the seat of government the field notes of the surveys and resurveys made during the year.

The field notes of private surveys in Illinois and Missouri, transcribed for the same purpose, are now under process of completion. They will be transmitted in a short time.

The record of letters, statements, notices, &c., during the year, fills up seven hundred and twenty-seven pages of letter-paper.

The accounts are recorded up to and inclusive of the 2d quarter. Those of the 3d quarter will be recorded when I am advised of their adjustment by the department.

Of descriptive lists of townships there have been transmitted to registers, viz: In the Vandalia district, Illinois, one; in that of Springfield, Illinois, two; in that of St. Louis, one; and in that of Jackson, Missouri, one hundred and sixty-two. Two hundred and forty-two descriptive lists have been examined.

Of recorded township plats, three hundred and eighty were examined and corrected.

Of the exhibits of private claims in Illinois and Missouri, that of the confirmations by the former board of commissioners (Missouri) is nearly completed.

All the plats of townships, subdivisions, islands, resurvey, &c., required by the service, were made out and sent to your office and to such of the registers as needed them.

The synopsis of the operations of the office since the date of the last annual report, which was required by your letter of the 11th April, was begun upon the reception of that letter, and the several items entered in it as facts occurred. It is now continued to serve as the basis of the next annual report.

There were issued nine certificates of purchase (from No. 15 to 23) of land by registers. These cases are carefully noted on the township plats.

There were issued two certificates of relocation for valid interferences with surveys of confirmation by the act of 4th July, 1836.

There were platted, transcribed, and recorded, of private surveys, of all descriptions, one hundred and thirty-two surveys in Illinois; and in Missouri, ninety-one surveys; of these latter, thirty-six were of village or town lots and school-lands.

Plats and transcripts of private surveys in Missouri were returned

to the recorder, viz: of town and village lots twenty-four, and of the general series two hundred and fifty-one.

There being still unsurveyed thirteen locations of certificates issued under the New Madrid act of 17th February, 1815, the necessary papers in each case for their survey have been prepared. On this subject I will remark, in consideration of the closing of this branch of the service, that, whether or not the claimants apply for it, the locations should be surveyed, were it only for the sake of the purchasers of adjoining lands, the lines of which have never been run, when they are common with those of the locations, if these latter take in for complement of the quantity granted a portion of a quarter, or any other division of the section.

I intend to report on this subject and ask for your instructions.

In my report of the 2d of August, I complied with the instructions of the 13th of May, relative to the proposal by the council of the town of Carondelet to the United States, to exchange the tract surveyed in 1840, under the direction of the War Department, for the military reserve at Jefferson barracks, within the common of the said town, for another tract; or rather so to alter some of the boundaries of the survey of 1840, as to make it conform to the lines of the subdivisions of the common by the town corporation. I have endeavored fully and strictly to carry out the letter and spirit of the instruction, and taken all the steps that seemed to be conducive to a clear apprehension of the case, in view of the decision to be rendered upon it by the superior authority.

In conformity with the authorization given the 28th November, to purchase, as recommended in my last report, law books for the use of the office, such books as were deemed essential for the transaction of business have been bought. Upon each of them is the annotation that they are the property of the United States.

In pursuance of another authorization of the 4th August, upon my request therefor, I have had printed one thousand copies of the printed instructions to deputies of the district for surveying the public lands and the private confirmed claims. This pamphlet I consider as the best means of enabling State county surveyors and other persons engaged in field operations, to fulfil their duties correctly, and to solve such difficulties as are thrown in their way by the defectiveness or the obliteration of early surveys. For that reason I am induced to furnish the pamphlet to such persons whose avocations justify their application therefor.

As requested, I transmitted on the 22d September two hundred copies of the pamphlet for the use of your office.

VII.—*Estimate of funds wanted for the fiscal year 1857-'58.*

These estimates are detailed in my letter of the 23d September, a copy of which is accompanying this report, as statement No. 2. They are divided into seven items, presenting an aggregate of \$20,320.

As the sums asked for are for the same services for which appropriations were made for a number of years past, it is not thought requisite to review them in detail; I will therefore content myself by

stating that they are deemed necessary to conduct the various branches of the service of this district during the period mentioned above, and by requesting your recommendation of them to Congress for allowance.

This report is sent in triplicate, and in the form indicated by the instructions of 11th April, with the accompanying statements Nos. 1, 2, and 3; but without diagrams of the two States of the district, as the data the report contains need not the illustration of diagrams,

All of which is respectfully submitted.

I am, very respectfully, sir, your obedient servant,

JOHN LOUGHBOROUGH,

Surveyor General

THOMAS A. HENDRICKS, Esq.,

Commissioner of the General Land Office,

City of Washington.

No. 1.

General statement of receipts and disbursements by the surveyor general for Illinois and Missouri of the public funds allowed for the several services of his office since October 25, 1855, the date of his last annual report, viz:

A.—Appropriations of \$5,820 of March 3, 1855, and August 18, 1856, for compensations to the surveyor general and his clerks, and apportionment of \$4,800, made April 27, 1855, and that of \$4,000, made August 25, 1856, for compensation to extra clerks, out of the appropriations for that object in the acts of said March 3, 1855, and August 18, 1856.			
Balance due the United States October 25, 1855		\$189 19	
Cash received from Treasurer 4th quarter, 1855		2,655 00	
Cash received from Treasurer 1st quarter, 1856		2,655 00	
Cash received from Treasurer 2d quarter, 1856		2,655 00	
Cash received from Treasurer 3d quarter, 1856		2,455 00	
			\$10,609 19
Expended during 4th quarter, 1855	\$2,370 12		
Expended during 1st quarter, 1856	2,913 78		
Expended during 2d quarter, 1856	2,797 29		
Expended during 3d quarter, 1856	2,552 78		
			10,633 97
Balance due the surveyor general October 1, 1856			24 78
B.—Appropriation for the survey of towns and villages in Missouri, named in the acts of June 13, 1812, and May 26, 1824.			
Balance due the United States October 25, 1855			750 27
Expended during 4th quarter, 1855	96 09		
Expended during 1st quarter, 1856	115 38		
Expended during 2d quarter, 1856	76 92		
Expended during 3d quarter, 1856	165 09		
			453 48
Balance due the United States October 1, 1856			296 79

No. 1—Continued.

<i>Appropriation for the correction of erroneous and defective lines of the public and private surveys in Illinois and Misosur i, and that for same corrections in Missouri.</i>			
Balance due the United States October 25, 1855, of the late appropriation.....		\$617 14	
Cash received from the Treasurer in 4th quarter, 1855.....		592 86	
Cash received from the Treasurer in 1st quarter, 1856.....		31 85	
			1,231 85
Balance due surveyor general October 25, 1855.....	\$36 92		
Expended during 4th quarter, 1855.....	1,194 93		
			1,231 85
<i>Portionments of \$2,500 of April 27, 1855, and August 25, 1856, to meet the incidental expenses of the surveyor general's office.</i>			
Balance due the United States October 25, 1855.....		367 58	
Charge in account of 3d quarter, 1855.....		10 00	
Cash received from Treasurer 4th quarter, 1855.....		625 00	
Cash received from Treasurer 1st quarter, 1856.....		625 00	
Cash received from Treasurer 2d quarter, 1856.....		625 00	
Cash received from Treasurer 3d quarter, 1856.....		625 00	
			2,877 58
Expended during 4th quarter, 1855.....	517 65		
Expended during 1st quarter, 1856.....	514 90		
Expended during 2d quarter, 1856.....	616 57		
Expended during 3d quarter, 1856.....	448 62		
			2,097 74
Balance due the United States October 1, 1856.....			\$779 84
<i>Portionments of \$8,000 of April 27, 1855, and \$6,000 August 25, 1856, to complete the record of land titles in the district, as required by the act of June 12, 1840.</i>			
Balance due the United States October 25, 1855.....		764 55	
Cash received from Treasurer 4th quarter, 1855.....		1,500 00	
Cash received from Treasurer 1st quarter, 1856.....		1,500 00	
Cash received from Treasurer 2d quarter, 1856.....		1,500 00	
Cash received from Treasurer 3d quarter, 1856.....		1,500 00	
			6,764 55
Expended during 4th quarter, 1855.....	1,827 64		
Expended during 1st quarter, 1856.....	1,729 03		
Expended during 2d quarter, 1856.....	1,584 79		
Expended during 3d quarter, 1856.....	1,606 14		
			6,747 60
Balance due the United States October 1, 1856.....			16 95
Aggregate of the balance due the United States.....			1,093 58
From which, deducting the balance due the surveyor general by the salary fund.....			24 78
Leaves an actual balance against him of.....			1,068 80

JNO. LOUGHBOROUGH,
Surveyor General.

OFFICE OF THE SURVEYOR GENERAL,
St. Louis, October 20, 1856.

No. 2.

OFFICE OF THE SURVEYOR GENERAL
St. Louis, September 23, 1856.

SIR: In compliance with the instructions of 11th April last, I herewith submit the following estimates of the funds that will be required for the service of this district during the fiscal year 1857-'58:

Item No. 1.	For the salary of the surveyor general.....	\$2,000 00
Item No. 2.	For the salary of clerks, as per act of 9th May, 1836.....	3,820 00
Item No. 3.	For the salary of extra clerks to supply the deficiency of the above regular appro- priation	4,000 00
Item No. 4.	For terminating the record of land titles in Illinois and Missouri, made under the act of 12th June, 1840.....	4,000 00
Item No. 5.	For incidental expenses of this office.....	2,500 00
Item No. 6.	For survey of towns and villages in Missouri, assignment of school land, &c.....	2,000 00
Item No. 7.	For survey of defective and erroneous lines of the public and private surveys in Illi- nois and Missouri.....	2,000 00
	Total.....	<u>\$20,320 00</u>

I am, very respectfully, your obedient servant,
 JOHN LOUGHBOROUGH,
Surveyor General

THOMAS A. HENDRICKS, Esq.,
Commissioner of the General Land Office.

No. 3.

Statement showing the plats of townships which have been recorded or constructed between October 25, 1855, and the above date, and the number of plats of townships which have been copied for the use of the local and the general land offices.

Township.	Range.	Meridian.	Plats recorded or constructed.	Plats copied.	Remarks.
<i>In Illinois.</i>					
5 S.....	9 W	3d	1	2	
15 N.....	1 E	3d	1	2	
18 N.....	1 and 2 E	3d	2	4	
Total.....			4	8	
<i>In Missouri, north and east of the meridian.</i>					
36.....	2			1	
34, 35, 36.....	3			3	
31, 34, 37.....	4			3	
29.....	4		1	2	
31.....	4		1		
45 and 49.....	5		1	2	On same plat.
28, 31, 35, 36, 37.....	5			5	
35.....	6			1	
34 and 35.....	7			2	
34.....	7		1		
33.....	7		1	1	
31, 33, 37.....	8			3	
26.....	8		1		
24, 33, 35, 36.....	9			4	
34 and 35.....	9		2		
30, 31, 32, 33, 35, 36.....	10			6	
33.....	10		1		
33, 35, 36.....	11			3	
31.....	12		1		
21, 22, 29.....	13			3	
20, 21, 23, 24, 28.....	14			5	
30, 27, 28.....	15			3	
<i>North and west of the meridian.</i>					
31.....	1		1		
30 and 31.....	2		2		
41.....	11		1		
45.....	12		1		
41.....	14		1		
39.....	31			2	
Total.....			16	49	

RECAPITULATION.

	Plats record- ed or con- structed.	Plats copied.
Illinois.....	4	8
Missouri.....	16	49
	20	57 20
Making an aggregate of.....		77

JNO. LOUGHBOROUGH, *Surveyor General.*

OFFICE OF THE SURVEYOR GENERAL, *St. Louis, October 20, 1856.*

ANNUAL REPORT OF THE SURVEYOR GENERAL OF ARKANSAS.

SURVEYOR GENERAL'S OFFICE,
Little Rock, Arkansas, October 28, 1856.

SIR: In obedience to your instructions of the 27th ultimo, I have the honor to transmit in triplicate the annual report, exhibiting, by the accompanying documents, (Nos. 1 to 9,) the condition of the surveying department in Arkansas, and the amount of field and office labor performed since the date of the last annual report from this office.

Field-work.

Statement No. 1 exhibits the condition of the unfinished contracts for the survey of the public lands at the date of my last annual report. Of these but two remain incomplete—Mr. McPherson's contract of September 25, 1855, (item 7 of statement No. 1,) and Mr. James A. Martin's, of 30th May, 1855. Both of these contracts will be completed at an early day, as both the deputies are now in the field. The contract of Mr. James S. Garretson, of 18th September, 1854, so far as regards the field work, is completed, and the whole paid for by the Treasurer, on his account for the same, certified from this office, with the exception of township 2 south, range 9 west, the account for which has not yet been forwarded.

Statement No. 2 exhibits the condition of the contracts entered into since my last annual report. Shortly after entering into contract with the two deputies referred to in this statement, your letter of the 11th February last was received, in which you were pleased to remark that "no resurveys will be undertaken in future unless authorized by the Department of the Interior, through this office." Although, as mentioned in statement No 2, one of the deputies had made his preparations for an immediate departure, in order to execute his work, it

was thought proper, until further advices from you, to countermand said contract; and it so remains in that condition.

Desirous to facilitate the department in all its views relative to bringing on the business of this office to a speedy close, the resurveying and retracing the lines of the public surveys in this State has occupied my most serious attention.

The attention of your office has been heretofore directed to the large amount of fraudulent surveys executed by the late Charles H. Pelham, deputy surveyor; and in advices from the General Land Office, dated April 18, 1855, my "earnest attention was directed to these aggravated evils to the surveying service, the extent of which it is very important to determine as far as possible." I have therefore caused a tabular statement, (No. 5,) also further illustrated by diagram, (A No. 1,) to be prepared, which shows all the townships in this office, the field-notes of which bear his name, the date of their reported original survey, and the date of those which have been resurveyed; an estimate for which, at the usual rate of four dollars per mile, will be found in the annual estimate for the fiscal year ending 30th June, 1858, appended to this report.

I cannot but reiterate the sentiments of my predecessors respecting these fraudulent surveys, and earnestly hope for a speedy adjustment of the same. I have thought proper to present the letter of Mr. Byrne, county surveyor of Carroll county, in this State, relative to Pelham's surveys in that county, as part of this report—which see, marked No. 9.

Office-work.

In this department the usual industry has been exerted in the furtherance of the public business. No. 3 exhibits the number of plats made from the field-notes of resurvey or retracement since the date of the last annual report. Some of these were of the most difficult character, requiring no little time and skill in their construction. In the work of reconstructing from the original field-notes new plats to supply the place of old, defaced, or worn out ones, but little has been done—the calculation of the areas for the new maps of resurvey occupying the almost undivided time of one clerk. It is intended, however, to recommence this work with vigor; indeed, the most urgent necessity exists for the construction of new maps, the worn out and old ones in this office having become almost useless.

Table No. 4 exhibits the number of descriptive lists made for the several district land offices. Though this work is now closed, its prosecution was attended with considerable delay and difficulty—the field-notes pertaining to the several land districts having been strongly bound, pagged, and prefaced with a suitable index. The whole of the Batesville Land Districts, in three volumes, were forwarded to the register of that district, 4th April last, and their receipt duly acknowledged by him. The volumes for the remaining districts are now securely packed, waiting a favorable opportunity for transit. In future it is intended, when the descriptive field-notes of resurveyed townships shall have been completed, to forward the same to the register, with the plat of such township.

Statement No. 5 exhibits a list of the townships surveyed by Charles H. Pelham, deputy surveyor, extending over a period of twenty-one years, referred to above. Diagram A No. 1 shows the townships recommended for resurvey or retracement under the recommendations of former surveyors general, and for which appropriations have been made by Congress; the townships surveyed under those appropriations, and those yet remaining subject, under the direction of the Commissioner, to resurvey.

No. 4 exhibits the townships and boundaries recorded for transfer to the State of Arkansas, under the act of 12th June, 1840. During the first half of the last fiscal year, this important work was vigorously prosecuted to the full extent of the appropriation. But one clerk is now employed in that business; and when advices shall have been received from the General Land Office, informing me of the provision allotted to this office for that purpose, the transfer recording shall again be perseveringly carried on to completion. The work of indexing and binding these records had already been commenced, but was interrupted by the reopening of the swamp business, and occasional sickness in the office. It is hoped the present fiscal year will afford more favorable opportunities for carrying out the designs of Congress, expressed in the act above alluded to.

In addition to the preparation of the swamp land lists, which is necessarily slow, the regular force of this office has been engaged in the making of plats and diagrams of subdivisions for the local land offices; preparing contracts and special instructions to deputy surveyors; in recording and keeping up the correspondence of the office; in the preparation and examination of accounts and vouchers, and in recording the same; in the calculation of areas, and in the comparing of transcripts intended for transmission to the General Land Office, or transfer to the State.

Swamp Lands.

Aware of the urgent manner in which the department from time to time has pressed this matter on my attention, no time has been lost in acting upon the reports of the State locating agents, forwarded to this office by the governor of the State. Almost the whole disposable force of this office has, during the past summer, been engaged in the compilation, examination, copying, and comparing the several lists for the respective land districts and the General Land Office.

Keeping in mind the instructions issued from your office at various times, and the rules prescribed for my guidance, I have patiently examined the reports of the several agents, and decided according to the evidence before me.

Whenever the plats and field-notes indicated a tract to be dry, rolling, or fit for cultivation, I have caused the same to be embraced in list marked No. 3, and withheld them from recommendation as lands not inuring to the State under the act of 28th September, 1850, except in cases where the field-note record was considered fraudulent, (those of C. H. Pelham, deputy surveyor, for instance;) in that case, I have preferred the locator's oath, and acted accordingly. But where

the field-notes indicated that the lines constituting the boundaries of tracts returned as "swamp and overflowed," were wet, or swamp, or overflow, or where they were silent as to that fact, then the collateral testimony of the locator's oath, or the position of the tract on the map, regarding its contiguity to streams, swamps, lakes, &c., were admitted as evidence in favor, and all such tracts were embraced in list No. 5, and certified to the Commissioner as inuring to the State under the act of 1850.

I have, also, in accordance with the suggestions contained in your communication of the 12th August last, caused neat copies of all swamp land lists, upon which this office has taken final action, to be forwarded to the registers of the district land offices of the State.

No little difficulty has been experienced in arranging the disorderly materials presented in many of the reports of the locating agents. Tracts of land certified to your office years ago as "swamp and overflowed" by the field-notes, are again and again brought forward in the several lists for examination, and in many instances the entire reports of the agents are made up of lands of this character.

Since the date of the last annual report, the governor of the State has filed in this office lists of lands, selected as "swamp and overflowed," amounting to 622,220.24 acres; out of which I have certified to your office as inuring to the State, under the act of 1850, 324,457.45 acres. The remainder, viz: 297,762.88 acres, not being sustained by the evidence required, I have withheld from certification.

Tabular statement No. 8 is an estimate of the funds required to meet the disbursements of this office for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1858. In that estimate, which I caused to be forwarded on the 6th instant, there is no item for the resurvey of townships fraudulently surveyed by Charles H. Pelham; but since making out the tabular statement, I have thought it necessary to include an item to cover the correction or resurvey of that work, believing it better for the public interest, as well as for the private citizens on those lands, that the same may the more speedily be put under contract, with skillful and faithful deputies, and, it is hoped, a final adjustment made of the survey of the public lands in this State.

The following is a list of the documents forming a part of and accompanying this report, viz:

1. Tabular statement No. 1, showing the condition of the contracts not closed October 29, 1855, the date of the last annual report from this office.

2. Statement No. 2, showing the condition of contracts for the survey of the public lands in the State of Arkansas, entered into since October 29, 1855, the date of the last annual report from this office.

3. A list of plats constructed from the field-notes of resurvey, which have been examined and approved since the date of the last annual report from this office, (marked No. 3.)

4. Table of description lists, (marked No. 4,) made from the field-notes of original survey and resurvey, since the date of the last annual report from this office.

5. Table marked No. 5 shows a list of the townships originally surveyed by Charles H. Pelham, deputy surveyor, between the years 1821

and 1843, but which are now discovered to be grossly erroneous or fraudulent.

6. Table marked No. 6, a list of townships and boundaries, the field-notes of which have been recorded for transfer to the State of Arkansas, under the act of June 12, 1840.

7. Table, marked No. 7, exhibiting those townships and boundaries which have been recorded for transmission to the General Land Office.

8. Estimate of funds required to meet the disbursements of this office for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1858, under the several heads of "salaries," "preparing unfinished records," "incident expenses," "survey of the public lands," and "swamp lands."

9. Letter from the county surveyor of Carroll county, respecting the surveys in that county, (marked No. 9.)

10. Diagram, marked A No. 1, exhibiting the various townships now recommended for resurvey or retracement—the townships surveyed by the late Charles H. Pelham, &c.

All of which is respectfully submitted by,

Your obedient servant,

H. M. RECTOR,

Surveyor General of Arkansas.

THOMAS A. HENDRICKS, Esq.,

Commissioner of General Land Office, Washington, D. C.

No. 1.

Statement showing the condition of the contracts not closed October 20, 1855, the date of the last annual report from this office.

No. 1. Contract of Granville McPherson, dated January 8th, 1855, embracing the following townships, to wit: Township 6 north, ranges 16 and 17 west, (south of old Cherokee boundary); township 5 north, range 16 west, north of Arkansas river; part of township 5 north, range 14 west; township 7 north, range 14 west; township 7 north, range 16 west, (south of Cherokee boundary); and townships 20 and 21 north, ranges 20 and 21 west. The whole of this contract has been completed, field-work examined and approved, plats made and copies forwarded to Commissioner and registers' offices, and accounts adjusted and paid.

No. 2. Contract of Allen Martin, dated January 12th, 1855, embracing townships 2 north, ranges 11 and 12 west; township 1 north, range 12 west; part of township 3 north, range 13 west; township 4 north, range 13 west; township 5 north, range 13 west; and township 5 north, range 12 west. This contract, of which the field-work was finished at the date of the last annual report from this office, but the field-notes not examined, has, since that period, been satisfactorily closed, plats made and sent to Commissioner and registers, and accounts adjusted at the treasury.

No. 4. Contract of James A. Martin dated May 30th, 1855, embracing townships 2 north, ranges 21, 22, 23 and 24 west; township 11 north,

range 3 west, (east of White river); township 20 north, range 6 west; township 10 north, range 3 west; and the survey of accretions formed on the margin of a large lake in township 5 south, range 9 west. Of this contract Mr. Martin has finished the lake survey. Township 10 north, range 3 west, (east of White river,) and township 20 north, range 6 west, of which the plats have been made and forwarded to Commissioner and register, and accounts adjusted at the treasury. Townships 2 north, ranges 21, 22, 23 and 24 west, are not yet completed, the deputy being now engaged in their resurvey.

No. 5. Contract of George W. Hughes. The maps of this deputy's field-work were not made when the last annual report was forwarded. They have since been forwarded, and account for his work adjusted at the treasury.

No. 6. Contract of James A. Martin, dated June 27th, 1854. Township 3 north, range 14 west, field-notes returned but not examined; township 4 south, range 17 west; deputy in the field.

No. 7. Contract of Granville McPherson, dated September 25th, 1855, embracing township 6 north, range 1 west; townships 10, 12 and 13 north, range 2 east; townships 11 and 13 north, range 3 east; township 5 north, range 4 east; township 4 north, range 5 east; township 19 north, range 21 west. Of this contract, townships 12 and 13 north, range 2 east, have been completed, field-notes examined and approved, and account adjusted at the treasury. Township 13 north, range 3 east, resurveyed, field-notes examined and approved, but plats not yet made. Township 10 north, range 2 east, and township 4 north, range 5 east; deputy at work in the field.

No. 8. Contract of Allen Martin, dated September 25th, 1855. Townships 5 and 6 south, range 18 west; township 6 south, range 17 west; township 5 south, range 15 west; township 3 south, range 11 west; township 6 south, range 8 west; and township 1 north, range 11 west. Of this contract township 3 south, range 11 west; township 6 south, range 18 west; and township 5 south, range 15 west, remain uncompleted. Townships 6 south, range 8 west, and 6 south, range 17 west, have been completed, field-notes examined and approved, and accounts adjusted at the treasury. The deputy is now in the field completing this contract.

No. 9. Special instructions to C. Langtree, of September 25th, 1855. Townships 13 and 14 south, range 8 west, and correction of township 15 south, range 10 west, and township 18 south, range 9 west. Under these instructions, the deputy proceeded to resurvey township 18 south, range 9 west, with its contiguous boundaries, the field-notes of which were returned, examined and approved, and plat of the same sent to General Land Office. An account for retracement of the boundaries only was presented, certified from this office, and adjusted at the treasury.

The remainder of the contract remains uncompleted.

H. M. RECTOR,
Surveyor General.

SURVEYOR GENERAL'S OFFICE,
Little Rock, Ark., October 28, 1856.

No. 2.

Statement showing the condition of contracts for the survey of the public lands in the State of Arkansas, entered into since October 29, 1855, the date of the last annual report of this office.

No. 1. Contract with Granville McPherson for the retracement of township 1 north, range 13 west, dated February 12, 1856, at four dollars per mile. While the deputy was engaged in resurveying this township, instructions were received from the General Land Office, which the surveyor general construed as sufficient to warrant him in suspending further contracts until more fully advised by the Commissioner. As Mr. McPherson had made considerable progress in executing the resurvey of this township, it was thought proper to permit him to finish it; but the field-notes have not been examined, nor will the account for the resurvey of the same be certified from this office, until definite instructions from the Commissioner relating to the allotment of appropriations for resurveying the public domain in the State of Arkansas, for the next fiscal year, shall have been received for the government of this office.

No. 2. Contract with C. Langtree, dated February 25th, 1856, for the resurvey of the following townships, at \$4 and \$6 per mile: Township 1 north, range 14 west; township 2 north, range 13 west; townships 8 and 10 south, range 15 west; townships 18 and 19 south, range 20 west; township 15 south, range 5 west, and townships 14 south, ranges 7 and 8 west. Mr. Langtree had made his preparations to start for the field when the letter of the Commissioner, above alluded to, was received. Operations suspended until further advice from Washington.

H. M. RECTOR,
Surveyor General.

SURVEYOR GENERAL'S OFFICE,
Little Rock, Ark., October 28, 1856.

No. 3.

A list of plats constructed from the field-notes of resurveys, which have been examined and approved since the date of the last annual report from this office, of the townships as follows:

Townships.	No. of copies.
Township 6, north of the base line, range 1, west of the 5th principal meridian.....	3
Township 16, north of the base line, range 1, west of the 5th principal meridian.....	3
Township 1, north of the base line, range 1, west of the 5th principal meridian.....	3
Township 10, north of the base line, range 3, west of the 5th principal meridian.....	3
Township 11, north of the base line, range 3, west (east of White river) of the 5th principal meridian.....	3
Township 18, north of the base line, range 3, west of the 5th principal meridian.....	3
Township 20, north of the base line, range 6, west of the 5th principal meridian.....	3
Township 1, north of the base line, range 10, west of the 5th principal meridian.....	3
Township 2, north of the base line, range 11, west of the 5th principal meridian.....	3
Township 1, north of the base line, range 12, west of the 5th principal meridian.....	2
Township 5, north of the base line, range 12, west of the 5th principal meridian.....	3
Township 2, north of the base line, range 12, west of the 5th principal meridian.....	3
Township 3, north of the base line, range 13, west of the 5th principal meridian.....	3
Township 4, north of the base line, range 13, west of the 5th principal meridian.....	3
Township 5, north of the base line, range 13, west of the 5th principal meridian.....	3
Township 20, north of the base line, range 21, west of the 5th principal meridian.....	3
Township 21, north of the base line, range 21, west of the 5th principal meridian.....	3
Township 12, north of the base line, range 2, east of the 5th principal meridian.....	3
Township 11, north of the base line, range 3, east of the 5th principal meridian.....	3
Township 1, south of the base line, range 8, west of the 5th principal meridian.....	3

REPORT OF THE
LIST OF PLATS—Continued.

Townships.	No. of copies
Township 2, south of the base line, range 8, west of the 5th principal meridian.....	3
Township 6, south of the base line, range 8, west of the 5th principal meridian.....	2
Township 3, south of the base line, range 9, west of the 5th principal meridian.....	3
Township 5, south of the base line, range 9, west of the 5th principal meridian.....	2
Township 18, south of the base line, range 9, west of the 5th principal meridian.....	3
Township 1, south of the base line, range 16, west of the 5th principal meridian.....	3
Township 2, south of the base line, range 16, west of the 5th principal meridian.....	3
Township 2, south of the base line, range 17, west of the 5th principal meridian.....	3
Township 6, south of the base line, range 17, west of the 5th principal meridian.....	3
In all.....	84

H. M. RECTOR,
Surveyor General

SURVEYOR'S OFFICE,
Little Rock, Arkansas, October 28, 1856.

No. 4.

Table of descriptive lists for several district land offices, made from the field-notes of original survey and resurvey, since the date of the last annual report from this office, of the following townships:

Township 16, north of the base line, range 1, west of the 5th principal meridian.

Township 18, north of the base line, range 1, west of the 5th principal meridian.

Township 21, north of the base line, range 2, west of the 5th principal meridian.

Township 10, north of the base line, range 3, west of the 5th principal meridian.

Township 11, north of the base line, range 3, west of the 5th principal meridian.

- Township 18, north of the base line, range 3, west of the 5th principal meridian.
- Township 13, north of the base line, range 6, west of the 5th principal meridian.
- Township 14, north of the base line, range 6, west of the 5th principal meridian.
- Township 15, north of the base line, range 6, west of the 5th principal meridian.
- Township 16, north of the base line, range 6, west of the 5th principal meridian.
- Township 17, north of the base line, range 6, west of the 5th principal meridian.
- Township 19, north of the base line, range 6, west of the 5th principal meridian.
- Township 20, north of the base line, range 6, west of the 5th principal meridian.
- Township 10, north of the base line, range 7, west of the 5th principal meridian.
- Township 11, north of the base line, range 7, west of the 5th principal meridian.
- Township 14, north of the base line, range 7, west of the 5th principal meridian.
- Township 17, north of the base line, range 7, west of the 5th principal meridian.
- Township 18, north of the base line, range 7, west of the 5th principal meridian.
- Township 10, north of the base line, range 8, west of the 5th principal meridian.
- Township 15, north of the base line, range 8, west of the 5th principal meridian.
- Township 11, north of the base line, range 9, west of the 5th principal meridian.
- Township 15, north of the base line, range 9, west of the 5th principal meridian.
- Township 16, north of the base line, range 9, west of the 5th principal meridian.
- Township 17, north of the base line, range 9, west of the 5th principal meridian.
- Township 1, north of the base line, range 11, west of the 5th principal meridian.
- Township 2, north of the base line, range 11, west of the 5th principal meridian.
- Township 1, north of the base line, range 12, west of the 5th principal meridian.
- Township 2, north of the base line, range 12, west of the 5th principal meridian.
- Township 9, north of the base line, range 12, west of the 5th principal meridian.
- Township 1, north of the base line, range 13, west of the 5th principal meridian.

Township 3, north of the base line, range 13, west of the 5th principal meridian.

Township 4, north of the base line, range 13, west of the 5th principal meridian.

Township 5, north of the base line, range 13, west of the 5th principal meridian.

Township 8, north of the base line, range 13, west of the 5th principal meridian.

Township 5, north of the base line, range 14, west of the 5th principal meridian.

Township 7, north of the base line, range 14, west of the 5th principal meridian.

Township 8, north of the base line, range 14, west of the 5th principal meridian.

Township 3, north of the base line, range 15, west of the 5th principal meridian.

Township 4, north of the base line, range 15, west of the 5th principal meridian.

Township 5, north of the base line, range 15, west of the 5th principal meridian.

Township 7, north of the base line, range 15, west of the 5th principal meridian.

Township 1, north of the base line, range 16, west of the 5th principal meridian.

Township 3, north of the base line, range 16, west of the 5th principal meridian.

Township 4, north of the base line, range 16, west of the 5th principal meridian.

Township 5, north of the base line, range 16, west of the 5th principal meridian.

Township 6, north of the base line, range 16, west of the 5th principal meridian.

Township 20, north of the base line, range 16, west of the 5th principal meridian.

Township 21, north of the base line, range 16, west of the 5th principal meridian.

Township 10, north of the base line, range 3, east of the 5th principal meridian.

Township 13, north of the base line, range 3, east of the 5th principal meridian.

Township 4, north of the base line, range 4, east of the 5th principal meridian.

Township 5, north of the base line, range 4, east of the 5th principal meridian.

Township 7, north of the base line, range 4, east of the 5th principal meridian.

Township 4, north of the base line, range 8, east of the 5th principal meridian.

Township 8, north of the base line, range 9, east of the 5th principal meridian.

- Township 18, north of the base line, range 9, east of the 5th principal meridian.
- Township 12, north of the base line, range 11, east of the 5th principal meridian.
- Township 2, south of the base line, range 1, west of the 5th principal meridian.
- Township 11, south of the base line, range 1, west of the 5th principal meridian.
- Township 14, south of the base line, range 1, west of the 5th principal meridian.
- Township 5, south of the base line, range 2, west of the 5th principal meridian.
- Township 6, south of the base line, range 2, west of the 5th principal meridian.
- Township 2, south of the base line, range 4 west of the 5th principal meridian.
- Township 2, south of the base line, range 5, west of the 5th principal meridian.
- Township 1, south of the base line, range 8, west of the 5th principal meridian.
- Township 2, south of the base line, range 8, west of the 5th principal meridian.
- Township 6, south of the base line, range 8, west of the 5th principal meridian.
- Township 3, south of the base line, range 9, west of the 5th principal meridian.
- Township 5, south of the base line, range 15, west of the 5th principal meridian.
- Township 6, south of the base line, range 17, west of the 5th principal meridian.
- Township 4, south of the base line, range 19, west of the 5th principal meridian.
- Township 7, south of the base line, range 19, west of the 5th principal meridian.
- Township 8, south of the base line, range 19, west of the 5th principal meridian.
- Township 1, south of the base line, range 20, west of the 5th principal meridian.
- Township 4, south of the base line, range 20, west of the 5th principal meridian.
- Township 7, south of the base line, range 20, west of the 5th principal meridian.
- Township 7, south of the base line, range 21, west of the 5th principal meridian.
- Township 8, south of the base line, range 21, west of the 5th principal meridian.
- Township 2, south of the base line, range 22, west of the 5th principal meridian.
- Township 4, south of the base line, range 22, west of the 5th principal meridian.

Township 9, south of the base line, range 22, west of the 5th principal meridian.

Township 11, south of the base line, range 22, west of the 5th principal meridian.

Township 12, south of the base line, range 22, west of the 5th principal meridian.

Township 14, south of the base line, range 22, west of the 5th principal meridian.

Township 2, south of the base line, range 23, west of the 5th principal meridian.

Township 8, south of the base line, range 23, west of the 5th principal meridian.

Township 9, south of the base line, range 23, west of the 5th principal meridian.

Township 11, south of the base line, range 23, west of the 5th principal meridian.

Township 13, south of the base line, range 23, west of the 5th principal meridian.

Township 14, south of the base line, range 23, west of the 5th principal meridian.

Township 2, south of the base line, range 24, west of the 5th principal meridian.

Township 9, south of the base line, range 24, west of the 5th principal meridian.

Township 8, south of the base line, range 27, west of the 5th principal meridian.

Township 9, south of the base line, range 27, west of the 5th principal meridian.

Township 10, south of the base line, range 27, west of the 5th principal meridian.

Township 13, south of the base line, range 27, west of the 5th principal meridian.

Township 6, south of the base line, range 29, west of the 5th principal meridian.

Township 7, south of the base line, range 29, west of the 5th principal meridian.

Township 3, south of the base line, range 30, west of the 5th principal meridian.

Township 9, south of the base line, range 30, west of the 5th principal meridian.

Township 11, south of the base line, range 1, east of the 5th principal meridian.

Township 4, south of the base line, range 3, east of the 5th principal meridian.

Township 3, south of the base line, range 4, east of the 5th principal meridian.

Township 4, south of the base line, range 4, east of the 5th principal meridian.

Township 1, south of the base line, range 5, east of the 5th principal meridian.

Township 2, south of the base line, range 5, east of the 5th principal meridian.

Township 3, south of the base line, range 5, east of the 5th principal meridian.

In all of 107 township descriptive lists made.

H. M. RECTOR,
Surveyor General.

SURVEYOR'S OFFICE,
Little Rock, Ark., October 28, 1856.

No. 5.

List of townships which the records of this office show as having been surveyed by C. H. Pelham, deputy surveyor, between the years 1821 and 1843; but the field-notes of which, it now appears from satisfactory evidence, are either in part or wholly fabricated or erroneous, with the exception of those which have been resurveyed, and of those which have been included in last annual report from this office.

Township of the base line.	Range of the 5th principal meridian.	
8 north.....	4 east.....	
13..do.....	7..do.....	
22..do.....	7..do.....	
30..do.....	8..do.....	
21..do.....	8..do.....	
10..do.....	1 west.....	
11..do.....	1..do.....	
12..do.....	1..do.....	
10..do.....	2..do.....	
11..do.....	2..do.....	
12..do.....	2..do.....	
13..do.....	2..do.....	
14..do.....	2..do.....	
15..do.....	2..do.....	
9..do.....	3..do.....	
13..do.....	3..do.....	
14..do.....	3..do.....	
8..do.....	4..do.....	East of White river.....
9..do.....	4..do.....	
13..do.....	8..do.....	South and west of White river.....
15..do.....	8..do.....	South of White river.....
13..do.....	9..do.....	
14..do.....	9..do.....	South of White river.....
15..do.....	9..do.....	South of White river.....
14..do.....	10..do.....	South of White river.....
15..do.....	10..do.....	South of White river.....
16..do.....	10..do.....	West of White river.....
10..do.....	11..do.....	North of old Indian boundary.....
11..do.....	11..do.....	
14..do.....	11..do.....	
16..do.....	11..do.....	East of White river.....
17..do.....	11..do.....	North of White river.....
17..do.....	11..do.....	South of White river.....
13..do.....	12..do.....	

Township of the base line.	Range of the 5th principal meridian.	
17 north.....	12 west.....	North of White river.....
18..do.....	12..do.....	East of White river.....
19..do.....	12..do.....	West of north fork White river.....
20..do.....	12..do.....	
14..do.....	13..do.....	
17..do.....	13..do.....	North of White river.....
18..do.....	13..do.....	
19..do.....	13..do.....	
20..do.....	13..do.....	
14..do.....	14..do.....	
15..do.....	14..do.....	
17..do.....	14..do.....	
18..do.....	14..do.....	East of White river.....
19..do.....	14..do.....	East of White river.....
20..do.....	14..do.....	
14..do.....	15..do.....	
15..do.....	15..do.....	
18..do.....	15..do.....	East of White river.....
19..do.....	15..do.....	East of White river.....
20..do.....	15..do.....	East of White river.....
18..do.....	16..do.....	
20..do.....	16..do.....	North and South of White river.....
21..do.....	16..do.....	South of White river.....
13..do.....	17..do.....	
15..do.....	17..do.....	
19..do.....	17..do.....	
20..do.....	17..do.....	
21..do.....	17..do.....	
14..do.....	18..do.....	
15..do.....	18..do.....	
17..do.....	18..do.....	
18..do.....	18..do.....	
19..do.....	18..do.....	
20..do.....	18..do.....	
21..do.....	18..do.....	
15..do.....	19..do.....	
16..do.....	19..do.....	
17..do.....	19..do.....	Roberts for Pelham.....
18..do.....	19..do.....	Roberts for Pelham.....
20..do.....	19..do.....	
21..do.....	19..do.....	South of White river.....
17..do.....	20..do.....	
18..do.....	21..do.....	
17..do.....	22..do.....	
18..do.....	22..do.....	
19..do.....	22..do.....	
20..do.....	22..do.....	
21..do.....	22..do.....	
21..do.....	23..do.....	

H. M. RECTOR, Surveyor General.

SURVEYOR'S OFFICE, Little Rock, Arkansas, October 28, 1856.

No. 6.

List of townships and boundaries, the field-notes of which have been recorded for transfer to the State of Arkansas, since the date of last annual report from this office.

Townships N. or S. of the base line.	Ranges E. or W. of the 5th principal meridian.	Remarks.
2 north	1 west	Subdivision
3 north	1 west	do
4 north	1 west	do
5 north	1 west	do
6 north	1 west	Subdivision and south and east boundaries
7 north	1 west	Subdivision and south boundary
8 north	1 west	Subdivision
9 north	1 west	do
11 north	1 west	do
12 north	1 west	do
13 north	1 west	Subdivision and south and east boundaries
14 north	1 west	do do do
15 north	1 west	do do do
16 north	1 west	Subdivision and south boundary
17 north	1 west	Subdivision
18 north	1 west	Subdivision and south and east boundaries
19 north	1 west	Subdivision east bound'y and part of south bound'y.
20 north	1 west	South boundary
6 north	2 west	East boundary
9 north	2 west	Part of east boundary
10 north	2 west	Subdivision
11 north	2 west	do
12 north	2 west	do
13 north	2 west	do
14 north	2 west	do
15 north	2 west	do
16 north	2 west	do
17 north	2 west	do
18 north	2 west	East boundary
19 north	2 west	Subdivision and east boundary
20 north	2 west	Subdivision
21 north	2 west	do
1 north	3 west	do
11 north	3 west	Subdivision and part of east boundary
12 north	3 west	Subdivision east boundary and part of south
15 north	3 west	Subdivision
16 north	3 west	do
17 north	3 west	do
18 north	3 west	Subdivision and south and east boundaries
19 north	4 west	Subdivision and east boundary
28 north	4 west	Part of east boundary
9 north	5 west	Subdivision and south and east boundaries
10 north	5 west	do do do
11 north	5 west	do do do
13 north	5 west	Part of south boundary
9 north	6 west	Subdivision and east boundary
10 north	6 west	do do
11 north	6 west	Subdivision and south and east boundaries
12 north	6 west	do do do
13 north	6 west	do do do

LIST—Continued.

Townships N. or S. of the base line.	Ranges E. or W. of the 5th prin- cipal meridian.	Remarks.
14 north	6 west	South boundary
19 north	6 west	Subdivision in part
10 north	7 west	Subdivision and south boundary
11 north	7 west	do do
12 north	7 west	Subdivision and south and east boundaries
13 north	7 west	Subdivision and east boundary
1 north	8 west	Subdivision and south boundary
2 north	8 west	Subdivision
3 north	8 west	do
10 north	8 west	Subdivision and south and east boundaries
11 north	8 west	do do do
12 north	8 west	East boundary
13 north	8 west	Subdivision
14 north	8 west	do
15 north	8 west	do
2 north	9 west	Subdivision and east boundary
10 north	9 west	East and south boundaries
1 north	10 west	do do
2 north	10 west	Subdivision and south boundary
2 north	11 west	Subdivision and south and east boundaries
3 north	11 west	South boundary
1 north	12 west	Subdivision and south and east boundaries
2 north	12 west	do do do
3 north	12 west	South boundary
5 north	12 west	Subdivision and south and east boundary
6 north	12 west	South boundary
1 north	13 west	South and east boundaries
3 north	13 west	Subdivision east and south boundaries
5 north	13 west	do do do
3 north	14 west	East boundary
5 north	14 west	Subdivision and east and south boundaries
6 north	14 west	South boundary, part
7 north	15 west	Subdivision south of Indian boundary
1 north	16 west	South boundary
6 north	16 west	Subdivision south of Arkansas river
4 north	17 west	Part of subdivision
19 north	21 west	Subdivision east boundary and part of S. boundary
20 north	21 west	Subdivision and east and south boundaries
21 north	21 west	do do do
3 north	22 west	do do do
19 north	22 west	East boundary
20 north	22 west	do
3 north	23 west	Subdivision
4 north	23 west	South boundary
21 north	22 west	East boundary
3 north	1 east	Subdivision and south boundary
4 north	1 east	Subdivision and east and south boundaries
5 north	1 east	do do do
11 north	1 east	do do do
20 north	1 east	do do do
11 north	2 east	East boundary, part
8 north	3 east	Subdivision
10 north	3 east	Subdivision in part
11 north	3 east	South and east boundaries
12 north	3 east	Subdivision and east and south boundaries
13 north	3 east	South boundary

LIST—Continued.

Townships N. or S. of the base line.	Ranges E. or W. of the 5th principal meridian.	Remarks.
4 north	4 east	Subdivision
5 north	4 east	Part of subdivision
12 north	4 east	East boundary, part
1 south	1 west	South and east boundaries
2 south	1 west	do do
3 south	1 west	Subdivision south and east boundaries
4 south	1 west	South and east boundaries
5 south	1 west	do do
6 south	1 west	do do
7 south	1 west	do do
8 south	1 west	do do
9 south	1 west	do do
10 south	1 west	Subdivision and south and east boundaries
11 south	1 west	Subdivision and south boundary
12 south	1 west	do do
13 south	1 west	South boundary
14 south	1 west	Subdivision and south boundary
15 south	1 west	South boundary
16 south	1 west	Subdivision and south boundary
17 south	1 west	South and east boundaries
18 south	1 west	do do
19 south	1 west	Subdivision
1 south	2 west	South and east boundaries
2 south	2 west	do do
3 south	2 west	do do
4 south	2 west	do do
5 south	2 west	do do
6 south	2 west	do do
7 south	2 west	do do
8 south	2 west	do do
9 south	2 west	do do
10 south	2 west	do do
11 south	2 west	do do
12 south	2 west	do do
13 south	2 west	do do
14 south	2 west	do do
15 south	2 west	do do
16 south	2 west	Subdivision and south and east boundaries
17 south	2 west	South and east boundaries
18 south	2 west	do do
19 south	2 west	South boundary
1 south	3 west	Subdivision west of White river, and S. and E. boundaries.
2 south	3 west	South and east boundaries
3 south	3 west	Subdivision
4 south	3 west	Subdivision and east and south boundaries
5 south	3 west	do do do
6 south	3 west	do do do
7 south	3 west	do do do
8 south	3 west	East and south boundaries
9 south	3 west	do do
10 south	3 west	do do
11 south	3 west	do do
12 south	3 west	do do
13 south	3 west	do do
14 south	3 west	do do

LIST—Continued.

Townships N. or S. of the base line.	Ranges E. or W. of the 5th principal meridian.	Remarks.
15 south	3 west.	East and south boundaries.
16 south	3 west.	do do.
17 south	3 west.	do do.
18 south	3 west.	do do.
19 south	3 west.	East boundary.
1 south	4 west.	South and east boundaries.
2 south	4 west.	do do.
3 south	4 west.	do do.
4 south	4 west.	do do.
5 south	4 west.	do do.
6 south	4 west.	do do.
7 south	4 west.	do do.
8 south	4 west.	Subdivision and south and east boundaries.
9 south	4 west.	South and east boundaries.
10 south	4 west.	do do.
11 south	4 west.	do do.
12 south	4 west.	do do.
13 south	4 west.	do do.
14 south	4 west.	do do.
15 south	4 west.	do do.
16 south	4 west.	do do.
17 south	4 west.	do do.
18 south	4 west.	do do.
19 south	4 west.	East boundary.
1 south	5 west.	South and east boundaries.
2 south	5 west.	do do.
4 south	5 west.	do do.
5 south	5 west.	Subdivision and east boundary.
4 south	6 west.	East boundary.
5 south	6 west.	do do.
1 south	8 west.	do do.
1 south	9 west.	South and east boundaries.
2 south	9 west.	South boundary.
3 south	9 west.	South and east boundaries.
17 south	9 west.	South boundary.
18 south	9 west.	Subdivision, and south and east boundaries.
1 south	10 west.	East and south boundaries.
18 south	10 west.	East boundary.
1 south	16 west.	South and east boundaries.
2 south	16 west.	do do.
1 south	17 west.	do do.
2 south	17 west.	do do.
2 south	18 west.	East boundary.
9 south	19 west.	South boundary.
9 south	20 west.	do
10 south	20 west.	South and east boundaries.
10 south	21 west.	Part of east boundary.
3 south	23 west.	South and east boundaries.
4 south	23 west.	East boundary.
11 south	23 west.	South boundary.
12 south	23 west.	South and east boundaries.
13 south	23 west.	East boundary.
3 south	24 west.	do
8 south	28 west.	South boundary.
9 south	28 west.	do
10 south	28 west.	East boundary.

LIST—Continued.

Townships N. or S. of the base line.	Ranges E. or W. of the 5th principal meridian.	Remarks.
8 south	29 west	South boundary
9 south	29 west	East boundary
10 south	29 west	South and east boundaries
9 south	30 west	East boundary
10 south	30 west	do
1 south	1 east	South and east boundaries
2 south	1 east	do do
3 south	1 east	do do
4 south	1 east	do do
5 south	1 east	do do
6 south	1 east	do do
7 south	1 east	do do
8 south	1 east	do do
11 south	1 east	Subdivision
12 south	1 east	do
14 south	1 east	do
16 south	1 east	do

H. M. RECTOR,
Surveyor General of Arkansas.

Surveyor's Office,
Little Rock, Arkansas, October 28, 1856.

No. 7.

List of townships and boundaries, the field notes of which have been transcribed for transmission to General Land Office since date of last annual report.

Townships of the base line.	Range of the 5th principal meridian.	Remarks.
13 north	1 west	Subdivision
14 north	1 west	do
15 north	2 west	do
16 north	5 west	South boundary
17 north	5 west	Subdivision
18 north	5 west	Part of south boundary
9 north	6 west	South boundary
11 north	6 west	South and east boundaries
12 north	6 west	do
13 north	7 west	South boundary
14 north	7 west	South and east boundaries
15 north	7 west	Subdivision and south and east boundaries
16 north	8 west	do do do
17 north	8 west	South and east boundary
18 north	8 west	Subdivision and east boundary
1 north	11 west	Subdivision
2 north	13 west	do
3 north	13 west	Subdivision and south and east boundaries

LIST—Continued.

Townships of the base line.	Range of the 5th principal meridian.	Remarks.
5 north	13 west	Subdivision
6 north	13 west	South boundary
3 north	14 west	East boundary
5 north	14 west	Part of east boundary
6 north	16 west	Subdivision, fractional
7 north	16 west	do do
6 north	17 west	do do
3 north	1 east	Subdivision
4 north	1 east	do
5 north	1 east	do
4 north	3 east	East boundary
4 north	4 east	Subdivision and east and south boundaries
3 south	4 west	Subdivision
3 south	5 west	do
5 south	5 west	Subdivision and east boundary
4 south	6 west	East boundary
5 south	6 west	do
1 south	8 west	do
6 south	8 west	Subdivision
11 south	18 west	do
10 south	19 west	do
8 south	20 west	do
10 south	20 west	do
10 south	21 west	East boundary
9 south	22 west	Subdivision
9 south	23 west	do
9 south	29 west	do

H. M. RECTOR, *Surveyor General*SURVEYOR'S OFFICE, *Little Rock, Arkansas, October 28, 1856.*

No. 8.





Estimate for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1858.

<i>Salaries and compensation.</i>		
For salary of surveyor general	\$2,000 00	
For salary and compensation of clerks to be employed in the current business of the office in examining and protracting surveyors' returns, in preparing plats for registers and the General Land Office, &c.....	6,300 00	\$8,300 00
<i>Unfinished records.</i>		
Compensation of clerks to be employed in transcribing field-notes for preservation at the seat of government.....	1,000 00	
Compensation to clerks under act of 12th June, 1840, to complete the unfinished records of public and private surveys, to be transferred to the State authorities.....	3,000 00	4,000 00
<i>Incidental expenses.</i>		
Office rent	350 00	
Fuel and servants' wages.....	250 00	
Stationery, book-binding, postage, office furniture, &c.....	400 00	1,000 00
<i>Swamp land.</i>		
There will be required under this head the services of one clerk.....		1,200 00
<i>Surveys.</i>		
For the resurvey of eight thousand and sixty-four miles of surveys, executed by the late Charles H. Pelham, deputy surveyor, but which are now discovered to be erroneous and fraudulent, at a rate not exceeding four dollars per mile.....		32,256 00
Total.....		46,756 00

H. M. RECTOR,
Surveyor General of Arkansas.

SURVEYOR GENERAL'S OFFICE,
Little Rock, Arkansas, October 28, 1856.

CROOKED CREEK, *April 29, 1856.*

SIR: I, as county surveyor of this county, (Carroll,) address you for the purpose of getting information or instructions how to proceed where the original surveys are erroneous. I will state the situation of some. In township 17 north, of range 20 west, I find some sections entirely too small; the quarter section post, running north from southeast corner of section, placed perhaps at proper distance; then running from southwest corner of same section to the quarter section post in the west boundary, I find a loss of seven chains. Again, in section eight I find it too short from east to west about fourteen chains; and quarter section corner, in south boundary of the same, $\frac{82}{4100}$ chains from the southeast corner, giving more than the complement to the east half of the section, being a loss in the west half of about sixteen chains. Again: to run from section corner to section corner in south boundary of section ten, the true line will leave the quarter section post seven chains north. Now the question is, am I authorized to move the quarter section post in  the true line, or does it remain stationary as the section corners.  The dotted line is the blazed line of the original survey. In township 18, range 19, a line running west from the quarter section post in south boundary of section number — will run to the southwest corner of section —; then run east from said quarter section post on the same variation, being the old blazed line, will leave the southeast corner of the section ten chains to the north.  In township 18, range 20, I find the fractional tier of sections on  the west boundary entirely deficient; loss of twenty chains $\frac{87}{1000}$, running west to range line from quarter section post. Say, for instance, A presents the certificate of entry for the southeast quarter or the southwest quarter of section thirty. B presents his certificate for the southwest quarter of the southwest quarter of same fractional section—the first for 40 acres, the latter $37\frac{87}{1000}$; in surveying I find a loss of twenty chains. And now how shall I proceed? The locaters, in some instances, have complained when I told them the situation of the surveys, and say the register at Fayetteville tells the complement is there; they have also told me that the surveyor general stated that the old surveys were correct, and that it was incompetency in the county surveyor, &c., Mr. Melbourn, surveyor general. Let the incompetency rest where it may, the errors are so palpable that any backwoodsman can almost detect them. Your early attention to the matter will much oblige yours, respectfully,

BRYCE BYRNIE

H. M. RECTOR, *Surveyor General.*

ANNUAL REPORT OF THE SURVEYOR GENERAL OF LOUISIANA.

SURVEYOR GENERAL'S OFFICE,
Donaldsonville, La., October 1, 1856.

SIR: In compliance with your instructions of 11th April last, I have the honor herewith to submit a report of the labor performed since the 1st October, 1855, under the direction of this office, its present condition, and its requirements for work proposed to be accomplished during the coming year, exemplifying these, as usual, by the accompanying exhibits, (Nos. 1 to 8.)

No. 1 is a complete list of all contracts which have existed, and orders of survey issued since 1st October, 1855; and shows the completion, or present condition, as far as known, of the work executed under them.

It cannot fail to gratify the department to notice how almost entirely the larger contracts have been wrought up, leaving those comparatively small, containing chiefly unlocated claims, alone to be finished. In this fact may be hailed the beginning of the long desired consummation of transferring the system from the federal to the State Government—especially as the clerks whose time and energies have been spent upon the returns of surveyors, as they were received, can in large part be devoted to bringing up the transcript of notes for your office, and preparation of plats for patent certificates.

While several surveyors progressed slowly during the severe weather of the last winter, and others were early driven from the field by high water, whose continuance till the season had far advanced rendered it impracticable for them to resume their operations; yet others have remained at work, almost without interruption, through the unparalleled cold of the winter, and the unabating wasting heat of the summer. Of these latter, without intending disadvantageous comparisons, Messrs. Hauké and Phelps deserve mention, especially as each has been thrown, principally, in prairies, where want of water, and of protection from the direct and reflected heat, could not have been more severe upon the one, than the labor of penetrating reeking swamp grass marshes was afflictive to the other.

The fourth prosecution of the surveys included in the long since expired contract of Samuel Perin, dated 12th February, 1851, has awaited the result of the investigation ordered by the Commissioner on the 22d October, 1855, of the incorrect surveys of the Piseros and D'Etiepy claims. This office experienced much difficulty in ascertaining who were the parties interested in the investigation, and its total failure afterwards to carry it out was specially reported on the 20th ultimo.

The claims of John Baptiste Castillion, No. 308, Charles Griffen, No. 357, F. J. Le B. Dorgenois, No. 381, and John Bte. Macarty, No. 103, ordered by your letter of the 21st March last to be located, have not yet been surveyed, as I have, considering the amount of work, and the difficulty of establishing connexions that could hereafter be found, thought it best to wait until the whole township should be resurveyed. Disappointed that Congress did not, according to the

estimate submitted by you, appropriate, at its last session, for the resurvey of this township, (being the one in which New Orleans is situated,) I will now issue an order for the surveys of the above mentioned claims without delay.

The surveys of all the other private claims ordered by you from time to time have been made, and for the most part returned to your office, with the exception of that of Charles J. B. Florian, a report upon which was made by letter of the 10th June last.

No. 2 is a statement of the estimated amount of the liabilities of the office for surveys returned, but for which accounts have not yet been rendered, and for those yet to be performed under contracts and instructions, and includes the accounts of Messrs. Vanzandt and Williams for resurveys in the Greensburg district not yet settled.

Total liabilities when the surveys now under contract, &c., shall be completed.....	\$32,372 11
And as the balance of appropriations on hand for such payment is.....	42,746 14
<hr/>	
There will remain.....	10,374 03
applicable to the surveys proposed in exhibit No. 3.	

No. 3 is a list of the surveys proposed for the year ending 30th June, 1858.

It consists in part of several townships, included in the proposals of last year, for which I have been unable to get deputies to contract, and recommends anew but few surveys, inasmuch as close attention to current work during the year has forbidden final examination of the townships indicated upon the diagram of the State accompanying last annual report, as those which may require corrective or additional surveys when the field notes are properly calculated and protracted.

The amount necessary to resurvey township 3 south, range 3 east, southwestern district, Louisiana, is again included in the estimate, in the hope that finally the proviso of the Commissioner's letter of June 28, 1855, may be carried out by the inhabitants, who are sorely vexed in their conflicts of titles, as is abundantly apparent from a second petition for the resurvey, signed by very many of them, and filed here in November last.

The resurvey of the New Orleans township is also deemed so important that it is again estimated, notwithstanding your letter of the 9th ultimo states Congress did not make the proposed appropriation therefor. For its necessity, I beg leave to refer to my last report. I will only remark that its complexity is increasing with the growth of the city, and its importance is magnified by the consequently enhanced value of the land that will hereafter be disposable by the State and federal governments.

The resurvey of the interior lines in township 11 north, range 11 east, district north of Red river, Louisiana, is proposed because of numerous applications made therefor, and because I am satisfied from the reports of the examination thereof by W. D. Maxwell, esq., surveyor, that their original running by William L. S. Dearing, deputy surveyor, is as deficient in many places, and distorted in others, as all the rest of his work has proven to be upon the resurveys which

have been made thereof. Out of 31 townships surveyed by the said Dearing in the northwestern district and district north of the Red river, *eighteen* have already been resurveyed—a circumstance which is far to sustain Mr. Maxwell's reports of error and fraud in township 11 north, range 11 east.

Future examinations may also show the necessity of resurveying township 23 north, range 11 east, for it is suspected to be as erroneous as township 23 north, range 12 east, district north of Red river, as both were originally surveyed by Mr. Dearing.

I do not recommend the resurvey of the sections in several townships, in the northwestern district, binding upon the Las Ormigas and La Nana grants, whose misclosures are shown by the diagrams sent to the department on the 18th August, 1855, and on the 26th of the last month; because, in the first place, it has been out of my power to have such preliminary examinations made as were indicated in the Commissioner's letter of October 19, 1855; and, secondly, because their connexion was not necessary to the representation of the aforesaid claims, and I have not thought the United States would be benefited for the cost of survey, as the lands are sparsely settled and of very little value; and when relieved from their present reservation, under the recent grants for railroad purposes, may pass as part of the whole system, if then ready, to the State government.

An item has been inserted for the survey of portions of townships 18, 19, and 20 north, range 14 west, west of Red river, and townships 20 and 21 north, range 15 west, northwest district, Louisiana, as I am assured by the most reliable authority that they contain very rich high lands, which would be eagerly bought immediately upon survey. It is not contemplated by this estimate to survey any lines other than those necessary to establish the boundaries of such lands as remain the property of the government; and I am informed that at the time of the first survey of these townships the large lakes existing in them were of much less extent than they are represented, and contained bodies of valuable timbered land, which should then have been surveyed.

No. 4 is an estimate of funds required to be appropriated for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1858, and is a partial summary of exhibits Nos. 2 and 3.

The estimates for new field work are small, but those for the office are the same as for the last year, as it is believed that an equal force will be necessary throughout the year.

The estimate for the surveyor to locate private land claims is again made, as his services will doubtless be brought, during the year, into constant requisition.

No. 5 is a list of swamp lands, selected by this office during the year as pertaining to the State of Louisiana under the acts of 2d March, 1842, and 28th September, 1850.

There have been selected in the—

Southeastern district, east of the river.....	9,721.88 acres.
Southeastern district, west of the river.....	99,320.52 “
Southwestern district.....	15,891.51 “
Northwestern district.....	34,659.78 “
District north of Red river.....	123,161.98 “
Greensburg district.....	14,573.98 “
Total.....	279,329.65 “

But as many of the lists, showing the above selections, are in correction of those previously sent up, when the necessary additions or deductions are made, the above total will be reduced to..... 273,979.73 “

Which added to the selections made up to the date of the last annual report..... 10,105,455.53 “

Would show the entire quantity of swamp lands listed to the State, under the acts of March 2, 1849, and September 28, 1850, to be..... 10,379,435.26 “

subject to diminution at your department by the rejection of such portions thereof as may have been previously disposed of, or are rightfully claimed by individuals.

While upon the subject of swamp lands, I deem it proper to draw the attention of the department, with the purpose of seeking legislation, if it think fit and necessary, to the condition of many large lakes in this State, which it is reliably stated have, since the original surveys, almost entirely dried up, leaving lands which would be high and saleable by the United States, (because of their reclamation having occurred before the passage of the grants,) as well as most desirable lands which would accrue to the State thereunder, and be easily rendered valuable. Among such lakes I would particularly mention in the northwest district, Spanish lake, Cross lake, Lakes Sabine, B. Mark's, Swan, Bodeau, Bisteneau, Terre au Noir, Kisatchie, Pr. cal, Half Moon, Bayou Pierre, &c.

I am fully aware of the opinion of the department that lakes represented upon the township maps as shallow and innavigable could not be treated as lands and selected as swamp; and, also, that it had been previously held that the lands formed by the drying up of such lakes attached as accretion to the riparian proprietors; but the circumstances of many cases might modify these opinions. “Lakes,” now dry, frequently have areas of several square miles, and as the lines of the lands around them would meet ere they had been projected far, the limit and conflict of the accretion which should attach to them would be of most difficult determination. Moreover, there are stated to be bodies of high land within them, as already observed, which, although unnoticed at the time of survey, formed large wooded islands or promontories. This matter has been thought to be of such importance, as it really is, to the State and to its interested citizens, that appeals for action in it have been almost daily made to this office, as I am also informed they have been to the State land office; and at

In the recent session of the legislature of this State a resolution was passed, calling on our senators and representatives in Congress to have them related to the State, but I am not aware what proceedings were had by them.

No. 6 is a list of documents transmitted to the several land offices from October 1, 1855, to the present date.

To the General Land Office.

Township maps	46
Diagrams of surveys	61
Plats of private claims	15
Accounts for surveys	40
Contingent expenses and salary accounts	107
Letters and reports	174
List of swamp lands in 84 townships	84
Total.....	527

To the register of the northwestern district.

Township maps	9
Diagrams of surveys	7
Plats	2
Letters	7
Swamp lists	10
Total.....	35

To the register of the district north of Red river.

Township maps	11
Diagrams of surveys	10
Plats	6
Letters	45
List of swamp lands	34
Total.....	106

To the register of the southwestern district.

Township maps	6
Diagrams of surveys	17
Letters	36
List of swamp lands	12
Plats of claims	43
Total	114

To the register of the southeastern district.

Township maps.....	13
Diagrams of surveys	13
Letters	41
List of swamp lands	20
Total.....	87

To the register of Greensburg district.

Township maps	4
Diagrams of surveys.....	7
Plats for patent certificates.....	291
Letters	23
List of swamp lands.....	8
Total	333

To the State register at Baton Rouge.

Township maps.....	43
Diagrams of surveys.....	20
Letters	88
List of swamp lands	80
Total.....	231

In addition to which, there have been written to deputy surveyors letters to the number of..... 180
 Over 180 copies of maps have been sent, and 450 letters have been written to individuals 630

Making a total of documents sent from the office of 2,192

Without counting the copies of plats, sketches, field notes, &c., furnished upon daily personal calls.

This exhibit of itself will show, without further comment, that the whole force of the office, as far as within my control, has throughout the year been most busily employed; and I can vouch that its clerical branch has never been in more constant and industrious confinement to the desk than during the present year, while its duties (as the character of many maps sent up will abundantly evince) have been more than ever difficult and complex.

I owe to the department many acknowledgments for the purpose it has manifested to advance the service in Louisiana, and to expedite and facilitate as far as possible the transaction of business with it.

No. 7 is a list of deputy surveyors who have at any time during the year held commissions.

No. 8 (H) is a diagram of the State, exhibiting the extent and condition of the surveys in Louisiana. It represents townships which have been surveyed and considered complete, as far as necessary and practicable; those now under contract; those proposed for survey; those which require corrective or additional surveys; those in which there are no swamp lands, or none mentioned in the field notes of surveys now on file; and finally to those supposed to be marsh unfit for cultivation. Upon it has been placed at the General Land Office "the assumed extent of swamp and overflowed lands," and I have added, in a light shade of yellow, the lands reserved for railroad purposes in the several districts, under the act of June 3, 1856.

All of which is respectfully submitted by your obedient servant,

WM. J. McCULLOH,

Surveyor General of Louisiana.

JOSEPH S. WILSON, Esq.,

*Acting Commissioner of the General Land Office,
Washington City, D. C.*

No. 1.—*Tabular statement of surveying contracts in Louisiana on the 1st 1, 1855, the date of*

Date.	Name of contractors.	Districts.	Expiration of contract.	Time extended to—	Estimated number of miles.	Price per mile.
Dec. 1, 1846	T. Claxton Taylor.....	Greensburg	Dec. 1, 1847	\$7 & 8
Feb. 12, 1851	Samuel Perin.....	Southeastern	Feb. 1, 1852	April 1, 1854	190	8
Mar. 14, 1851	Samuel C. Kepburn....	Greensburg	Mar. 1, 1852	Dec. 1, 1855	460	8
Nov. 22, 1851	Silas Taylor.....	North of Red river..	July 1, 1852	180	7
May 5, 1852	John Campbell	Southwestern	Oct. 1, 1852	168	8
Jan. 29, 1853	Charles Joseph Cabell..do.....	May 1, 1854	Jan. 1, 1856	446	8
Mar. 4, 1853	Thomas Mullet.....	Southeastern	June 1, 1854	770	8
Mar. 29, 1853	Thomas Hunter.....	Northwesterndo.....	125	8
Nov. 2, 1853	Maurice Hauke.....	Southeastern	Jan. 1, 1855	Aug. 1, 1855	300	8
Jan. 10, 1854	Silas Taylor.....do.....do.....	Jan. 1, 1857	435	8
Sept. 19, 1854	Henry Curtis	North of Red river..	Sept. 1, 1855	216	8
Sept. 20, 1854	Charles M. Bradford....	Southwestern.....do.....	310	7 & 8
Sept. 20, 1854	Robert Boyd.....	North of Red river..do.....	Mar. 1, 1856	430	8
Oct. 19, 1854	Joseph Gorlinski.....	Southeastern	Jan. 1, 1856	Jan. 1, 1857	502	7
Nov. 2, 1854	Andrew Crawford.....do.....	Nov. 1, 1855	625	8
Nov. 10, 1854	Noah H. Phelps	North of Red river..	Jan. 1, 1856	210	8
Dec. 7, 1854	George E. Brent	Southeastern	Mar. 1, 1856	350	7
Jan. 2, 1855	Thomas H. Weightman.	Southwestern	Jan. 1, 1856	Sept. 1, 1857	400	8
Feb. 1, 1855	Alfred D. Merick	North of Red river..do.....	600	8
Mar. 31, 1855	Henry Curtisdo.....do.....	215	7
May 10, 1855	W. H. Orsborn.....	Northwestern.....do.....	190	7
Nov. 1, 1855	Noah H. Phelps.....	Southwestern	Jan. 1, 1857	40	8
Nov. 17, 1855	Maurice Hauke.....	Southeasterndo.....	225	8
Dec. 1, 1855	A. L. Mershon.....	North of Red river..do.....	380	7
Dec. 20, 1855	W. H. Orsborn.....	Southwestern.....do.....	255	8
Jan. 2, 1856	John R. Mershon	Greensburgdo.....	160	7
Jan. 31, 1856	Robert Boyd.....	Southeastern	Mar. 1, 1857	325	7
May 7, 1856	John M. Bradford.....	North of Red river..	May 7, 1857	110	8
Sept. 18, 1856	Thomas Hunter.....	Southwestern	July 1, 1857	100	8
Aug. 5, 1853	Thomas Hunter.....	Northwestern	July 1, 1854	35	8
Aug. 5, 1853do.....do.....do.....do.....	5	8
July 6, 1854	Silas Taylor.....	Southeastern	Mar. 4, 1855	10	8
Sept. 1, 1854	George S. Walmsley ...	Northwestern.....	To be paid by	8
Nov. 11, 1854	John F. Fenner.....	North of Red river..	8	8
Jan. 17, 1855	Henry Curtis.....do.....	8	8
Jan. 17, 1855do.....do.....	8 per day and	8

ORDERS OF

October, 1856, and including those annulled or completed since October the last annual report.

Amount paid, including all accounts sent up.	Number of townships in contract.	Number of unfinished townships at date of last annual report.	Number of townships remaining unfinished.	Remarks.
\$5,578 05	14	5	4	Township 7 south, range 2 east, approved and paid for; townships 7 and 8 south, range 1 west, returned to the office September 30, 1856.
.....	5	5	5	Surveys suspended for reasons set forth in surveyor general's letter of September 20, 1856.
3,815 77	5	2	Township 1 south, range 3 west, approved and paid for; township 1 south, range 4 west, relinquished and recontracted to John R. Mershon January 2, 1856.
4,467 15	(*)	Contract completed and paid for by final account sent up April 4, 1856.
.....	4	Contract completed and paid for by account settled at the department.
7,369 85	5	3	Contract completed and paid for.
1,344 31	2	1	Contract completed and paid for.
.....	7	7	2	All returned and approved except townships 11 north, ranges 8 and 9 west, which require field corrections.
3,674 15	6	3	Contract completed and paid for.
379 56	4	2	2	No returns.
1,627 71	3	1	Contract completed and paid for.
1,915 20	3	3	Township 5 south, range 3 east, approved and paid for; remainder of contract relinquished December 8, 1855.
3,777 38	6	3	Contract completed and paid for.
4,391 89	12	10	6	Township 18 south, range 19 east, townships 19 south, ranges 18 and 19 east, and township 20 south, range 18 east, approved and paid for; township 19 south, range 17 east, returned and will soon be approved; rest not yet returned.
.....	1	1	1	No returns; surveys reported nearly completed.
4,330 41	4	2	Contract completed and paid for.
3,362 08	5	3	2	Township 11 south, range 6 east, approved and paid for; townships 12 and 13 south, range 20 east, returned and ready for approval, but suspended by Commissioner for instructions upon Paul Toup's claim.
4,902 92	6	4	4	Township 1 south, ranges 1 and 3 east, approved and paid for; further surveys interrupted by sickness of surveyor.
.....	4	4	Contract having expired was cancelled, and relet to John M. Bradford May 7, 1856.
67 29	2	2	Contract completed and paid for.
345 83	1	1	Contract completed and paid for.
835 73	12	9	Township 7 south, range 1 west, approved and paid for; townships 7 south, ranges 2 and 3 west, township 8 south, range 1 east, and townships 9 and 10 south, ranges 1 east and 1 west, returned, and will be approved shortly.
1,356 10	7	5	Townships 13 south, ranges 21 and 22 east, approved and paid for.
633 89	7	5	Township 2 north, range 2 east, and township 3 north, range 1 east, approved and paid for; township 4 north, range 1 east, returned, but requires corrections; balance not yet returned; surveys suspended on account of high water.
.....	1	1	No returns.
.....	1	1	No returns.
.....	1	1	No returns.
.....	4	4	No returns.
.....	10	10	No returns.
SURVEY.				
.....	Claims of Le Clair, Himenes, Mendez, and Slaughter, Sutton's report No. 2 4, 16, and 17, in townships 7 and 8 north, range 5 west, all returned and undergoing examination.
.....	Claim of Frederick Williams, register and receiver's report of 1837, No. 36, not executed; order returned.
.....	Claim of Robert Martin, register's report, No. 34, to be extended in township 17 south, range 16 east; order returned and reissued to V. Sulakowski June 3, 1856.
.....	Claims of Las Ormigas and La Nana grants; lot 1 returned and sent up.
.....	Claim of president, directors, and trustees of Pine Grove Academy, returned, but too erroneous to be approved; order reissued to Robert Boyd November 20, 1855.
.....	Claim of Jacques Dumini, Sutton's report, No. 26, township 15 north, ranges 9 and 10 east; order expired and reissued to A. L. Mershon August 13, 1856.
.....	Examination of Aaron Vandorn's surveys in township 17 north, range 11 east, returned and paid for.

* Boundaries and 3 townships.

Date.	Name of contractors.	Districts.	Expiration of contract.	Time extended to —	Estimated number of miles.	Price per mile.
May 25, 1855	John Rykowski	Southwestern.....	To be paid by the
June 18, 1855	John M. Bradford.....do.....	10	\$8
July 3, 1855	Theodore Gillespie.....	Southeastern.....	110	8
Aug. 4, 1855	R. H. Bradford.....do.....
Sept. 26, 1855do.....	Southwestern.....
Oct. 17, 1855	Joseph Goriński	Southeastern	\$5 per day and
Nov. 20, 1855	Robert Boyd	North of Red river..	8
Nov. 24, 1855	John M. Bradford	Southwestern.....	\$5 per day and
Dec. 31, 1855	John F. Fenner	North of Red river..	8
Jan. 6, 1856	To any deputy surveyor.	Northwestern'.....	To be paid by par
Feb. 9, 1856	Thomas Hunter.....do.....	To be paid by the
Mar. 1, 1856	F. O. Cornay.....	Southwestern.....	8
Mar. 11, 1856	Henry Curtis.....	North of Red river..	8
Mar. 19, 1856	John Rykowski	Southwestern.....	8
Mar. 24, 1856	A. L. Fieldsdo.....	8
April 14, 1856	Samuel C. Hepburn...	Southeastern	To be paid by the
May 13, 1856	A. L. Mershon.....do.....
May 22, 1856do.....	Greensburg
May 31, 1856do.....do.....
June 2, 1856	Maurice Hauke.....	Southeastern	8
June 2, 1856	A. L. Mershon.....	Greensburg
June 3, 1856do.....do.....
June 3, 1856	John Goriński.....do.....
June 23, 1856	V. Sulakowski.....	Southeastern	8
June 28, 1856	Maurice Haukedo.....
Aug. 14, 1856	J. Claxton Taylor	North of Red river..	8
Aug. 14, 1856do.....do.....	8
Aug. 19, 1856	A. L. Mershon.....do.....
Aug. 19, 1856do.....do.....
Aug. 30, 1856	F. O. Dugas.....	Southwestern.....	8
Sept. 16, 1856	Thomas Hunter.....	Northwestern.....	8
Sept. 20, 1856	A. L. Mershon.....	Southeastern

Continued.

Am't paid, including all accounts sent up.	Number of townships in contract.	Number of unfinished townships at date of last annual report.	Number of townships remaining unfinished.	Remarks.
claimants.....	Claim of heirs and legal representatives of J. B. Macarty and others, decree of United States Supreme Court, townships 13, 14, and 15 south, range 3 east, and township 14 south, range 4 east, returned and approved.
.....	Claim of legal representatives of Barthelemy De Blue, commissioner's report, B 1488, township 10 south, range 9 west, returned and approved.
.....	Resurveys in townships 15 south, ranges 16 and 17 east, returned and approved.
.....	Claim of John Tuley, certificate 86, township 9 south, range 4 east, east of river; survey not executed, as its locality could not be ascertained.
.....	Resurvey of claim of B. Going, B 1168, townships 4 and 5 south, range 4 east, returned and approved.
expense.....	Examinations in townships 18, 19, and 20 south, range 18 east, returned and approved.
.....	Claim of president and directors, &c, of Pine Grove Academy returned and approved.
expense.....	Survey section 31, township 14 south, range 9 west, and subdivide section 9, township 12 south, range 9 west, returned and approved.
.....	To locate the claim of H. Pargoud, register and receiver, No. 39, townships 19 and 20 north, range 5 east, and township 19 north, range 6 east; order returned, reissued to H. Curtis May 11, 1856.
.....	To survey and report quality of land in east half of section 27, township 20 north, range 14 west, returned; awaits action.
claimants.....	Claim of Charles Pavie, devisee of Athanase Du Mezier, B 1780, township 10 north, ranges 7 and 8 west, returned but not finally examined.
.....	Survey of the unsurveyed portions of townships 15 south, ranges 11 and 12 east, north Grand Lake; partial returns of township 15 south, range 12 east; order for township 12 south, range 9 east, issued to F. O. Dugas August 30, 1856.
.....	Claim of H. Pargoud, register and receiver, No. 39, townships 19 and 20 north, range 5 east, and township 19 north, range 6 east, returned and approved.
.....	Exterior lines heretofore unsurveyed as may be necessary to complete townships 13, 14, and 15 south, ranges 1 and 2 east, no returns.
.....	Resurveys townships 15 and 16 south, range 9, east, and township 16 south, range 10 east, no returns.
Light-house Board.....	Survey in sections 14 and 15, township 24 south, range 21 east, for location of light-house site on Timbalier island, not executed, order returned.
.....	Locate claim of William Flower, register and receiver, 1836, No. 8, and James Smith Yarborough, O B 365, returned and approved.
.....	Claim of François Cousin, act of Congress January 12, 1855, township 8 south, ranges 12 and 13 east, returned and approved.
.....	Claim of John Guzman returned and approved.
.....	Subdivide part of Paul Toup's claim into public sections, township 14 south, range 20 east, returned; awaits Commissioner's instructions.
.....	Claim of Joseph Soniat Dufossat, act of Congress May 19, 1832, township 10 south, ranges 14 and 15 east, returned and approved.
.....	Claims of James Nadaud, B 237, certificate No. 324, and M. L. de la Gaurais, widow Gonsoulin, register and receiver, B 2, 1st class, No. 57, returned and approved.
.....	Claim of William Leach, C. and S., 1820, No. 145, certificate No. 212, township 8 south, range 5 east, returned and approved.
.....	Claim of Robert Martin, register's report, No. 34, to be extended and surveyed in township 17 south, range 16 east; surveys suspended as reported to Commissioner.
.....	Claim of Justus La Barre Le Beau, register's report, No. 13, township 13 south, range 20 east, west of river, returned; awaits Commissioner's instructions upon Toup's claim.
.....	Claim of Jacques Dumine, Sutton's report, No. 26, township 15 north, ranges 9 and 10 east, not executed, order reissued to A. L. Mershon August 19, 1856.
.....	Claim of F. de la Garsa, Sutton's report, No. 32; order not executed; reissued to A. L. Mershon August 19, 1856.
.....	Claim of Jacques Dumine, report, No. 26, township 15 north, ranges 9 and 10 east, returned and approved.
.....	Claim of F. de la Garsa, Sutton's report No. 32, returned and approved.
.....	Survey of township 12 south, range 9 east.
.....	Correction of lines bordering the claim of Charles Pavie, register and receiver, No. 37.
.....	Claim of A. B. Roman, townships 12 and 13 south, range 16 east.

WM. J. McCULLOH, Surveyor General, Louisiana.

No. 2.

Statement showing the estimated amount of liabilities of the survey department in Louisiana for surveys under contracts and instructions on the 1st October, 1856.

Description of the surveys.	Estimated number of miles.	Price per mile.	Estimated amount of liabilities.	Name of surveyor.	Date of contract and instructions.
NORTHWESTERN DISTRICT.					
Township 11 north, range 8 west, 100 miles; township 11 north, range 9 west, 50 miles; township 15 north, range 12 west, 70 miles; township 15 north, range 13 west, 10 miles; township 16 north, range 12 west, 30 miles; township 16 north, range 13 west, 60 miles; township 17 north, range 13 west, 60 miles.*	360	8	\$3,040 00	Thomas Hunter..	Mar. 23, 1853.
Townships 7 and 8 north, range 5 west.....	30	8	240 00	Thomas Hunter..	
Township 10 north, range 8 west.....	10	8	80 00	Thomas Hunter..	Sept. 16, 1856. (Instructions)
Total.....			3,360 00		
SOUTHWESTERN DISTRICT.					
Township 2 south, range 1 east, 105 miles; township 3 south, range 1 east, 125 miles; township 2 south, range 2 east, 100 miles; township 2 south, range 3 east, 95 miles.	425	8	3,400 00	Thos. H. Weightman.	Jan. 2, 1855.
Townships 7, 8, and 9, south, range 1 east, 75 miles each.	225	8	1,800 00	Noah H. Phelps..	Nov. 1, 1855.
Township 5 south, range 2 west, 25 miles; township 5 south, range 3 west, 10 miles; township 6 south, range 2 west, 75 miles; township 6 south, range 3 west, 30 miles; township 7 south, range 2 west, 55 miles; township 7 south, range 3 west, 15 miles; township 8 south, range 2 west, 30 miles; township 8 south, range 3 west, 30 miles.	360	7	2,520 00	Noah H. Phelps..do.....
Township 4 north, range 3 west.....	110	8	880 00	Wm. H. Orsborn.	Dec. 20, 1855.
Township 15 south, ranges 11 and 12 east.....	20	8	160 00	F. O. Cornay....	Mar. 1, 1856. (Instructions)
Townships 15 and 16 south, range 9 east, 40 miles; township 16 south, range 10 east, 25 miles.	65	8	520 00	A. L. Fields.....	Mar. 24, 1856. (Instructions)
Township 12 south, range 9 east.....	20	8	160 00	F. O. Dugas.....	Aug. 30, 1856. (Instructions)
Townships 3, 4, and 5, south, range 12 west; townships 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, and 10, south, range 13 west.	135	8	1,080 00	Thomas Hunter..	Sept. 1, 1856.
Total.....			10,520 00		
SOUTHEASTERN DISTRICT.					
Township 12 south, range 8 east, 80 miles; township 13 south, range 8 east, 10 miles; township 14 south, range 9 east, 10 miles; township 12 south, range 9 east, 80 miles; township 13 south, range 9 east, 10 miles; east of Mississippi river.	190	8	1,520 00	Samuel Perin....	Feb. 12, 1856.
Township 13 south, range 13 east, 10 miles; township 13 south, range 14 east, 120 miles; west of Mississippi river.	130	8	1,040 00	Silas Taylor.....	Jan. 10, 1854.
Township 17 south, range 19 east, 40 miles; township 17 south, range 20 east, 40 miles; township 17 south, range 21 east, 20 miles; township 18 south, range 19 east, 105 miles; township 19 south, range 17 east, 50 miles; west of Mississippi river.	255	8	2,040 00	Joseph Gorlinski.	Oct. 19, 1854.
Township 6 south, range 9 east; west of Mississippi river.	240	8	1,680 00	Andrew Crawford	Nov. 2, 1854.

* These surveys, except township 11 north, ranges 8 and 9 west, are adjacent to the Grappe claim.

No. 2—Continued.

Description of the surveys.	Estimated number of miles.	Price per mile.	Estimated amount of liabilities.	Name of surveyor.	Date of contract and instructions.
Township 12 south, range 20 east, 25 miles; township 13 south, range 20 east, 170 miles; west of river.	195	\$8	\$1,560 00	Georg E. Brent..	Dec. 7, 1854.
Township 15 south, ranges 16 and 17 east; west of Mississippi river.	110	8	880 00	Theo. Gillespie...	July 3, 1855. (Instructions.)
Township 15 south, range 20 east, 40 miles; township 15 south, range 21 east, 40 miles; township 16 south, range 21 east, 25 miles; west of Mississippi river.	105	8	840 00	Maurice Hauke..	Nov. 17, 1855.
Township 14 south, range 21 east, 80 miles; township 14 south, range 22 east, 80 miles; west of Mississippi river.	160	7	1,120 00	Maurice Hauke..do.....
Township 4 south, range 10 east; west of Mississippi river.	175	8	1,400 00	Robert Boyd.....	Jan. 31, 1856.
Township 17 south, range 16 east; west of Mississippi river.	10	8	80 00	V. Sulakowski...	June 23, 1866. (Instructions.)
Township 14 south, range 20 east; west of Mississippi river.*	15	8	120 00	Maurice Hauke..	June 2, 1856. (Instructions.)
Total.....			12,280 00		
DISTRICT NORTH OF RED RIVER.					
Township 2 north, range 3 east, 25 miles; township 3 north, range 2 east, 20 miles; township 3 north, range 3 east, 100 miles; township 3 north, range 4 east, 25 miles; township 4 north, range 1 east, 90 miles.	260	7	1,820 00	A. L. Mershon...	Dec. 1, 1855.
Township 19 north, range 9 east, 70 miles; township 20 north, range 9 east, 70 miles; township 21 north, range 9 east, 70 miles; township 19 north, range 10 east, 5 miles	160	7	1,120 00	John M. Bradford	May 13, 1856.
Total.....			2,940 00		
GREENSBURG DISTRICT.					
Township 7 south, range 1 west, 90 miles; township 8 south, range 1 west, 60 miles; townships 8 and 9 south, range 8 east, 20 miles.	170	8	1,360 00	J. Claxton Taylor	Dec. 1, 1846.
Township 1 south, range 4 west.....	100	8	800 00	John R. Mershon.	Jan. 2, 1856.
Add the following unsettled accounts: George E. Wandzandt, for township 9 south, range 13 east; sent up December 22, 1846.			726 00		
H. T. Williams, for township 1 north, ranges 5 and 6 west; sent up June 30, 1847.			386 11		
Total.....			3,272 11		
Total amount of liabilities.....			32,372 11		

* Subdivision of part of Paul Toup's claim into public sections.

W. J. McCULLOH,
Surveyor General of Louisiana.

SECRETARY GENERAL'S OFFICE,
Donaldsonville, Louisiana, October 1, 1856.

No. 3.

Proposed surveys in the State of Louisiana, for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1858, including those already authorized by appropriation.

Districts and townships.	Estimated number of miles.	Price per mile.	Amount at augmented rates.	Necessity of resurvey, how shown.
SOUTHWESTERN.				
Township 15 south, range 12 east, 20 miles; township 14 south, range 8 east, 20 miles; township 15 south, range 7 east, 30 miles; township 2 north, range 4 east, 85 miles.	135	\$8	\$1,080	Resurveys proposed in previous estimates; their necessity reported to the Commissioner by surveyor general on the 25th August, 1855, and their execution approved by the Commissioner's letter of 15th September, 1855.
Township 3 south, range 3 east	160	8	1,280	Further examination and report required by Commissioner's letter of June 28, 1855.
Township 13 south, range 6 east.....	10	8	80	Further surveys required to connect and connect public sections, including late resurveys of John deputy surveyor.
Township 3 south, range 2 east, 60 miles; township 4 south, range 3 east, 170 miles.	230	8	1,840	Formerly included in Chas. M. Bradford's contract, but relinquished by him.
Total in southwestern district.....			4,280	
NORTH OF RED RIVER.				
Township 10 north, range 5 east, 85 miles.....	85	8	680	Township miscloses 3.02 by 2.00 sections 1, 5, 6, 20, and probably misclose. The claim of Haggard miscloses, and is deficient by connexions. Henry Hunt no connexions but one, 19.00 chains by Dearing, 21.24 chains by McInnis. Eastern half mile of southern boundary no notes returned by McInnis.
Township 8 north, range 4 east, 10 miles.....	10	8	80	In consequence of the new surveys by Phelps, section 26 miscloses 1.13 by 2.50 and section 36 as badly if not worse; part of the claim of Bennet Roberts lying in the northeast corner of this township to be surveyed. The township map cannot be prepared on account of the misclosures, and for want of connexions in the above claim of Roberts on the northern boundary. The claim of John Henry (B. 30) is supposed to be in this township.
Township 4 north, range 2 east, 20 miles.....	20	7	140	The location of the claim of King Holstein in the township is erroneous, (see approved sketch of township 8 north, range 4 east,) no distance given in the notes for second mile of the western boundary, and an irreconcilable discrepancy exists in the plats of the claims of John and James Holloway sections 11 and 14 with the connexions of public sections.
Township 11 north, range 11 east	80	8	640	Necessity explained in body of report.
Total in north of Red river.....			1,540	

No. 3—Continued.

Districts and townships.	Estimated number of miles.	Price per mile.	Amount of augmented rates.	Necessity of resurveys, how shown.
SOUTHEASTERN, WEST OF RIVER.				
Township 12 south, range 14 east, 30 miles; township 14 south, range 18 east, 40 miles; township 15 south, range 18 east, 40 miles; township 20 south, range 29 east, 15 miles; township 15 south, range 19 east, 10 miles; township 16 south, range 20 east, 15 miles; township 15 south, range 12 east, 10 miles; township 20 south, range 30 east, 20 miles; township 13 south, range 18 east, 35 miles; township 14 south, range 19 east, 40 miles.	9255	8	3,040	Proposed in previous estimates; their necessity reported to Commissioner by surveyor general on the 25th August, 1855, and approved by him 15th September, 1855.
Township 15 south, range 24 east, 80 miles; township 16 south, ranges 24 and 25 east, 90 miles; township 5 south, range 8 east, 165 miles; township 6 south, range 8 east, 100 miles.	435	8	3,480	
SOUTHEASTERN, EAST OF RIVER.				
Township 12 south, range 11 east, New Orleans township.	150	20	3,000	Proposed in previous estimates, the necessity of the resurvey fully set forth in the last annual report.
Total in southeastern district			8,520	
NORTHWESTERN.				
Surveyed portions of townships 18, 19, and 20 north, range 14 west, west of Red river, and townships 20 and 21 north, range 15 west.	100	8	800	Necessity explained in body of report.
Total in northwestern district			800	
Total of proposed surveys			15,140	

WM. J. McCULLOH,
Surveyor General, Louisiana.

SURVEYOR GENERAL'S OFFICE,
Donaldsonville, Louisiana, October 1, 1856.

No. 4.

Estimates of funds to be appropriated for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1858, for surveys in Louisiana, for compensation of the surveyor general and the clerks in his office, and for the contingent expenses of the surveyor general's office.

<i>Present liabilities for unpaid surveys under contract and instructions, viz:</i>			
Southwestern district.....	\$10,520 00		
Northwestern district.....	3,360 00		
Southeastern district.....	12,280 00		
North of Red river district.....	2,940 00		
Greensburg district.....	3,272 11		
		\$32,372 11	
<i>Proposed surveys, at augmented rates, (\$8 per mile,) of this and former years:</i>			
Southwestern district.....	4,280 00		
North of Red river district.....	1,540 00		
Southeastern district.....	8,520 00		
Northwestern district.....	800 00		
		15,140 00	
Proposed resurvey, at \$20 per mile, of the New Orleans township.....		3,000 00	
Salary and expenses of surveyor of private claims.....		3,000 00	
Total liabilities, actual and proposed.....	53,512 11		
Total appropriations on hand.....	42,746 14		
To be appropriated for surveys.....			\$10,765 97
<i>For salaries.</i>			
Compensation of the surveyor general.....		2,000 00	
Four clerks, (for current business of the office).....		4,400 00	
Four clerks, to be employed in examining and protracting surveyors' returns.....		4,400 00	
Two draughtsmen, preparing maps, &c.....		2,400 00	
			13,200 00
<i>Contingent expenses.</i>			
Rent of rooms for surveyor general's office.....		400 00	
Stationery, furniture, postage, bookbinding, ice, freight, fuel, copy of documents from registers, servant's hire, &c.....		1,400 00	
			1,800 00
Total amount of appropriations required for the year ending June 30, 1858.....			25,765 97

WILLIAM J. McCULLOH, *Surveyor General, Louisiana*

SURVEYOR GENERAL'S OFFICE,
Donaldsonville, Louisiana, October 1, 1856.

No. 5.

Statement of swamp lands accruing to the State of Louisiana under the provisions of the acts of Congress approved March 2, 1849, and September 28, 1850, excepting such portions thereof as are rightfully claimed or owned by individuals, listed to date, since October 1, 1855, by the surveyor general of Louisiana.

District north of Red river.

Township.	Range.	Land surveyed.	Estimated un-	Total.
		<i>Acres.</i>	surveyed.	<i>Acres.</i>
2 North.....	2 east.....	2,490.48	2,490.48
3 North.....	1 east.....	2,548.72	2,548.72
14 North.....	6 east.....	1,652.04	1,652.04
15 North.....	10 east.....	906.36	906.36
16 North.....	10 east.....	197.88	197.88
16 North.....	11 east.....	14,841.28	14,841.28
17 North.....	8 east.....	1,638.68	1,638.68
17 North.....	9 east.....	400.18	400.18
17 North.....	10 east.....	40.00	40.00
17 North.....	11 east.....	240.00	240.00
18 North.....	6 east.....	1,595.53	1,595.53
18 North.....	10 east.....	33.51	33.51
19 North.....	5 east.....	99.32	99.32
19 North.....	6 east.....	5,336.54	5,336.54
20 North.....	4 east.....	4,732.79	4,732.79
20 North.....	5 east.....	134.78	134.78
20 North.....	6 east.....	8,596.59	8,596.59
20 North.....	11 east.....	567.42	567.42
21 North.....	11 east.....	2,428.18	2,428.18
22 North.....	4 east.....	22,372.68	22,372.68
22 North.....	6 east.....	79.76	79.76
22 North.....	8 east.....	15,017.23	15,017.23
22 North.....	11 east.....	1,355.70	1,355.70
23 North.....	3 east.....	8,376.69	8,376.69
23 North.....	4 east.....	19,051.16	19,051.16
23 North.....	8 east.....	8,428.48	8,428.48
Total.....	123,161.98	123,161.98

Southwestern district.

3 North.....	4 east.....	3,335.75	3,335.75
3 North.....	1 west.....	553.65	553.65
3 North.....	2 west.....	2,344.98	2,344.98
4 North.....	1 west.....	256.84	256.84
7 South.....	1 west.....	2,234.99	2,234.99
10 South.....	9 west.....	427.72	427.72
12 South.....	9 west.....	476.28	476.28
14 South.....	9 west.....	296.20	296.20
9 South.....	5 east.....	1,952.44	1,952.44
10 South.....	5 east.....	5,521.40	5,521.40
10 South.....	6 east.....	1,150.52	1,150.52
14 South.....	3 east.....	1,343.99	8,302.32	9,646.31
14 South.....	4 east.....	503.36	503.36
15 South.....	3 east.....	2,318.18	3,209.96	5,528.14
11 South.....	8 east.....	431.20	431.20
Total.....	22,644.14	12,015.64	34,659.78

E—Continued.

Southeastern district, east of river.

Township.	Range.	Land surveyed.	Estimated un-surveyed.	Total.
11 south.....	6 east.....	4,869.60	2,524.08	7,393.68
11 south.....	12 east.....	2,328.20	2,328.20
Total.....	4,869.60	4,852.28	9,721.88

Southeastern district, west of river.

3 south.....	8 east.....	6,396.77	6,396.77
5 south.....	11 east.....	39.16	39.16
13 south.....	21 east.....	1,163.96	1,163.96
13 south.....	22 east.....	3,214.96	3,214.96
14 south.....	23 east.....	8,116.28	8,116.28
14 south.....	24 east.....	979.60	979.60
15 south.....	16 east.....	53.00	53.00
15 south.....	24 east.....	768.16	768.16
18 south.....	18 east.....	101.32	101.32
18 south.....	19 east.....	9,105.00	10,021.72	19,126.72
19 south.....	18 east.....	16,530.16	16,530.16
19 south.....	19 east.....	3,453.04	18,051.11	21,504.15
20 south.....	18 east.....	15,718.24	5,608.04	21,326.28
Total.....	65,639.65	33,680.87	99,320.52

Greensburg district.

7 south.....	2 east.....	306.08	306.08
8 south.....	5 east.....	293.04	293.04
8 south.....	12 east.....	2,162.36	2,162.36
8 south.....	15 east.....	1,129.46	1,129.46
9 south.....	14 east.....	5,389.26	5,389.26
9 south.....	15 east.....	717.28	717.28
10 south.....	14 east.....	308.24	308.24
10 south.....	15 east.....	4,268.26	4,268.26
Total.....	14,573.98	14,573.98

Northwestern district.

7 north.....	14 west.....	705.74	705.74
8 north.....	13 west.....	664.92	664.92
8 north.....	14 west.....	404.52	404.52
14 north.....	12 west.....	481.52	481.52
15 north.....	12 west.....	10,965.92	10,965.92
15 north.....	13 west.....	1,041.11	1,041.11
16 north.....	13 west.....	225.79	225.79
17 north.....	13 west.....	1,086.07	1,086.07
18 north.....	13 west.....	30.36	30.36
20 north.....	14 west.....	285.56	285.56
Total.....	15,891.51	15,891.51

RECAPITULATION.

Total area of swamp lands selected in the district north of Red river.....	123,161.98
Do.....do.....southwestern district.....	34,659.78
Do.....do.....southeastern district, east of river.	9,721.88
Do.....do.....do.....west of river.	99,320.52
Do.....do.....Greensburg district.....	14,573.98
Do.....do.....northwestern district.....	15,891.51
	<hr/>
Grand total.....	297,329.65
	<hr/>
But the net total to be added to selections made up to date of last report is only	273,979.73
	<hr/> <hr/>

WILLIAM J. McCULLOH, *Surveyor General, Louisiana*

SURVEYOR GENERAL'S OFFICE,
 Donaldsonville, Louisiana, October 1, 1856.

List of documents representing surveys sent to the General Land Office, to the district offices, and to the State register's office at Baton Rouge, Louisiana, since October 1, 1855, to date, October 1, 1856.

General Land Office.	District office.	State register's office.	District.	Remarks.
Map of township 5 north, range 1 west.	Map of township 5 north, range 1 west.	North of Red river.	
Map of township 22 north, range 8 east.	Map of township 22 north, range 8 east.	Map of township 22 north, range 8 east.do.....	
Map of township 20 north, range 4 east.	Map of township 20 north, range 4 east.	Map of township 20 north, range 4 east.do.....	
Map of township 16 north, range 11 east.	Map of township 16 north, range 11 east.	Map of township 16 north, range 11 eastdo.....	
8 plats.do.....	Claims in the Bastrop grant.
Map of township 23 north, range 4 east.	Map of township 23 north, range 4 east.	Map of township 23 north, range 4 east.do.....	
Map of township 23 north, range 3 east.	Map of township 23 north, range 3 east.	Map of township 23 north, range 3 east.do.....	
Diagram of township 13 north, ranges 3 and 4 east.	Diagram of township 13 north, ranges 3 and 4 east.do.....	
1 plat.do.....	Claim of president, directors, &c., of Pine Grove academy.
Diagram of township 19 north, range 4 east.	Diagram of township 19 north, range 6 eastdo.....	Section 19.
Map of township 22 north, range 4 east.	Map of township 22 north, range 4 east.	Diagram.do.....	Red River island.
Map of township 23 north, range 8 east.	Map of township 23 north, range 8 east.	Map of township 22 north, range 4 east.do.....	
Map of township 2 north, range 2 east.	Map of township 23 north, range 8 east.	Map of township 23 north, range 8 east.do.....	
Diagram of township 19 north, range 5 east.	Map of township 2 north, range 2 east.	Map of township 2 north, range 2 east.do.....	
	Diagram of township 19 north, range 5 east.	Diagram of township 4 north, range 1 west.do.....	
		Diagram of township 19 north, range 5 east.do.....	

Diagram of township 19 north, range 6 east.	Diagram of township 19 north, range 6 east.	Diagram of township 19 north, range 6 east.	.do.
Diagram of township 20 north, range 5 east.	Diagram of township 20 north, range 5 east.	Diagram of township 20 north, range 5 east.	.do.
1 plat			.do.
Map of township 20 north, range 6 east.	Map of township 20 north, range 6 east.	Map of township 20 north, range 6 east.	.do.
		Map of township 15 north, range 8 east.	.do.
		Map of township 16 north, range 8 east.	.do.
		Map of township 17 north, range 8 east.	.do.
			.do.
4 plats		Map of township 14 north, range 4 east.	.do.
		Map of township 23 north, range 9 east.	.do.
Map of township 3 north, range 1 east.	Map of township 3 north, range 1 east.	Map of township 3 north, range 1 east.	.do.
Diagram of township 8 north, range 4 east.	Diagram of township 8 north, range 4 east.		.do.
Diagram of township 8 north, range 5 east.	Diagram of township 8 north, range 5 east.		.do.
Diagram of township 15 north, range 9 east.	Diagram of township 15 north, range 9 east.		.do.
Diagram of township 15 north, range 10 east.	Diagram of township 15 north, range 10 east.	Diagram of township 15 north, range 10 east.	.do.
		Diagram of township 2 north, range 2 east.	.do.
	2 plats		.do.
Diagram of township 14 north, range 6 east.	Diagram of township 14 north, range 6 east.	Diagram of township 14 north, range 6 east.	.do.
	2 plats		.do.
		Map of township 16 north, range 3 east.	.do.
	2 plats		.do.
Map of township 3 south, range 8 east.	Map of township 3 south, range 8 east.	Map of township 3 south, range 8 east.	Southeastern

Claim of H. Pargoud.

Claims in the Bastrop grant.

Claim of Jacques Dumeni, Sutton's
report No 26.

Claim of Francisco de la Garsa, Sut-
ton's report No 32.

Claim of Joseph Prudhomme, Sut-
ton's report No. 61.

General Land Office.	District office.	State register's office.	District.	Remarks.
Diagram of township 2 south, range 8 east.	Diagram of township 2 south, range 8 east.	Southeastern.	
Map of township 18 south, range 18 east.	Map of township 18 south, range 18 east.	Map of township 18 south, range 18 east.do.....	
Diagram of township 18 south, range 17 east.	Diagram of township 18 south, range 17 east.do.....	
Diagram of township 13 south, range 21 east.	Diagram of township 13 south, range 21 east.do.....	
Map of township 11 south, range 12 east.	Map of township 11 south, range 12 east.	Map of township 11 south, range 12 east.do.....	
Map of township 14 south, range 23 east.	Map of township 14 south, range 23 east.	Map of township 14 south, range 23 east.do.....	
Diagram of township 15 south, range 23 east.	Diagram of township 15 south, range 23 east.do.....	
Map of township 3 south, range 8 east.do.....	Copy of old map.
Map of township 3 south, range 8 east.do.....	Copy of new map.
Diagram of township 14 south, range 15 east.	Diagram of township 14 south, range 15 east.do.....	Section 146.
Diagram of township 15 south, range 15 east.	Diagram of township 15 south, range 15 east.do.....	Section 6.
Map of townships 11 & 12 south, range 6 east.	Map of townships 11 and 12 south, range 6 east.	Map of townships 11 and 12 south, range 6 east.do.....	
Diagram of township 8 south, range 2 east.	Diagram of township 8 south, range 2 east.	Map of township 16 south, range 16 east.do.....	
Diagram of township 8 south, range 3 east.	Diagram of township 8 south, range 3 east.do.....	
Map of township 20 south, range 18 east.	Map of township 20 south, range 18 east.	Map of township 20 south, range 18 east.do.....	
Map of township 19 south, range 15 east.	Diagram of township 2 south, range 7 east.do.....	Section 73.
	Map of township 19 south, range 18 east.	Map of township 19 south, range 18 east.do.....	

Map of township 14 south, range 24 east.	Map of township 14 south, range 24 east.	Map of township 14 south, range 24 east.	.do.
Diagram of township 15 south, range 24 east.	Diagram of township 15 south, range 24 east.	Diagram of township 15 south, range 24 east.	.do.
Map of township 13 south, range 21 east.	Map of township 13 south, range 21 east.	Map of township 13 south, range 21 east.	.do.
Map of township 13 south, range 22 east.	Map of township 13 south, range 22 east.	Map of township 13 south, range 22 east.	.do.
Diagram of townships 3 and 4 south, range 8 east.do.
Diagram of townships 3 and 4 south, range 9 east.do.
Map of township 19 south, range 19 east.	Map of township 19 south, range 19 east.	Map of township 19 south, range 19 east.	.do.
Map of township 19 south, range 18 east.	Map of township 19 south, range 18 east.	Map of township 19 south, range 18 east.	.do.
Map of township 15 south, range 16 east.	Map of township 15 south, range 16 east.do.
Diagram of township 15 south, range 17 east.	Diagram of township 15 south, range 17 east.do.
Diagram of township south, range 16 east.	Diagram of township 16 south, range 16 east.do.
Diagram of township 16 south, range 17 east.	Diagram of township 16 south, range 17 east.do.
	14 plats.....	Southwestern.	Claims in the district north of Red river
Map of township 5 south, range 3 east.	Map of township 5 south, range 3 east.do.
Diagram of township 4 south, range 3 east.	Diagram of township 4 south, range 3 east.do.
Diagram of township 5 south, range 4 east.	Diagram of township 5 south, range 4 east.do.
Diagram of township 6 south, range 3 east.	Diagram of township 6 south, range 3 east.do.
Diagram of township 4 south, range 2 east.	Diagram of township 4 south, range 2 east.do.
Diagram of township 5 south, range 2 east.	Diagram of township 5 south, range 2 east.do.
Diagram of township 4 south, range 4 east.	Diagram of township 4 south, range 4 east.do.
Diagram of township 5 south, range 4 east.	Diagram of township 5 south, range 4 east.do.

General Land Office.	District office.	State register's office.	District.	Remarks.
Diagram of township 10 south, range 9 west.	Diagram of township 10 south, range 9 west.	Diagram of township 10 south, range 9 west.	Southwestern.	Claim of Bartheleme Le Blue, B. 1,488.
Diagram of township 14 south, range 9 west.	Diagram of township 14 south, range 9 west.	Diagram of township 14 south, range 9 west.do.....	Section 31.
Diagram of township 12 south, range 9 west.	Diagram of township 12 south, range 9 west.	Diagram of township 12 south, range 9 west.do.....	Section 9.
Map of township 9 south, range 3 east.do.....	
Map of township 9 south, range 5 east.	Map of township 9 south, range 5 east.	Map of township 9 south, range 5 east.do.....	
Diagram of township 9 south, range 4 east.	Diagram of township 9 south, range 4 east.do.....	
Map of township 10 south, range 5 east.	Map of township 10 south, range 5 east.	Map of township 10 south, range 5 east.do.....	
Map of township 10 south, range 6 east.	Map of township 10 south, range 6 east.	Map of township 10 south, range 6 east.do.....	
Diagram of township 11 south, range 6 east.	Diagram of township 11 south, range 6 east.do.....	
Map of township 14 south, range 3 east.	Map of township 14 south, range 3 east.do.....	
Diagram of township 13 south, range 3 east.	Diagram of township 13 south, range 3 east.do.....	
Diagram of township 15 south, range 3 east.	Diagram of township 15 south, range 3 east.do.....	
Diagram of township 14 south, range 4 east.	Diagram of township 14 south, range 4 east.do.....	
Diagram of township 10 south, range 4 east	Diagram of township 10 south, range 4 east.do.....	
	2 plats.....do.....	Claim of Chileal Smith, B. 1,499, township 15 north, range 12 east.
		Map of township 3 north, range 4 east.do.....	
		Map of township 2 north, range 4 east.do.....	

Map of township 7 south, range 1 west.
 Diagram of township 8 south, range 1 west.

Map of township 7 south, range 1 west.
 Diagram of township 8 south, range 1 west.

Map of township 7 south, range 1 west.
 ..do.....

Map of township 4 north, range 1 west.
 Diagram of township 3 north, range 4 east.
 ..do.....

11 plats.....
 16 plats.....

.....do.....
 ..do.....
 Northwestern.

Map of township 7 north, range 10 west.

Map of township 7 north, range 10 west.

Map of township 8 north, range 13 west.

Map of township 8 north, range 13 west.

Map of township 8 north, range 13 west.

Map of township 8 north, range 14 west.

Map of township 8 north, range 14 west.

Map of township 8 north, range 14 west.

Map of township 9 north, range 1 west.

Map of township 9 north, range 12 west.

.....do.....

Map of township 9 north, range 13 west.

Map of township 9 north, range 13 west.

.....do.....

Diagram of township 7 north, range 14 west.

Diagram of township 7 north, range 14 west.

Diagram of township 7 north, range 14 west.

Diagram of township 7 north, range 11 west.

.....do.....

.....do.....

Diagram of township 8 north, range 12 west.

.....do.....

.....do.....

Diagram of township 6 north, range 11 west.

.....do.....

.....do.....

Diagram of township 8 north, range 11 west.

.....do.....

.....do.....

Diagram of township 6 north, range 10 west.

.....do.....

.....do.....

Diagram of township 5 north, range 11 west.

.....do.....

.....do.....

Diagram of township 9 north, range 11 west.

.....do.....

.....do.....

Map of township 15 north, range 12 west.

Map of township 15 north, range 12 west.

Map of township 15 north, range 12 west.

Map of township 16 north, range 12 west.

Map of township 16 north, range 12 west.

.....do.....

Claims in the district north of Red river.
 Claims in the district north of Red river.

} Showing the incorrectness of old surveys.

} Sections 18, 19, 20, 31, 32, 37, and 38.

General Land Office.	District office.	State register's office.	District.	Remarks.
Map of township 16 north, range 13 west.	Map of township 16 north, range 13 west.	Northwestern.	
Map of township 17 north, range 13 west.	Map of township 17 north, range 13 west.	Map of township 17 north, range 13 west.do.....	
Diagram of township 14 north, range 12 west.	Diagram of township 14 north, range 12 west.do.....	
Diagram of township 15 north, range 13 west.	Diagram of township 15 north, range 13 west.	Diagram of township 15 north, range 13 west.do.....	
Diagram of township 17 north, range 14 west.	Diagram of township 17 north, range 14 west.do.....	
Diagram of township 18 north, range 13 west.	Diagram of township 18 north, range 13 west.	Diagram of township 18 north, range 13 west.do.....	
Diagram of township 14 north, range 20 west.	Diagram of township 14 north, range 20 west.	Diagram of township 14 north, range 20 west.do.....	
	1 platdo.....	Lot 1 of Las Ormigas grant. Lot 1 of La Nana grant.
Diagram of township 20 north, range 14 west.	Diagram of township 20 north, range 14 west.	Diagram of township 20 north, range 14 west.do.....	
	1 platdo.....	
Map of township 1 south, range 3 west.	Map of township 1 south, range 3 west.	Greensburg ..	
Diagram of township 1 south, range 4 west.	Diagram of township 1 south, range 4 west.do.....	
	42 platsdo.....	Claims in township 1 south, range 1 west.
	2 platsdo.....	Claim of Thomas Holms, township 6 south, range 14 east, section 44.
Diagram of township 6 south, range 14 east.	Diagram of township 6 south, range 14 east.do.....	
	2 platsdo.....	Claim of John Wright, section 45, township 6 south, range 14 east.
Map of township 7 south, range 3 east.	Map of township 7 south, range 3 east.	Map of township 7 south, range 3 east.do.....	

		Map of township 7 south, range 3 east.do.....	
Diagram of township 6 south, range 10 east.	Diagram of township 6 south, range 10 east.	Map of township 6 south, range 1 east.do.....	
	2 plats.....do.....		Claim of Alexander Lea, section 38, township 6 south, range 10 east.
21 Diagram of township 8 south, range 5 east.	Diagram of township 8 south, range 5 east.	Diagram of township 8 south, range 5 east.do.....	
	2 plats.....do.....		Claim of William Leach, section 46, township 8 south, range 5 east.
Diagram of township 8 south, range 15 east.	Diagram of township 8 south, range 15 east.	Diagram of township 8 south, range 15 east.do.....	
Diagram of township 9 south, range 15 east.	Diagram of township 9 south, range 15 east.	Diagram of township 9 south, range 15 east.do.....	
	4 plats.....do.....		Claim of James Nadault, section 37, and M. de la Gautrais, sections 37 and 38.
Diagram of township 9 south, range 14 east.	Diagram of township 9 south, range 14 east.	Diagram of township 9 south, range 14 east.do.....	
Map of township 10 south, range 15 east.	Map of township 10 south, range 15 east.	Map of township 10 south, range 15 east.do.....	
Diagram of township 10 south, range 14 east.	Diagram of township 10 south, range 14 east.	Diagram of township 10 south, range 14 east.do.....	
	2 plats.....do.....		Claim of Soniat Dupossat, section 37, township 10 south, ranges 14 and 15 east.
	4 plats.....do.....		Claims in township 5 south, range 1 east.
	30 plats.....do.....		Claims in township 1 south, range 9 east.
	30 plats.....do.....		Claims in township 1 south, range 3 east.
Map of township 8 south, range 12 east.	Map of township 8 south, range 12 east.	Map of township 8 south, range 12 east.do.....	
1 plat.....do.....	52 plats.....do.....		Claim of Francois Cousin.
			Claims in township 2 south, range 10 east, and township 1 south, range 4 east.
	34 plats.....do.....		Claims in township 2 south, range 1 east.

RECAPITULATION.

Documents sent.	Sent to General Land Office.	Sent to district offices.	Sent to State register's office.	Total.
Maps	46	43	43	132
Diagrams	61	53	20	134
Plats	15	291	306
Swamp lists	84	84	80	248
Total	890

SURVEYOR GENERAL'S OFFICE, Donaldsonville, Louisiana, October 1, 1856.

WM. J. McCULLOH, Surveyor General.

List of deputy surveyors in the State of Louisiana holding commissions from William J. McCulloh, surveyor general of Louisiana.

Names.	Date of oath.	Place of residence.	How employed.
William Sevey	July 1, 1853	Donaldsonville	
William H. Osborn	July 7, 1853	Parish of Rapides	Contract in southwestern district.
J. Claxton Taylor	July 9, 1853	Baton Rouge	Contract in Greensburg district.
John P. Knowlton	do	Parish of West Feliciana	
Charles Joseph Cabell	do	Donaldsonville	
Joseph Gorlinski	July 11, 1853	Baton Rouge	Contract in southeastern district.
A. L. Fields	July 13, 1853	Franklin	Surveys in southwestern district, under instructions.
Noah H. Phelps	do	Harrisonburg	Contract in southwestern district.
Andrew Crawford	do	Parish of Pointe Coupee	Contract in southeastern district.
Thomas Hunter	July 15, 1853	Parish of Natchitoches	Contract in southwestern and northwestern districts.
Abner D. Minor	Aug. 19, 1853	New Iberia	
Henry Curtis	Aug. 29, 1853	Parish of Moorehouse	
Robert Boyd	Aug. 31, 1853	Donaldsonville	Contract in southeastern district.
Thomas Mullett	Sept. 10, 1853	do	
Samuel C. Hepburn	Sept. 17, 1853	do	
Theodore Gillespie	Oct. 1, 1853	New Orleans	Surveys in southeastern district, under instructions.
Augustus S. Phelps	Oct. 27, 1853	do	
Maurice Haukē	Nov. 1, 1853	Donaldsonville	Contract in southeastern district.
John Boyd	Nov. 5, 1853	do	
Silas Taylor	Nov. 21, 1853	do	Do. do.
Samuel Perin	Nov. 29, 1853	Parish of St. Charles	Do. do.
R. C. Brent	Dec. 30, 1853	Baton Rouge	
Alfred A. Fuselier	Jan. 18, 1854	Parish of St. Mary	
George S. Walmsley	March 6, 1854	Cloutierville	
Jonas K. Lothrop	March 31, 1854	Harrisonburg	
Wilson C. Robert	Aug. 8, 1854	Parish of Avoyelles	
Charles M. Bradford	Sept. 20, 1854	New Orleans	
Albert L. Mershon	Sept. 23, 1854	Donaldsonville	Contract in north Red river district, and locate claims in State of Louisiana.

Names.	Date of oath.	Place of residence.	How employed.
F. O. Cornay.....	Oct. 13, 1854	Parish of St. Mary.....	Surveys in southwestern district, under instructions.
John F. Fenner.....	Oct. 16, 1854	Monroe.....	
John H. Halsey.....	Nov. 23, 1854	Donaldsonville.....	Commission withdrawn September 29, 1856. Contract in southeastern district.
Samuel H. Hill.....	Nov. 25, 1854	New Orleans.....	
George E. Brent.....	Dec. 7, 1854	Donaldsonville.....	
Thomas H. Weightman.....	Dec. 22, 1854 do.....	Contract in southwestern district.
Lafayette Caldwell.....	Dec. 28, 1854	Parish of West Baton Rouge.....	Pineville Bossier.....
Thomas M. Marks.....	do.....	Pineville Bossier.....	
Robert H. Bradford.....	Jan. 1, 1855	Donaldsonville.....	Contract in north of Red river district.
Alfred D. Merick.....	Jan. 7, 1855 do.....	
John M. Bradford.....	May 18, 1855	Opelousas.....	Exterior lines in southwestern district, under instructions.
John Rykowski.....	May 25, 1855	Donaldsonville.....	
Jean J. Tainturier.....	Aug. 14, 1855	Parish of St. John Baptiste.....	Contract in Greensburg district.
William Sidney Smith.....	Nov. 30, 1855	Parish of Concordia.....	
John R. Mershon.....	Jan. 2, 1856	Parish of Moorehouse.....	
Simeon Vallery Martion.....	April 3, 1856	Parish of St. Martin.....	Surveys in southwestern district, under instructions.
P. E. Broussard.....	April 28, 1856	Parish of Vermillion.....	
F. U. Dugas.....	do.....	Parish of St. Martin.....	Locate claim of Robt .Martin, southeastern district.
Jules L. Le Blanc.....	May 22, 1856 do.....	
Valerien Sulakowski.....	July 5, 1856	Terrebonne.....	

WILLIAM J. McCULLOH,
Surveyor General of Louisiana.

SURVEYOR GENERAL'S OFFICE,
Donaldsonville, Louisiana, October 1, 1856.

ANNUAL REPORT OF THE SURVEYOR GENERAL OF FLORIDA.

SURVEYOR GENERAL'S OFFICE,
St. Augustine, October 1, 1856.

SIR: Conforming to the requirements of a communication from your office, dated April 4, 1856, I herewith transmit you my annual report in triplicate, elucidated by the accompanying exhibits, marked A, and Nos. 2 to 9, which will serve to show the progress made both in the field and office work since my last annual report.

A is a diagram or map of the State, giving in one connected view the condition and extent of the surveys in the State.

It will be perceived that the surveys have been extended but little during the year. The surveyors, eight in number, were all in the field performing their duty at the time the Seminole Indians made their demonstration on Lieut. Hartsuff, United States army; but, from the hostile demonstration made soon after by the Indians in the neighborhood of their operations, they were obliged to abandon their work, and all had left the field by the last of January.

All the work properly returned to this office performed by them has been examined, platted, and sent up. It is believed the deputies will at an early day be able to resume their work and finish their contracts.

No. 2 is a statement of contracts for surveying that were not closed at the date of my last annual report.

No. 3 is a statement of contracts for surveying made since the date of my last annual report.

No. 4 is a list of original township plats and copies of the same made since my last annual report.

No. 4 is a statement of townships in which lists of swamp land selections have been approved since my last annual report, as inuring to the State of Florida under the provisions of the act of Congress approved September 28, 1850, and lists sent to the Commissioner of the General Land Office, and also to the registers.

No. 6 is a statement of townships in which the State authorities have not filed any "affidavit lists" of swamp land embraced in the same.

No. 7 is a statement of townships in which the State authorities have filed "affidavit lists," but the whole or a part suspended—the reason for which suspension appearing on the memorandum opposite to each township thereof.

All the swamp land selections, as far as the lists have been furnished by the State authorities, except those in No. 7, have been acted upon, and lists sent to the proper offices. This department of the business of the office has caused much labor and careful investigation during the year, and has now arrived at such a state that no special allowance of funds will be required to keep it up with the regular office-work, as the regular appropriations for clerks can be partly applied to this purpose.

No. 8 is a complete list of private claims (grants) that have been surveyed in the State, showing the township and range location.

About four hundred and fifty of these claims have been carefully abstracted together, with the connexion lines with the public lands, and a condensed history and full index of each title, from its inception under the foreign government to its location and final survey

under our own, has been compiled, and the field-notes thereof arranged and consolidated, ready for systematically and uniformly recording in special books, in order to insure their preservation for after use. About two hundred and fifty of these claims have been recorded in duplicate—one for this office, and one for the office of the Commissioner in Washington. The utmost pains is taken to have this a perfect record; to do this, a review of the whole previous history of each claim, the protraction and calculation of the immense number of small fractions which are formed by the diagonal lines, and original and irregular forms of the private surveys, as well as interference and adjustment of conflicting limits of claims, requires not only time, but officers of ability and experience. This has already done much, and, when completed, and all the facts in each case easily accessible to those interested and the public, will do much towards quieting titles, putting an end to litigation, and definitely settling the line of separation between the public land and private land claims. In my last annual report, I said "that it is confidently believed the sum asked for the purpose of carrying on and completing these records would be sufficient to get them ready for delivery to the State authorities on the completion of the surveys under the act of June, 1840." I have no reason now to alter this opinion, believing that a vigorous prosecution with the sums asked for in my last annual report, and the regular force of the office, sufficient to place the whole records in the proper state as contemplated by the above act, for the delivery to the State authorities, after the completion of the surveys, and therefore have omitted to make any estimate for such work.

I make no estimate for surveys during the next fiscal year, believing that the present balances, and the amount allotted to this office for surveys during this year, which has not, and could not be expended on account of the hostile demonstration of the Seminoles, sufficient should they become peaceable, to execute all the surveys that the regular force of the office would be competent to examine, adjust, and protract and plat.

No. 9 is an estimate of appropriations required for the office of the surveyor general of Florida for the fiscal year ending 30th June, 1858.

The sums here asked for the payment of clerks are deemed indispensably necessary to carrying on the regular work of the office; in making out plats and diagrams, copying field-notes, recording, and for examinations of swamp land lists; preparing and copying these for the general and local land offices, and coloring selections on swamp land plats, subdivisions of sections, upon requisition of registers to facilitate the sales of the public lands; examining records to give information, and exhibiting to persons who visit the office the original field-notes and other papers in relation to titles, and other useful important and necessary duties.

Very respectfully, your obedient servant,

JOHN WESTCOTT,
Surveyor General of Florida

Hon. THOMAS A. HENDRICKS,
Commissioner of the General Land Office, Washington D. C.

Statement of contracts for surveying the public lands in the State of Florida that were not closed at the date of last annual report, showing their present condition.

Names of contractors.	Date of contract.	Expiration of contract.	Number of miles returned.			Rate per mile.	Amount.	Remarks.
			Miles.	Chains.	Links.			
A. M. Randolph	Jan. 14, 1853 ...	June 1, 1853..	366	45	16	\$6 00	-----	T. 10 S., R. 13 and 14 E., not yet approved.
W. G. Moseley	Jan. 22, 1855 ...	July 1, 1855..	366	45	16	4 00	\$1,467 23	Two townships unfinished; resumed work in December last; obliged to quit on account of Indian hostilities.
G. H. Bunker	Jan. 24, 1855 ...	July 1, 1855..	538	18	66	4 00	2,152 85	Work sent up.
J. J. Daniel	Feb. 8, 1855 ...	July 1, 1855..	592	51	38	4 00	2,410 68	Work sent up.
J. J. Daniel	Oct., 1855 ...	April 1, 1856..	120	6	68	4 00	480 33	Two townships finished; obliged to quit the field on account of Indian hostilities.
S. J. Perry	Oct. 12, 1855 ...	June 1, 1856..	59	10	69	4 00	236 53	One township finished and sent up; obliged to quit the field on account of Indian hostilities.
G. H. Bunker	Oct. 13, 1855 ...	June 1, 1856..	68	14	83	4 00	272 74	One township finished and sent up; obliged to quit the field on account of Indian hostilities.
Louis Lanier	Oct. 22, 1855 ...	June 1, 1856..	210	66	25	4 00	843 30	Four townships sent up; obliged to quit the field on account of Indian hostilities.
W. S. Harris	June 22, 1855 ...	Sept. 1, 1856..	128	39	6 00	770 93	Scrap work sent up.

SURVEYOR GENERAL'S OFFICE, October 1, 1856.

JOHN WESTCOTT, *Surveyor General.*

Statement showing the condition of contracts for the survey of the public lands in the State of Florida entered into since October 31, 1855, the date of last annual report from this office.

Date of contract.	Names of contractors,	Time allowed.	Price per mile.	Miles returned.			Amount.	Remarks,
				Miles.	Chains.	Links.		
Nov. 17, 1855....	William S. Harris...	April 1, 1856 .	\$4 00	111	47	33	\$446 36	Part of contract finished and sent up; obliged to quit the field on account of Indian hostilities.
Nov. 28, 1855....	William J. Reyes ...	April 1, 1856 .	4 00	161	14	12	644 70	Part of contract finished and sent up; obliged to quit the field on account of Indian hostilities.
Dec. 11, 1855....	William G. Moseley.	April 1, 1856 .	4 00	Obliged to quit the field on account of Indian hostilities.
Dec. 12, 1855....	Michael Garrison....	April 1, 1856 .	4 00	Obliged to quit the field on account of Indian hostilities.

JOHN WESTCOTT, *Surveyor General,*

SURVEYOR GENERAL'S OFFICE, October 1, 1856,

Ranges.	Townships.	Land district.	Copies.				When sent to Commissioner.	When sent to register.	Copies of field-note books sent to Com'r.
			Original.	Commissioner.	Register.	Total.			
27 east.....	20, 21 south.....	Tampa.....	2	2	2	6	Nov. 2, 1855	March 5, 1856	2
26 east.....	22 south.....	do.....	1	1	1	3	do.....	do.....	1
23 east.....	14, 16 south.....	Newnansville.....	2	2	2	6	Dec. 1, 1855	do.....	2
24 east.....	16 south.....	do.....	1	1	1	3	do.....	do.....	1
29 east.....	30, 31 south.....	Tampa.....	2	2	2	6	Feb. 16, 1856	do.....	2
31 east.....	31, 32, 33 south.....	do.....	3	3	3	9	do.....	do.....	3
23 east.....	33, 34 south.....	do.....	2	2	2	6	March 5, 1856	do.....	2
28 east.....	32 south.....	do.....	1	1	1	3	do.....	do.....	1
38 east.....	34 south.....	do.....	1	1	1	3	March 8, 1856	Sept. 20, 1856	1
34 east.....	32 south.....	do.....	1	1	1	3	March 15, 1856	do.....	1
35 east.....	32 south.....	do.....	1	1	1	3	do.....	do.....	1
37 east.....	41 south.....	do.....	1	1	1	3	April 23, 1856	do.....	1
39 east.....	42 south.....	do.....	1	1	1	3	do.....	do.....	1
40 east.....	42 south.....	do.....	1	1	1	3	do.....	do.....	1
30 west.....	2 south.....	Tallahassee.....	1	1	1	3	Oct. 18, 1855	Oct. 18, 1855
15 east.....	26 south.....	Tampa.....	1	1	Sept. 2, 1856
16 east.....	26 south.....	do.....	1	1	do.....
17 east.....	20, 33, 34, 36 south.....	do.....	4	4	do.....
18 east.....	20, 38, 39 south.....	do.....	3	3	do.....
19 east.....	21, 23, 37, 38, 39 south.....	do.....	5	5	do.....
20 east.....	22, 23, 28, 29, 31, 37 south.....	do.....	6	6	do.....
22 east.....	27, 32, 34 south.....	do.....	3	3	do.....
28 east.....	34, 35 south.....	do.....	2	2	2	6	Sept. 20, 1856	Sept. 20, 1856	2
29 east.....	34, 35 south.....	do.....	2	2	2	6	do.....	do.....	2
26 east.....	18 south.....	St. Augustine.....	1	1	1	3	Dec. 1, 1855	March 5, 1856	1
28 west.....	2 north.....	Tallahassee.....	1	1	1	3	May 31, 1856	May 31, 1856

No. 5.

Statement of the townships in which lists of swamp land selections have been approved as inuring to the State of Florida, under the provisions of the act of Congress approved September 28, 1850, since the date of my last annual report.

TALLAHASSEE LAND DISTRICT.

Township.	Range.	Date when sent to Commissioner.	Date when sent to the register.
1, 2.....south.	26, 27, 28.....west.	May 14, 1856...	May 14, 1856.
1, 2, 3.....do..	29.....do..	do.....do..	do.....do..
1, 2.....do..	30.....do..	do.....do..	do.....do..
1, 2, 3.....do..	31, 32.....do..	do.....do..	do.....do..
7.....north.	8, 10, 11, 16.....do..	June 10, 1856...	June 10, 1856.
5, 6, 7.....do..	17.....do..	do.....do..	do.....do..
1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6.....do..	18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27.....do..	do.....do..	do.....do..
1, 2, 3, 4, 5.....do..	28.....do..	do.....do..	do.....do..
1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6.....do..	29, 30.....do..	do.....do..	do.....do..
1, 2, 3, 4, 5.....do..	31.....do..	do.....do..	do.....do..
1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6.....do..	32.....do..	do.....do..	do.....do..
2, 3, 4, 5, 6.....do..	33.....do..	do.....do..	do.....do..
4, 5, 6.....do..	34.....do..	do.....do..	do.....do..
1, 2, 3.....do..	1.....do..	June 14, 1856...	June 14, 1856.
3.....do..	1.....east.	do.....do..	do.....do..
2.....do..	3.....do..	do.....do..	do.....do..
2, 3.....do..	4.....do..	do.....do..	do.....do..
4.....south.	1.....do..	do.....do..	do.....do..

ST. AUGUSTINE LAND DISTRICT.

14, 15, 16.....south.	26.....east.	July 17, 1856...	July 17, 1856.
14, 16, 17, 18, 19...do..	27.....do..	do.....do..	do.....do..
17, 18, 19.....do..	28.....do..	do.....do..	do.....do..
18.....do..	29.....do..	do.....do..	do.....do..
18, 19.....do..	30.....do..	do.....do..	do.....do..
19.....do..	30.....do..	July 19, 1856...	July 19, 1856.

TAMPA LAND DISTRICT.

31, 32.....south.	23, 24.....east.	June 21, 1856...	June 21, 1856.
30, 31, 32, 33.....do..	26.....do..	do.....do..	do.....do..
30, 31, 32.....do..	26.....do..	do.....do..	do.....do..
31.....do..	31, 32, 33, 34.....do..	do.....do..	do.....do..
31, 32, 33.....do..	36.....do..	do.....do..	do.....do..
39, 40.....do..	37, 38.....do..	do.....do..	do.....do..
40.....do..	39, 40, 42.....do..	do.....do..	do.....do..
28, 29.....do..	17.....do..	July 5, 1856...	July 5, 1856.
28, 29, 30.....do..	18, 19, 20.....do..	do.....do..	do.....do..
22.....do..	26.....do..	July 12, 1856..	July 12, 1856.
20, 21.....do..	27, 28.....do..	do.....do..	do.....do..

No. 5—Continued.

Township.	Range.	Date when sent to Commissioner.	Date when sent to the register.
28..... south.	29..... east.	July 12, 1856...	July 12, 1856.
29..... do..	33..... do..	do.....	do.....
22, 23..... do..	34..... do..	do.....	do.....
23..... do..	35..... do..	do.....	do.....
29, 30..... do..	36..... do..	do.....	do.....
30, 31, 32..... do..	37..... do..	do.....	do.....
30, 31, 34..... do..	38..... do..	do.....	do.....
33, 39..... do..	40, 41..... do..	do.....	do.....
35..... do..	42..... do..	do.....	do.....

JOHN WESTCOTT,
Surveyor General of Florida.

OCTOBER 1, 1856.

No. 6.

Statement of townships in which the State authorities have not filed any affidavit lists of the swamp lands embraced in the same.

TALLAHASSEE-LAND DISTRICT.

Township.	Range.
7, 8, and 9..... south.	11..... west

TAMPA LAND DISTRICT.

33, 34, 35, and 36..... south.	23..... east.
36 and 37..... do..	24..... do..
39..... do..	28..... do..
30 and 31..... do..	29 and 30..... do..
30, 32, and 33..... do..	31..... do..
32..... do..	34 and 35..... do..
41..... do..	37..... do..
41, 56, 57, and 58..... do..	38..... do..
41, 42, 55, 56, and 57..... do..	39..... do..
41, 42, 54, 55, and 56..... do..	40..... do..
41, 42, 43, 53, 54, and 55..... do..	41..... do..
41, 46, 47, and 53..... do..	42..... do..
40 and 41..... do..	43..... do..

JOHN WESTCOTT, Surveyor General, Florida.

OCTOBER 1, 1856.

No. 7.

Statement of the townships in which the State authorities have filed affidavit lists, the whole or part whereof are for the present suspended, the reasons for which suspension will appear in the memoranda opposite to each township.

TALLAHASSEE LAND DISTRICT.

Township.	Range.	Memoranda.
10..... south.	13.... east.	The resurvey of this township not yet approved.

NEWNANSVILLE LAND DISTRICT.

10..... south.	14.... east.	The resurvey of this township not yet approved.
9..... do..	23.... do..	The selections made by the State authorities not corresponding with the plat as resurveyed.

ST. AUGUSTINE LAND DISTRICT.

4..... north.	23.... east.	The selections in sections 13, 14, and 24 not acted on, for the reason of the unsettled condition of a private claim.
4..... do..	24.... do..	The selections in sections 7, 18, and 19 not acted on, for the reason of the unsettled condition of a private claim.
5..... do..	24.... do..	The selections in sections 29, 30, 31, and 32 not acted on, for the reason of the unsettled condition of private claims.
15..... south.	27.... do..	This entire township is suspended, for the reason of unsettled condition of private claims.
17, 18, and 19.. do..	27.... do..	The selections in the eastern tier of sections not acted on, for reason of a lap discovered in survey.
17, 18, and 19.. do..	28.... do..	The selections in the western tiers of sections not acted on, for reason of a lap discovered in survey.

JOHN WESTCOTT, *Surveyor General, Florida.*

OCTOBER 1, 1856.

A complete list of private claims (grants) that have been surveyed in the State, showing the township and range location.

Confirmer.	State papers.				No. of acres.	Location.				Remarks.
	No.	Claim.	Vol.	Page.		Town.	Range.	District.	Sec.	
Alvarez, Geronimo	A	59	5	58	500.00	17	34	S and E.	40	
Ayrault, John	A	40	5	57	146.85	6	29	do	47	
Arnau, Clara P.	A	45	5	58	36.45	5	29	do	44	Interferes with J. Marshall.
Do	A	45	5	58	96.10	5	29	do	54	
Do	A	45	5	58	53.92	6	29	do	55	Interferes with J. Paredes.
Andrew, Antonio, widow and heirs.	1	17	4	278	94.19	6	29	do	40	
Do	1	17	4	278	.50	6	30	do	37	
Arnau, James	A	77	5	58	49.82	5	29	do	45	
Do	A	77	5	58	33.03	5	29	do	57	Interferes with Paredes & Marshall.
Do	A	77	5	58	50.45	6	30	do	58	Interferes with Marshall.
Do	A	77	5	58	4.75	6	29	do	48	
Avice, F. J.	1	120	4	161	115.20	4	30	do	41	
Arredondo, F. M.	1	69	4	160	174.06	7	29	do	44	
*Avice & Veil	2	34	3	643	871.70	7	29	do	41	
*Do	2	34	3	643	92.65	7	30	do	37	
Alvarez, Antonio	15	8	5	420	1,500.00	15	22	do	37	
Anderson, George	1	38	5	376	1.20	14	31	do	37	
Do	1	38	5	376	743.28	14	32	do	33	
*Addison, John	1	15	4	278	1,302.00	13	32	do	40	
*Do	1	15	4	378	313.00	14	32	do	37	
*Acosta, Domingo					1,000.00	12	19	do	-----	Inside Arredondo grant.
Do					3,962.48	20	34	do	38	
Do						20	35	do	38	
Andrew, John, heirs of	1	61	4	279	39.84	5	29	do	49	Surveyed to Maria Mabrity.
Do	1	61	4	279	118.51	6	29	do	38	
Do	1	61	4	279		6	29	do	39	

LIST OF PRIVATE CLAIMS—Continued.

Confirmer.	State papers.				No. of acres.	Location.				Remarks.
	No.	Claim.	Vol.	Page.		Town.	Range.	District.	Sec.	
*Arredondo, F. M., jr	3	18	5	398	979.00	5	25	S. and E.	40	} Part of 10,000 acres.
*Do.....	3	18	5	398	21.00	5	26	do	45	
Ashton, Edward	A	47	5	58	232.20	6	28	do	39	
Do.....	A	47	5	58	23.80	6	28	do	40	Conflicts with A. Huertas.
Acosta, Domingo	3	8	5	398	526.40	10	27	do	48	
Do.....	3	8	5	398	441.88	10	27	do	44	Conflicts with Clark and Atkinson.
Do.....	3	8	5	398	101.44	10	27	do	50	Conflicts with Wiggins.
Do.....	3	8	5	398	151.04	11	23	do	37	Conflicts with Atkinson.
Do.....	3	8	5	398	613.27	11	21	do	38	Do. do.
*Do.....	1	16	4	278	232.20	13	27	do	38	Part of 695 A.
*Do.....	1	16	4	278	178.68	12	26	do	38	Do.
Arredondo, F. M.					745.00	14	26	do	38	
Do.....					197.80	14	26	do	43	Interferes with G. I. F. Clarke & T. F. rbes, part of grant for 30,000 acres.
Do.....					51.30	14	26	do	44	Do. do.
Do.....					13.25	14	26	do	40	
Do.....					.35	15	26	do	38	Conflicts with T. Forbes.
Do.....					10,418.95	15	26	do	37	
Do.....					69.25	14	27	do	38	
Do.....					393.42	1	2	do	39	
Do.....					218.50	1	2	do	40	
Do.....					8.80	1	2	do	41	
Do.....					6,658.00	15	27	do	39	
Do.....					20.78	15	27	do	38	
Arredondo, F. M., jr.....	3	18	5	398	308.85	15	30	do	38	
Do.....	3	18	5	398	691.15	16	30	do	41	
*Acosta, Domingo.....	1	41	5	278	256.27	11	26	do	41	Part of 695 acres.
Atkinson, George.....	15	7	5	420	218.90	2	26	do	58	Conflicts with R. Hutchinson.
Do.....	15	7	5	420	218.70	2	26	do	57	Do. do.

Arredondo, F. M.	A	39	5	57	417.34	1	27	N. and E.	39
Do	A	39	5	57	132.66	1	27	do	46
Atkinson, George	2	1	4	221	23.11	2	25	do	40
Do	2	1	4	221	18.70	2	25	do	39
Do	2	1	4	221	182.90	3	25	do	47
Do	2	1	4	221	213.87	3	25	do	50
Do	2	1	4	221	390.53	3	25	do	48
Do	2	1	4	221	240.35	3	25	do	46
Do	2	1	4	221	33.65	3	26	do	47
*Atkinson, Andrew	1	74	4	160	205.20	5	29	S. and E.	51
Alvarez, Joseph	1	81	4	279	163.30	1	25	N. and E.	40
Do	1	81	4	279	191.70	1	25	S. and E.	44
Atkinson & Clarke	3	11	5	398	2,910.25	13	29	do	37
Do	3	11	5	398	12.05	13	30	do	37
Avice, F. J.	3	9	5	398	200.80	16	28	do	40
Do	3	9	5	398	258.83	16	28	do	41
Arnau, Joseph	A	42	5	57	207.39	6	30	do	44
Do	A	42	5	57	22.04	6	30	do	43
Atkinson, George	1	4	4	159	405.30	1	27	do	54
Do	1	4	4	159	17.50	2	27	do	61
Atkinson, Andrew	1	20	4	278	211.84	1	28	do	49
Do	1	20	4	278	170	1	28	do	48
Do	1	20	4	278	89.20	1	28	do	51
Atkinson, George	3	11	5	398	963.60	7	17	do	37
Do	3	11	5	401	4,248.39	7	18	do	37
Do	3	11	5	401	668.70	8	18	do	41
Atkinson & Clarke	3	11	5	398	549.19	10	27	do	45
Do	3	11	5	398	92.48	10	27	do	49
Do	3	11	5	398	101.44	10	27	do	50
Do	3	11	5	398	441.88	10	27	do	44
Do	3	11	5	398	613.27	11	27	do	38
Do	3	11	5	398	1,472.94	11	27	do	39
Branning, George	B	19	5	69	640.00	5	24	S. and E.	37
Briadley, John G	5	8	4	284	278.20	5	25	do	3
Do	5	8	4	284	327.45	5	25	do	45
Branning, Andrew	1	166	4	284	358.62	5	25	do	37
Branning, William	5	13	4	284	548.00	5	25	do	38
Do	5	13	4	284	84.28	5	25	do	43

Interferes with Moses Harrold.
Do. do.

Covers survey of J. Smith, 240.35 acres; and
of C. Griffith, 390.53 acres, and 18.70 acres.

Wholly covered by survey of Pedro Cocifacio.

Interferes with F. Marrin, 200.80 acres.

Interferes with Levy.

Island.

Interferes with R. Sanchez.

Interferes with F. P. Sanchez.

Part of 15,000-acre claim.

Interferes with J. Wiggins.

Interferes with D. Acosta.

Do.

Do

Interferes with Gabriel Priest.

LIST OF PRIVATE CLAIMS—Continued.

Confirmer.	State papers.				No. of acres.	Location.				Remarks.
	No.	Claim.	Vol.	Page.		Town.	Range.	District.	Sec.	
Bowden, Moses	1	27	4	278	174.55	4	26	S. and E.	23	
Bethune, Farquhar	A	60	5	58	303.47	3	26	do	38	
Gerard or Forrester	A	69	5	58	34.00	3	27	do	19	
Bethune, Farquhar	A	43	5	58	37.23	2	26	do	49	
Do	A	43	5	58	72.23	3	26	do	37	
Bellamy, John	1	90	4	280	79.80	2	26	do	38	
Barton, D. J	B	7	5	69	640.00	2	27	do	8	
Do	B	7	5	69	54.30	2	27	do	47	Interferes with Sibbald.
Black, Dorcas	5	1	4	284	448.00	3	27	do	21	
Do	5	1	4	284	174.00	3	27	do	48	Interferes with F. Bagley.
Do	5	1	4	284	17.20	3	27	do	49	Interferes with F. Richard.
Bowden, Sarah	1	36	4	278	172.00	4	27	do	46	
Do	1	36	4	278	250.91	4	27	do	-----	
* Breward, Charles	1	34	4	278	250.00	1	26	N. and E.	37	
Bachlott, John	1	53	4	279	300.00	3	28	do	24	
Do	1	48	4	279	23.50	3	28	do	13	
Do	1	48	4	279	276.50	3	29	do	14	
Brady, James	1	173	4	281	250.00	2	25	S. and E.	38	
Brown, J. F	7	1	5	411	619.50	1	28	do	40	
Berta, Marianna	1	55	4	160	200.64	5	29	do	46	
Do	1	3	4	159	186.44	5	29	do	47	
Do	1	54	4	160	167.35	5	29	do	48	
Do	1	54	4	160	1.75	6	29	do	101	
Brown, Jno. F	1	2	4	159	25.00	1	28	do	37	
Do	1	2	4	159	59.50	1	28	N. and E.	41	
Do	1	2	4	159	204.66	1	28	S. and E.	20	
Besley, James	2	2	3	643	375.40	7	30	do	47	
Do	2	2	3	643	99.76	8	30	do	38	Interfers with Dupont.
Do	2	2	3	643	99.76	8	30	do	38	
Betts, Samuel, (heirs of)	A	17	5	57	1,140.92	9	30	do	42	

22

Do.	A.	17	5	57	396.04	10	30	do	37
*Bellamy, Abram	1	13	4	159	276.58	2	24	do	37
Do.	1	13	4	159	69.92	2	25	N. and E.	37
Bailey, Lewis	B.	3	5	69	688.17	3	23	do	39
Baya, Jose	1	48	5	377	118.46	7	30	S. and E.	56
*Briggs & Robinson	2	7	4	221	931.09	15	32	do	38
Do.	2	7	4	221	1,128.59	15	33	do	38
Broward, John	E.	14	5	73	1,635.24	13	21	do	37
Do.	E.	14	5	73	364.76	14	21	do	37
Bushnell, C., (heirs of)	2	28	3	643	138.35	6	29	do	62
Do.	2	28	3	643	4.80	6	29	do	59
Do.	2	28	3	643	1.56	6	29	do	60
Do.	2	28	3	643	413.90	6	29	do	61
Do.	2	28	3	643	36.65	6	29	do	64
Do.	2	28	3	643	5.80	6	29	do	65
*Bulow, C. W., (heirs of)	3	10	4	283	414.00	15	33	do	41
*Do.	3	10	4	283	675.00	15	33	do	42
*Bethune, F.	1	4	4	278	1,110.40	15	33	do	40
*Bellamy, John	1	33	4	278	297.86	3	26	N. and E.	44
*Bethune, F.	1	35	4	278	371.20	4	25	do	42
*Do.	1	35	4	278	7.00	4	25	do	42
Broadway, Delia	2	33	5	383	458.65	4	23	do	44
*Bradoen, John D.	4	4	4	284	640.00	3	26	do	38
Bachlott, John	6	1	4	285	5.00	1	28	do	40
Berrie, Wm.	1	41	4	297	208.00	1	28	S. and E.	39
Do.	2	31	3	758	460.00	2	28	N. and E.	40
Broward, Sarah	1	51	4	279	251.00	1	28	do	39
*Briggs, Cyrus	1	51	5	377	100.00	2	27	do	46
*Do.	1	50	5	377	793.00	2	27	N. and E.	43
*Brant, Eugenia	A.	90	5	59	260.00	3	27	do	45
Broward, John	E.	14	5	73	225.65	11	26	S. and E.	40
Do.	E.	14	5	73	3,774.35	11	27	do	40
*Bergallo, Jose	A.	26	5	57	174.05	1	25	do	43
*Do.	A.	26	5	57	29.95	1	25	N. and E.	41
*Bloodworth, John D.	5	4	4	284	640.64	1	25	do	38
Bonelly, Jose	A.	3	5	57	600.00	17	33	S. and E.	42
*Betts, Samuel, (assignee)	A.	16	5	57	83.10	17	34	do	54
*Do.	A.	16	5	57	1,917.00	18	34	do	38
*Bolton, John	2	9	4	282	1,386.50	18	34	do	39

Conflicts with Kingsley & Gianople.

Conflicts with Gianople.

Conflicts with Kingsley.

Conflicts with Ingersoll & Kingsley.

Conflicts with Kingsley & Schofield.

Part of grant for 4,675 acres.

Part of grant for 4,675 acres

Interferes 7 acres with B. Higginbottom.

Small island.

Same, No. 37, in State Papers, vol. 5. p. 376.

Same, No. 361, in State Papers, vol. 5, p. 376.

} Part of grant for 16,000 acres.

LIST OF PRIVATE CLAIMS—Continued.

Confirmer.	State Papers.				No. of acres.	Location.				Remarks.
	No.	Claim.	Vol.	Page.		Town	Range.	District.	Sec.	
*Bolton, John	2	9	4	282	589.70	18	34	do	40	Conflicts with survey of G. I. F. Clarke, 589.70 acres, and with Charles Sibbald, 412 acres.
Brown, John F.	1	1	4	159	67.16	1	27	do	41	
Do.	1	1	4	159	3.34	1	27	do	40	Conflicts with claim of Levin Gunby.
Bulow, Charles, (heirs of)	3	10	4	283	3, 833.47	12	31	do	38	
Do.	3	10	4	283	23.51	13	31	do	40	
Do.	3	10	4	283	821.26	12	32	do	37	
Do.	3	10	4	283	19.06	13	32	do	38	
Do.	3	10	4	283	271.53	13	32	do	43	
Do.	3	10	4	283	81.58	13	32	do	44	
Do.	3	10	4	283	54.55	13	32	do	46	
Bagley, Francis	1	28	4	278	1, 000.00	1	26	do	43	
Barbee, Francis	1	30	4	278	503.50	2	25	do	41	
Brady, Francis	A.	56	5	58	252.00	5	27	do	41	
Bagley, Francis	1	29	4	278	70.00	3	27	do	47	
Do.	1	29	4	278	174.90	3	27	do	48	Conflicts with Doreas Black, 174.80 acres.
Bagley, Peter	2	21	3	643	200.00	2	27	do	48	Inside of Richards' grant.
Do.	2	21	3	643	28.00	2	26	do	60	Interference with J. Hendricks.
Do.	2	21	3	643	123.85	2	27	do	43	Interference with J. Hendricks.
Do.	2	21	3	643	2.65	2	27	do	58	Interference with Hendricks, Clarke, and At-
*Bailey, David	A.	78	5	558	250.45	2	26	N. and E.	43	water.
*Bunch, Elizabeth	1	73	5	377	100.00	16	33	S. and E.	41	
Broward, John	E	14	5	73	1, 073.00	1	26	do	38	Part of 16, 000 acres.
Do.	E	14	5	73	2, 050.00	1	26	do	49	Do. do.
Do.	E	14	5	73	990.00	1	26	do	50	Do. do.
Do.	E	14	5	73	4, 150.00	1	27	do	37	Do. do.
Do.	E	14	5	73	950.00	1	27	do	38	Do. do.
Do.	E	14	5	73	3, 480.00	1	27	do	46	Do. do.
Bonelly, Jose	A	3	5	57	4.00	17	34	do	42	Conflict with Hull.

Do.	A	3	2	57	0.00	1	34	do	43
Crelighton, Alexander	A	84	5	58	1,011.59	4	36	do	35
Do.	A	84	5	58	36.25	4	26	do	39
Craig, William	A	1	5	57	261.48	2	26	do	47
Do.	A	1	5	57	203.47	2	27	do	44
Do.	A	2	5	57	261.50	2	26	do	46
Clark, G. I. F.	1	50	4	279	31.87	3	28	do	12
Do.	1	50	4	279	68.13	3	29	do	7
Chaires, Benjamin	1	74	5	377	288.92	2	28	do	2
Do.	1	74	5	377	11.40	3	28	do	37
Do.	1	47	5	377	302.50	2	28	do	8
Cashen, Susanna	1	22	4	278	208.60	2	28	N. and E.	4
Do.	1	22	4	278	491.33	3	28	do	30
Do.	1	32	4	278	100.00	3	28	do	19
Do.	1	32	4	278		3	29	do	15
Do.	1	92	4	280	250.00	3	29	do	17
Do.	1	92	4	280	120.00	3	28	do	27
Do.	1	92	4	280		3	29	do	27
Chaires, Benjamin	1	8	5	376	447.18	4	29	S. and E.	51
Do.	1	8	5	376	62.82	4	29	do	65
Cocifacio, Pedro	2	12	4	221	500.00	4	29	do	50
Charles, Reuben	4	8	5	405	350.00	5	29	do	41
Do.	1	96	4	161	102.52	6	29	do	45
Christopher, John	1	32	4	159	191.93	1	27	do	43
Do.	1	32	4	159	279.80	1	28	do	41
Clarke, Charles and G. I. F.	7	6	4	285	300.00	9	30	do	37
Clarke, James and George	7	7	4	285	500.54	9	30	do	38
Clarke, Charles and G. I. F.	12	19	5	415	305.00	10	30	do	40
Clarke, James	12	17	5	415	291.90	11	31	do	42
Cocifacio, Pedro	2	13	4	221	2,060.46	8	27	do	37
Do.	2	13	4	221	184.47	9	27	do	37
Canova, Antonia	10	1	4	256	630.60	7	29	do	48
Clarke, Charles and G. I. F.	12	16	5	415	294.65	8	30	do	46
Collar, Levi	B	15	5	69	300.70	4	23	N. and E.	37
Cain, Elizabeth	1	161	4	281	250.83	6	27	S. and E.	41
Cashen, Susanna	A	88	5	59	478.45	5	26	do	37
Crespo, Emanuel	1	11	5	405	640.00	7	27	do	40
*Clarke, G. I. F., or	12	7	5	415	589.70	18	34	do	40
Thomas Napier	12	7	5	415	72.90	18	34	do	41

Conflicts with Cavado.

Interferes with J. Petty.

Interferes with John Bolton.

LIST OF PRIVATE CLAIMS—Continued.

Confirmer.	State papers.				No. of acres.	Location.				Remarks.
	No.	Claim.	Vol.	Page.		Town.	Range.	District.	Sec.	
Thomas Napior.....	12	7	5	415	320.00	18	34	S. and E.	42	Interferes with Sibbald.
Copp, B. A.....	2	16	3	643	172.72	10	26	do	37	
Do.....	2	16	3	643	15.25	9	27	do	43	Inside Arredondo grant.
Do.....	2	16	3	643	1,033.56	10	27	do	42	
Clarke, G. I. F.....	12	1	5	415	1,106.00	10	19	do	-----	Inside Arredondo grant.
Clarke, Thomas.....	12	29	5	415	554.07	12	21	do	37	
Capo, Lorenzo.....	1	88	4	280	44.48	6	29	do	77	} Entirely covered by survey of Z. Kingsley.
Do.....	1	88	4	280	120.68	6	28	do	46	
Clinch, Duncan L.....	6	7	4	245	465.05	6	29	do	68	Interferes with A. Gay, 35.44 acres.
Do.....	6	7	4	245	35.50	6	29	do	73	
Clarke, G. I. F.....	12	1	5	415	234.64	6	29	do	69	} Part of 2,000 acres, conflicts with A. Gay.
Do.....	12	1	5	415	265.91	6	29	do	71	
Do.....	12	1	5	415	465.75	6	29	do	70	} Part of same 2,000 acres, conflicts with A. Gay.
Do.....	12	1	5	415	34.80	6	29	do	76	
Do.....	12	14	5	415	199.58	11	31	do	50	Interferes with McDowell and Black.
Clarke, Chas. H.....	12	16	5	415	243.25	11	31	do	51	
Do.....	12	16	5	415	107.72	11	31	do	53	Interferes with J. M. Hernandez.
Clarke, G. I. F.....	12	30	5	415	910.56	11	31	do	48	
Do.....	12	30	5	415	1.20	11	31	do	49	
*Cashen, Susanna.....	1	135	4	281	1,080.00	4	25	N. and E.	40	Conflicts with Josiah Smith.
Christopher, John.....	1	3	4	278	695.00	2	28	do	45	
Do.....	1	3	4	278	3.00	2	27	do	41	In Waterman's mill grant.
Cashen, Susanna.....	1	99	4	280	275.00	3	27	do	46	
Carr, John.....	4	6	5	405	256.00	3	27	do	56	Interferes with Josiah Smith, 128 acres.
Christopher, Spicer.....					128.00	3	27	do	47	
Do.....					640.00	3	27	do	48	
Curtis, James.....	1	76	5	377	6.60	6	29	S. and E.	88	Interferes with J. M. Hernandez.
Do.....	1	76	5	377	41.25	6	29	do	90	
Do.....	1	76	5	377	22.15	6	29	do	89	

Do	1	76	5	377	43. 07	6	29	do	91
Do	1	76	5	377	24. 07	6	29	do	84
Do	1	76	5	377	1. 21	6	29	do	49
Do	1	76	5	377	193. 74	6	29	do	83
Capo, Lorenzo	2	34	3	647	105. 57	6	29	do	85
Do	2	34	3	647	. 68	6	29	do	84
Do	2	34	3	647	1. 21	6	29	S. and E.	52
Cocifacio, Pedro	A	27	5	57	170. 10	5	29	do	51
Do	A	27	5	57	205. 00	5	29	do	41
Crayon, Lucas	A	48	5	58	41. 45	19	35	do	42
Do	A	48	5	58	811. 13	19	35	do	46
Do	A	48	5	58	29. 30	20	35	do	45
Cavedo, J. A.	1	43	5	377	63. 20	20	17	do	44
Do	1	43	5	377	155. 00	20	17	do	43
Do	1	43	5	377	43. 00	20	17	do	46
Cala, Pedro	1	137	4	281	29. 00	20	17	do	47
Do	1	137	4	281	24. 00	20	17	do	48
Do	1	137	4	281	122. 00	20	17	do	40
Do	1	137	4	281	315. 00	20	17	do	50
Cheves, Stephen	A	62	5	58	33. 52	8	30	do	48
Do	A	62	5	58	148. 02	9	30	do	45
Cavedo, John	1	52	4	279	327. 45	5	25	do	44
Do	1	52	4	279	18. 50	4	26	do	44
Creighton, John	2	45	5	384	306. 30	4	26	do	42
Carter, Isaac	5	6	4	284	644. 80	1	26	do	41
Carter, John, (heirs of)	5	5	4	284	640. 00	1	26	do	38
Clarke, G. I. F.	E	23	5	73	11, 412. 00	6	26	do	37
Do	E	24	5	73	1, 007. 00	7	26	do	47
Do	E	24	5	73	4, 106. 80	6	27	do	44
Do	E	24	5	73	1, 454. 00	7	27	do	39
Do	1	33	5	376	860. 00	6	26	do	38
Do	1	33	5	376	140. 00	7	26	do	41
Do	1	97	4	280	500. 00	4	28	do	40
Do	12	4	5	415	500. 00	4	28	do	44
Do	12	4	5	415	224. 58	4	28	do	54
Do	12	4	5	415	279. 42	4	28	do	45
Do	12	4	5	415	409. 31	4	28	do	52
Do	12	4	5	515	31. 69	4	28	do	

Interferes with Sabate.

Interferes with Medicis.
 Interferes with Capo, Hindsman, and Sabate.
 Interferes with Hindsman.
 Interferes with Sabate.
 Interferes with Hindsman.
 Interferes with Curtis, Hindsman, and Sabate.

Conflicts with A. Atkinson.
 Conflicts with Gomez, 41.45 acres.

Interferes with Hull.
 Interferes with Hull and Bonelly.
 Interferes with Hull.

Interferes with Hull and Pickett.
 Interferes with Pickett.

Covered by Brindley.

Conflicts with A. Plyme, 306.30 acres.

Inside Sibbald's grant.

Covered by above 16,000 acres.

Part of 4,000 acres.
 Do.
 Interferes with P. Cocifacio.
 Do.

LIST OF PRIVATE CLAIMS—Continued.

Confirmer.	State papers.				No. of acres.	Location.				Remarks.
	No.	Claim.	Vol.	Page.		Town.	Range.	District.	Sec.	
Clarke, G. I. F.....	12	4	5	415	422.85	4	28	S. and E.	47	} Part of 4,000 acres, interferes with Coci- } facio.
Do.....	12	4	5	415	78.75	4	28	do	57	
Clarke, Charles and George.....	12	19	5	415	60.30	4	28	do	46	Interferes with P. Cocifacio.
Do.....	12	19	5	415	342.90	4	28	do	49	
Clarke, James.....	12	28	5	415	500.00	4	28	do	39	Part of grant, 2,000 acres.
Clarke, Daniel.....	12	27	5	415	500.00	4	28	do	38	
Clarke, G. I. F.....	12	2	5	415	14.29	9	27	do	44	
Do.....	12	2	5	415	36.69	10	27	do	46	
Do.....	12	2	5	415	173.76	9	28	do	39	
Do.....	12	2	5	415	275.31	10	28	do	38	
Do.....	12	2	5	415	437.55	9	28	do	38	
Do.....	12	2	5	415	62.45	10	28	do	37	
Clarke, C. W.....	12	26	5	415	639.30	14	27	do	43	
Do.....	12	26	5	415	233.60	14	28	do	39	
Do.....	12	26	5	415	457.49	13	27	do	37	
Do.....	12	26	5	415	196.17	14	27	do	44	
Do.....	12	26	5	415	99.74	14	28	do	37	
Cocifacio, Pedro.....	2	12	4	221	342.90	4	28	do	49	Interferes with C. & J. Clarke.
Do.....	2	12	4	221	51.75	4	28	do	50	Interferes with J. Hall.
Do.....	2	12	4	221	253.50	4	28	do	51	Interferes with G. I. F. Clarke. do. do.
Do.....	2	12	4	221	44.17	4	28	do	53	
Do.....	2	12	4	221	279.42	4	28	do	54	
Do.....	2	12	4	221	4.9.31	4	28	do	45	
Do.....	2	12	4	221	61.05	4	28	do	55	
Do.....	2	12	4	221	2.62	4	23	do	56	
Do.....	2	12	4	221	78.75	4	28	do	57	
Do.....	2	12	4	221	78.75	4	28	do	57	
Clarke, George.....	1	26	5	376	101.62	5	29	do	68	* Carter, Isaac.....
Do.....	1	155	4	281	72.83	2	25	N. and E.	52	
Do.....	1	155	4	281	283.87	2	26	do	42	

Clarke, G. I. F.	1	97	4	280	290.07	12	S. and E.	40
Do.	1	97	4	280	294.07	12	do	37
Clarke & Atwater.	1	119	4	280	175.00	2	do	55
Do.	1	119	4	280	9.50	2	do	56
Do.	1	119	4	280	7.50	2	do	56
Do.	1	119	4	280	9.10	2	do	57
Do.	1	119	4	280	2.65	2	do	58
Do.	1	119	4	280	9.89	2	do	59
Clarke, G. I. F.					998.85	12	do	38
Crosby, Miguel	15	15	5	420	2,264.25	11	do	43
Do.	15	15	5	420	229.25	11	do	44
Do.	15	15	5	420	255.15	12	do	42
Do.	E	37	5	70	14.90	11	do	45
Do.			4	275	333.15	12	do	41
Christopher, Wm. G., (heirs of,) and Clarke, Samuel					690.50	3	N. and E.	50
Clarke, Sam'l. and Geo. S. Brown.	15	9	5	400	1,284.00	4	do	41
Do.	15	9	5	400	61.80	5	do	37
Do.	15	9	5	400	898.58	4	do	41
Do.	15	9	5	400	216.84	5	do	37
Clarke, G. I. F.	12	4	5	415	28.35	14	S. and E.	39
Do.	12	4	5	415	.80	14	do	41
Do.	12	4	5	415	97.80	14	do	43
Do.	12	4	5	415	9.00	14	do	40
Do.	12	4	5	415	61.65	14	do	37
Do.	12	4	5	415	67.25	14	do	38
Do.	12	4	5	415	392.42	14	do	39
Do.	12	4	5	415	218.50	14	do	40
Do.	12	4	5	415	109.00	15	do	40
Dexter, Horatio S.	1	56	4	160	161.77	12	do	37
Deweese, Philip and Mary	1	121	4	280	92.80	4	do	74
Do.	1	121	4	280	20.12	4	do	47
Decastro, Y. Ferrer	1	17	4	159	82.75	4	do	40
Do.	1	17	4	159	917.25	3	do	38
Do.	4	10	4	238	1,980.17	2	do	38
Do.	4	10	4	238	27.50	2	do	39
Do.	1	126	4	161	28.74	2	do	38
Do.	1	126	4	161	2,147.86	3	do	37

Interferes with E. Bagley.
Do. Do.
Interferes with Richards.
Interferes with R. Hogan.
Interferes with Bagly & Hendricks.

Interferes with Hernandez.

Grant by Congress, January 30, 1834.—(Statutes at Large, volume 4, page 586)

Interferes with . es.
Interferes with Arredondo.

Conflicts with Sanchez.
Conflicts with Peavett's 82.75 A.

LIST OF PRIVATE CLAIMS—Continued.

Confirmer.	State Papers.				No. of acres.	Locations.				Remarks.
	No.	Claim.	Vol.	Page.		Town.	Range.	District.	Sec.	
Decastro, Y. Ferrer	1	97	4	161	35.00	2	29	S. and E.	40	
Davis, Mary Ann.	2	8	3	643	500.00	4	28	do	37	
Dupont, Gideon.....	1	44	4	160	375.40	8	30	do	47	
Daniel, William.....	B	16	5	69	324.09	2	23	N. and E.	37	
Deweese, Andrew.....	1	116	4	280	1,178.37	1	29	do	38	
Do.....	1	116	4	280	1,161.03	2	29	do	37	
Do.....	1	116	4	280	1.74	1	29	S. and E.	39	
Do.....	1	116	4	280	.39	1	29	do	40	
Do.....	1	116	4	280	4.41	1	29	do	41	
Davis, Mary Ann.	1	123	4	161	175.00	55	42	do	-----	
Do.....	1	123	4	161	538.73	55	42	do	-----	
Dexter, Horatio.....	1	57	4	160	233.16	8	27	do	39	
De Jesus, Hijuelos	2	3	4	282	263.61	15	21	do	38	
Do.....	2	3	4	282	423.00	16	21	do	37	
Do.....	2	3	4	282	322.52	15	22	do	39	
Do.....	2	3	4	282	990.78	16	22	do	37	
Delespine, Joseph.....	2	27	3	643	11.59	6	29	do	57	
Do.....	2	27	3	643	64.63	6	29	do	56	Interferes with Fatio.
Do.....	2	27	3	643	61.13	6	29	do	79	Do.
Do.....	2	27	3	643	4.15	6	29	do	80	Interferes with Delespine.
Do.....	2	27	3	643	1.05	6	29	do	98	
Do.....	2	27	3	643	27.93	7	29	do	52	Interferes with Fatio.
Do.....	2	27	3	643	259.97	7	29	do	51	Interferes with Delespine.
Do.....	2	27	3	643	207.70	7	29	do	56	
*Dean, Patrick	1	4	5	376	766.72	16	33	do	37	Confirmed to John Bunch.
*Do.....	1	4	5	376	290.43	15	33	do	43	Do. do.
*Drummond, William	1	52	5	377	347.68	4	25	N. and E.	37	
*Do.....	1	52	5	377	196.22	4	25	do	48	Interferes with L. Haddock.
*Do.....	1	52	5	377	180.00	4	25	do	49	

Error—This claim should have been for 350 acres, instead of 640.83 acres.

Dixon, John	4	51.93	4	24	do	46
Dixon, Edward	1	85.50	4	24	do	46
Do	1	16.00	4	23	do	40
Dell, Mariba	1	1,010.00	4	23	do	40
*Drury, Mills	79	302.50	2	25	do	43
Drummond, William	21	214.80	1	27	do	41
Drummond, William	21	2,363.33	16	29	S. and E.	43
Dupont, Paul	2	559.15	15	16	do	39
Do	2	641.20	1	5	do	38
Doyle, Edward	2	92.76	6	29	do	81
Delespine, Joseph	2	61.10	6	29	do	79
Do	2	4.15	6	59	do	80
Do	2	4.15	6	29	do	82
Do	2	259.97	7	29	do	51
Do	2	17.63	7	29	do	52
Do	2	200.90	7	29	do	57
Do	2	83.05	4	23	N. and E.	45
Dixon, John	1	184.00	4	23	do	46
Do	1	151.05	4	23	do	47
Do	1	167	35	35	S and E.	1
Do	2	16,738.50	23	36	do	1
Delespine, Joseph	3	75.00	23	36	do	1
Do	3	10,088.77	22	34	do	1
Do	3	3,119.37	23	34	do	1
Do	3	13,009.74	22	35	do	1
Do	3	590.00	19	34	do	42
Do	3	1,991.49	19	34	do	43
Do	3	93.75	19	34	do	45
Do	3	136.50	19	34	do	47
Do	3	538.35	20	35	do	37
Do	3	73.95	20	35	do	39
Do	3	634.89	20	35	do	40
Do	3	2,443.78	20	35	do	41
Do	3	757.81	20	35	do	37
Do	3	466.00	20	35	do	39
Do	3	17.50	20	35	do	43
Do	3	358.50	19	35	do	43

Act of Congress, May 16, 1826, vol. 6, p. 345.

Interferes with Fatio.
 Interferes with Delespine.
 Interferes with Fatio.
 Interferes with Delespine.
 Interferes with Delespine and Fatio.

Interferes with John Dixon.
 Interferes with J. Sharber.

Interferes with Fouchard.
 Interferes with McIntosh.

Interferes with Fouchard.

Interferes with Garvin.

Interferes with Fouchard.

LIST OF PRIVATE CLAIMS—Continued.

Confirmer.	State Papers.				No. of acres.	Location.				Remarks.
	No.	Claim.	Vol.	Page.		Town.	Range.	District.	Sec.	
Delespine, Joseph.....	3	3	5	298	54.16	19	35	S. and E.	45	
Do.....	3	3	.	298	115.00	19	35	do	46	Interferes with McIntosh.
De Calo Pedro, heirs of.....	1	12	4	278	148.75	4	29	do	49	
Do.....	1	12	4	278	51.25	4	29	do	67	Interferes with Paul Sabate.
Dummett, Thomas.....	1	1	5	376	159.92	13	31	do	39	
Do.....	1	1	5	376	1,123.54	13	32	do	39	
Darby, Francisco.....	1	30	4	278	503.50	2	25	do	41	
Dixon, John.....	1	151	4	281	196.00	4	23	N. and E.	45	Interferes with Dixon.
Do.....	1	151	4	281	8.00	4	23	do	46	Do. Do.
Estopa, Pedro.....	1	139	4	281	11.76	7	30	S. and E.	44	
Embara, Philip.....	1	77	4	160	103.42	7	29	do	42	
Edge, John.....	4	5	5	405	656.00	4	27	do	33	
Espinosa, Sebastian.....	1	49	4	279	54.50	3	29	do	42	
Do.....	1	49	4	279	445.50	4	29	do	39	
Entralgo, J. B.....	1	114	4	161	971.14	10	27	do	39	
Do.....	2	8	4	282	5.97	9	23	do	37	
Do.....	2	8	4	282	994.03	10	23	do	37	
Do.....	2	8	4	221	1,914.25	9	26	do	37	
Ervin, James.....	1	70	5	377	132.00	3	25	N. and E.	42	
*Eubanks, Stephen.....	1	78	4	279	460.00	1	27	do	43	
*Eubanks, William.....	1	74	4	279	110.00	1	27	do	44	
Fitch, Thomas, (heirs of).....	A.	20	5	57	88.00	11	26	S. and E.	42	
Do.....	A.	20	5	57	711.00	12	26	do	43	
Fernandez, S. D., et al.....	3	38	5	399	3,000.00	13	21	do	38	Part of 16,000 acres.
Farren, Sarah.....	1	14	5	376	158.71	4	26	do	26	
Fairbanks and Beardon.....	2	10	3	643	240.18	4	26	do	22	
Fatio, F. P., and others.....	15	1	5	420	459.43	1	27	do	51	
Do.....	15	1	5	420	320.30	2	27	do	37	
Fernandez, Domingo.....	A.	30	5	57	100.00	3	29	N. and E.	18	

Do.....	1	85	4	280	300.00	3	28	do.....	26
Do.....	1	95	4	280		3	29	do.....	27
Do.....	A	29	5	57	300.00	3	28	do.....	23
Do.....	1	102	4	280	100.00	3	28	do.....	22
Do.....	1	98	4	280	150.00	3	28	do.....	21
Fitch, Thomas.....	1	54	5	377	402.77	3	29	S. and E.	40
Do.....	A	49	5	58	244.31	4	29	do.....	48
Do.....	A	49	5	58	10.75	4	29	do.....	69
Do.....	A	41	5	57	111.79	3	29	do.....	41
Do.....	A	41	5	57	171.57	4	29	do.....	38
Do.....	A	7	5	57	2,843.85	3	29	do.....	40
Do.....	A	7	5	57	184.62	3	29	do.....	37
Do.....	A	7	5	57	53.23	4	29	do.....	50
Fitzpatrick, William.....	1	157	4	281	577.80	1	28	do.....	38
Fontan, Mariano.....	A	71	5	58	5.56	6	29	do.....	97
Do.....	A	71	5	58	23.80	6	30	do.....	41
Floyd, John.....	1	8	4	278	200.00	5	29	do.....	38
Floyd, Davis.....	7	8	4	285	832.98	4	29	do.....	56
Do.....	7	8	4	285	167.02	5	29	do.....	37
Farren, John.....	1	154	4	281	357.70	9	27	do.....	38
Felany, James.....	1	10	5	376	284.72	8	30	do.....	40
Fatio, F. I.....	A	83	5	58	165.29	8	30	do.....	44
Fish, Jessie.....	1	115	4	280	526.81	11	31	do.....	41
Fleming, George.....	1	45	4	160	160.55	9	27	do.....	41
Do.....	1	45	4	160	39.45	9	27	do.....	50
Fusha, Francis.....	11	4	5	414	7.27	7	30	do.....	52
Fleming, George.....	1	46	4	160	1,082.17	5	26	do.....	38
Fatio, F. I.....	6	2	4	245	29.60	6	29	do.....	55
Do.....	6	2	4	245	11.59	6	29	do.....	56
Do.....	6	2	4	245	61.13	6	29	do.....	79
Do.....	6	2	4	245	17.22	6	29	do.....	99
Do.....	6	2	4	245	4.15	6	29	do.....	82
Do.....	6	2	4	245	17.93	7	29	do.....	52
Fatio, F. P.....	4	2	4	238	19.67	17	29	do.....	41
Do.....	4	2	4	238	247.45	17	30	do.....	37
Do.....	4	2	4	238	628.98	18	30	do.....	37
Fitch, Thomas, (heirs of).....	A	18	5	57	1,744.55	17	29	do.....	40
Fitzpatrick, Valentine.....	1	148	4	281	207.40	11	31	do.....	43
Do.....	1	149	4	280	189.67	11	31	do.....	44

Interferes with P. Sabate, 10.75 acres.

Conflicts with Hill, 184.62 acres.

Interferes with Huertas.

Interferes with Delespine.

Do.

Do.

Interferes with G. W. Perpall.

Do.

LIST OF PRIVATE CLAIMS—Continued.

Confirnee.	State papers.				No. of acres.	Location.				Remarks.
	No.	Claim.	Vol.	Page.		Town.	Range.	District.	Sec.	
Fitzpatrick, Valentine.....	1	149	4	280	27.55	11	31	S. and E.	47	
Fontane, Mary.....	1	73	4	160	447.47	7	29	do	54	
Fitch, Thomas, (heirs of).....	A	19	5	57	567.50	13	32	do	42	
Do.....	A	19	5	57	2,335.80	14	32	do	39	
Fitch, Thomas.....	3	37	5	399	2,909.95	14	32	do	42	
Do.....	3	37	5	399	961.91	15	32	do	37	
Do.....	3	37	5	399	605.95	15	33	do	37	
Fernandez, Domingo.....	1	107	4	280	252.00	2	28	N. and E.	41	
Do.....	A	28	5	57	290.00	2	28	do	43	
Do.....	1	105	4	280	375.00	2	28	do	37	
Do.....	1	105	4	280	353.00	2	28	do	38	
Do.....	1	105	4	280	75.00	3	28	do	47	
Ferguson, A. E.....	1	91	4	161	535.00	3	26	S. and E.	43	
*Fallis, Susan.....	2	35	5	383	50.00	2	27	N. and E.	40	
*Fox, William.....	A	94	5	59	52.50	3	26	do	38	
*Do.....	A	94	5	59	84.00	4	26	do	38	
Fraser, John.....	1	89	4	280	280.00	4	26	do	39	
*Do.....	1	89	4	280	330.00	4	26	do	40	
*Fernandez, Domingo.....	2	7	4	282	312.00	1	27	S. and E.	37	
*Do.....	2	7	4	282	496.00	1	27	do	33	
*Do.....	2	7	4	282	338.00	1	27	do	39	Interferes with I. G. Rushing.
Fouchard, Peter.....	2	35	3	643	590.00	19	34	do	42	Interferes with Delespine.
Do.....	2	35	3	643	466.00	20	34	do	39	Do.
Do.....	2	35	3	643	358.50	19	35	do	43	Do.
Do.....	2	35	3	643	160.00	19	35	do	44	
Do.....	2	35	3	643	7.20	20	35	do	43	
Do.....	2	35	3	643	73.95	20	35	do	39	Interferes with Delespine.
Fellany, Fernando, executor.....	1	7	5	376	849.75	8	29	do	38	
Do.....	1	7	5	376	22.05	8	30	do	48	Interferes with M. Pons, 22.05 acres.

Fernandes, S. D., et al.....	3	38	5	399	929.57	8	18	do	38	
Do.....	3	38	5	399	108.47	8	18	do	40	Interferes with F. P. Sanchez, 108.47 acres.
Do.....	3	38	5	399	402.50	8	19	do	38	Interferes with J. S. Sanchez, 402.50 acres.
Do.....	3	38	5	339	402.50	8	19	do	39	Interferes with Sanchez and Arredondo.
Do.....	3	38	5	399	557.86	9	18	do	38	Interferes with Arredondo.
Do.....	3	38	5	399	109.71	9	18	do	37	
Do.....	3	38	5	399	2,210.49	8	19	do	37	
Do.....	3	38	5	399	180.95	9	19	do	37	
Do.....	3	38	5	399	1,103.55	9	19	do	38	Interferes with Arredondo.
Forbes, Thomas.....	8	5	5	411	496.72	9	25	do	38	
Do.....	8	5	5	411	3.39	9	26	do	41	
Do.....	8	1	5	411	482.36	9	26	do	39	
Ferguson, A. E.....	2	11	4	221	5.70	4	25	do	41	
Do.....	2	11	4	221	761.50	5	25	do	41	
Do.....	2	11	4	221	212.00	5	26	do	43	
Do.....	1	92	4	161	10.50	4	26	do	48	
Do.....	1	92	4	161	82.65	5	26	do	42	
Fenwick, Joseph.....	A	72	5	58	220.00	1	26	do	48	
Do.....	A	72	5	58	306.00	1	27	do	44	Conflicts with J. Searcy, 32 acres.
Do.....	A	72	5	58	32.00	1	27	do	49	Conflicts with Jose Sarcy.
Fatio, Francis P.....	5	2	4	243	4,233.47	5	26	do	44	
Do.....	5	2	4	243	6,271.50	5	27	do	39	
Do.....	5	2	4	243	41.60	6	27	do	42	
Fatio, Francis J.....	A	82	5	58	200.00	5	28	do	40	
Do.....	1	58	4	160	66.10	5	27	do	40	
Do.....	1	58	4	160	135.60	6	27	do	43	
Fairbanks, Samuel.....	2	23	3	653	500.00	4	28	do	42	
Forbes, Thomas.....	8	2	5	411	376.94	16	29	do	45	
Do.....	8	4	5	411	497.82	16	29	do	40	Conflicts with Williams.
Do.....	8	4	5	411	44.92	16	29	do	41	
Do.....	8	4	5	411	11.46	16	29	do	42	Conflicts with Dupont.
Fleming, George.....	1	45	4	160	569.60	9	27	do	51	
Forbes, Thomas.....	8	3	5	411	51.30	14	26	do	44	Conflicts with Arredondo.
Do.....	8	3	5	411	1.20	14	26	do	42	Do.
Do.....	8	3	5	411	.80	14	26	do	41	Conflicts with G. I. F. Clarke.
Do.....	8	3	5	411	97.80	14	26	do	43	Conflicts with Clarke & Arredondo.
Do.....	8	3	5	411	393.42	14	27	do	39	Conflicts with Arredondo.
Ferrer, Francis.....	1	152	4	281	14.76	7	30	do	57	
Fernandez, Domingo.....	3	38	5	399	1,687.68	16	28	do	42	

LIST OF PRIVATE CLAIMS—Continued.

Confirmer.	State Papers.				No. of Acres.	Location.				Remarks.
	No.	Claim.	Vol.	Page.		Town.	Range.	District.	Sec.	
Fernandez, Domingo.....	3	38	5	399	66.66	17	28	S. and E.	39	
Do.....	3	38	5	399	1,927.02	17	29	do	37	
Do.....	3	38	5	399	3,372.00	17	29	do	38	
Fleming, George, heirs of.....	3	7	5	398	1,296.48	30	37	do		
Do.....	3	7	5	398	250.84	31	37	do		
Do.....	3	7	5	398	10,851.00	30	38	do		
Do.....	3	7	5	398	7,617.70	31	38	do		
Fatio, Francis I.....	6	1	4	245	403.13	3	25	do	38	
Do.....	6	1	4	245	.67	4	25	do	43	
Do.....	6	1	4	245	142.66	3	26	do	42	
Do.....	6	1	4	245	9.00	4	26	do	47	
Gardiner, Wm.....	1	150	4	281	150.00	13	26	do	37	
Garcias, Juan.....	4	3	5	405	233.56	4	27	do	39	
Gibbs, Z. C.....	1	82	4	279	121.11	4	29	do	46	
Gianople, John.....	1	93	4	161	62.50	5	29	do	39	
Do.....	1	93	4	161	393.68	6	29	do	43	
Do.....	1	93	4	161	27.45	6	29	do	46	
Do.....	1	93	4	161	10.00	6	29	do	58	Conflicts with Z. Kingsley.
Do.....	1	93	4	161	4.80	6	29	do	59	Conflicts with Kingsley & Bushnell.
Do.....	1	93	4	161	1.56	6	29	do	60	Do.
Gay, Anselm.....	2	12	3	643	73.28	5	29	do	40	Conflicts with Bushnell.
Do.....	2	12	3	643	86.72	5	28	do	37	
Do.....	2	13	3	643	204.28	5	29	do	40	
Do.....	2	13	3	643	195.00	5	28	do	38	
Govan, A. R.....	1	42	4	159	625.60	9	27	do	40	
Gianople, John.....	1	102	4	161	10.35	7	30	do	49	
Do.....	1	94	4	161	11.63	7	30	do	53	
Gomez, Eusibius.....	3	4	5	398	48.50	39	41	do	38	
Do.....	3	4	5	398	1,050.90	38	42	do	6	

See Fatio, private plats.

Conflicts with Z. Kingsley.
Conflicts with Kingsley & Bushnell.
Do.
Conflicts with Bushnell.

	3	4	5	19, 180, 04	20	42	4
Garvin, William	12	12	5	415	757.81	20	34
Do	12	12	5	415	413.62	20	34
Do	12	12	5	415	538.35	20	35
Do	12	12	5	415	5.22	20	35
Do	12	12	5	415	285.00	20	35
Do	12	12	5	415	1,000.00	8	18
Gianople, George	4	3	4	284	656.92	5	29
Do	4	3	4	284	9.21	5	29
Gianople, Emanuel	B	2	5	69	315.35	5	28
Gay, Anselm	2	32	3	643	495.12	6	29
Do	2	32	3	643	234.64	6	29
Do	2	32	3	643	35.50	6	29
Do	2	32	3	643	34.80	6	29
*Gaudry, John B.	15	12	5	420	131.57	16	29
(*Confirmed to Decastro Y. Ferrer)	15	12	5	420	89.92	16	29
*Do	15	12	5	420	1,932.89	17	29
*Do	15	12	5	420	22.86	17	29
Gibson, Edward R.	1	72	5	377	250.00	7	29
Griffith, Cornelius	1	96	4	280	301.75	2	23 N. and E.
*Do	1	56	4	279	390.53	3	25
*Do	1	56	4	279	36.20	3	25
*Do	1	56	4	279	5.00	2	25
*Do	1	56	4	279	5.17	2	25
Do	1	56	4	279	18.70	2	25
Gibson, William, and others	5	1	4	243	1,981.00	2	26
Do do	5	1	4	243	193.11	2	26
Do do	5	1	4	243	3,014.00	2	25
Do do	5	1	4	243	46.75	2	25
Do do	5	1	4	243	3,745.64	1	25
Do do	5	1	4	243	1,408.51	1	26
Do do	5	1	4	243	573.14	1	26
Gomez, Nicolas	4	5	4	238	830.55	19	35 S. and E.
Do	4	5	4	238	290.00	19	35
Do	4	5	4	238	41.45	19	35
Gay, Anselm	2	15	3	643	290.00	19	35
*Grant, Joseph	1	58	4	279	326.00	18	34
Gilbert, Robert	2	16	4	221	87.47	9	30

Interferes with Delespine.

Interferes with B. Segui.

Interferes with Delespine.

Interferes with Segui.

Do.

Interferes with G. I. F. Clarke.

Interferes with D. L. Clinch.

Interferes with G. I. F. Clarke.

Interferes with J. B. Gaudry.

Interferes with J. B. Gaudry.

Interferes with G. Atkinson, 390.53 acres.

Interferes with Charles Seton, 766.25 acres.

Interferes with A. Gay, 290 acres.

Interferes with Crayon, 41.45 acres.

Covered by survey of N. Gomez.

LIST OF PRIVATE CLAIMS—Continued.

Confirnee.	State papers.				No. of acres.	Location.				Remarks.
	No.	Claim.	Vol.	Page.		Town.	Range.	District.	Sec.	
Gomez, Ynez.....	A	81	5	58	561.88	6	27	S. and E.	45	
Do.	A	81	5	58	10.72	6	27	do	49	Interferes with Sasportas.
Goodwin, Francis	1	49	5	377	528.00	3	27	do	51	
*Gaudry, John B.....	2	96	5	385	2,785.49	16	29	do	44	
*Do.	2	96	5	385	131.57	16	29	do	46	Conflicts with De Castro Y. Ferrer.
*Do.	2	96	5	385	22.86	16	29	do	42	
*Do.	2	96	5	385	49.08	16	30	do	40	
*Do.	2	96	5	385	22.85	17	29	do	42	Conflicts with De Castro Y. Ferrer.
*Do.	2	96	5	385	12.76	17	29	do	43	
*Gunby, Levin.....	A	44	5	58	350.60	1	27	do	42	
*Do.	A	44	5	58	67.16	1	27	do	41	Interferes with I. F. Brown.
Gay, Anselm.....	2	31	3	643	349.90	1	28	do	45	
Do.	2	31	3	643	148.30	2	28	do	40	
Griffith, C., (heirs of)	1	147	4	281	90.10	1	26	do	46	
Gay, Anselm.....	2	15	3	645	276.51	3	27	do	66	
Do.	2	15	3	645	25.09	3	27	do	65	Interferes with F. P. Sanchez.
Do.	2	15	3	645	3.60	3	27	do	67	Interferes with Hartley.
Do.	2	15	3	645	267.45	4	27	do	52	
Do.	2	15	3	645	11.47	4	27	do	58	
*Do.	23	3	758	125.00	19	34	do	40	Interferes with John Lowe.	
*Do.	23	3	758	107.38	19	34	do	41		
*Do.	23	3	758	31.62	19	35	do	46		
*Do.	23	3	758	1,705.85	19	35	do	37		
Gould, E. B.	12	5	5	415	99.91	6	29	do	74	
Guibert, Lewis	1	77	4	279	469.50	7	27	do	43	
Hurlburt, Daniel	2	21	5	383	130.04	7	29	do	47	
Hernandez, Martin.....	1	63	4	160	20.86	3	30	do	39	
Huertas, Antonio	1	3	5	376	624.97	7	29	do	45	
Do.	1	3	5	376	53.35	7	30	do	38	

Huertas, Antonio	1	3	6	376							
Hernandez, J. M.	1	121	4	161	185.85	9	30	do	43		
Do.	1	121	4	181	76.16	9	30	do	44		
Do.	1	121	4	161	11.55	10	30	do	39		
Do.	1	121	4	161	9.20	10	30	do	46		
Do.	1	62	4	160	394.75	10	31	do	39		
Do.	4	9	4	233	637.89	11	31	do	38		
Do.	4	9	4	238	2.00	10	31	do	44		
Do.	1	59	4	160	724.02	10	31	do	40		
Do.	1	59	4	160	46.25	11	31	do	37		
Do.	1	59	4	160	1.20	11	31	do	49		
Do.	1	68	4	160	807.50	11	31	do	40		
Hogan, William	B	14	5	69	262.50	4	24	N. and E.	38		
Do.	B	14	5	69	37.80	4	24	do	48		
Hughes, Elizabeth	B	4	5	69	39.60	5	23	do	38		
Do.	B	4	5	69	678.60	5	24	do	38		
Henderson, R. A.					360.00	6	27	S. and E.	40		
Hagin, Mrs.	4	8	4	284	615.01	54	41	do	38		
Do.	4	8	4	284	5.65	54	42	do	38		
Hagin, James	4	7	4	284	177.20	53	41	do	37		
Do.	4	7	4	284	19.29	53	42	do	37		
Do.	4	7	4	284	390.93	54	42	do	37		
Do.	4	7	4	284	57.50	54	42	do	37		
Huertas, John	2	18	3	643	1,426.74	7	27	do	42		
Do.	1	18	3	643	2,601.00	8	27	do	38		
Do.	1	18	3	643	233.16	8	27	do	39		
Do.	1	18	3	643	208.62	7	28	do	40		
Do.	1	18	3	643	1,217.70	8	28	do	39		
Hernandez, J. M.	3	22	5	399	520.30	13	25	do	37		
Do.	3	22	5	399	6,413.16	13	26	do	42		
Do.	3	22	5	399	1,041.04	14	26	do	37		
Hutchinson, I.	2	2	4	282	7,780.00	34	40	do			
Do.	2	2	4	282		35	40	do			
Do.	2	2	4	282		35	41	do			
Do.	2	2	4	2-2		36	41	do			
Do.	2	2	4	282		37	41	do			
Do.	2	2	4	282		37	42	do			
Do.	2	2	4	282		38	42	do			
Hendricks, Isaac	1	144	4	281	202.50	2	27	do	51		
Hagans, Joseph	1	53	5	377	162.85	4	27	do	45		

Interferes with F. D. McDonald.
Do. do.

Interferes with G. I. F. Clarke.

Interferes with Thomas Pervatt.

Interferes with H. S. Dexter

Hutchinson's island.

23

SECRETARY OF THE INTERIOR.

LIST OF PRIVATE CLAIMS—Continued.

Confirnee.	State papers.				No. of acres.	Location.				Remarks.
	No.	Claim.	Vol.	Page.		Town.	Range.	District.	Sec.	
Hagans Joseph	1	53	5	377	27.39	4	27	S. and E.	53	Interferes with Hagens.
Do.....	1	164	4	281	109.80	4	27	do	44	
Do.....	1	164	4	281	29.33	4	27	do	53	Do
Hartley, Frederic	1	125	4	280	639.54	4	27	do	42	
Hartley, George	1	128	4	281	399.10	4	27	do	47	
Hartley, Henry	5	12	4	284	623.90	4	27	do	7	
Hogan, Reuben	2	24	3	643	358.00	2	27	do	42	Interferes with Richard.
Do.....	2	24	3	643	9.10	2	27	do	57	Interferes with Clarke & Atkinson.
Hogan, Z	A	11	5	57	49.95	2	27	do	39	
Hogan, Eleanor.....	1	2	5	405	643.60	2	27	do	32	Inside Richards' claim.
Hogan, John R	5	2	4	284	640.00	2	26	do	37	
Hogan, Z	1	11	4	159	295.27	2	26	do	39	
Hendricks, Isaac.....	1	146	4	281	496.80	2	26	do	40	
Do.....	1	5	4	159	598.00	2	26	do	41	
Hutchinson, Robert	1	30	4	159	171.00	2	26	do	42	
Do.....	1	28	4	159	178.80	2	26	do	43	
Do.....	1	23	4	159	218.70	2	26	do	57	Interferes with Atkinson.
Hendricks, Isaac.....	1	4	4	238	354.09	2	26	do	44	
Do.....	1	145	4	881	48.49	2	26	do	45	
Do.....	1	145	4	881	28.00	2	26	do	60	Interferes with E. Bagley.
Do.....	1	145	4	281	123.85	2	27	do	43	Interferes with E. Bagley.
Do.....	1	145	4	281	.37	2	27	do	60	
Do.....	1	145	4	281	2.65	2	27	do	58	Interferes with Bagley, and Clarke, and Atwater.
Do.....	A	92	5	59	300.47	2	26	do	48	
Hudnall, E.....	1	72	4	279	36.00	2	26	do	50	
Do.....	1	72	4	279	263.00	2	27	do	45	
Do.....	1	40	4	279	64.40	4	26	do	11	
Do.....		40	4	279	35.97	4	27	do	38	

IND. ADDRESS	A	46	5	58	600.00	17	34	do	53
Do	A	46	5	58	806.20	17	33	do	44
Do	A	46	5	58	23.04	18	34	do	37
Do	A	46	5	58	326.00	17	34	do	38
Do	A	46	5	58	355.00	17	34	do	41
Do	A	46	5	55	73.00	17	33	do	37
Do	A	46	5	58	173.00	17	34	do	40
Do	A	46	5	58	4.00	17	34	do	42
Do	A	46	5	58	6.00	17	34	do	43
Do	A	46	5	58	155.00	17	34	do	44
Do	A	46	5	58	29.00	17	34	do	46
Do	A	46	5	58	24.00	17	34	do	47
Harrison, Samuel	1	38	4	278	500.00	2	28	do	20
Harvey, William	A	51	5	58	190.00	5	27	do	38
Do	A	51	5	58	72.00	5	26	do	40
Hanham, James R.	1	68	4	279	119.20	5	29	do	39
Hindsman, Antonio	1	37	4	159	193.74	6	29	do	49
Do	1	37	4	159	.68	6	29	do	85
Do	1	37	4	159	1.21	6	29	do	84
Do	1	37	4	159	.62	6	29	do	86
Do	1	37	4	159	3.27	6	29	do	87
Do	1	37	4	159	.17	6	29	do	88
Hurlburt, Daniel	1	46	5	377	300.00	6	29	do	51
Do	1	28	5	376	200.00	6	29	do	52
Do	1	114	4	280	200.00	6	29	do	53
Hill, Charles	2	20	5	283	99.91	6	29	do	74
Harrean, Abram	1	69	4	279	16.67	9	27	do	39
Do	1	69	4	279	3.95	9	27	do	46
Huertas, John	3	8	4	450	2,667.70	9	27	do	48
Do	3	8		283	3,977.04	9	27	do	49
Do	3	8	4	283	39.45	9	27	do	50
Do	3	8	4	283	12.27	9	27	do	45
Hernandez, Martin	1	63	5	377	195.76	6	29	do	78
Do	1	63	5	377	304.24	7	29	do	53
Hernandez, Joseph M.	3	24	5	399	50.86	10	26	do	39
Do	3	24	5	399	5,255.97	11	26	do	39
Hernandez, Martin	1	63	5	377	546.00	14	32	do	40
Hjggibotham, Eliza	1	158	4	281	576.50	3	25	N. and E.	38
Hogan, Reuben	A	96	5	59	302.50	3	25	do	39

Interferes with Martin.
 Interferes with Bonelly.
 Interferes with Cavado.
 Do. do.
 Interferes with De Cala.
 Interferes with De Cala & Pickett.

Part of grant for 851 acres.
 Do. do.

Interferes with Curtis.
 Interferes with Capo & Sabate.
 Interferes with Sabate.

Interferes with George Fleming.
 Interferes with Summerral.
 Part of grant for 2,000 acres.

Part of 2,000 acres.

LIST OF PRIVATE CLAIMS—Continued.

Confirmer.	State papers.				No. of acres.	Location.				Remarks.
	No.	Claim.	Vol.	Page.		Town.	Range.	District.	Sec.	
*Haddock, Joseph.....	B	8	5	69	250.00	4	25	N. and E.	43	
*Haddock, Zach.....	A	86	5	58	709.70	4	25	do	39	
*Hobkirk, William.....	1	43	4	279	260.00	3	27	do	40	Conflicts with G. Fleming, 250 acres.
*Do.....	1	43	4	279	79.00	3	27	do	41	
*Do.....	1	43	4	279	72.00	3	27	do	42	Conflicts with Thomas Moy, 50-100 acre.
Harrison Samuel.....	1	37	4	278	725.00	2	28	do	44	
*Higginbotham, B.....	A	15	5	57	281.00	4	25	do	46	
*Do.....	A	15	5	57	7.00	4	25	do	-----	
*Houston, John.....	4	7	4	238	200.00	1	27	do	42	
*Do.....	4	8	4	238	160.00	1	27	do	41	
*Do.....	4	3	4	238	335.00	2	27	do	47	
Do.....	1	106	4	280	650.00	1	28	do	37	
Do.....	1	11	4	278	1,316.00	1	29	do	37	
Do.....	1	153	4	281	254.00	1	29	do	42	
Do.....	1	21	4	278	-----	1	29	do	-----	
Do.....	2	6	5	383	703.00	2	26	do	43	
Do.....	2	6	5	383	273.15	1	27	do	45	
*Do.....	2	6	5	383	526.00	2	27	do	49	
*Heming, George.....	1	127	4	281	260.00	3	27	do	40	Conflicts with William Hobkirk, 26.00 acres.
*Higginbotham, Joseph.....	1	159	4	281	301.50	3	25	do	43	
Hernandez, Martin.....	1	63	5	377	1,013.62	10	30	S. and E.	47	Part of 2,000 acre tract.
Hernandez, Joseph M.....	1	60	4	160	100.00	14	32	do	43	
Hutchinson, Robert.....	1	3	4	278	174.00	4	25&26	N. and E.	43&44	Part of white oak plantation.
Hobkirk, William.....	1	42	4	279	377.00	4	25&26	do	43&44	Do. do.
Harvey, William.....	A	51	5	58	139.50	4	26	S. and E.	46	Part of a grant for 851 acres.
Do.....	A	51	5	58	246.50	5	26	do	41	Do. do.
Hall, Ambrose.....	A	22	5	57	284.00	3	26	do	40	
Do.....	A	22	5	57	67.00	4	26	do	51	
Do.....	A	23	5	57	214.00	4	26	do	50	

Inside Sibbald claim.

Do.	A	23	5	57	214.00	3	27	do	44
Harvey, William	A	52	5	58	203.00	5	28	do	41
Hall, James	1	39	4	159	723.25	4	28	do	43
Do.	1	39	4	159	51.75	4	28	do	50
Hartley, William	1	65	4	279	51.40	3	27	do	54
Do.	1	65	4	279	3.60	3	27	do	67
Do.	1	65	4	279	33.53	4	27	do	50
Do.	1	65	4	279	11.47	4	27	do	58
Hollingsworth, William	1	11	5	376	140.00	3	27	do	45
Hanson, J. M., (guard. of F. Miles)	1	71	4	279	320.00	3	27	do	44
Hernandez, Joseph M.	3	23	5	399	3,800.16	11	26	do	42
Do.	3	23	5	399	229.25	11	26	do	44
Hudnall, Ezekiel	2	38	5	383	109.68	12	26	do	39
Do.	2	38	5	383	150.00	13	26	do	37
Do.	2	38	5	383	783.69	13	26	do	41
Hill, Christina	1	62	4	279	50.62	4	29	do	70
Do.	1	62	4	279	30.00	4	29	do	47
Do.	1	62	4	279	3.00	4	29	do	48
Do.	1	62	4	279	8.10	4	29	do	49
Do.	1	62	4	279	184.62	3	29	do	50
Do.	1	62	4	279	116.64	3	29	do	51
Do.	1	62	4	279	15.33	3	29	do	52
Hart, William	1	7	4	159	218.96	3	29	do	55
Hudnall, E.	1	74	4	279	169.30	3	27	do	59
Do.	1	74	4	279	4.95	3	27	do	63
Do.	1	74	4	279	1.22	3	27	do	64
Do.	1	74	4	279	24.63	3	27	do	61
Hernandez, Jos. M.	1	61	4	160	77.50	9	30	do	49
Do.	1	61	4	160	67.42	9	31	do	38
Hanson, J. M., and others.	E	19	5	73	2,085.00	33	40	do	1
Do.	E	19	5	73	12,844.26	38	41	do	1
Do.	E	19	5	73	23.17	38	42	do	2
Do.	E	19	5	73	964.05	39	40	do	3

Inside Sibbald claim.

Interferes with A. Pacetty.

Interferes with E. Aston.

Interferes with Z. Kingsley.

Interferes with P. Cocifacio.

Interferes with A. Gay.

Part of a grant for 20,000 acres.

Do. do.

Interferes with Gardner.

Do. do.

Do. do.

Interferes with Summeral.

Interferes with F. P. Sanchez.

Interferes with Summeral and Sanchez.

LIST OF PRIVATE CLAIMS—Continued.

Confirmed.	State papers.				No. of acres.	Location.				Remarks.
	No.	Claim	Vol.	Page.		Town.	Range	District.	Sec.	
Hanson, J. M., and others.....	E	19	5	73	127 80	38	41	S. and E.	4	
Do.....	E	19	5	73	1,043.10	39	40	do	1	
Do.....	E	19	5	73	1,033.44	39	41	do	37	
Hagans, John.....	A	12	5	57	77.64	4	23	N. and E.	49	Includes 77.64 of Jno Dixon.
Do.....	A	12	5	57	17.50	4	23	do	50	
*Harrison, Robert.....	1	75	4	279	880.00	2	26	do	45	
Harrison, Samuel.....	1	39	4	279	68.00	1	28	do	44	
Do.....	1	39	4	279	224.64	1	29	do	38	
*Haddock, Zach.....	A	9	5	57	248.12	4	25	do	45&44	
*Harrold, Moses.....	1	2	5	367	132.66	1	27	do	46	Interferes with F. M. Arredondo. Do. do.
*Do.....	1	2	5	367	569.30	1	27	do	47	
Hudnall, E.....	1	73	4	279	189.13	1	28	do	45	
*Hendricks, Wm.....	A	95	5	59	24.00	1	27	do	49	
*Do.....	A	95	5	59	176.00	2	27	do	53	
Huertas, Antonio.....					615.67	16	27	S. and E.	40	
Do.....					23.40	16	28	do	43	Interferes with F. Marin.
Do.....					3,011.32	16	28	do	44	
Do.....					890.30	17	28	do	37	
Hombly, William.....			4	322	640.00	1	5	do	37	Act of Congress, (Private Laws, vol. 6, p. 341.) Covered by Bushnell and Kingsley.
Ingersoll, S. M.....	1	140	4	281	36.65	6	29	do	64	
Do.....	1	140	4	281	63.20	6	29	do	67	Covered by Kingsley.
James, James.....	10	2	4	256	658.50	4	27	do	49	
Johns, John.....	1	31	5	376	99.80	1	26	do	37	Inside Sibbald grant.
Kingsley, Zephaniah.....	2	6	4	282	1,781.53	13	26	do	39	
Do.....	1	86	4	279	95.63	1	28	do	44	
Do.....	1	83	4	279	50.00	1	28	do	43	
Do.....	A	87	5	59	.31	1	28	do	39	
Do.....	A	87	5	59	1,059.66	1	29	do	37	
Kershaw, John.....	A	8	5	57	46.62	6	22	do	43	

Do.....	2	5	4	282	56.50	6	30	do.....	40
Kingsley, Zephaniah.....	2	5	4	282	25.25	5	28	do.....	43
Do.....	2	5	4	282	203.97	6	28	do.....	45
Do.....	2	5	4	282	120.68	6	28	do.....	46
Do.....	2	5	4	282	413.90	6	29	do.....	61
Do.....	2	5	4	282	562.63	6	29	do.....	63
Do.....	2	5	4	282	27.45	6	29	do.....	46
Do.....	2	5	4	282	4.80	6	29	do.....	59
Do.....	2	5	4	282	36.65	6	29	do.....	64
Do.....	2	5	4	282	294.20	6	29	do.....	66
Do.....	2	5	4	282	63.20	6	29	do.....	67
Do.....	2	5	4	282	44.48	6	29	do.....	77
Do.....	2	5	4	282	127.30	6	29	do.....	100
Kunner, Mary.....	1	67	4	160	100.00	13	32	do.....	45
Do.....	1	67	4	160	81.58	13	32	do.....	44
Do.....	1	67	4	160	19.00	12	32	do.....	38
Kingsley, Zephaniah.....	1	47	4	279	68.85	10	27	do.....	43
Do.....	1	44	4	279	555.00	4	25	N. and E.	44
Do.....	A	10	5	57	2,295.00	4	26	do.....	43
*King, Thomas.....	B	17	5	69	624.52	4	24	do.....	42
*Do.....	1	172	4	281	230.15	5	24	do.....	40
*Do.....	1	172	4	281	110.13	4	24	do.....	44
Kingsley, Zephaniah.....	2	4	4	282	1,880.00	4	26	do.....	41
Do.....	2	4	4	282	137.56	4	25	do.....	37
Do.....	2	4	4	282	440.50	4	26	do.....	42
Do.....	2	4	4	282	110.40	4	25	do.....	38
Do.....	2	4	4	282	141.40	4	26	do.....	49
Do.....	1	55	4	279	286.60	4	25	do.....	39
Do.....	1	45	4	279	261.60	6	28	do.....	43
Do.....	1	45	4	279	376.80	6	28	do.....	44
Kingsley, A. M.....	1	46	4	279	99.10	12	28	do.....	38
Do.....	1	46	4	279	.90	12	28	do.....	43
Kingsley, Zephaniah.....	A	89	5	59	750.00	3	27	do.....	43
Lofton, William and John.....	1	132	4	231	9.50	4	26	do.....	37
Do.....					161.55	4	27	do.....	47
Do.....					49.10	4	27	do.....	55
Lamb, Thomas.....	1	59	5	377	200.00	2	28	N. and E.	23
Levy, M. E.....	1	16	4	159	205.00	4	29	S. and E.	45
Do.....					70.00	4	29	do.....	71

Conflicts with L. Capo.
 Conflicts with E. Bushnell.
 Conflicts with Gianople.
 Conflicts with Gianople and Bushnell.
 Conflicts with Bushnell and Ingersoll.
 Conflicts with Schofield.
 Conflicts with Ingersoll.
 Conflicts with L. Capo.
 Part of a grant for 200 acres.
 Interference with Bulow.
 Do.
 Interference with G. W. Martin.
 N. and E.
 Conflicts with A. Huertas, 376.80 acres.
 Interferes with Oliveros.
 Interferes with J. M. Sanchez.
 Interferes with S. Petty.
 Conflicts with F. P. Sanchez.

LIST OF PRIVATE CLAIMS—Continued.

Confirmer.	State Papers.				No. of acres.	Location.				Remarks.
	No.	Claim.	Vol.	Page.		Town.	Range	Distric.	Sec.	
Long, George.....	1	77	5	377	478.39	10	30	S. and E.	42	Interferes with M. Mills.
Do.....					30.49	10	30	do	43	
Do.....					99.60	10	31	do	41	
Long, Jesse.....	B	13	5	69	301.12	4	24	N. and E.	37	Not on township plat.
Lassiter, Reuben.....	B	6	5	69	321.00	4	23	do	38	
Lewis, Polly.....	4	5	4	284	634.17	54	41	S. and E.	39	
Lewis, Jonathan.....	4	9	4	284	613.00	54	41	do	40	
Lewis, Franker.....	4	10	4	284	640.00	50	42	do	11	
Levy, Moses E.....					425.44	18	29	do	37	
Do.....					4,150.34	19	29	do	37	
Do.....					12.00	18	30	do	37	
Do.....					123.37	19	30	do	40	
Do.....					2,525.41	19	29	do	38	
Do.....					634.55	19	30	do	38	
Lowe, John.....					10,000.00	19	34	do	39	} This has been set aside
Do.....						19	35	do	38	
Love, Charles.....	1	138	4	281	277.44	3	23	N. and E.	41	
Long, Isaac.....	1	34	5	376	57.00	4	25	do	47	
Do.....	1	34	5	376	145.50	3	25	do	41	
Leslie, Flora.....	2	11	5	383	39.03	6	28	S. and E.	47	
Do.....	2	11	5	383	460.97	7	28	do	42	
Levy, Moses E.....	3	3 & 4	4	283	8,174.93	19	30	do	39	
Do.....	3	3 & 4	4	283	3,952.00	20	30	do	37	
Do.....	3	3 & 4	4	283	410.22	19	31	do	37	
Do.....	3	3 & 4	4	283	2,645.72	20	30	do	38	
Do.....	3	3 & 4	4	283	4,626.89	21	30	do	37	
Do.....	3	3 & 4	4	283	215.38	20	31	do	30	
Do.....	3	3 & 4	4	283	519.72	21	31	do	38	
Do.....	3	3 & 4	4	283	509.82	3	28	N. and E.	43	
*Lowe, John.....	A	60	4	279						

Conflicts with McGirt.

Name	A	60	4	379	250.18	3	28	do	48
*Do	A	50	5	58	254.00	3	28	do	42
*Do	A	50	5	58	176.00	3	27	do	37
*Do					1,051.55	5	25	S. and E.	39
Levy, Moses E.					2,796.50	5	26	do	46
Do					354.00	2	27	N. and E.	37
Lowe, John					2,793.00	2	27	do	42
Do					3,704.00	3	27	do	51
Do					757.13	3	28	do	44
*Lofton, William and John	1	86	4	160	354.00	3	27	do	55
*Do	1	87	4	161	354.00	3	27	do	54
*Lowe, John	E.	26	5	73	994.00	18	34	S and E.	46
*Do	E.	26	5	73	859.00	18	34	do	47
*Do	E.	26	5	73	510.00	19	34	do	38
*Do	E.	26	5	73	7,528.00	19	34	do	39
*Do	E.	26	5	73	125.00	19	34	do	40
Lane, William	1	142	4	281	203.20	1	26	do	45
Do	1	142	4	281	37.00	2	26	do	54
Larcy, Jose	9	3	4	287	136.00	1	27	do	48
Do	9	3	4	287	32.00	1	27	do	49
Levy, Moses E.			4	463	1,146.33	15	30	do	37
Do			4	463	2,878.08	16	30	do	37
Le Count, John	9	4	4	287	208.92	12	28	do	39
Do	9	4	4	287	101.91	13	28	do	38
Leonardy, Rogue	1	5	5	376	1,478.62	5	29	do	61
Do	1	5	5	376	191.35	5	29	do	60
Lofton, Wm. and J	1	18	4	159	49.83	1	28	N. and E.	42
*Do	6	6	4	245	199.09	2	26	do	44
Leath, Hartwell	1	171	4	281	183.90	2	23	S. and E.	38
Levy, M. E.					248.40	17	28	do	38
Do					519.00	16	27	do	38
Do					8,854.70	16	27	do	39
Do					827.80	17	27	do	37
Do					7.44	16	28	do	47
Do			4	463	5,000.00	15	27	do	37
Do			4	463	(est.) 35.00	15	27	do	38
Do			4	463	4,605.00	16	27	do	37
Do			4	463	519.00	16	27	do	38
Do			4	463	1,370.00	15	28	do	38

Conflicts with McGirt.

Within Waterman mill grant.

Interferes with I. Wales.

Interferes with I. Wales.

Interferes with P. Rosette

Inside the Sibbald grant.

Part of 300 in conflict with Fenwick 32 acres

Covered by F. P. Sanchez.

Includes 191.35 acres of Thomas Manlet.

Interferes with another claim of Levy.

Interferes with Arredondo.

Interferes with another claim of Levy.

LIST OF PRIVATE CLAIMS—Continued.

Confirmer.	State Papers.				No. of acres	Location.				Remarks.
	No.	Claim	Vol	Page.		Town.	Range.	District.	Sec.	
Levy, M. E.....			4	463	1,949.64	16	28	S. and E.	37	
Do.....			4	463	258.63	16	28	do	41	Interferes with F. J. Avice.
McCully, Wm.....	1	130	4	281	300.00	3	23	N. and E.	43	
McIntosh, J. H.....	1	44	5	377	1,140.00	3	26	S. and E.	44	
McQueen, John.....	A.	70	5	58	400.00	3	28	N. and E.	10	
Do.....	A.	13	5	57	1,000.00	2	28	S. and E.	37	
Mestre, Pedro.....	4	6	4	284	640.00	4	29	do	54	
Mattair, Lewis.....	1	15	4	159	190.91	3	29	do	39	
McFee, Constance.....	1	118	4	280	2.00	4	26	do	40	
Do.....	1	118	4	280	358.07	4	27	do	54	
Do.....	1	118	4	280	82.50	5	27	do	37	
Miles, Francis.....	1	70	4	279	211.20	6	29	do	44	
Miranda, Peter.....	2	6	3	643	736.44	4	29	do	55	
Do.....	2	6	3	643	32.13	4	29	do	61	Conflicts with Travers.
Do.....	2	6	3	644	22.04	4	29	do	60	Conflicts with Travers and Palmes.
Do.....	2	6	3	643	16.53	4	29	do	62	Conflicts with Travers.
Do.....	2	6	3	643	193.12	4	29	do	63	Conflicts with G. and O. Palmes.
Martin, George W.....	1	10	4	159	159.00	10	27	do	40	
Do.....	1	10	4	159	68.85	10	27	do	47	Interferes with Z. Kingsley.
Murat, Achille.....	1	65	5	377	998.00	8	30	do	42	
Do.....	1	65	5	377	197.70	8	30	do	43	
Mestre, B.....	A	58	5	58	597.30	9	30	do	39	
Do.....	6	3	4	285	301.78	9	30	do	40	
Mills, Maria.....	A.	55	5	58	113.91	10	30	do	41	
Do.....	A.	55	5	58	30.49	10	30	do	43	Interferes with George Long.
McMullin, Frederic.....	1	12	4	159	540.00	3	23	N. and E.	40	
Montero, A.....	1	95	4	161	25.35	7	30	S. and E.	48	
McHardy, Robt.....	1	2	4	279	474.42	13	31	do	38	
Do.....	1	2	4	279	271.00	13	32	do	38	

Miranda, Peter.....	3	21	5	398	2,303.82	19	29	do	40
Do.....	1	35	5	376	787.76	8	30	do	41
Do.....	3	35	5	399	815.00	19	29	do	39
McDowell and Black.....	A.	24	5	399	712.74	11	31	do	54
Do.....	A.	24	5	399	191.14	12	31	do	40
Do.....	12	15	5	415	248.25	11	31	do	51
Do.....	12	15	5	415	206.50	11	31	do	52
Miranda, Peter.....	A.	61	5	58	92.24	3	25	N. and E.	37
McIntosh, J. H.....	14	2	5	419	70.80	2	25	S. and E.	42
Do.....	14	2	5	419	531.60	3	25	do	37
Do.....	14	2	5	419	461.50	2	26	do	59
Do.....					4,396.00	3	26	do	42
Miller, Robert.....	4	12	5	405	111.00	3	28	N. and E.	40
Meers, Saml.....	1	19	4	278	213.00	3	28	do	39
*Moy, Thomas.....	1	122	4	280	72.00	3	27	do	42
*Do.....	1	122	4	280	310.00	3	27	do	43
Medicis, Francis.....	1	58	5	377	311.65	6	29	S. and E.	95
Do.....	1	58	5	377	24.07	6	29	do	91
Marshall, Theresa.....	1	10	4	278	322.15	5	29	do	53
Do.....	1	10	4	276	96.10	5	29	do	54
Do.....	1	10	4	278	53.92	5	29	do	55
Do.....	1	10	4	278	23.05	5	29	do	56
Do.....	1	10	4	278	33.03	5	29	do	57
Do.....	1	10	4	278	50.45	5	29	do	58
Do.....	1	10	4	278	191.53	5	29	do	60
Do.....	1	10	4	278	6.85	5	29	do	59
Martinelly, G.....	A.	85	5	58	343.86	5	29	do	50
Martin, H. B.....	1	104	4	161	66.90	17	33	do	38
Do.....	1	104	4	161	131.60	17	34	do	39
Do.....	1	104	4	161	173.00	17	34	do	40
*McHardy, C. E.....	A.	14	5	57	211.70	18	34	do	49
*Do.....	A.	14	5	57	164.50	18	34	do	50
*Do.....	A.	14	5	57	90.57	18	35	do	37
*Do.....	A.	14	5	57	13.00	18	34	do	51
*Do.....	A.	14	5	57	560.00	18	35	do	38
*Murray, Jane.....	1	103	4	280	396.00	18	34	do	48
*Do.....	1	103	4	280	211.70	18	34	do	49
Marviu, Francis.....	A.	5	5	57	1,240.00	16	28	do	38
Do.....	A.	5	5	57	281.14	16	28	do	39

Part of 10,000 acres.

Part of 10,000 acres.

} Interferes with Chas. Clarke.

Conflicts with Hobkirk, 72 acres.

Conflicts with Jas. Curtis.

Conflicts with C. P. Arnau.

Conflicts with J. Paredes.

Conflicts with J. Paredes.

Conflicts with Paredes and Arnau.

Conflicts with Arnau.

Conflicts with R. Leonardi.

Conflicts with A. Hall 173 acres.

Conflicts with Jane Murray.

Interferes with C. E. McHardy.

LIST OF PRIVATE CLAIMS—Continued.

Confirnee.	State Papers.				No. of Acres.	Location.				Remarks.
	No.	Claim.	Vol.	Page.		Town.	Range	District.	Sec.	
Marvin, Francis	A.	5	5	57	200.80	16	28	S. and E.	40	Interferes with Avice.
Do.....	A.	5	5	57	23.40	16	28	do	43	Interferes with A. A. Huertas.
McDonald, F. D.....	1	156	4	281	385.85	9	30	do	43	
Do.....	1	156	4	281	210.32	9	30	do	46	Interferes with J. M. Hernandez.
Do.....	1	156	4	281	141.70	9	30	do	45	
Do.....	1	156	4	281	23.32	10	30	do	44	
Do.....	1	156	4	281	3.19	10	30	do	45	
Do.....	1	156	4	281	19.20	10	30	do	46	Interferes with J. M. Hernandez.
Minchin, Christopher.....	9	2	4	287	400.00	4	28	do	48	
Do.....	9	2	4	287	2.20	5	28	do	47	
Middleton, John.....	1	64	4	279	204.00	8	27	do	40	
McDowell & Black	A.	67	5	58	481.12	16	28	do	46	
Do.....	1	43	4	159	314.51	16	28	do	45	
Mattair, Lewis.....	1	14	4	159	304.00	3	28	do	43	
Mestre, John.....	1	42	5	377	62.77	2	29	do	41	
*McGirt, James.....	1	55	5	377	280.18	3	28	N. and E.	48	Interferes with Lowe.
Do.....	1	55	5	377	22.87	3	28	do	49	
Mizell, John.....	1	168	4	281	183.67	1	23	S. and E.	37	
Do.....	1	168	4	281	15.95	2	23	do	37	
McIntosh, J. H.....			3	633	1,991.49	19	34	do	43	Interferes with Delespine.
Do.....				644	3,699.00	19	34	do	44	
Do.....				644	17.50	20	31	do	43	
Do.....			3	644	60.00	19	35	do	38	
Do.....			3	644	115.00	19	35	do	46	Conflicts with Delespine.
Napier, Thomas.....	1	63	4	279	801.74	1	33	do	43	
Nobles, Hannah.....	1	67	4	279	139.40	3	27	do	39	
Do.....	1	67	4	279	55.59	3	26	do	36	
*Nelson, William.....	1	170	4	281	350.00	4	25	N. and E.	33	
Nobles, Hannah.....	1	87	4	280	32.80	3	27	S. and E.	55	

Do	1	87	4	280	67.30	4	27	do	51
Ortega, Lozano	1	162	4	281	76.62	6	29	do	42
Do	1	162	4	281	14.40	6	30	do	39
Ormond, Emanuel and James	1	122	4	161	385.00	12	31	do	37
Do	1	122	4	161	1,548.87	13	31	do	37
Do	1	122	4	161	126.00	13	32	do	37
O'Neill, Margaret	1	23	4	278	653.00	3	28	do	46
Do	1	13	5	376					
Do	1	18	4	278	420.00	2	28	do	39
Do	1	19	5	376	280.00	3	28	do	45
Oliveros, Raphael	B	9	5	69	640.00	4	25	do	42
Oliveros, John	5	9	4	284	677.50	12	26	do	42
Do	5	9	4	284	.90	12	26	do	43
Perpall, G. W	8	4	4	250	641.47	7	30	do	41
Papy, José	5	10	4	224	640.32	7	28	do	38
Petty, Sarah	1	99	4	161	94.72	4	26	do	38
Do	1	99	4	161	36.25	4	26	do	39
Do	1	99	4	161	117.30	4	27	do	48
Do	1	99	4	161	49.11	4	27	do	55
Plummer, Prudence	1	6	4	278	17.94	1	26	do	2
Do	1	6	4	278	93.80	3	27	do	41
Do	1	6	4	278	206.00	4	27	do	37
Pritchard, Robert	1	12	5	376	247.11	3	27	do	38
Pritchard, Eleanor	1	21	5	376	266.80	3	27	do	40
Plummer, James	1	134	4	281	297.30	4	27	do	43
Pickett, Seymour	B	10	5	69	45.50	1	25	do	39
Do	B	10	5	69	37.30	1	25	do	37
Do	B	10	5	69	9.50	2	25	do	37
Do	B	10	5	69	180.50	1	26	do	38
Do	B	10	5	69	1.92	1	26	do	51
Do	B	10	5	60	157.50	2	26	do	33
Do	B	10	5	69	208.80	2	26	do	32
Pelot, James	1	20	5	376	640.00	2	28	N. and E.	11
Papy, Andrew	1	71	5	377	127.83	4	29	S. and E.	42
Peavett, Joseph	1	133	4	281	400.00	4	24	N. and E.	39
Do	7	5	4	285	430.82	3	28	S. and E.	41
Do	7	5	4	285	82.95	3	28	do	40
Do	7	5	4	285	28.75	3	29	do	53
Pacetti, Andrew	4	1	5	405	640.00	6	28	do	37

} One survey.
 } Interferes with Z. Kingsley and covered by F. P. Sanchez.
 Interferes with A. Creighton.
 Interferes with W. & J. Lofton.
 Interferes with C. Sibbald.
 Do.
 Do.
 } Interferes with same party, 8275.
 Inside Huertas grant.

LIST OF PRIVATE CLAIMS—Continued.

Confirmer.	State papers.				No. of acres.	Location.				Remarks.
	No.	Claim.	Vol.	Page.		Town.	Range.	District.	Sec.	
Petty, Sarah.....	1	80	4	160	46.67	9	27	S. and E.	39	Within Hannan's grant.
Do.....	1	80	4	160	3.20	9	27	do	47	
Papy, Miguel.....	4	2	4	284	640.80	7	28	do	39	
Proctor, Antonio.....	1	21	4	159	177.82	7	29	do	39	
Perpall, G. W.....	1	47	4	160	577.25	15	21	do	37	
Do.....	1	47	4	160	82.75	15	22	do	38	
Pons, Matthias.....	1	91	4	220	375.17	8	30	do	39	
Do.....	1	91	4	280	22.05	8	30	do	49	Conflicts with Fellany.
Pellicer, Francis.....	1	136	4	231	1,105.50	10	30	do	39	
Perpall, G. W.....	A	65	5	58	101.00	10	31	do	37	
Do.....	1	48	4	160	599.33	10	31	do	38	
Do.....	1	52	4	160	98.59	10	31	do	42	
Do.....	1	52	4	160	165.43	11	31	do	39	
Do.....	1	52	4	160	207.40	11	31	do	43	Interferes with Fitzpatrick.
Papy, Andrew.....	5	11	4	284	640.88	8	30	do	45	
Perpall, G. W.....	1	51	4	160	8.81	7	30	do	47	
Do.....	1	125	4	161	16.12	7	30	do	46	
Do.....	A	66	5	58	28.00	7	30	do	45	
Do.....	1	153	4	160	151.17	13	32	do	41	
Do.....	2	14	4	221	1,422.00	10	27	do	41	
Do.....	1	66	4	160	189.67	11	31	do	44	
Do.....	1	60	4	160	53.75	11	31	do	45	Conflict with V. Fitzpatrick, 189.67 acres.
Do.....	1	60	4	160	29.75	11	31	do	46	
Papy, Ann.....	A	25	5	57	67.18	14	31	do	38	
Do.....	A	25	5	57	141.14	14	32	do	44	
Prevatt, Thomas.....	1	124	4	280	540.00	4	24	N. and E.	47	Conflict with Hogans, 37.80 acres.
Do.....	1	124	4	280	37.80	4	24	do	48	
Petty Sarah.....	1	81	4	160	231.75	5	24	do	39	
Pons, Pedro (or Peter).....	1	93	4	280	673.00	2	25	do	47	

Paredes, Juanna	A	54	5	58	53.92	5	29	S. and E.	55
Do.	A	54	5	58	53.05	5	29	do.	56
Do.	A	54	5	58	33.03	5	29	do.	57
*Pickett, Seymour	1	117	4	280	302.50	2	25	do.	49
Palmer, O. & F.	2	15	4	221	999.00	16	33	do.	33
Pickett, Seymour	1	103	4	161	315.00	17	34	do.	49
Do.	1	103	4	161	24.00	17	34	do.	47
Pellicer, Francis	1	41	5	376	1,745.45	12	31	do.	39
Ponton, William	8	8	5	411	493.33	9	26	do.	38
Do.	8	9	5	411	1,959.31	9	25	do.	37
Do.	8	9	5	411	36.15	10	25	do.	37
Do.	8	9	5	411	3.23	9	26	do.	42
Do.	8	10	5	411	195.50	9	25	do.	39
Do.	8	10	5	411	279.18	9	26	do.	40
Priest, Gabriel	1	108	4	161	94.37	5	24	do.	42
Do.	1	108	4	161	526.00	5	25	do.	42
Do.	1	108	4	161	84.28	5	25	do.	43
Plyme, Andrew	1	76	4	160	306.30	4	26	do.	44
Do.	1	76	4	160	176.40	4	26	do.	43
Do.	1	76	4	160	19.00	4	26	do.	45
Pengree, William	1	78	4	160	1,000.00	4	25	do.	40
Perpall, G. W.	1	50	4	160	275.85	6	28	do.	41
Do.	1	65	4	160	266.04	6	28	do.	42
Do.	1	65	4	160	286.78	6	29	do.	75
Ponton, William	8	7	5	411	95.09	15	29	do.	38
Do.	8	7	5	411	497.82	16	29	do.	38
Perchman, John	2	71	5	384	772.20	12	25	do.	45
Do.	2	71	5	384	1,244.20	12	26	do.	45
Palmer, G. F. & O.	1	90	4	161	16.66	4	29	do.	59
Do.	1	90	4	161	22.04	4	29	do.	60
Do.	1	90	4	161	193.12	4	29	do.	63
Paredes, Juanna	A	53	5	58	55.20	6	30	do.	45
Perpall, G. W.	8	3	4	250	15.10	9	31	do.	39
Do.	8	3	4	250	9.00	10	31	do.	43
Do.	1	49	4	160	73.10	9	31	do.	37
Do.	1	49	4	160	18.10	9	30	do.	49
Peavatt, Joseph	7	3	4	285	241.50	3	28	do.	37
Do.	7	3	4	285	258.50	3	29	do.	38

Interferes with F. P. Sanchez.
 Interferes with Marshall & Arnau.
 Interferes with Marshall.
 Interferes with Marshall & Arnau.

Within De Cala grant.
 Interferes with Hall.

Conflicts with Branning, 84.28 acres.
 Covers John Creighton.

} Conflicts with W. Williams.

} Includes 22.04 of P. Miranda and 16.61 of Wm. Traverse.

} Island.

LIST OF PRIVATE CLAIMS—Continued.

Confirnee.	State papers.				No. of acres.	Location.				Remarks.
	No.	Claim.	Vol.	Page.		Town.	Range.	District.	Sec.	
Peavatt, Joseph	7	4	4	285	321.50	3	28	S. and E.	42	
Do.....	7	4	4	285	4.87	3	29	do	54	
Do.....	7	2	4	285	500.00	5	28	do	46	
Pengree, Rebecca.....	1	79	4	160	64.60	4	27	do	57	
Do.....	1	79	4	160	571.11	5	27	do	42	
Richard, Francis.....	1	101	4	280	7.71	1	27	do	52	
Do.....	1	101	4	280	276.46	2	27	do	38	
Do.....	1	111	4	280	157.91	2	27	do	40	
Do.....	1	24	5	376	422.50	2	27	do	41	Inside Richards' mill grant.
Rawles, Cotton.....	7	3	5	411	640.00	1	25	do	38	
Reyes, Jose B.....	4	1	4	238	223.70	8	29	do	37	
Rose, James.....	1	18	5	376	25.00	7	29	do	46	
* Rowe, James.....	7	2	5	411	502.50	4	24	N. and E.	43	
* Do.....	7	2	5	411	99.60	4	24	do	46	Interferes with Drummond.
Riz, James.....	10	3	4	256	640.00	7	27	S. and E.	37	
Robinson, Eliza.....	2	19	3	643	6.38	7	29	do	50	
Do.....	2	19	3	643	73.45	7	30	do	55	
Richard, Francis.....	E	10	5	73	1,597.00	2	28	do	39	Part of grant for 16,000 acres.
Rodman, John, (assignee).....	9	1	4	287	2,845.31	10	25	do	38	
Do.....	9	1	4	287	11,575.60	10	25	do	37	
Do.....	9	1	4	287	295.92	10	26	do	38	
Do.....	9	1	4	287	1,236.00	11	26	do	38	
Richard, Francis.....	1	40	5	376	1,060.05	13	26	do	40	
Ross, Francis J.....					800.00	2	26	do	56	
* Rushing, John G.....	1	84	4	279	338.00	1	27	do	39	Interferes with D. Fernandez, 338 acres.
Richard, Francis.....	A	75	5	58	350.00	3	27	do	50	
Do.....	A	73	5	58	195.00	3	27	do	52	
Richard, John B.....	1	9	5	376	250.00	2	27	do	50	Inside Richards' grant.
Richard, Francis.....	A	74	5	58	250.00	2	27	do	49	do.

74

Do	E	10	5	73	7,396.00	2	27	do	54
Do	E	10	5	73	1.85	2	27	do	54
Do	E	10	5	73	9.50	2	27	do	54
Do	E	10	5	73	7.50	2	27	do	56
Do	E	10	5	73	6,417.00	3	27	do	56
Do	E	10	5	73	109.20	3	27	do	37
Do	E	10	5	73	17.20	3	27	do	49
Reyes, Domingo	A	4	5	57	2,000.00	16	30	do	38
Rodriguez, Nicolas	15	6	5	420	126.00	7	30	do	58
Rodriguez, Theresa	3	22	4	283	5,426.00	19	28	do	37
Do	3	22	4	283	59.64	19	29	do	37
Richard, Francis	1	111	4	280	182.00	1	27	do	53
Rodriguez, Santos	1	36	5	376	2,622.25	12	28	do	45
Rain & Bailey	6	5	4	245	1,000.00	1	25	do	41
Summerral, Jos	1	84	4	160	211.31	3	23	N. and E.	38
Seville, Peter	1	126	4	280	120.46	7	29	S. and E.	33
Solana, Bartolo	4	9	5	405	643.04	8	28	do	38
Sanchez, Jose	1	1	4	278	105.00	17	34	do	51
Do	1	1	4	278	100.00	17	34	do	50
Scurry, David	1	165	4	231	313.12	3	27	do	37
Summerral, Jose	16	18	5	422	160.83	4	27	do	40
Silcox, William	4	4	5	405	640.00	2	25	do	39
Suarez, Antonio, confirmed to Thos. Suarez, administrator.	1	94	4	280	500.00	2	28	do	12
Sanchez, Nicolas	1	59	4	279	300.00	4	29	do	52
Sanchez, F. X.	1	113	4	280	100.00	4	29	do	44
Sanchez, Jos. S.	A	38	5	57	600.47	4	29	do	43
Sanchez, F. X.	1	112	4	280	949.23	4	29	do	41
Sanchez, F. P.	1	23	4	159	100.00	4	29	do	53
Smith, Hannah	1	68	5	377	400.95	5	29	do	39
Do	1	63	5	377	3.53	5	29	do	66
Segui, Aguida	2	10	4	221	1,200.00	3	28	do	39
Segui, Juan	1	45	5	377	6.80	6	30	do	33
Do	1	45	5	377	72.60	6	29	do	41
Sanchez, Jos. S.	A	93	5	59	29.76	7	30	do	60
Do	A	93	5	59	24.50	7	29	do	49
Do	A	93	5	59	188.50	6	30	do	42
Do	A	93	5	59	378.72	6	29	do	54

Interferes with F. Bagley.
 Interferes with Clarke & Atkinson.
 do. do.
 Interferes with J. Summerral.
 Interferes with D. Bleach.
 Surveyed, but not on township plat.
 Do. do.
 This name occupies a different place in original list
 Interferes with Robert Sheppard.
 Interferes with W. Travis.

LIST OF PRIVATE CLAIMS—Continued.

Confirmer.	State papers.				No. of acres.	Location.				Remarks.
	No	Claim	Vol.	Page.		Town.	Range	District	Sec.	
Sabate, Pablo.....	1	23	5	376	2,028.50	6	29	S. and E.	50	
Do.....	1	23	5	376	105.36	6	29	do	83	Interferes with L. Capo.
Do.....	1	23	5	376	1.21	6	29	do	84	Interferes with Capo, Hindsman & Curtis.
Do.....	1	23	5	376	.68	6	29	do	85	Interferes with Capo & Hindsman.
Do.....	1	23	5	376	.68	6	29	do	86	Interferes with Hindsman.
Do.....	1	23	5	376	3.27	6	29	do	87	Do. do.
Do.....	1	23	5	376	22.15	6	29	do	89	
Do.....	1	23	5	376	43.67	6	29	do	92	Interferes with Curtis.
Do.....	1	23	5	376	38.93	6	29	do	93	
Do.....	1	23	5	376	.17	6	29	do	94	Interferes with Hindsman.
Solana, Philip.....	A	32	5	57	125.70	3	29	do	43	
Do.....	A	32	5	57	120.00	3	29	do	44	Interferes with Sanchez.
Solana, Manuel.....	A	69	5	58	104.00	7	30	do	40	
Sclana, Philip.....	1	30	5	376	12.34	7	30	do	43	
Do.....	1	30	5	376	22.11	8	30	do	37	
Sanchez, F. P.....	1	31	4	159	603.36	7	29	do	40	
Sharber, James.....	B	12	5	69	380.94	4	23	N. and E.	39	
Summerral, James.....	1	85	4	160	137.41	9	27	S. and E.	42	
Do.....	1	85	4	160	12.27	9	27	do	45	Interferes with J. Huertus.
Do.....	1	85	4	160	135.23	10	27	do	37	
Stafford, Ellis.....	B	5	5	69	760.99	3	23	N. and E.	37	
Segui, John.....	A	63	5	58	10.08	7	30	S. and E.	54	
Do.....	A	63	5	58	6.00	7	30	do	51	
Sanchez, Joseph M.....	A	37	5	57	12.58	7	30	do	50	
Sanchez, Joseph S, confirmed to Samuel Fairbanks and others..	2	20	3	643	1,422.95	6	18	do	35	
Do.....	2	20	3	643	577.05	7	18	do	4	
Sanchez, F. R.....	2	25	3	643	2,359.78	6	18	do	33 & 34	
Do.....	2	25	3	643	1,610.22	7	18	do	5	

	4	10	5	405	640.00	6	2	do.	39
Solano, Magdalena	2	2	4	221	1,965.39	15	2	do.	40
Sanchez, F. P.	1	111	4	161	300.00	15	3	do.	44
*Segui, Bernardo	A	24	4	159	242.00	3	25 &	N. and E.	40
Sanchez, F. P.	A	31	5	57	653.20	4	2	do.	41
*Sibbald, Charles	A	118	4	161	300.74	3	23	do.	42
Standley, Shadrack	1	169	4	281	300.00	3	24	do.	37
Sparkman, William	A	57	5	58	30.43	1	28	S. and E.
Seton, Charles	1	7	4	278	600.00	1	27	N. and E.	38
*Seton, Charles	1	8	4	159	350.00	1	28	do.	38
Smith, Mary	1	1	4	282	1,181.70	1	26	do.	42
*Seton, Charles	2	1	4	282	481.00	2	26	do.	37
*Do.	2	1	4	282	3.60	1	27	do.	48
*Do.	2	1	4	282	67.00	2	27	do.	50
*Do.	2	1	4	282	68.00	2	27	do.	51
*Do.	1	54	4	279	470.00	3	26	do.	37
*Do.	1	54	4	279	15.00	4	26	do.	37
*Do.	1	54	4	279	250.00	3	27	do.	49
*Do.	E	16	5	73	295.80	2	27	do.	48
*Do.	E	16	5	73	67.00	2	27	do.	50
*Do.	E	16	5	73	68.00	2	27	do.	52
*Do.	E	16	5	73	35.20	2	26	do.	38
*Do.	E	16	5	73	2,198.06	2	26	do.	41
*Do.	E	16	5	73	193.11	1	26	do.	39
*Do.	E	16	5	73	7,218.30	1	26	do.	40
*Do.	E	16	5	73	573.14	1	27	do.	40
*Do.	E	16	5	73	3,556.20	1	27	do.	40
Smith, Josiah	1	69	5	377	573.00	3	27	do.	44
Do.	1	69	5	377	275.00	3	27	do.	46
Do.	1	69	5	377	128.00	3	27	do.	47
*Serling, Francis	A	68	5	58	250.00	2	27	do.	41
*Sumner, Joseph	1	83	4	160	152.00	2	25	do.	48
*Silcox, John	1	76	4	279	255.75	2	25	do.	44
*Do.	1	76	4	279	46.75	2	25	do.	45
*Smith, Josiah	1	75	5	377	159.65	3	25	do.	45
*Do.	1	75	5	377	240.35	3	25	do.	46
*Sparkman, Levi	5	3	4	244	541.76	1	25	do.	39
*Do.	5	3	4	284	96.00	1	25	S. and E.	42
*Silcox, Wade	7	4	5	411	650.00	1	24	N. and E.	37
*Sibbald, Charles F	E	13	5	73	3,340.01	18	34	S. and E.	43
*Do.	E	13	5	73	320.00	18	34	do.	42

Island No. 2.

Includes Susan Carhun, 275 acres.
Includes S. Christopher, 128 acres.

Conflicts with Gibson and others, 46.75 acres.

Conflicts with G. Atkinson, 240.35 acres.

Conflicts with G. I. F. Clarke.

LIST OF PRIVATE CLAIMS—Continued.

Confirnee.	State papers.				No. of acres.	Location.				Remarks.
	No.	Claim.	Vol.	Page.		Town.	Range	District.	Sec.	
*Sibbald, Charles F.....	E	13	5	73	342.00	18	34	S. and E.	44	Conflicts with J. Wales.
Shepherd, Robert.....	1	105	4	161	100.00	17	34	...do....	50	Covered by survey of Jose Sanchez.
Schofield, Margaret.....	A	80	5	58	294.20	6	29	...do....	66	Covered by survey of Kingsley.
Do.....	A	80	5	58	5.80	6	29	...do....	65	Covered by survey of Bushnell & Kingsley.
Sanchez, Joseph S.....	16	14	5	421	402.50	8	19	...do....	39	Covered by survey of D. Fernandez.
Sanchez, John.....	16	15	5	421	402.50	8	19	...do....	38	Do.
Sanchez, Francis R.....	16	13	5	421	391.53	8	18	...do....	39	do.
Do.....	16	13	5	421	108.47	8	18	...do....	40	Conflicts with D. Fernandez, 108.47 acres.
Sibbald, Charles.....	E	13	5	73	850.90	1	25	...do....	40	Part of a grant for 16,000 acres.
Do.....	E	13	5	73	5,119.00	1	26	...do....	39	
Do.....	E	13	5	73	2,134.00	2	26	...do....	55	
Do.....	E	13	5	73	642.00	1	27	...do....	50	
Do.....	E	13	5	73	401.00	1	27	...do....	46	
Scipio, (colored man).....	1	32	5	376	25.00	6	27	...do....	44	Covered by Saspertas.
Sanchez, Francis P.....	1	119	4	161	187.97	3	27	...do....	53	
Do.....	1	119	4	161	24.63	3	27	...do....	61	Interferes with E. Hudnall and J. Summerral.
Sanchez, Francis P.....	1	119	4	161	16.19	3	27	...do....	62	Interferes with Hudnall and Summerral.
Do.....	1	119	4	161	1.12	3	27	...do....	64	Do.
Do.....	1	119	4	161	25.09	3	27	...do....	65	Interferes with A. Gay.
Sanchez, John M.....	A	36	5	57	280.50	3	27	...do....	42	
Do.....	A	36	5	57	750.00	3	27	...do....	43	Interferes with Kingsley.
Do.....	A	36	5	57	61.50	3	26	...do....	41	
Smith, Hannah.....	2	128	5	386	480.06	9	28	...do....	37	
Sanchez, Francis P.....	2	3	4	221	1,043.46	12	28	...do....	37	
Do.....	2	3	4	221	677.42	12	28	...do....	42	Interferes with J. Oliveros.
Do.....	2	3	4	221	99.10	12	28	...do....	38	Interferes with Kingsley.
Do.....	2	3	4	221	.90	12	28	...do....	43	Interferes with Kingsley and Oliveros.
Do.....	2	3	4	221	208.92	12	28	...do....	39	Interferes with J. Le Count.
Do.....	2	3	4	221	595.92	13	28	...do....	37	
Do.....	2	3	4	221	101.91	13	28	...do....	38	Interferes with J. Le Count.
Storr, Andrew.....	12	25	5	415	447.21	13	27	...do....	40	

Do	12	25	2	415	191.00	14	27	do	42
Sanchez, Francis P.	15	64	5	377	1,189.70	12	26	do	44
Do	15	11	5	420	109.80	5	29	do	67
Sabate, Pablo	1	160	4	281	62.82	4	29	do	65
Do	1	160	4	281	24.48	4	29	do	66
Do	1	160	4	281	51.25	4	29	do	67
Do	1	160	4	281	50.72	4	29	do	68
Do	1	160	4	281	10.75	4	29	do	69
Sanchez, F. P.	1	98	4	161	27.00	8	30	do	51
Do	1	25	4	150	121.11	4	29	do	46
Do	1	25	4	150	70.00	4	29	do	71
Do	1	25	4	150	74.37	4	29	do	72
Do	1	25	4	150	25.00	4	29	do	73
Do	1	25	4	150	92.80	4	29	do	74
Sanchez, F. X.	1	113	4	161	26.72	7	30	do	59
Sanchez, F. P.	6	5	4	285	3.22	4	29	do	58
Do	6	5	4	285	2.99	4	29	do	64
Do	6	5	4	285	567.15	5	29	do	63
Do	6	5	4	285	26.64	5	29	do	64
Summral, Joseph	1	20	4	159	109.20	3	27	do	57
Do	1	20	4	159	27.63	3	27	do	58
Do	1	20	4	159	169.30	3	27	do	59
Do	1	20	4	159	24.63	3	27	do	61
Do	1	20	4	159	16.19	3	27	do	62
Do	1	20	4	159	43.05	3	27	do	60
Sanchez, Nicolas, (heirs of)	1	57	4	279	120.00	3	29	do	44
Do	A	35	5	57	62.60	3	29	do	45
Do	A	35	5	57	163.62	3	29	do	46
Do	A	35	5	57	30.00	3	29	do	47
Do	A	35	5	57	3.00	3	29	do	48
Do	A	35	5	57	15.83	3	29	do	52
Sanchez, J. M.	1	67	5	377	200.00	16	33	do	40
*Sanchez, F. P.	15	2	5	420	345.41	2	25	N. and E.	51
*Do	15	2	5	420	420.88	2	25	do	50
*Do	15	2	5	420	29.12	3	25	do	51
Sasportas, Isaac	1	25	5	376	392.35	6	27	S. and E.	48
Do	1	25	5	376	10.72	6	27	do	49
Do	1	25	5	376	25.00	6	27	do	44
*Smith, Mary	1	9	4	159	487.94	2	26	N. and E.	46
*Sanchez, F. P.	1	33	4	159	12.70	1	28	S. and E.	48
*Do	1	33	4	159	89.20	1	28	do	50

Included in claim of Boseley.

Includes 3.22 acres of William Travis.

Interferes with William Travers.
Interferes with F. Richard.

Interferes with E. Hudnall.
Interferes with F. P. Sanchez.
Do.

Includes P. Salana, 120 acres; Hill, 30 acres.

Includes J. Gomez, 10.72 acres.
Includes Scipio, 25 acres.

Interferes with R. Sanchez.
Interferes with A. Atkinson.

LIST OF PRIVATE CLAIMS—Continued.

Confirmer.	State papers.				No. of acres.	Location.				Remarks.
	No.	Claim.	Vol.	Page.		Town.	Range	District.	Sec.	
*Sanchez, F. P.	1	33	4	159	333.25	1	28	S. and E.	51	
*Do					1.85	2	28	do	41	
*Sanchez, Raman.	1	22	4	159	20.30	1	28	do	46	
*Do	1	22	4	159	174.00	1	28	do	47	Interferes with A. Atkinson.
*Do	1	22	4	159	12.70	1	28	do	48	Interferes with F. P. Sanchez.
Segui, Bernardo	E	15	5	73	2,303.96	20	35	do	38	Interferes with D. Acosta.
Do	E	15	5	73	285.00	20	35	do	41	Interferes with W. Gaivin.
Do	E	15	5	73	108.17	20	35	do	44	
Do	E	15	5	73	330.75	20	35	do	45	
Do	E	15	5	73	8,438.82	20	34	do	42	
Do	E	15	5	73	413.62	20	34	do	40	Interferes with W. Gaivin.
Do	E	15	5	73	1,658.58	20	34	do	38	Interferes with D. Acosta.
Do	E	15	5	73	1,495.10	20	33	do	37	
Do	E	15	5	73	390.96	21	34	do	37	
Do	E	15	5	73	247.18	21	33	do	37	
Traverse, William	1	117	4	160	821.75	3	26	do	39	
Turner, Edward	B	1	5	69	640.00	1	27	do	18	
Todd, Lindsay	1	35	4	159	390.05	2	25	do	42	
Traverse, Thomas	6	4	4	245	298.51	7	29	do	37	
Traverse, William	7	1	4	285	424.20	7	29	do	43	
Traverse, Thomas	1	100	4	161	615.00	5	26	do	39	
Do	1	100	4	161	385.00	6	26	do	37	
Do	1	36	4	139	125.19	6	27	do	38	
Traverse, William	1	101	4	161	187.65	6	27	do	37	
Tucker, Andrew	1	27	4	159	180.00	2	28	N. and E.	42	
*Thorpe, John	1	163	4	281	252.00	3	23	do	44	
Todd, Lindsay	1	34	4	159	585.00	17	28	S. and E.	40	
Do	1	34	4	159	17.00	17		do	37	
Trope, Pedro	1	88	4	161	150.75	17		do	41	
Traverse, Thomas	6	2	4	285	1,185.94	5		do	62	
Do	6	2	4	285	3.53	5		do	66	Interferes with H. Smith, 3.66 acres.

Ferran, F. D.	1	22	5	376	221.40	1	28	N. and E.	43
Do	1	22	5	376	100.04	1	29	do	39
Traverse, William	15	10	5	420	227.70	4	29	S. and E.	57
Do	15	10	5	420	3.22	4	29	do	58
Do	15	10	5	420	16.66	4	29	do	59
Do	15	10	5	420	22.04	5	29	do	60
Do	15	10	5	420	32.13	5	29	do	61
Do	15	10	5	420	16.53	5	29	do	62
Do	15	10	5	420	26.64	5	29	do	64
Do	15	10	5	420	185.76	5	29	do	65
Triay, A. J.	1	27	5	376	1,516.60	12	26	do	40
*Uptergrove, John	1	80	4	279	280.00	2	27	N. and E.	45
Do	9	5	4	287					
Vaughan, John D.	1	115	4	161	779.00	2	27	do	38
Do	1	115	4	161	296.00	3	27	do	52
Do	1	124	4	161	250.00	2	28	do	15
Williams, William	15	4	5	420	288.81	15	29	S. and E.	39
Do	15	4	4	270	95.09	15	29	do	38
Do	15	4	4	270	278.80	16	29	do	37
Do	15	4	4	270	408.92	16	29	do	38
Do	15	4	4	270	99.07	16	29	do	39
Do	15	4	4	270	497.02	16	29	do	40
Wanton, E.	1	71	4	160	197.17	7	27	do	41
Weedman, Philip	1	38	4	159	150.00	7	28	do	37
Woodruff, Elihu	1	120	4	280	307.95	10	27	do	38
Wanton, E. M.	1	72	4	160	155.00	7	27	do	39
Do	1	110	4	161	300.30	8	28	do	37
Do	1	109	4	161	307.00	7	27	do	38
Williamson, J. P.	13	55	5	419	900.00	14	32	do	41
*Wales, Joseph	2	17	4	221	342.00	18	34	do	44
Do	2	17	4	221	386.00	18	34	do	45
Do	2	17	4	221	994.00	18	34	do	46
Do	2	17	4	221	510.00	19	34	do	38
Do	2	17	4	221	98.00	19	34	do	37
Do	2	17	4	221	6.38	18	34	do	47
Do	2	17	4	221	1.30	18	34	do	38
*Williams, Samuel	15	14	5	420	1,497.85	15	32	do	39
Do	15	14	5	420	1,718.40	15	33	do	39
*Wilds, Nathaniel	1	6	4	159	630.00	4	26	N. and E.	41
Do	1	143	4	281	196.00	3	26	do	39
Do	1	143	4	281	1.44	3	27	do	57

Interferes with F. P. Sanchez.
 Interferes with G. & O. Palmes.
 Interferes with Palmes & Miranda.
 Interferes with P. Miranda.

Interferes with W. Panton.
 Do. do.
 Interferes with T. Forbes.
 Do. do.

Conflicts with Sibbald.
 Conflicts with John Lowe.
 Do. do.
 Confirmed to J. M. Hernandez.

LIST OF PRIVATE CLAIMS—Continued.

Confirmer.	State Papers.				No. of Acres.	Location.				Remarks.
	No.	Claim.	Vol.	Page.		Town.	Range	District.	Sec.	
*Waterman, E.....	E	8	5	70	513.92	2	26	N. and E.	39	Conflicts with H. Yonge, 180 acres. Conflicts with Wilds, 196 acres.
*Do.....	E	8	5	70	196.00	3	26	...do....	39	
*Do.....	E	8	5	70	124.75	3	26	...do....	40	
*Do.....	E	8	5	70	2,845.00	3	26	...do....	41	
*Do.....	E	8	5	70	1,017.00	2	27	...do....	44	
*Do.....	E	8	5	70	11,760.00	3	27	...do....	50	
*Wingate, John.....	1	123	4	280	212.00	3	27	...do....	53	Included in Waterman's survey.
*Waterman, E.....	1	14	4	278	329.00	3	27	...do....	39	
*Do.....	1	40	4	159	178.00	3	27	...do....	38	
*Do.....	1	40	4	159	16.00	3	28	...do....	41	
*Walker, William.....	1	75	4	161	175.00	2	27	...do....	39	
Waterman, E.....	A	6	5	57	139.91	3	24	...do....	38	
Do.....	A	6	5	57	133.09	2	24	...do....	38	
*Woods, Francis.....	4	1	4	284	640.00	2	25	...do....	42	
Williams, William.....	15	5	4	420	70.20	16	33	S. and E.	39	Part of grant for 2,200 acres.
Do.....	15	5	4	270	109.80	17	33	...do....	39	
Walker, Robert.....	1	106	4	166	100.00	17	34	...do....	55	
Wilson, Samuel.....	A	91	5	59	38.00	1	26	...do....	47	Covered by survey of Joseph Fenwick. Do. Do.
Do.....	A	91	5	59	57.00	1	27	...do....	45	
Watson, Jonathan.....	B	11	5	69	500.70	1	26	...do....	40	Covered by survey of Sibbald.
Whitmore, Robert.....	1	17	5	376	160.00	3	27	...do....	46	
Wiggins, Elizabeth.....	2	13	5	383	317.35	13	27	...do....	39	Isabella, in the State papers.
Wrightman, Richard.....	12	24	5	415	15.78	14	27	...do....	45	
Do.....	12	24	5	415	166.67	14	28	...do....	38	
Do.....	12	24	5	415	19.25	15	28	...do....	37	
Worthington, Samuel.....	1	29	4	159	85.44	4	23	N. and E.	48	Not on township plat.
Do.....		70	4	160						
Wiggins, Job, estate of.....			3	687	1,029.82	10	27	S. and E.	48	Conflicts with Clarke and Atkinson.
Do.....			4	300	92.42	10	27	...do....	49	
Do.....			4	300	101.44	10	27	...do....	50	
*Walker, James.....	B	18	5	69	639.80	4	24	N. and E.	4	Conflicts with Clarke, Atkinson and Acosta. Donation.

Yonge, P. R.	2	4	4	393	2,048.10	2	29	N. and E.	42
Do	3	6	5	398	144.93	20	31	do	37
Do	3	6	5	398	2,140.67	21	30	do	38
Do	3	6	5	398	2,730.20	21	31	do	37
*Yonge, Henry	1	110	4	280	540.00	4	26	N. and E.	42
*Do	1	108	4	280	124.75	3	26	do	40
*Do	1	108	4	280	65.00	3	26	do	42
*Do	1	109	4	280	412.00	4	25	do	45
Anderson, Wm. P.	A	59	4	103	626.15	3	29	S. and W.	6
Alba, Peter, sen	C	11	4	106	2.25	2	30	do	45
Bunker, Jonathan	H	14	4	116	639.28	2	16	N. and W.	37
Batelongue, Vincent	H	2	4	117	379.45	1	28	do	44
Do	H	2	4	117	260.71	1	28	S. and W.	29
Bru, Lorenzo	A	10	4	102	362.12	1	28	N. and W.	40
Do	A	10	4	102	417.20	1	29	do	36
Bruster, James	H	16	4	117	638.68	5	29	do	39
Baron, Carlos	G	3	4	116	4.25	5	30	do	23
Do	G	3	4	116	670.17	5	30	do	37
Beeler, Charles	A	55	4	102	34.69	1	29	do	21
Do	A	55	4	102	205.72	1	30	do	30
Do	A	55	4	102	107.90	1	29	S. and W.	1
Do	A	55	4	102	39.75	1	30	do	1
Brosnham, John	A	77	4	102	2,870.00	1	30	N. and W.	Island.
Bonal, Francisco	2	4	122	131.81	2	30	do	37
Do	2	4	122	710.61	3	30	do	33
Do	2	4	122	37.62	2	31	do	41
Do	2	4	122	1,202.25	3	31	do	25
Barco, Manuel Del	A	38	4	102	650.50	1	28	S. and W.	10
Do	A	38	4	102	8.40	1	29	do	3
Bonifay, Joseph	A	68	4	102	752.80	1	28	do	19
Bonifay, Manuel	C	4	4	106	587.90	1	29	do	9
Do	A	31	4	102	264.53	1	29	do	11
Bonifay, Mariano	A	18	4	102	178.30	1	29	do	12
Bonifay, Manuel	A	30	4	102	207.76	1	29	do	13
Bonifay, Mariano	C	3	4	106	723.20	1	29	do	15
Brackenridge, Henry M.	A	52	4	102	460.91	2	29	do	34
Do	A	52	4	102	308.18	3	29	do	2
Brackenridge, Henry M., and R. K. Call.	A	51	4	103	539.94	3	29	do	3
Barrios, Francisco	A	49	4	103	700.00	3	31	do	15
Barela, Joaquin	A	79	4	103	866.00	3	31	do	17

Conflicts with Waterman, 120.55 acres.
Do. do.

LIST OF PRIVATE CLAIMS—Continued.

Confirmer.	State papers.				No. of acres.	Location.				Remarks.
	No.	Claim.	Vol.	Page.		Town.	Range	District.	Sec.	
Calhoun, Noah		7	4	157	320.00	5	28	N. and W.	37	Interferes with M. Hernandez.
Do.....		7	4	157	320.00	6	28	...do....	37	
Carrera de la Milan.....	C	5	4	106	1,392.04	} 1	30	...do....	} 25	
Collins, Antonio.....	A	26	4	102	641.91		2	31		
Do.....	A	26	4	102	26.00	3	31	...do....	37	
Carrera de la Milan.....		4	4	96	3,516.92	1	30	...do....	37	
Do.....		4	4	96	1,675.06	1	30	...do....	37	
Do.....		4	4	96	442.63	1	30	...do....	38	
Do.....		4	4	96	25.22	2	30	...do....	38	
Do.....		4	4	96	74.62	1	31	...do....	39	
Chebeaux, John	A	46	4	103	246.25	2	29	S. and W.	8	
Do.....	A	46	4	103	9.70	2	30	...do....	21	
Cobin, Antonio.....	B	4	4	105	682.03	1	30	...do....	44	
Do.....	B	4	4	105	6.65	2	30	...do....	57	
Cobin, Francis	B	6	4	105	685.32	1	30	...do....	26	
Cruzat, J., & Villiers, F. D.....	A	62	4	103	1,307.00	1	30	...do....	39	
Clapp, Roland.....	A	76	4	103	65.92	2	30	...do....	26	
Colman, Santiago.....	A	63	4	103	1,340.10	2	31	...do....	8	
Cazenave, Juan B.....	A	2	4	102	376.50	2	31	...do....	33	
Do.....	A	2	4	102	534.00	3	31	...do....	4	
Cruzat, Joseph Y.....	A	56	4	103	576.40	3	32	...do....	14	
Cazenave, Juan B	A	1	4	102	773.80	2	30	...do....	50	
Domingo, Juan.....	A	16	4	102	1,354.43	2	30	...do....	34	
Donelson, John.....	C	8	4	106	35.00	2	30	...do....	43	
Do.....	A	53	4	102	725.00	2	30	...do....	51	
Edgely, John, & Towns, Edwd..	B	3	4	105	741.98			N. and W.	7	
Do.....	B	3	4	105	464.08			...do....	33	
English, Thomas	C	9	4	106	679.74			S. and W.	28	
Do.....	C	9	4	106	640.00			...do....	36	
Falcon, Josefa.....	A	13	4	102	592.87	4	30	N. and W.	31	

Do.	A	13	4	103	73.95	4	31	..do.	44
Fernandez, John	A	60	4	103	80.96	1	28	S. and W.	16
Fontenas, Jany	A	15	4	102	780.00	1	30	..do.	48
Do.	A	15	4	102	14.54	2	30	..do.	7
Forbes, John, & Co			4	86					
Gonzales, Manuel	A	57	4	103	173.32	1	30	N. and W.	12
Do.	A	57	4	103	1,445.59	1	31	..do.	14
Gonzales, Celestino		2	4	157	1,268.52	4	31	..do.	37
Gaylor, John	H	3	4	117	210.48	4	32	..do.	38
Do.	H	3	4	117	429.28	5	32	..do.	37
Do.	H	3	4	117	3.22	5	33	..do.	37
Garcia, Antonio	A	7	4	102	405.72	1	27	S and W.	20
Do.	A	7	4	102	65.06	1	28	..do.	15
Granpera, Pablo, heirs of	A	27	4	102	397.90	2	30	..do.	54
Do.	A	27	4	102	555.50	2	31	..do.	35
Holmes, Elijah H.	H	9	4	117	638.58	5	29	N. and W.	40
Hawthorne, Nathaniel	H	15	4	117	639.20	5	29	..do.	41
Hadley, Benjami	H	4	4	117	320.00	5	32	..do.	38
Do.	H	4	4	117	320.00	5	33	..do.	38
Hernandez, Miguel		3	4	157	354.87	1	30	..do.	3
Do.		3	4	157	442.63	1	30	..do.	38
Do.	A	45	4	103	750.32	1	28	S. and W.	17
Inerarity, John	A	73	4	103	842.48	1	29	N. and W.	23
Do.	A	64	4	103	297.30	1	29	S. and W.	5
Inerarity, Juan	C	7	4	106	648.48	3	32	..do.	15
Kelker, Jacob	H	13	4	117	640.00	1	29	N. and W.	31
Keysa, Joseph C.	A	35	4	102	567.93	3	30	..do.	20
Do.	A	36	4	102	680.70	3	30	..do.	19
King, William	C	10	4	{ 116 } { 317 }	639.20	2	30	S. and W.	.9
Keysa, Joseph C.					2.59	2	30	..do.	24
King, William		26	4	317	644.00	2	30	..do.	28
Limbaugh, Christ'n, confirmed to	{ B	10	4	105	557.61	1	29	N. and W.	22
Call, R. K., and Inerarity, J.	{ B	10	4	105	8.44	1	29	..do.	37
Love, Alexander			5	427	343.30	1	31	S. and W.	41
Do.			5	427	1,456.50	2	31	..do.	36
Lopez, Francisco	A	24	4	102	45.24	2	21	..do.	30
Do.	A	34	4	102	634.00	3	31	..do.	5
Michelett, Henry					3,561.60	3	31	N. and W.	2
Do.					278.20	4	31	..do.	44
Manning, Drury	H	7	4	117	639.40	4	31	..do.	42

Special report.

Interferes with Granpera.
Special.

Rejected.

Interferes with J. Simpson.

LIST OF PRIVATE CLAIMS—Continued.

Confirnee.	State papers.				No. of acres.	Location.				Remarks.
	No.	Claim.	Vol.	Page.		Town.	Range	District.	Sec.	
Mitchell, Andrew.....	I	10	4	15	654.29	4	31	N. and W.	39	
McDavid, Joel A.....	H	5	4	117	639.20	4	32	...do....	39	
Maestre, Luis	A	33	4	102	78.20	1	27	S. and W.	19	
Do.....	A	33	4	102	568.58	1	26	...do....	4	
Michelett, Henry.....		25	5	661	1,284.00	3	30	N. and W.	32	
Do.....					306.60	4	30	...do....	38	
Do.....					332.48	4	31	...do....	46	
Mason, Littlebury	A	72	4	103	720.96	5	30	...do....	31	
Do.....	B	8	4	105	16.13	5	30	...do....	21	
Do.....	B	8	4	105	604.99	6	30	...do....	37	
Murrell, Maria R.....	A	39	4	102	505.82	1	28	S. and W.	5	
Do.....	A	39	4	102	1.50	1	29	...do....	2	
Do.....	A	39	4	102	32.00	1	28	N. and W.	38	
Morilla, Joseph Anto.....	C	6	4	106	7.24	1	29	S. and W.	18	
Do.....	C	6	4	106	654.28	2	29	...do....	2	
Maura, Joseph	A	80	4	103	7.00	1	30	...do....	51	
Do.....	A	80	4	103	680.00	2	30	...do....	4	
Michelett, Henry.....	A	22	4	102	349.00	2	30	...do....	40	
Morena, Francisco & Fernando..	A	29	4	102	3	30	...do....	
Mollere, Maria D.....	A	50	4	103	514.00	1	31	...do....	40	
Molina, Antonio.....	A	65	4	103	1,458.00	1	26	...do....	14	
Noriega, Joseph	A	8	4	102	162.83	1	29	N. and W.	31	
Do.....	A	3	4	102	682.00	1	30	...do....	26	
Nelson, Joseph	H	12	4	117	638.12	5	30	...do....	40	
Noriega, Joseph	C	1	4	106	184.34	1	29	S. and W.	2	
Do.....	C	1	4	106	468.25	1	30	...do....	2	
Do.....	C	1	4	106	4.25	1		N. and W.	28	
Do.....	A	5	4	102	153.65	1		S. and W.	3	
Do.....	A	5	4	102	197.00	1		...do....	15	
Do.....	A	6	4	102	263.40	1		...do....	8	
Do.....	C	2	4	106	689.30	1		...do....	6	

Name	Initials	Age	Sex	Value	Value	Value	Value	Value	Value
Do	A	47	4	103	103.00	2	30	N. and W.	30
Do	A	47	4	103	103.00	2	30	S. and W.	30
Palmer, Diego	A	4	4	102	329.38	1	28	N. and W.	44
Do	A	4	4	102	303.94	1	28	S. and W.	3
Philabert, Pedro	C	10	4	106	676.94	1	29	N. and W.	35
Parker, Needham	H	8	4	117	639.22	5	29	...do...	4
Pol, Antonio	A	32	4	102	35.70	1	28	...do...	39
Do	A	32	4	102	2.30	1	29	...do...	1
Do	A	32	4	102	600.00	1	28	S. and W.	6
Do	A	32	4	102	7.50	1	29	...do...	1
Pringle, Abraham	H	11	4	117	472.40	5	30	N. and W.	19
Do	H	11	4	117	166.77	5	31	...do...	38
Potts, Henry	B	9	4	105	677.19	5	30	...do...	22
Philabert, Pedro	A	37	4	102	625.40	1	29	S. and W.	4
Do	A	37	4	102	49.00	1	29	N. and W.	2
Pintado, Vincent S.	N	4	4	157	735.72	1	29	S. and W.	17
Do	N	4	4	157	1,115.50	1	30	...do...	33
Do	N	4	4	157	75.84	2	30	...do...	1
Do	N	4	4	157	148.72	2	29	...do...	3
Philips, Joseph, (heirs of)	A	74	4	103	456.20	2	29	...do...	5
Do	A	42	4	103	137.00	1	30	...do...	50
Palmer, Pablo	H	1	4	117	231.00	1	30	...do...	49
Do	H	1	4	117	406.60	2	30	...do...	5
Prieto, Felipe	A	28	4	102	784.26	2	30	...do...	26
Do	A	28	4	102	17.50	2	31	...do...	26
Pol, Josefa	B	7	4	105	676.98	2	31	...do...	37
Quiggles, Miguel	A	9	4	102	645.66	5 & 6	29	N. and W.	27
Rua, Juan de la	A	3	4	102	680.44	1	28	...do...	43
Rioboo, Thos. P.	A	67	4	103	705.16	1	28	...do...	42
Rua, Juan de la	A	23	4	102	393.79	2	31	...do...	40
Do	A	23	4	102	393.79	3	31	...do...	36
Ruez, John	A	14	4	102	536.08	4	31	...do...	38
Ramirez, Salvador	A	17	4	102	148.50	1	30	S. and W.	27
Do	A	17	5	661	157.83	1	30	N. and W.	27
Rivas, Paula	A	61	4	103	25.43	2	30	S. and W.	25
Sans, Ped. o.	A	11	4	102	659.98	1	28	N. and W.	41
Simpson, John	A	44	4	103	555.21	1	29	...do...	19
Do	A	44	4	103	8.44	1	29	...do...	37
Shackleford, Nathan	A	70	4	103	654.60	2	31	...do...	37
Do	A	71	4	103	682.85	2	31	...do...	38

Interferes with W. King.

Interferes with C. Lambrough.

LIST OF PRIVATE CLAIMS—Continued.

Confirmer.	State Papers.				No. of acres.	Location.				Remarks.
	No.	Claim.	Vol.	Page.		Town.	Range	District.	Sec.	
Starke, Turner.....	A	54	4	103	678.39	4	32	N. and W.	37	
Do.....	A	58	4	103	1,353.96	4	31	...do....	40	
Shackleford, Nathan.....	A	69	4	103	82.47	2	29	S. and W.	7	
Do.....	A	69	4	103	564.30	2	30	...do....	22	
Smith, Samuel N.....	A	19	4	102	381.25	1	30	...do....	28	
S. Maxent, Francisco M.....	A	75	4	103	698.00	2	30	...do....	42	
Thrift, Thomas.....	H	17	4	117	636.48	4	31	N. and W.	41	
Turvin, Phœbe Pyburn.....	B	5	4	105	1,354.54	1	30	S. and W.	21	
Torries, N.....					41.72	2	30	...do....	3	
Do.....					18.00	2	30	...do....	58	
Villeseca, Thomas.....	A	47	4	103	705.97	3	30	N. and W.	35	
Vitrian, Lorenzo.....	A	78	4	103	642.30	1	29	S. and W.	16	
Do.....	A	78	4	103	339.90	2	29	...do....	1	
Videil, Francisco.....	A	12	4	102	700.00	1	30	...do....	35	
Villiers, Ferman, Dr.....	B	2	4	105	114.50	1	30	...do....	46	
Do.....	B	2	4	105	347.56	2	30	...do....	10	
Villiers, Charles, Dr.....	B	1	4	105	720.23	2	30	...do....	9	
Weaver, Mary.....	A	24	4	102	280.88	5	29	N. and W.	43	
Do.....	A	24	4	102	279.60	5	30	...do....	39	
Do.....	A	25	4	102	622.55	4	30	...do....	30	
Do.....	A	25	4	102	61.82	4	31	...do....	45	
Wilkins, James.....	H	6	4	117	640.88	1	30	S. and W.	20	

Examined, compared with original, and approved.
OCTOBER, 1856.

JOHN WESTCOTT, Surveyor General, Florida

No. 9.

Estimate of appropriations required for the office of the Surveyor General of Florida, for the fiscal year ending 30th June, 1858.

Salary of surveyor general.....	\$2,000 00
For clerks in the surveyor general's office, as now by law allowed for the regular and necessary business of the office.....	5,300 00
Contingent expenses.....	500 00

JOHN WESTCOTT,
Surveyor General.

SURVEYOR GENERAL'S OFFICE,
St. Augustine, October 1, 1856.

ANNUAL REPORT OF THE SURVEYOR GENERAL OF CALIFORNIA.

UNITED STATES SURVEYOR GENERAL'S OFFICE,
San Francisco, California, September 30, 1856.

SIR: In conformity with instructions, I have the honor herewith to submit for the consideration of the department the following as my report of the surveying operations in California for the year ending this day, accompanied with a detailed map of the State, which has been projected with great care, and with the view to a correct delineation of the surveyed portions of the State.

In comparing the map presented with my last annual report with the one accompanying this, the variety and extent of the surveys of the year will be readily discovered.

The delay in the settlement of private claims, and consequently their segregation from the public domain, has prevented the subdivision of some of the most desirable lands of the State.

The surveying operations of the State have therefore been confined for the most part to sections where these claims do not interfere, and to valleys in the mountain districts, now so eagerly sought after by the settlers, in the northern portion of the State, adjacent to mining districts and towns; and in the southeastern portion, to the valleys and slopes desirable for stock ranches and agricultural purposes generally.

Connected with the *Humboldt* meridian, but little has been done. The rugged nature of the country, and the density of the timber in the most desirable districts, renders it impossible to procure the surveys at the present prices allowed by law. A line has been extended direct and by offsets, along and near the coast, north of *Humboldt* bay, to a point near the State line.

A few fractional townships have been surveyed where the immediate wants of the settlers enabled them to pay the deputy a sufficient amount beyond the price allowed by the government, to save him from losses.

In the *Mount Diablo* district, and connected with the meridian, more extensive surveys have been made. These have been confined, how-

ever, for the most part, to the valleys bordering on the upper Sacramento, Shasta, and Scott's rivers.

Considerable work has also been done adjacent to the Tejon Indian Reserve, and along the Tahichapa and Mono Lake valleys, connected with the eastern slope of the Sierra Nevada mountains.

The great portion of the surveys of the year have been done in the district of the State connected with the *San Bernardino* meridian. The standard and township lines have been extended over most of the tract of country lying between the said meridian and the east line of the State, and a portion of the innumerable valleys therein have been subdivided.

The following is a list of the contracts entered into with deputy surveyors since my last annual report :

Names of deputies.	Date of contracts.
R. B. Hays - - - - -	October 19, 1855.
Jos. A. Tivy - - - - -	October 19, 1855.
Ralph W. Norris - - - - -	October 19, 1855.
A. P. Green - - - - -	October 19, 1855.
S. C. Wiltse - - - - -	October 19, 1855.
H. M. C. Brown - - - - -	October 19, 1855.
John La Croze - - - - -	October 19, 1855.
H. A. Higley - - - - -	October 20, 1855.
Thomas S. Stephens - - - - -	October 20, 1855.
Henry Hancock - - - - -	October 7, 1856.
John La Croze - - - - -	March 1, 1856.
R. B. Hays - - - - -	March 1, 1856.
A. P. Green - - - - -	March 1, 1856.
H. S. Washburn - - - - -	March 1, 1856.
Wm. Denton - - - - -	March 10, 1856.
R. C. Mathewson - - - - -	March 15, 1856.
A. H. Jones - - - - -	March 21, 1856.
Henry Washington - - - - -	March 28, 1856.
H. A. Higley, - - - - -	April 11, 1856.
Nicholas Gray - - - - -	April 15, 1856.
A. W. Von Schmidt - - - - -	April 17, 1856.
John S. Murray - - - - -	April 25, 1856.
Julius Kellersberger - - - - -	May 3, 1856.
H. M. C. Brown - - - - -	May 14, 1856.
John La Croze - - - - -	June 12, 1856.
A. McNeill - - - - -	June 12, 1856.
A. P. Green - - - - -	June 13, 1856.
A. W. Von Schmidt - - - - -	Aug. 13, 1856.

The following deputies are now in the field, with their work partially completed :

C. C. Tracy, on the Upper Sacramento, the Shasta, and Scott's rivers.

Ralph W. Norris, west of San Bernardino meridian, and between the 1st standard north, (San Bernardino meridian,) and 8th standard south, (Mount Diablo meridian.)

R. B. Hays, between San Bernardino meridian and the Colorado river, and north of the base line.

A. P. Green, between the San Bernardino meridian and the Colorado, and south of the base.

H. M. C. Brown, between the San Bernardino meridian, and the Colorado, and south of the base.

John La Croze, between the San Bernardino meridian and the Colorado, and south of the base.

Henry Hancock, west of the San Bernardino meridian and between the base and 1st standard north.

H. S. Washburn, between the San Bernardino meridian and the Colorado, and south of the 3d standard south.

Wm. Denton, between the San Bernardino meridian and the state line, and north of the 3d standard north.

A. H. Jones, between the San Bernardino meridian and the Colorado, and north of the 2d standard north.

R. C. Matthewson, between the San Bernardino meridian and the Colorado, and south of the 3d standard south.

Henry Washington, between the San Bernardino meridian and the Colorado, and bordering of the base line.

A. W. Von Schmidt, on the east slope of the Sierra Nevada mountains, north and south of the Mount Diablo base line.

A. McNeill, on the Mariposa river, upper portion.

John C. Hedspeth, west of Mount Diabale meridian and north of the base.

The lines that have been surveyed during the year, the field-notes of which have been returned to this office, examined and approved, are as follows, to wit:

Base and meridian lines	-	-	-	-	180 miles.
Standard lines	-	-	-	-	530
Transverse and meander lines	-	-	-	-	364
Township lines	-	-	-	-	4,251
Section lines	-	-	-	-	20,198
Ranch survey	-	-	-	-	65
Aggregate miles during the year	-	-	-	-	25,588

The total number of miles surveyed and returned from the commencement of field operations in California to the present time, is 61,416 miles.

The operations of the office during the year consist in the examination of the platting of the field work that has from time to time been returned by the deputies, the copying of the field notes for the department, and for the several land offices of this State, and the preparation of a general map of the State, for the department, exhibiting the field operations. Also the recording of field-notes, the making and copying accounts, and the various duties incident to the custody of the old Spanish and Mexican archives, and the documents of

the late board of land commissioners to ascertain and settle the private land claims in California.

The following is an exhibit of a portion of the office work, more particularly connected with the draughting department, that has been performed since my last annual report.

Plats of standard and township exterior lines :

For this office - - - - -	53	
For transmission to the department - - - - -	53	
		106

Plats of whole and fractional township sub-divisions :

For this office - - - - -	402	
For transmission to the department - - - - -	402	
For the land office at Benicia - - - - -	38	
For the land office at Marysville - - - - -	77	
For the land office at Los Angeles - - - - -	232	
		1,151

Plats of the survey of ranchos finally confirmed :

For this office - - - - -	2	
For transmission to the department - - - - -	2	
		4

Total number of plats and maps made out, examined, compared and certified to during the year - - - - -	1,261
--	-------

The operations of the next year will consist in the completion of the work now under contract and some additional work connected therewith ; also, the survey of the several ranchos that will be finally confirmed by the courts or dismissed by the Attorney General, and the sub-division of the townships bordering on, and connected with, the lines of such ranchos.

Should the final confirmation and dismissal of these rancho cases be equal to what is confidently anticipated, the operations of this office, both for the field and office work, will be most onerous and extensive ; involving the expenditure of a large amount of money ; imparting, however, greater benefits and advantages to the interests of the government than the expenditures of any preceding year, inasmuch as a segregation will be made of the lands held under Spanish and Mexican titles and the contiguous public lands.

From the location and quality of these lands they are in demand, and their segregation will enable the government to dispose of them advantageously to the settlers.

In my last annual report I referred to the difficulties attending the execution of those provisions of the law prohibiting the survey and sale of " mineral lands," incident not only to the ambiguity of the term itself but to the character of the country also, and I suggest the necessity of more definite legislation.

The experience of another year has confirmed the views then expressed. I, therefore, again beg leave to call your attention to the subject, as one not only of interest to the government but of great importance to the mining as well as the agricultural interests of California.

As I then remarked, there are within what is termed the mineral

regions of the State numerous valleys of different areas, eminently fitted in all respects for agricultural purposes, and containing none of the precious metals, or, if any, in such minute quantities as to preclude the prospect of their ever being worked as mineral lands. Yet, from the character of the soil, the abundance of water and favorable location, their cultivation would be not only profitable to the settler but greatly beneficial to the mining population of the adjacent hills and gulches. Hence, some means, it is suggested, should be devised by which these valleys may become the permanent homes of many prosperous and industrious farmers.

From the commencement of the surveys in this State to the present time, it is believed that no lands denominated "mineral," according to the most liberal construction, have been sub-divided into sections. Had any such surveys been made, the miners, who ever watch their interests with a jealous care, as well as that portion of a community not personally interested, but ever ready to find fault with the official acts of public officers, would, doubtlessly, have long since brought to my notice any such violation of law, or instructions, on the part of deputy surveyors. Not a single complaint upon the subject having been made to this office, I think it can safely be asserted that no "mineral lands" have been sub-divided.

Very respectfully, your obedient servant,

JOHN C. HAYS,

United States Surveyor General, California.

Hon. THOMAS A. HENDRICKS,

Commissioner General Land Office, Washington, D. C.

UNITED STATES SURVEYOR GENERAL'S OFFICE,

San Francisco, California, September 30, 1856.

SIR: Agreeably to instructions I herewith present a schedule of estimates for the year.

Very respectfully, your obedient servant,

JOHN C. HAYS,

U. S. Surveyor General, California.

Hon. THOMAS A. HENDRICKS,

Commissioner General Land Office, Washington, D. C.

Schedule estimate of the expenses incident to the survey of the public lands and private land claims in the State of California, for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1858, to wit:

Salary of surveyor general	-	-	-	-	\$4,500
Salary of draughtsmen and clerks in the office of the surveyor general	-	-	-	-	35,000
For rent of office, wages of messenger, purchase of instruments, fuel, stationery, &c.	-	-	-	-	12,000
For extra aid in transcribing field-notes of surveys for preservation and for transmission to the seat of government					12,000
For survey of public lands and private land claims, including office and other expenses incident to the survey of the claims					350,000

ANNUAL REPORT OF SURVEYOR GENERAL OF OREGON.

SURVEYOR GENERAL'S OFFICE,
Salem, Oregon Territory, September 17, 1856.

SIR: Having forwarded, by mail, a synopsis prepared agreeably to your request, under date of April 1, 1856, I shall now, in compliance with the instructions accompanying that request, report the progress of surveying operations in Oregon since the last annual report from this office, with surveying and office estimates for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1858.

Statement No. 1 exhibits the condition of surveying contracts not closed on the first October, 1855, the date of last annual report from this office.

Statement No. 2 shows the contracts made for surveys of public lands since first October, 1855, and the progress of the work.

No. 3 contains an account of the original plats of exterior and subdivisional lines of townships, and of copies transmitted from this office to the general land office and to registers since October 1, 1855.

No. 4 refers to the preceding statement No. 3, and gives number of descriptive lists sent to registers.

No. 5 consists of estimates of surveying and office expenses for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1858.

In pursuance of their contract, No. 61, Messrs. Joseph W. and John Trutch, after having run the coast meridian to the first standard parallel north on the south boundary of township No. 5 north, found it impracticable to continue the line, as in a few chains further south it strikes an almost precipitous cliff, where the surveyors would have been compelled to offset. In a letter to this office, informing of this fact, they state that from the appearance of the mountains for 4 or 5 miles further south—as seen from the beach at Elk creek—they deemed it impossible to continue the coast meridian between ranges 9 and 10 west. The height of the cliff above referred to is estimated at 2,500 feet, and the mountain south of it rises to the probable height of 3,500 feet. As Mr. Samuel D. Snowden, a skillful and faithful surveyor, was (at the time of the receipt of these facts) about to take a contract for surveying the country round Tilamook bay, and information having been received at this office of the practicability of extending the base line across the coast mountain to the Pacific, I deemed it best to instruct Messrs. Trutch not to extend the meridian by an offset line south, and to let to Mr. Snowden the work of extending the base line to the Pacific, from which the coast meridian can be run north and south. He is now engaged in prosecuting the contract.

The surveys in southern Oregon have not progressed as they would have done in the absence of Indian hostilities. Surveyors stood aloof from taking contracts, and those who were in the field, in addition to other causes operating against them, were greatly hindered by an unusual deal of cloudy weather. When Indian difficulties began to decrease, the season had too far advanced to justify the commencement of any new contract.

In a communication from this office, under date of June 17, 1856,

plying to your letter of inquiry of April 26, 1856, I gave a number of reasons why skillful and reliable deputies cannot be had at rates lower than those now paid. The partial cessation of Indian hostilities, and, probably, early restoration of peace within our borders, may remove some of the causes which have heretofore prevented a reduction of those rates; but as these occur, others take their places. It is already found that, as in former years, the mines in the vicinity of Fort Colville, and especially those in southern Oregon, as Indian hostilities abate, draw off laboring men from every county in the Territory. The most exciting reports of success in the gold mines abound, and operates greatly against the deputy surveyor in employing assistants, except at prices which are not warranted even by the apparently high government prices of surveying in Oregon. The assistant asks more than the contractor himself can rationally expect to clear; he abandons the field, and perhaps both prefer to take their chances in "the diggings."

The public lands which remain to be surveyed in Oregon are probably rougher than any that have ever been sectionized in the Territories of the United States. Scarcely an unsurveyed township of land can be found without cañons, ravines, or precipitous hills; and most of the unsurveyed territory abounds in heavy timber, (often standing and fallen,) dense tangled undergrowth of bushes, briars, fern, and grass, in many places covering a rocky surface almost impassable. A deputy surveyor (Mr. J. W. Trutch) informs that, in a distance of 100 miles, in prosecuting contract No. 61, it was found impossible to convey provisions except by packing on the backs of men. Actual experience in the field of operations alone can give an adequate idea of the energy and perseverance indispensable to the successful prosecution of a surveying contract in such a region of country. To realize large profits from the best contract that can now be let is out of the question; and to reduce the rates of surveying would be ruinous to contractors, if any could be found to undertake the work.

Should the present expectation of peace with the Indians of Oregon be realized, and no unforeseen obstacles present themselves, I think the surveys of all the public lands west of the Cascade range of mountains, fit for residence and cultivation, may be completed by the end of the year 1858. If any should remain, they will consist of small fractional townships along bases of mountains, or in mountain gaps, or on mountain summits, apart and detached from the surveyed lands.

Believing that the proper period has arrived to authorize the extension of the Oregon surveying district east of the Cascade mountains, I renew the recommendation to that effect found in the last annual report from this office. The lands lying between the Cascade and Blue mountains, and particularly those on the Des Chutes, John Day, and Umatilla, are valuable and desirable, especially for stock farming. At the Dalles of the Columbia a business town (Dalles) has sprung up; a number of enterprising settlers have taken claims, and made considerable improvements. Settlements had also been made before the war at Whitman's Station and on the Umatilla. These will be soon resumed and increased in number; and on the positive restoration of peace, emigrants will repair to other points on the

banks of the Columbia and the above named rivers. The surveys should precede the settlements, and the amount necessary for this purpose is named in estimate E.

Since the 1st October, 1855, 374 settlers on the public lands have made their notifications, or presented final proofs, under former notifications, of the completion of their four years' continuous residence and cultivation; and thirty-two settlers have made partial final proofs.

The propriety of fixing some definite time for the final survey of private land claims is a subject worthy attention, on account of its importance in several respects. The last legislative assembly of this Territory directed the county superintendents of common schools to make sale of the school lands, on certain conditions deemed most favorable to promote the increase of the school funds of the several counties. The superintendents having selected many fractional sections and quarter sections, in lieu of lands taken by settlers before the government surveys, in sections sixteen and thirty-six, it is now found impossible to ascertain the areas of many of those fractions, because of the fact that adjoining claims remain unsurveyed, and the settlers in many instances manifest a reluctance to having their claims surveyed. In some cases this is attributable to a fear of taxation, and in others a hope of reduction in the prices of surveyors impels to the neglect. Consequently, many of those fractions cannot be sold. Desiring also to prosecute the surveys of private land claims, in order to effect the completion of claim-maps of townships to be forwarded to the General Land Office, it would be well to empower this office to say authoritatively to the settlers, that they must make their requests for surveys within a given number of days after the expiration of their four years' residence and cultivation, otherwise a deputy will be commissioned to make the necessary surveys without further delay, and at their expense.

I have heretofore, in letter of June 27, 1856, called your attention to the fact that the field-notes of claim surveys are in small books of unequal size, most of them poorly bound, or slightly held together by weak thread, paste or wafers. As these notes will, very probably, before the lapse of many years, be frequently referred to and examined, their preservation depends on their being copied into books of suitable size, and substantially bound like those now used in recording the field-notes of township surveys. It is hoped the proper authority and means will be furnished for this desirable purpose.

Since the commencement of claim surveys, seventy contracts have been entered into, under which the field-notes of 2,547 surveys of claims have been returned to this office. Of this number, 119 were examined and approved by J. B. Preston, esq., (former surveyor general;) 437 have been examined and approved since the office came under my charge. Of the remaining field-notes, 1,991 are without anything in this office to show that they have been examined and approved, although some 1,200 are platted, and may have been considered approved. Among these, it appears, are the field-notes of the surveys of 256 claims in eleven townships which were approved, and plats sent to the register at Oregon city by my predecessor, (Colo-

(Gardner.) The register has been requested to return the approved maps to this office. If he complies with the request, a certified copy will be returned at the earliest possible day. The following reasons induced this request. Should corrections be required on a re-examination of the notes, these maps would not be "conformable to the field-notes of the surveys on file in this office," and it is not desirable to increase maps of the same surveys, nor to lose the labor bestowed on those heretofore plotted, because of the absence of certificates of approval, though they may have been examined and *considered* approved. Again, certificates have been issued conformable to these plats, and any errors that should be corrected on examination of the notes would make it necessary to issue other certificates conformable to the approved notes.

A number of the 1,735 field-notes of claim surveys were examined and considered approved by my predecessor, as appears by letters to deputies who performed the work; but as there is no other evidence that they were examined and approved, and important errors are not unfrequently met with, it has been deemed necessary that a thorough and careful re-examination and approval should be made without delay, and that hereafter the original field-notes may bear the written approval of the surveyor general.

The seeming discrepancy between "the whole number" of claims mentioned in the last annual report and what is given above, will disappear in view of the fact that the former was not presented as the positive "whole number," but as "about" the number "surveyed and to be surveyed." The number given in this report consists of claims actually surveyed, as ascertained from the field-notes on file in this office.

There are in this office a journal and a ledger which had been used previous to 30th September, 1855, to record accounts of receipts and disbursements incidental to surveying the public lands in the district of Oregon, and of disbursements for compensation of surveyor general and clerks in his office; but since the third quarter of 1855 no entries have been made in those books. And of the first month of the second quarter of the current year there are no vouchers on file, consequently I am not in possession of proper means to present an exhibit of the receipts and disbursements pertaining to this office except since the day I came into it, viz: the 30th April, 1856. This will be given in the report for quarter ending 30th instant.

JOHN S. ZIEBER,
Surveyor General of Oregon.

Hon. THOS. A. HENDRICKS,
*Commissioner General Land Office,
Washington City, D. C.*

Statement showing the condition of surveying contracts not closed October 1, 1855.

No.	Date.	Contractors' names.	Description of lines.	Estimate.	Miles run.	Price.	Gross amount.	Returned for examination.	Remarks.
50	1854. Dec. 22	J. W. & J. Trutch ..	First standard parallel north, ranges 2 and 3 west. Exterior and subdivided lines of townships 2 north, range 2 west; 3 north, range 3 west; 4 north, range 2 west; 2 north, range 3 west; 1 north, range 4 west; 2 north, range 4 west; 6 north, range 1 west; 5 north, range 6 west; 6 north, range 2 west, 7 north, range 2 west; 5 north, range 3 west; 6 north, range 3 west; 7 north, range 3 west, 8 north, range 3 west.	810	<i>Mls.chs. lks.</i> 807 16 32	\$12 00	\$9,686 45	1,162 37	Notes returned and examined; maps made and sent forward; examiner now in the field.
51	1855. Jan. 31	Zenas F. Moody....	Exterior lines of townships 11 south, range 1 east; 12 south, range 1 east; 13 south, range 1 east. Subdivisions of townships 11 south, range 1 east; 12 south, range 1 east; 13 south, range 1 east; 14 south, range 1 east, and 9 south, range 2 east.	365	152 04 57	12 00	1,824 68	218 96	Notes returned and examined; maps made and sent forward; no examination in the field.
52	Feb. 17	Harvey Gordon & C. T. Gardner.	Exterior and subdivided lines of townships 21 south, range 4 west; 22 south, range 4 west; 23 south, range 4 west; 24 south, range 4 west; 25 south, range 4 west; 21 south, range 5 west; 22 south, range 5 west; 22 south, range 6 west; 22 south, range 7 west; 22 south, range 8 west; 22 south, range 9 west.	475	560 06 00	12 00	6,720 91	806 40	Do. do.
53	...do.....do.....do.....do.....do.....do.....	Fourth standard parallel south, ranges 9,	160	40 00 00	20 00	800 00	160 00	Do. do.

54	Feb. 19	Wells Lake & Geo. W. Hyde.	10, 11, and 12 west, to the Pacific ocean and coast meridian, through 19 south, range 11 and 12 west. Exterior lines of townships 31 south, range 5 west; 32 south, range 5 west; 31 south, range 6 west; 32 south, range 6 west; 33 south, range 6 west; 34 south, range 6 west; 35 south, range 6 west; 36 south, range 6 west; 36 south, range 7 west; 37 south, range 7 west; 38 south, range 7 west; 39 south, range 7 west; 40 south, range 7 west; 37 south, range 8 west; 38 south, range 8 west; 39 south, range 8 west; 40 south, range 8 west; 40 south, range 9 west. Subdivisions of townships 32 south, range 5 west; 32 south, range 6 west; 33 south, range 6 west; 34 south, range 6 west; 35 south, range 6 west; 36 south, range 6 west; 35 south, range 7 west; 36 south, range 7 west; 37 south, range 7 west; 38 south, range 7 west; 39 south, range 7 west; 40 south, range 7 west; 37 south, range 8 west; 38 south, range 8 west; 39 south, range 8 west; 40 south, range 8 west; 41 south, range 8 west; 40 south, range 9 west.	1140 882 40 54	12	10,590 08	1,270 81	Notes received and examined; maps forwarded; no examination yet made: will be made when hostile Indians leave.
55	Feb. 16	A. N. Armstrong...	Exterior lines of townships 6 south, range 7 west; 6 south, range 8 west; 5 south, range 8 west. Subdivisions of townships 6 south, range 7 west; 6 south, range 8 west, and 5 south, range 8 west.	160 166 69 34	12 00	2,002 40	240 29	Notes received and examined; maps made and sent forward; no examinations made in the field.
56	Mar. 1	A. R. Flint	Exterior lines of townships 26 south, range 3 west; 26 south, range 4 west; 27 south, range 4 west; 30 south, range 6 west; and subdivisions of townships 26 south, range 3 west; 26 south, range 4 west; 27 south, range 4 west, and 30 south, range 6 west.	400 284 53 59	12 00	3,416 04	409 92	Do. do.

A—Continued.

No.	Date.	Contractors' names.	Description of lines.	Estimate.	Miles run.	Price.	Gross amount.	Returned for examination.	Remarks.
57	April 12	Dennis Hathorn	Exterior lines of townships 11 south, range 7 west; 26 south, range 7 west; 27 south, range 7 west*; 28 south, range 8 west; 29 south, range 8 west; 29 south, range 9 west; 30 south, range 9 west. Subdivisions of townships 11 south, range 7 west; 26 south, range 7 west; 28 south range 8 west; 29 south, range 8 west; 29 south, range 9 west; 30 south, range 9 west.	600	<i>Mls. chs. fks.</i> 340 33 05	\$12 00	\$4,084 96	490 20	Notes received and examined; maps made and sent forward; examination made; work found correct. *This township has been mapped, but is retained for the correction of a few errors.
58	April 18	Nathaniel Ford	Exterior lines of townships 33 south, range 1 west; 33 south, range 1 east; 34 south, range 2 east; 35 south, range 2 east; 35 south, range 3 east; 40 south, range 2 east; 41 south, range 1 east; 41 south, range 2 east. Subdivisions of townships 33 south, range 1 east; 33 south, range 1 west; 34 south, range 2 east; 35 south, range 2 east; 35 south, range 3 east; 40 south, range 2 east; 41 south, range 1 east; 41 south, range 2 east.	600	464 22 02	12 00	5,571 30	668 55	Notes received and examined; maps made and forwarded; no examination in field on account of hostile Indians.
59	May 1	L. F. Cartee	Exterior lines of townships 1 north, range 4 east; 1 south, range 4 east; 2 south, range 4 east; 3 south, range 4 east; 4 south, range 4 east; subdivisions of townships 1 north, range 4 east; 1 south, range 4 east; 2 south, range 4 east; 3 south, range 4 east; 4 south, range 4 east.	300	293 22 24	12 00	3,579 34	422 32	Work examined in the field found correct; supplemental account forwarded.

00	July 19	A. N. Armstrong & T. H. Hutchinson.	The 6th standard parallel south, through ranges 1, 2, and 3 west, and exterior and subdivisional lines of townships 23, 24, and 25 south, ranges 1, 2, and 3 west.	396	12 00	Notes received and examined; maps not yet made; errors pointed out to be corrected in the field. Partially examined in field; errors found, and further examination deferred till danger from Indians cease.
----	---------	--	--	-----	-------	--

JOHN S. ZIEBER, Surveyor General of Oregon.

SURVEYOR GENERAL'S OFFICE,
Salem, Oregon Territory, September 17, 1856.

No. 2.—Statement showing the contracts made for surveys of public lands since October 1, 1855, and the progress of the work.

No.	Date.	Contractors' names.	Description of lines.	Estimate.	Miles run.	Price.	Gross amount.	Retained for examination.	Remarks.
61	1856. Jan. 19	J. W. and J. Trutch.	The line between townships Nos. 7 and 8 north, through to the coast, and the coast meridian south 24 miles, and north to Columbia river.	72	Mls.chs.ks. 62 31 58	\$20 00	\$1,247 89	249 58	Not completed; examiner in the field.
62	...do...do.....	First standard parallel north, through ranges Nos. 10 and 11 west; exterior and subdivisional lines of townships Nos. 8 north, ranges Nos. 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, and 11 west; No. 7 north, ranges Nos. 9 and 10 west; No. 6 north, ranges Nos. 10 and 11 west; No. 5 north, ranges Nos. 10 and 11 west; and 4 townships contiguous thereto.	900	Nearly completed; notes expected in a few days; examiner in the field.

No.	Date.	Contractors' names.	Description of lines.	Estimate.	Miles run.	Price.	Gross amount.	Returned for examination.	Remarks.
63	Mar. 22	Dennis Hathorn....	Second standard parallel south, range 8 west; exterior and subdivisional lines 13 south, ranges 7 and 8 west; third standard parallel south, ranges 7 and 8 west; exterior and subdivisional lines 13 and 14 south, ranges 7 and 8 west; exterior and subdivisional lines 24 and 25 south, range 7 west; exterior and subdivisional lines 22 south, range 3 west; exterior and subdivisional lines 2 south, ranges 5 and 6 east.	250	<i>Mts. chs. lks.</i>	The notes of standard parallel and exterior lines returned and approved. Notes of subdivisional lines returned and examined, but not approved.
64	Apl. 22	A. W. Patterson....	Fourth standard parallel south, through range 1 east; the exterior and subdivisional lines of township No. 19 south, range 1 east; exterior and subdivisional lines of township No. 20 south, ranges Nos. 1, 2, and 3 east; exterior and subdivisional lines of township No. 21 south, ranges Nos. 2 and 3 east.	150	In course of prosecution.
65	July 16	Aleck C. Smith.....	The subdivisional lines of townships Nos. 1, 2, and 3 south, range No. 5 west; townships Nos. 7, 8, 9, and 10 south, range No. 6 west.	138	These townships had been returned fractional by J. Hunt and D. Murphy under their contracts, Nos. 30 and 36. Present contractor in the field.
66	July 2	Samuel D. Snowden	Base line west to Pacific ocean, and coast meridian through townships 1, 2, and 3 north, and 1 and 2 south.	53	20	In course of prosecution.
67	...do...do.....	Exterior and subdivisional lines of townships Nos. 1, 2, and 3 north, and 1, 2, and 3 south, ranges Nos. 9 and 10 west.	720	Do. do.

Statement of original plats of exterior and subdivisinal lines of townships and of copies transmitted from this office to the General Land Office, and to registers, since October 1, 1855.

Contractors' names.	No. of contracts.	Date of contract.	Date of voucher.	Lines.	Townships.	Range.	Land districts.	No. of maps.			
								Original.	Sent to commissioners.	Sent to registers.	Total.
A. W. Patterson...	48	Oct. 18, 1854	June 23, 1856	Exterior	20 and 21 south.....	2 and 3 west.....	Umpqua	1	1	2
Do.....	48do.....do.....	Subdivisinal.	20 and 21 south.....	12 and 3 west.....do.....	6	6	6	18
J. W. & J. Trutch..	50	Dec. 22, 1854	Dec. 11, 1855	1st standard parallel.	North	2 and 3 west.....	Williamette..				
Do.....	50do.....do.....	Exterior	2, 3 and 4 north ...	2 westdo.....				
Do.....	do.....do.....do.....	2 north	3 westdo.....				
Do.....	do.....do.....do.....	1 and 2 north.....	4 westdo.....	1	1	2
Do.....	do.....do.....do.....	5 north	2 and 3 west.....do.....				
Do.....	do.....do.....do.....	6 north	1, 2 and 3 west..do.....				
Do.....	do.....do.....do.....	7 north	2 and 3 west.....do.....				
Do.....	do.....do.....do.....	8 north	3 westdo.....				
Do.....	do.....do.....	Subdivisinal.	1 and 2 north.....	4 westdo.....	2	2	2	6
Do.....	do.....do.....do.....	2 north	3 westdo.....	1	1	1	3
Do.....	do.....do.....do.....	2, 3, 4, 5, 6 & 7 north	2 westdo.....	6	6	6	18
Do.....	do.....do.....do.....	5 north	3 westdo.....	1	1	1	3
Do.....	do.....do.....do.....	6 north	1 westdo.....	1	1	1	3
Do.....	do.....do.....do.....	6, 7 and 8 north...	3 westdo.....	3	3	3	9
Zenas F. Moody...	51	Jan. 31, 1855	Jan. 16, 1856	Exterior.....	11, 12 and 13 south..	1 east.....do.....	1	1	2
Do.....	51do.....do.....	Subdivisinal.	11, 12, 13 & 14 south.	1 east.....do.....	4	4	4	12
Do.....	51do.....do.....do.....	9 south	2 east.....do.....	1	1	1	3

SECRETARY OF THE INTERIOR.

Contractors' names.	No. of contracts.	Date of contract.	Date of voucher.	Lines.	Townships.	Range.	Land districts.	No. of maps.			
								Original.	Sent to commissioners.	Sent to registers.	Total.
H. Gordon & C. T. Gardner.	52	Feb. 17, 1855	Feb. 19, 1856	Subdivisions..	21 south	4 and 5 west.....	Umpqua	2	2	2	6
Do.....	52do.....do.....do.....	22 south	4, 5, 6, 7, 8 and 9 west.do.....	6	6	6	18
Do.....	52do.....do.....do.....	23, 24 and 25 south..	4 westdo.....	3	3	3	9
Do.....	53	Feb. 17, 1855	Nov. 8, 1855	4th standard parallel and coast meridian.	} 19 south	8, 9, 10, 11, & 12 west.do.....	} 1	} 1	}	} 2
				Exterior.....		31 and 32 south.....	11 and 12 west....				
W. Lake & G. W. Hyde.	54	Feb. 19, 1855	Mar. 31, 1856	Exterior.....	31 and 32 south.....	5 westdo.....	} 1	} 1	}	} 2
Do.....	54do.....do.....do.....	31, 32, 33, 34, 35 & 36 south.	6 westdo.....				
Do.....	54do.....do.....do.....	36, 37, 38, 39 and 40 south.	7 westdo.....				
Do.....	54do.....do.....do.....	37, 38, 39 & 40 south.	8 westdo.....	} 1	} 1	} 1	} 3
Do.....	54do.....do.....do.....	40 south	9 westdo.....				
Do.....	54do.....do.....	Subdivisions..	32 south	5 westdo.....	1	1	1	3
Do.....	54do.....do.....do.....	32, 33, 34, 35 and 36 south.	6 westdo.....	5	5	5	15
Do.....	54do.....do.....do.....	35, 36, 37, 38, 39 & 40 south.	7 westdo.....	6	6	6	18
Do.....	54do.....do.....do.....	37, 38, 39, 40 and 41 south.	8 westdo.....	5	5	5	15
Do.....	54do.....do.....do.....	40 south	9 westdo.....	1	1	1	3

	1854	1855	1855	1856	1856	1856	1856	1856	1856	1856	1856	1856	1856
Do	54												
Do	55												
Do	55												
Do	55												
Addison R. Flint	56	Mar. 6, 1855	Dec. 18, 1855	Exterior	26 south	26 and 27 south	4 west	Umpqua	1	1			2
Do	56	do	do	do	do	do	do	do	1	1			2
Do	56	do	do	do	do	do	do	do	1	1			2
Do	56	do	do	Subdivisional	26 south	26 and 27 south	3 west	do	1	1	1		3
Do	56	do	do	do	do	do	do	do	2	2	2		6
Do	56	do	do	do	do	do	do	do	1	1	1		3
Do	56	do	do	do	do	do	do	do	1	1	1		3
Dennis Hathorn	57	Apr. 12, 1855	July 7, 1856	Exterior	11, 26, and 27 south	28 and 29 south	7 west	do	1	1			2
Do	57	do	do	do	do	do	do	do	1	1			2
Do	57	do	do	do	do	do	do	do	1	1	1		3
Do	57	do	do	Subdivisional	11 south	26 south	7 west	Willamette	1	1	1		3
Do	57	do	do	do	do	do	do	Umpqua	1	1	1		3
Do	57	do	do	do	do	do	do	do	2	2	2		6
Do	57	do	do	do	do	do	do	do	2	2	2		6
Nathaniel Ford	58	Apr. 18, 1855	July 7, 1855	Exterior	33 south	33 and 41 south	1 west	do	1	1			2
Do	58	do	do	do	do	do	do	do	1	1			2
Do	58	do	do	do	do	do	do	do	1	1			2
Do	58	do	do	do	do	do	do	do	2	2	2		6
Do	58	do	do	do	do	do	do	do	4	4	4		12
Do	58	do	do	do	do	do	do	do	1	1	1		3
Do	58	do	do	do	do	do	do	do	1	1	1		3
Do	58	do	do	do	do	do	do	do	1	1	1		3
Lafayette Carter	59	May 1, 1855	Dec. 31, 1855	Exterior	1 north	1, 2, 3, and 4 south	4 east	Willamette	2	2	2		6
Do	59	do	do	do	do	do	do	do	1	1			2
Do	59	do	do	do	do	do	do	do	1	1	1		3
Do	59	do	do	do	do	do	do	do	1	1	1		3
J. W. & J. Trutch	61	Jan. 19, 1856	Aug. 16, 1856	2d standard parallel and coast meridian thro'	5, 6, 7, and 8 north.	6, 7, 8, 9 & 10 west.	do	do	1	1			2
				Amended maps	35 and 36 south	2 west	do	do	2	2	2		6

SECRETARY OF THE INTERIOR.

JOHN S. ZIEBER,
Surveyor General of Oregon.

SURVEYOR GENERAL'S OFFICE,
Salem, O. T., September 17, 1856.

No. 4.

[By a re-arrangement of the table in statement No. 3, since forwarding the synopsis, the number of subdivisational township maps sent to the land offices at Oregon city and Winchester is given under that letter.]

Of descriptive notes of townships 155 lists have been made, of which 127 were sent to the registers and receivers at Oregon City and Winchester.

JOHN S. ZIEBER,
Surveyor General of Oregon.

SURVEYOR GENERAL'S OFFICE,
Salem, O. T., Sept. 17, 1856.

 No. 5.

Estimate for the year ending June 30, 1856.

For compensation of surveyor general and clerks in his office - - - - -	\$7,300 00
For transcribing field notes - - - - -	3,000 00
And if the suggestion to that effect be adopted—	
For transcribing field notes of final surveys of private land claims - - - - -	2,000 00
For office work - - - - -	4,500 00
For rent, fuel, stationery, and incidental expenses -	3,000 00
For continuing surveys of standard parallels in this district to the Pacific ocean, say 100 miles, at \$20 per mile - - - - -	2,000 00
For surveying exterior and subdivisational lines of 20 townships, say 1,440 miles, at \$12 per mile -	17,280 00
And if Congress extend the surveys in Oregon east of the Cascade mountains, there will be required—	
For new meridian and base lines, say 150 miles, at not exceeding \$25 per mile - - - - -	3,750 00
For surveying standard parallels, say 150 miles, at not exceeding \$20 per mile - - - - -	3,000 00
And for surveying exterior and subdivisational lines of 50 townships, say 3,600 miles, at not exceeding \$12 per mile - - - - -	43,200 00

JOHN S. ZIEBER,
Surveyor General of Oregon

SURVEYOR GENERAL'S OFFICE,
Salem, O. T., Sept. 17, 1856.

ANNUAL REPORT OF THE SURVEYOR GENERAL OF WASHINGTON.

SURVEYOR GENERAL'S OFFICE,
Olympia, Washington Territory, September 20, 1856.

SIR: I have the honor to make my annual report of the condition of the surveying district in my charge.

Herewith I send papers, numbered 1 to 5, exhibiting the contracts made with deputy surveyors, the work performed, and the condition of the contracts.

Estimates for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1858, were forwarded on the 29th August.

Since the date of my last annual report, September 20, 1855, a complete and disastrous change has taken place in the Indian relations in Washington Territory.

In October, 1855, this office had in the field eight surveying parties in full and active employment. A simultaneous outbreak of the Indian tribes inhabiting the greater portion of the Territory, and numerous murders committed by the savages upon our people, rendered it impossible for but one party to remain in the field.

As there were but about 1,700 white male inhabitants in the Territory, and 2,000 Indian warriors were absolutely in arms, it became a matter of vital necessity for all able-bodied men to bear arms in assisting the feeble garrisons of regular troops, who were stationed at three widely separate posts.

I entered the service myself, and, as adjutant general to the volunteer forces raised by acting Governor Mason, did duty for several months in that capacity. Three of the young gentlemen of my office, viz: Messrs. George R. Bright, Edward Gibson, and J. I. Lodge, enlisted, and made a campaign of three months with credit. Almost all the deputy surveyors, and the men of their surveying parties, enlisted.

As there were 2,000 Indians known to be hostile, and some 8,000 others wavering, active and decided measures were necessary to subdue those in arms, and overawe those who were apparently only awaiting the chance to join whichever party proved the stronger. One thousand men were raised, and subsisted for nine months by the patriotism and devotion of the scanty population.

The families occupied the five villages and forty-three block-houses of the Territory, and almost every farm was abandoned.

Such was the state of affairs at the opening of spring. By June last, the enemy occupying the region east of Puget's Sound, and west of the Cascade range, were effectually subdued, and the usual employments of life resumed.

I have been able to make but four contracts, however, from the above causes, together with the topographical difficulties of the country, which do not admit of profit to the deputy surveyor at the present prices.

In the vast region lying east of the Cascade mountains, several powerful tribes of Indians are still at war with our countrymen. If a permanent peace should be made with them, it will throw open a

large extent of excellent country, and require a new base and meridian line to be established. From present appearances, I am of opinion that public surveys can be extended to the eastward of the Cascades during the summer of 1857. The gold mines at Fort Colville were profitably worked until the miners were dispersed by the Indian war; the few who remained in that remote region had profitable employment. Many of the inhabitants will return there when the savages are subdued.

In conformity with instructions from your office, I stopped the public surveys short of the outlines of lands claimed by the Hudson Bay and Puget Sound agricultural companies, and notified their agents that proof of the extent of their boundaries, duly authenticated, was required from the committee of the honorable Hudson Bay Company, in London. Nothing has been received at this office in answer, except an acknowledgment of the request made by the chief factor, Dugald McTavish, esq., at Fort Vancouver, and a statement from that officer, of the message of your office having been sent to the honorable committee in London.

Many American farmers are upon those claims, and are making permanent improvements. I strongly urge upon your office such steps as may be requisite to define the extent of those claims, which are becoming more valuable every year by the labor and enterprise of our countrymen alone. I would recommend that commissioners be appointed to survey the northern boundary, and define the claims of the British companies here at the same time.

I send herewith triplicate copies of a map of that part of Washington Territory lying west of the Cascade range of mountains. It has been compiled with great care, and contains much new and interesting material of the most authentic character. Wherever scouts or marches of either the regular or volunteer forces penetrated the country east of Puget's Sound, a topographical reconnoissance was made, and the results carefully collected in this office, and the work platted into this map I send you. I would recommend that this map be lithographed, and a few hundred copies sent for distribution here.

The thorough scouring of the forests lying between Puget's Sound and the Cascade mountains developed large bodies of excellent land, and some small prairies, but the general character of the country is unbroken forests of the greatest magnitude of vegetation. Firs, admirably adapted for masts and spars; cedars, frequently ten feet in diameter and two hundred feet high, and an undergrowth of shrubs bearing edible and not unfrequently delicious berries, which constitute a considerable part of the food of the aborigines. The ferns, lacams and other plants, produce edible roots; and the numerous rivers, taking their rise upon the western slope of the Cascades, and flowing eastward to Puget's Sound, abound in excellent salmon.

Military posts are established now upon a line of frontier some thirty miles to the eastward of Puget's Sound, and within that space the country is beginning to be reoccupied by settlers.

In my estimates heretofore forwarded I have affixed a price for the extension of the meridian and standard parallel lines, and the township and sub-divisional lines, some twenty-five or thirty per cent.

above the rates now allowed by law, as the few prairies of any extent, not occupied or claimed by foreign corporations, are already surveyed. I am convinced that honest and capable deputy surveyors cannot find remunerative occupation for their labor in the country now occupied by settlers upon either shore of the sound. As all articles command a price greater than in California or Oregon, and the exceeding difficulty of the country prevents provisions being transported, except on men's shoulders, (horses not being able to get over the immense undecomposed trunks of firs and cedars,) the cost of making surveys in Washington Territory, in the forests, at least, is greater than in any other part of the United States.

I released from their contracts all deputies who were driven from their work by the violence of the Indians; and although I have offered them the same or other work this summer, but two have accepted.

The work examined by this office, for which accounts were made out and transmitted, exhibits a remarkable degree of accuracy in the closings, considering the difficulties of the country.

The office work is fully up, and we have a full and complete copy of all surveys completed north of the Columbia river by the Oregon office. Copies of all the township plats, meridian and standard parallel lines, surveyed before the organization of this office, have been transmitted to you, and copies of all the township plats have been sent to the office of the register and receiver of the land office at Olympia, Washington Territory.

The donation law expired by limitation in December, 1855. Nearly fifteen hundred claims have been notified upon by settlers. As suggested in my last annual report, I am sure I reflect the general opinion of the inhabitants when I state that an extension of the law granting lands upon the conditions of "continuous residence and cultivation," is not desired.

The expense of private surveys, the incomplete character of the title, and the difficulties of transfers of property under inchoate title, under the gift of lands under such conditions of questionable value; could the titles be made complete, upon production of proof of value of improvements, or could the actual settler purchase his land at the minimum price after it was surveyed, it would be more convenient to a large class than the onerous condition of continuous residence.

The pre-emption laws are acceptable to many of the inhabitants; and when the Territory recovers from the prostration of the Indian war, which has cost the inhabitants, in value of time, provisions, munitions, horses and supplies, some two millions, a rapid development of the resources of the country may be confidently expected.

Excellent coal in inexhaustible quantities exist. At Billingham bay, near the northern boundary, mines are worked with success, and cargoes shipped to San Francisco.

Fine bodies of rich lands have been explored in the valleys of the Chehalis river, which falls into the Pacific at Gray's harbor.

The bottom lands of the Nisqually, the Puyallup, Snohamish, White and Green rivers, the waters of which all disemboque in

Puget's Sound, are broad, rich, and of the most fertile quality, but densely timbered.

The navigation of Puget's Sound is perfect at all seasons of the year.

East of the Cascades and upon the Columbia river, and upon its northern tributaries, are also large bodies of excellent lands.

Settlements extend along the western shore of Puget's Sound, from Hood's canal to the Straits of Juan de Fuca, consisting of several large saw-mills; at several villages, the inhabitants of which have opened farms and annually load many vessels with timber and spars for California, China, Sandwich Islands, and Australia. I propose surveying this country by extending the standard parallels, east and west, from the Willamette meridian.

Except for a short distance from the shore the country is unexplored to the west.

The Cowlitz river, running southwardly and falling into the Columbia, also drains fertile lands, and has many of the oldest settlers in the Territory among its prosperous farmers.

Although the degree of latitude is so far north, yet the winters are mild, and cattle thrive during the whole year upon the prairies or in the timber. The climate is greatly modified by the prevailing winds and the general set of a vast "gulf stream" traversing the Pacific from the southwest, and breaking upon the shores of Washington Territory.

The cereal grains, except Indian corn, flourish admirably here; the wheat being of excellent quality and abundant in quantity.

I propose to extend the guide meridian from the seventh standard parallel north to the 49° of north latitude, and run the standard parallel west from it to the eastern shore of the Sound, as soon as I can find competent surveyors who will undertake the work.

The third standard parallel has been extended from the Willamette meridian to Shoalwater bay, on the Pacific, and developed a fine body of fertile lands upon the Willapa river. A settlement is made on Shoalwater bay.

Several claim surveyors have been appointed by this office. As a general rule, the county surveyors have been selected for this employment. The closings upon the pre-established sectional surveys exhibit honest and efficient work by the deputy surveyors of both the Oregon and Washington offices.

Descriptive notes of the field work done in Washington Territory before the organization of this office and since have been made out and transmitted, with the township plats, to the office of the register and receiver.

I am, sir, very respectfully, your obedient servant,

JAMES TILTON,

U. S. Surveyor General for Washington Territory,
 COMMISSIONER OF GENERAL LAND OFFICE,
Washington city.

Estimate of expenses incident to the surveys of the public lands in the Territory of Washington for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1858.

Object of appropriation.	Amount.
Salary of surveyor general	\$3,000 00
Salary of regular clerks in the office of the surveyor general.....	4,000 00
Rent of office, wages of messenger, purchase and repairs of instruments, fuel, stationery, &c.	3,000 00
For extra clerks, employed in the office of the surveyor general, in tran- scribing field-notes for preservation at the seat of government.	4,000 00
For 400 miles of meridian and standard parallel lines, at \$28 per mile....	11,200 00
For 2,000 miles of township, subdivisional, and meander lines, at \$17 per mile.....	34,000 00
Total.....	59,200 00

Very respectfully, your obedient servant,

JAMES TILTON,
Surveyor General, Washington Territory.

OFFICE SURVEYOR GENERAL, WASHINGTON TERRITORY,
Olympia, W. T.; September 20, 1856.

Statement showing the amount, character, and present condition of the field work undertaken in this Territory since September 20, 1855, (the date of last annual report,) together with the field work that was uncompleted at that date.

No.	Date of contracts.	Name of deputy.	Work embraced in the contract.	Remarks.
1	1855. April 24	Justin Chenoweth -----	Townships 1, 2, and 3 north, range 3 east ; townships 2 and 3 north, range 2 east ; township 1 north, range 4 east.	Townships 2 north, range 2 east ; 1 north, ranges 3 and 4 east completed. Maps, field-notes, and accounts transmitted. Deputy released from balance of contract on account of Indian war.
2	April 24	Phillips & Strickler -----	Townships 16 north, range 1 east ; and 17, 18, 19 and 20 north, range 2 east	Maps, field-notes, and accounts transmitted, except township 20 ; returns of which have lately been made and approved.
4	May 22	Levi Farnsworth -----	Third standard parallel north, from ranges 3 west to Gray's harbor.	Map, field-notes, and account transmitted.
5	May 22	John J. Lowell -----	Townships 13 and 14 north, range 4 west ; townships 13 and 14 north, range 10 west ; townships 13 and 14 north, range 11 west ; township 14 north, range 12 west.	Townships 13 north, range 4 west, and 13 and 14 north, range 10 west, completed. Maps, field-notes, and accounts transmitted. Balance of contract cancelled by death of the deputy.
8	June 4	Thomas F. Berry -----	Townships 17, 18, 19, and 20 north, range 3 west.	Townships 17 & 20 and extension of 18 and 19 completed. Maps, field-notes, and accounts transmitted. Subdivisions of 18 and 19 have been returned, but require corrections in the field.
9	June 5	David F. Byles -----	Townships 15 and 16 north, range 4 west, and 14, 15, and 16 north, range 5 west.	Townships 15 and 16 north, range 4 west, completed. Maps, field-notes, and accounts transmitted. The remaining townships are returned, but require correction in the field.
10	June 5	D. H. & M. O. C. Murphy -----	Township 16 north, range 1 west ; townships 13, 14, 15, and 16 north, range 2 west. Townships 14 and 15 north, range 1 east ; townships 14, 15, and 16 north, range 2 east.	Completed ; maps, field-notes, and accounts transmitted. Deputy released from this part of contract on account of the Indian war.

12	July 27	A. W. Patterson	Extension of townships 6, 7, & 8 north, range 1 west, and townships 6, 7, and 8 north, range 2 west, and 12 north, range 1 east.	Township 12 north, range 1 east, completed. Map, field-notes, and accounts transmitted. Deputy released from balance of contract on account of Indian war.
14	Aug. 3	John J. Lafitte	Willamette meridian from 6th to 7th standard parallel north.	Completed; map, field-notes, and accounts transmitted.
15	Aug. 7	Phillips & Strickler	Township 25 north, ranges 3, 4 and 5 east.	Except subdivision of 25, range 5, complete. Maps, field-notes, and accounts transmitted. Deputy released from balance of contract on account of Indian war.
16	Aug. 18	John K. Hall.	7th standard parallel north, through ranges 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5 east.	Completed; map, field-notes, and account transmitted.
17	Sept. 14	W. B. D. Newman,	Township 13 north, range 5 west	No returns made; unfinished on account of Indian war.
18	Sept. 22	John K. Hall.	Extension of townships 29 and 30 north, ranges 2 and 3 east.	Completed; map, field-notes, and account transmitted.
19	1856. March 19	Strong & Van Vleet	Townships 6, 7, and 8 north, ranges 1 and 2 west; townships 7 and 8 north, range 3 west; and township 8 north, ranges 4, 5, and 6 west.	Deputies engaged upon the work. No returns made.
20	July 14	Isaac W. Smith & Thos. F. Berry.	6th standard parallel north, east to Seattle and west to Hood's canal.	Returns made, examined, and approved.
21	July 14	Smith & Berry	Townships 21 and 22 north, range 1 west, and Bainbridge island.	Deputies engaged upon the work. Returns of survey of Bainbridge island in office waiting examination.
22	Sept. 16	Dominick Hunt	Fractional townships 31 and 32 north, range 1 west, and 31, 32, 33, and 34 north, ranges 1 and 2 east, being the northern portion of Whedby's island.	Deputy just commenced work.

Very respectfully, your obedient servant,

JAMES TILTON, *Surveyor General, Washington Territory.*

OFFICE SURVEYOR GENERAL, *Olympia, Washington Territory, September 20, 1856.*

No. 2.

Statement of original plats made and copies transmitted to the General Land Office and district office since September 20, 1855, (date of last annual report.)

Character of plat.	No. of copies.				When transmitted
	Original.	Gen. Land Office.	District office.	Total.	
Township 12 north, range 1 east.....	1	1	1	3	October 6, 1855.
Seventh (7th) standard parallel north, through ranges 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5 east.....	1	1	2	October 20, 1855.
Willamette meridian, from 5th to 6th standard parallels north.....	1	1	2	November 30, 1855.
Township 13 north, range 2 west.....	1	1	1	3	December 3, 1855.
Exterior lines of townships 17, 18, and 19 north, range 2 east.....	1	1	2	December 5, 1855.
Exterior lines of townships 29 and 30 north, ranges 2 and 3 east.....	1	1	2	January 11, 1856.
Townships 16 north, range 1 east; 17 north, range 2 east; and 25 north, range 3 east.....	3	3	3	9	January 11, 1856.
Township 25 north, range 4 east.....	1	1	1	3	January 26, 1856.
Exterior lines of township, 25 north, range 5 east..	1	1	2	January 26, 1856.
Third standard parallel north, through ranges 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, and 10 west to Gray's harbor.....	1	1	2	January 26, 1856.
Townships 14, 15, and 16 north, range 2 west, and 16 north, range 1 west.....	4	4	4	12	February 6, 1856.
Township 17 north, range 3 west.....	1	1	1	3	February 15, 1856.
Township 13 north, range 4 west.....	1	1	1	3	March 14, 1856.
Township 20 north, range 3 west.....	1	1	1	3	April 4, 1856.
Exteriors of townships 18 and 19 north, range 3 west.....	1	1	2	April 4, 1846.
Seventh standard parallel north, second copy.....	1	1	May 2, 1856.
Townships 2 north, range 2 east, and 1 north, ranges 3 and 4 east.....	3	3	3	9	May 16, 1856.
Townships 13 and 14 north, range 10 west.....	2	2	2	6	May 21, 1856.
Townships 15 and 16 north, range 4 west.....	2	2	2	6	June 20, 1856.

Very respectfully,

JAMES TILTON, *Surveyor General, Wash. Ter.*

OFFICE SURVEYOR GENERAL WASHINGTON TERRITORY,
Olympia, September 20, 1856.

No. 3.

A list of township, meridian, and standard parallel lines, the original field-notes of which are on file in this office, which have been recorded, (with the exception of the fourth and fifth standard parallels northwest of the Willamette meridian, which were recorded previous to September 20, 1855,) transcribed, and transmitted to the General Land Office since September 20, 1855, the date of the last annual report.

Character of field notes.	When transmitted.
Township 12 north, range 1 east	Oct. 6, 1855
Fifth standard parallel north through ranges 3, 4, 5, and 6 west.....	do.....
Fourth standard parallel north through ranges 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, and 11 west.	Oct. 13, 1855
Willamette meridian from fifth to sixth standard parallel north.....	Nov. 30, 1855
Township 13 north, range 2 west.....	Dec. 3, 1855
Exteriors of townships 17, 18, and 19 north, range 2 east, and fourth standard parallel north through range 2 east.....	Dec. 5, 1855
Townships 16 north, range 1 east; 17 north, range 2 east; 25 north, range 3 east, and exteriors of 29 and 30 north, ranges 2 and 3 east.....	Jan. 11, 1856
Guide meridian from sixth to seventh standard parallels north.....	Jan. 26, 1856
Seventh standard parallel north through ranges 5, 4, 3, 2, and 1 east.....	do.....
Township 25 north, range 4 east, and exteriors of 25 north, range 5 east.....	do.....
Third standard parallel north through ranges 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, and 10 west.....	do.....
Townships 16 north, range 1 west, and 14, 15, and 16 north, range 2 west..	Feb. 6, 1856
Township 17 north, range 3 west	Feb. 15, 1856
Township 13 north, range 4 west	Mar. 14, 1856
Township 20 north, range 3 west, and exteriors of townships 18 and 19 north, range 3 west	April 4, 1856
Township 1 north, ranges 3 and 4 east, and 2 north, range 2 east.....	May 16, 1856
Townships 13 and 14 north, range 10 west	May 21, 1856
Townships 15 and 16 north, range 4 west	June 20, 1856

Very respectfully,

JAMES TILTON,

Surveyor General Washington Territory.

OFFICE SURVEYOR GENERAL WASHINGTON TERRITORY,
Olympia, W. T., September 20, 1856.

No. 4.

A list of the field-notes of surveys in Washington Territory executed under contracts with the surveyor general of Oregon Territory, which are recorded in this office, and have been transmitted to the General Land Office since September 20, 1855.

Character of field notes.	When transmitted.
Exteriors of townships 2, 3, 4, and 5 north, range 1 east.....	July 3, 1856
Exteriors of township 1 north, range 2 east.....do.....	do.....
Exteriors of townships 13, 14, 15, and 16 north, range 3 west.....do.....	do.....
Subdivisions of townships 14, 15, and 16 north, range 3 west.....do.....	do.....
Subdivisions of townships 3, 4, and 5 north, range 1 east.....do.....	do.....
First standard parallel north, range 1 east.....do.....	do.....
Third and fourth standard parallels north, range 3 west.....do.....	do.....
Exteriors of townships 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, and 20 north, ranges 1 and 2 west.....	Aug. 16, 1856
Second, third, fourth, and fifth standard parallels north, through ranges 1 and 2 west.....do.....	do.....
Fourth and fifth standard parallels north, range 1 east.....do.....	do.....
Willamette meridian, from base line to Puget's Sound.....do.....	do.....
Extension of Willamette meridian to Carr's inlet.....do.....	do.....

Very respectfully, your obedient servant,

JAMES TILTON,

Surveyor General Washington Territory.

OFFICE SURVEYOR GENERAL WASHINGTON TERRITORY,
Olympia, W. T., September 20, 1856.

No. 5.

A list of townships of which descriptive notes have been furnished the register and receiver at Olympia, Washington Territory, since September 20, 1855.

Townships.	Range.
Nos. 3, 4, 5, 12, 16, 17, 18, 19, and 20 north.....	1 east.
No. 17 north.....	2 east.
No. 25 north.....	3 east.
No. 25 north.....	4 east.
Nos. 4, 11, 12, 17, 18, 19, and 20 north.....	1 west.
Nos. 11, 12, 13, 17, 18, 19, and 20 north.....	2 west.
Nos. 14, 15, 16, and 17 north.....	3 west.

Very respectfully, your obedient servant,

JAMES TILTON,

Surveyor General Washington Territory.

OFFICE SURVEYOR GENERAL WASHINGTON TERRITORY,
Olympia, W. T., September 20, 1856.

ANNUAL REPORT OF THE SURVEYOR GENERAL OF NEW MEXICO.

SURVEYOR GENERAL'S OFFICE,
Santa Fé, New Mexico, September 30, 1856.

SIR: In compliance with your letter of April 11, 1856, I have the honor to submit the following report of the operations of this office from October 1, 1855, to September 30, 1856.

Herewith you will please find schedule No. 1, and abstract No. 2, of all the papers relating to land, and of the grants found in the archives of the Territory at this place, which have been arranged and classified as well as the nature of the documents will allow, and now form a portion of the permanent records of this office.

The following private land claims have been examined and approved by this office, and copies of the original documents and translations, together with the testimony presented by the claimants, and schedule documents accompanying each claim, are herewith transmitted, in triplicate, for their confirmation by Congress, viz:

No. 1. Preston Beck, jr., land in San Miguel county.

No. 2. Town of Tomé, land in Valencia county.

No. 3. Francisco Martinez, land in Rio Arriba county.

Since the establishment of this office, thirteen claims of Pueblo Indians, to lands granted to them by the government of Spain, have been examined and approved by this office; copies of the grants and testimony are herewith transmitted, marked from No. 4 to No. 16, for the action of Congress in the premises.

The Pueblos of Taos, Santa Clara, Tesuque, San Ildefonso, and Pojoaque have been deprived of, and have lost the original title deeds to, their lands, and testimony has been taken by this office to show that the title deeds to their lands were in existence, and their loss fully accounted for.

The following are the names of the Pueblos whose claims have been examined and approved, viz:

James, in Santa Ana county.

Acoma, in Valencia county.

San Juan, in Rio Arriba county.

Picuris, in Taos county.

San Felipe, in Bernalillo county.

Pecos, in San Miguel, country.

Cochiti, in Santa Ana county.

Santo Domingo, in Santa Ana county.

Taos, in Taos county.

Santa Clara, in Rio Arriba county.

Pojoaque, in Santa Fé county.

Ildefonso, in Santa Fé county.

Pojoaque, in Santa Fé county.

The Pueblo Indians are constantly encroached upon by Mexican citizens, and in many instances the Indians are spoiled of their best lands; I therefore respectfully recommend that these claims be confirmed by Congress as speedily as possible, and that an appropriation

be made to survey their lands, in order that their boundaries may be permanently fixed.

I also respectfully recommend that an appropriation be made for establishing the boundaries, and to survey the private land claims in this Territory, which have been approved by this office and confirmed by Congress.

Sixteen private land claims have been filed in this office since the date of the last report, making in all thirty-one private land claims filed since January 1, 1855, abstracts of which, in triplicate, marked R, are herewith accompanied.

The remaining twenty-eight land claims filed and not acted upon by this office, are ready for examination so soon as the claimants present the testimony upon which they rely in support of their claims.

Twenty donation claims have been filed since the date of the last report from this office, making a total of thirty-two donation claims filed, abstracts of which, in triplicate, marked S, are herewith transmitted.

SURVEYS.

Since the first day of October, 1855, 549 miles of range, base, and correction lines have been run under the contracts made with John W. Garretson, esq., dated March 9, and May 9, 1855; which contracts have been completed, the field notes thereof filed in this office, examined and approved, and the plats of survey constructed and transmitted to the General Land Office.

Under the contract made with the same individual on the third day of April, 1856, 125 miles of range, base, and correction lines, and 265 miles of subdivision and township lines have been run, and the field notes filed in this office. Under this contract 23 miles of range, base, and correction lines, and 431 miles of subdivision and township lines yet remain to be executed, which, owing to the inclemency of the weather, and the difficulty of procuring hands, will not be completed until on or about the 10th day of October next, instead of the 20th of September, as before stated. Upon the completion of this contract, the appropriation for public surveys in this Territory will be entirely consumed.

Herewith accompanying you will please find sketch maps, in triplicate, marked T, showing the amount of surveys executed in this Territory up to this date.

Statement U and V, herewith forwarded, exhibit estimates of appropriations required for the support of the two branches of this office for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1858.

In reply to your circular of April 26, 1856, concerning a reduction in the price paid for surveying the public lands in this district, I have to state that it is believed that no *competent* and *skillful* surveyors can be obtained in this Territory at lower rates than are now being paid for that service.

I again urge the propriety of so amending the law establishing this office as to create a board of commissioners to examine and decide upon the private land claims in this Territory, similar to the one

established in California, as it will be impossible to attend to all parties who have business with the office, when the duties of both branches become pressing, with that promptness and despatch which is necessary.

I again call your attention to the propriety of amending the donation law of this Territory, in the manner suggested in the previous report from this office.

Forty-six official letters have been received, and fifty-eight have been forwarded from this office in the year ending on this date.

Very respectfully, your obedient servant,

WM. PELHAM,
Surveyor General of New Mexico.

Hon. THOMAS A. HENDRICKS,
Commissioner of the General Land Office.

SCHEDULE NO. 1.

Schedule of documents relating to grants of land by the Spanish and Mexican governments, forming the archives of the Surveyor General of New Mexico.

No.	Year.	Index No.	Name.	Nature of document.
1	1682	728	Ruiz, Clara.....	Suit.....
2	1685	1	Avalos, Pedro.....	Grant.....
3	1692	816	Santa Fé, corporation of.....	Petition.....
4	1693	422	Lucero de Godoy, Juan.....	Grant.....
5	1693	476	Madrid, Roque.....	do.....
6	1695	477	Martin, Domingo.....	do.....
7	1696	2	Archuleta, Ana de.....	do.....
8	1696	817	Santa Cruz, town of.....	do.....
9	1696	818	Santa Cruz, inhabitants of.....	Petition to abandon.....
10	1697	3	Almazan, Francisco Anaya.....	Conveyance.....
11	1697	423	Lucero de Godoy, Joaquin.....	Grant.....
12	1697	729	Rodriguez, Sebastian.....	Conveyance.....
13	1697	730	Rodriguez, Sebastian.....	do.....
14	1698	4	Aguilera, Antonio de.....	do.....
15	1698	76	Barrera, Domingo de.....	do.....
16	1699	411	Jorge, Isabel.....	Grant.....
17	1699	819	Silva, Antonio.....	do.....
18	1699	820	Silva, Antonio.....	Conveyance.....
19	1700	5	Aspeita, Ines de.....	do.....
20	1700	270	Flores, Lucas.....	do.....
21	1700	289	Garcia, Francisco.....	do.....
22	1700	400	Herrera, Tomas de.....	Grant.....
23	1700	638	Nieto, Cristobal.....	do.....
24	1700	678	Palomino, Tomas.....	Conveyance.....
25	1700	924	Trujillo, Mateo.....	do.....
26	1700	925	Trujillo, Mateo.....	do.....
27	1701	6	Archiveque, Juan de.....	do.....
28	1701	77	Beytia, Diego de.....	do.....
29	1701	230	Duran, de Chaves.....	Gift.....
30	1701	424	Lobato, Bartolomé.....	Conveyance.....
31	1701	425	Lobato, Bartolomé.....	do.....
32	1701	480	Martin, Alejo.....	do.....

REPORT OF THE
SCHEDULE—Continued.

No.	Year.	Index No.	Name.	Nature of document.
33	1701	731	Rodelo, Juan	Will
34	1701	732	Ríva, Miguel Garcia de la	Conveyance.
35	1701	821	Sandoval, Pedro de	do
36	1701	926	Trujillo, Diego de	Grant
37	1701	927	Trujillo, Juan	Conveyance.
38	1702	290	Garcia, Martin	do
39	1702	291	Garcia de Noriega, Maria	Grant
40	1702	292	Gonzales, Diego	Conveyance.
41	1702	928	Trujillo, Juan	do
42	1703	293	Gonzales, Isabel	do
43	1703	294	Griego, Maria and Juana	Suit
44	1703	638	Ogama, Magdalena de	do
45	1703	822	Sanchez, Jacinto	Grant
46	1703	929	Trujillo, Diego, et al	Suit
47	1703	930	Trujillo, Mateo	do
48	1704	78	Barela, Juan and Cristoval	do
49	1704	155	Casados, Francisco Lorenzo	Conveyance.
50	1704	295	Gonzales, Antonio Baz	Suit
51	1704	426	Lopez, José	Gift
52	1704	481	Montoya, Antonio	Suit
53	1704	733	Real de Aguilar Alfonso	Grant
54	1704	734	Rodriguez, Pedro Felipe	Will
55	1704	823	San Juan, church of	Suit
56	1704	1027	Vargas Zapata, Diego de	Will
57	1705	296	Gonzales, Diego	Conveyance.
58	1705	735	Roibal, Ignacio de	Grant
59	1706	297	Garcia, Francisco	do
60	1706	401	Herrera, Teresa de	Suit
61	1706	482	Martines, Felix	Conveyance.
62	1706	483	Martines, Felix	do
63	1707	156	Casabaga, Lorenzo de	Grant
64	1707	427	Lobato, Bartolomé	Conveyance.
65	1707	484	Martin, Sebastian	do
66	1707	639	Nieto, Simon	do
67	1707	824	Sanchez, Bartolomé	Grant
68	1707	931	Tenorio, Miguel	Conveyance.
69	1707	1028	Velasco, Micaela de	do
70	1708	157	Casados, Francisco José de	Grant
71	1708	298	Giltomé, José Manuel	Conveyance
72	1708	299	Garcia de Noriega, Juan	Grant
73	1708	485	Madrid, Roque	Suit
74	1708	486	Madrid, Roque	do
75	1708	487	Montoya, Antonio	Conveyance
76	1708	488	Madrid, Roque	Compromise
77	1708	679	Pacheco, Silvestre	Conveyance
78	1709	158	Casados, Lorenzo de	do
79	1709	932	Trujillo, Pascual	do
80	1709	1017	Uribarri, Juan de	Grant
81	1709	1018	Uribarri, Juan de	do
82	1710	159	Chaves, Clara de	Gift
83	1710	231	Dominguez, Josefa	Suit
84	1710	428	Leyba, Antonio	Gift
85	1710	640	Nava, Maria de	do
86	1710	736	Ramos, Ursula	Conveyance.
87	1710	825	Sena, Bernardino de	do
88	1710	826	Sena, Bernardo de	Gift
89	1710	1019	Uribarri, Juan de	Grant
90	1710	1020	Uribarri, Juan de	do
91	1710	1029	Vigil, Francisco Montes	do

SCHEDULE—Continued.

No.	Year.	Index No.	Name.	Nature of document.
92	1711	160	Cordero, Juan Ruiz	Conveyance
93	1711	300	García de Noriega, Juan	do
94	1711	489	Montoya, Salvador	do
95	1711	490	Martin, Francisco	Suit
96	1711	827	Sanchez, Bartolomé	Grant
97	1712	161	Cordova, Lazaro de	Dower
98	1712	301	Griego, Nicolas, et al	Trespass
99	1712	302	Gonzales, Juan	Conveyance
100	1712	402	Hernandez, Ana Magdalena	Suit
101	1712	429	Lopez, Juan	Conveyance
102	1712	491	Moraga, Antonio de	Suit
103	1712	492	Martin, Manuel	Conveyance
104	1712	737	Rios, Juana de los	do
105	1712	738	Rodriguez, Lorenzo	do
106	1712	933	Trujillo, José	do
107	1713	162	Carillo, Miguel	do
108	1713	163	Casados, Francisco Lorenzo	do
109	1713	164	Casados, Francisco Lorenzo	do
110	1713	165	Cruz, Juana de la	Gift
111	1713	258	Egujoso, Francisca	Conveyance
112	1713	303	García de la Riva, Juan	do
113	1713	304	Giltome, José Manuel	do
114	1713	403	Hurtado, Juan Paez	do
115	1713	430	Lujan, Juana	do
116	1713	431	Lujan, Josefa	do
117	1713	493	Mendoza, Gonzalo Hoyode	Grant
118	1713	494	Montoya, Clemente	Will
119	1713	739	Rael de Aguilar, Alfonso	Grant
120	1713	828	Sanchez, Pedro	Conveyance
121	1713	934	Trujillo, Mateo	do
122	1714	166	Coca, Miguel de	Grant
123	1714	167	Crispin, Cristobal, et al	do
124	1714	305	Godines, Antonio	Will
125	1714	167	Griego, Nicolas	Grant
126	1714	432	Lozada, Antonio de	Will
127	1714	433	Lobato, Bartolomé	Grant
128	1714	495	Misguia, Francisca de	Will
129	1714	496	Moraga, Antonio de	Suit
130	1714	497	Montoya, Andres	Conveyance
131	1714	498	Montes de Oca, Pedro	do
132	1714	639	Ortiz, Nicolas	do
133	1714	640	Ortiz, Nicolas	Grant
134	1714	641	Ortiz, Nicolas	Gift
135	1714	829	Salazar, Antonio de	Grant
136	1715	7	Atienza, Juan de	Suit
137	1715	8	Arias de Quiros, Diego	Grant
138	1715	232	Dominguez, Benito	do
139	1715	306	Gutierrez, Alejo	Compromise
140	1715	307	Gutierrez, Alejo	Conveyance
141	1715	308	Gutierrez, Alejo	do
142	1715	309	Guejosa, Francisca Antonia de	Grant
143	1715	310	Gallegos, Antonio	Inventory of estate
144	1715	311	Gonzales, Ysabel	Suit
145	1715	434	Lopez, Antonio	Conveyance
146	1715	435	Lobato, Bartolomé	Grant
147	1715	436	Lobato, Bartolomé	do
148	1715	499	Montes, Vigil Francisco	Gift
149	1715	680	Perea, Maria de	Will
150	1715	830	Serna, Cristobal de la	Grant

REPORT OF THE
SCHEDULE—Continued.

No.	Year.	Index No.	Name.	Nature of document.
151	1715	831	Santiesteban, Salvador de	Conveyance
152	1715	832	Serrano, Domingo Martin	do
153	1715	935	Torres, Nicolas de	do
154	1716	9	Aguijosa, Francisca Antonia	do
155	1716	10	Arias do Quiros, Diego	do
156	1716	11	Apodaca, Juan Esteban de	do
157	1716	79	Baca, Simon	do
158	1716	80	Bejar, Simona	do
159	1716	168	Cordero, Juan Ruiz	do
160	1716	169	Corporation of Santa Fé	Grant
161	1716	233	Dominguez, Ana Maria	Dower
162	1716	234	Duran, Antonia	Conveyance
163	1716	312	Gomez, Petrona	do
164	1716	313	Garcia de las Rivas, Juan	do
165	1716	314	Garcia, Francisco	Grant
166	1716	315	Gutierrez, Antonio	do
167	1716	404	Hurtado, Juan Paez	Conveyance
168	1716	437	Lobato, Bartolomé	Grant
169	1716	500	Montoya, Antonio	do
170	1716	501	Martin, Francisco	do
171	1716	502	Madrid, Lorenzo	Will
172	1716	503	Martinez, Antonio	Grant
173	1716	641	Nuñez, Tomas	Conveyance
174	1716	740	Romero, Santiago	do
175	1716	741	Romero, Francisco Javier	Grant
176	1716	833	Sanchez, Bartolomé	do
177	1716	834	Sanchez, Bartolomé	Suit
178	1716	936	Tamariz, Felipe de	Grant
179	1716	937	Tenorio de Alva, Miguel	Conveyance
180	1716	1021	Urribarri, Antonio de	Trespass
181	1716	1030	Vallejo, Juan	Conveyance
182	1717	12	Arias de Quiros, Diego	Grant
183	1717	235	Dominguez, Juana	Will
184	1717	504	Martin, Cristobal	Suit
185	1718	170	Crispin, Cristobal	Conveyance
186	1718	171	Crispin, Cristobal	do
187	1718	438	Lujan, Miguel	do
188	1718	505	Martinez, Josefa	Gift
189	1718	681	Padilla, Diego de	Grant
190	1718	716	Quiros, Diego Arias de	Conveyance
191	1718	835	Selorga, Maria de	do
192	1718	938	Tafoya, Cristobal	Will
193	1718	939	Tafoya, Antonio	Conveyance
194	1719	506	Mestas, Francisco de	Gift
195	1719	642	Olguin, Antonio	Conveyance
196	1719	742	Romero, Santiago	do
197	1719	940	Trujillo, Juan	do
198	1721	13	Archiveque, Juan de	Inventory of estate
199	1721	507	Martin, Antonio	Grant
200	1721	743	Rendon, Francisco	Conveyance
201	1721	744	Rael, Alonzo	do
202	1721	1067	Zedano, Josefa	Suit
203	1722	14	Atienza, José de	Boundary suit
204	1722	172	Candelaria, Ventura	Suit
205	1722	439	Lobato, Juan Cayetano	Conveyance
206	1722	508	Medina, Juan Lorenzo de	do
207	1722	509	Martin, Miguel	Suit
208	1722	682	Pineda, Juan de la Mora	Conveyance
209	1722	941	Tafoya, Cristobal Altamira	Grant

SCHEDULE—Continued.

No.	Year.	Index No.	Name.	Nature of document.
210	1722	1031	Valverde, Antonio de	Conveyance
211	1722	1032	Velasquez, Francisco	do
212	1722	1033	Vargas, Sebastian de	do
213	1723	81	Benavides, Juana	do
214	1723	510	Martin, Sebastian	do
215	1723	745	Roibal, Yanacio de	Suit
216	1723	836	Sena, Bernardino de	Conveyance
217	1724	271	Fernandez, Martin	Petition for land
218	1724	942	Tafoya, Juan and Antonio	do
219	1724	943	Torres, Cristobal	Will
220	1724	1034	Vigil, Pedro	Conveyance
221	1725	405	Hurtado, Maria	Will
222	1725	511	Montoya, Josefa	Gift
223	1725	837	Sena, Bernardino	Conveyance
224	1725	838	Sais, Augustin	Inventory of estate
225	1725	944	Torres, Cristobal de	Suit
226	1725	945	Trajillo, Baltazar	Conveyance
227	1727	15	Armijo, José de	do
228	1727	16	Aguilar, Alonzo Rael de	do
229	1727	17	Archiveque, Miguel de	Will
230	1727	82	Ballejo, Antonio	do
231	1727	83	Baca, Diego Manuel	do
232	1727	84	Basquez, José	Grant
233	1727	173	Cisueros, Hermenegildo	Suit
234	1727	440	Lopez, Maria Josefa	Conveyance
235	1727	512	Montoya, Salvador	Will
236	1727	746	Real de Aguilar, Alonzo el Mozo	Conveyance
237	1727	946	Tafoya, Maria de	do
238	1727	1035	Vigil, Domingo	do
239	1728	85	Brito, Juan de Leon	Grant
240	1728	441	Leiba, José de	do
241	1728	442	Lopez, Luis	Will
242	1728	642	Noriega, Juan Garcia de	Conveyance
243	1728	747	Rendon, Francisco	do
244	1728	839	Sanchez, Antonio Felix	do
245	1728	947	Tafoya, Leogarda	do
246	1729	174	Canada, inhabitants of	Suit
247	1729	175	Chaves, Antonio de	Conveyance
248	1729	176	Chaves, Antonio de	do
249	1729	443	Lopez, Maria Josefa	do
250	1729	513	Marquez, Diego	Will
251	1729	514	Montes Vigil, Gertrudis	Conveyance
252	1729	683	Pecheco, Antonio	do
253	1729	748	Reaño, José de	do
254	1729	840	Sena, Bernardino de	do
255	1729	948	Tenorio de Alua, Manuel	do
256	1730	717	Quiros, Diego Arias de	do
257	1730	949	Tafoya, Juan and Antonio	Grant
258	1730	1036	Velasquez, Antonio	Conveyance
259	1730	1037	Vega y Coca, Miguel José de	do
260	1731	316	Gonzales, Baz, Juan	Suit
261	1731	317	Garcia, Juan Esteban	do
262	1731	318	Garcia, Juan Esteban	do
263	1731	319	Gallegos, Diego	Petition for land
264	1731	515	Martin, Cristobal	Suit
265	1731	516	Montaño, José	Conveyance
266	1731	643	Onteberos, Josefa de	Trespass

* Annulled by Cruzate.

† Refused.

REPORT OF THE
SCHEDULE—Continued.

No.	Year.	Index No.	Name.	Nature of document.
267	1731	749	Rivera, Juan Felipe de.....	Conveyance.....
268	1731	950	Torres, Diego.....	Petition for land.....
269	1732	86	Baca, Bernabe.....	Conveyance.....
270	1732	236	Duran de Armijo, Rosa.....	Suit.....
271	1732	750	Romero, Juan José.....	Conveyance.....
272	1732	951	Trajillo, Baltazar.....	do.....
273	1732	1038	Vigil, Pedro Montes.....	do.....
274	1733	18	Angures, Lorenzo de.....	do.....
275	1733	517	Montoya, José Francisco.....	Suit.....
276	1733	684	Padilla, Diego.....	do.....
277	1733	751	Romero, Matias.....	Gift.....
278	1733	841	Silva, Francisco.....	Suit.....
*279	1733	952	Tafya, Juan and Antonio.....	Petition for land.....
280	1734	19	Apodaca, Juan de.....	Conveyance.....
281	1734	842	Sanchez, Maria.....	Suit.....
282	1734	953	Tamaris, Felipe.....	Compromise.....
283	1734	954	Trujillo, Bartolomé.....	Grant.....
284	1735	20	Atencio, Lazaro de.....	Suit.....
285	1735	320	Garcia de Noriega, Juan Esteban.....	Grant.....
286	1735	321	Gonzales, Francisco Antonio.....	Conveyance.....
287	1735	322	Garcia de Rivas, Manuela.....	Grant.....
288	1735	518	Martin, Geronimo.....	Grant.
289	1735		Martin, Ygnacio.....	
290	1735		Manzanares, Pascual.....	
291	1735		Manzanares, Tomas.....	
292	1735	519	Martin, Jacinto.....	do.....
†293	1735	644	Onteberos, Josefa de.....	do.....
294	1735	843	Sanchez, José.....	Suit.....
295	1735	955	Torres, José Antonio de.....	Grant.....
296	1735	1022	Urribarri, Antonio de.....	do.....
297	1736	87	Barela, Salvador.....	Conveyance.....
298	1736	177	Chaves, Pedro.....	Inventory of estate.....
299	1736	178	Chaves, Nicolasa de.....	Conveyance.....
300	1736	238	Duran, Nicolas.....	do.....
301	1736	260	Espinosa, Salvador.....	do.....
302	1736	323	Garcia, Lazaro.....	do.....
303	1736	324	Gonzales, Diego.....	do.....
304	1736	325	Gonzales, Diego.....	do.....
305	1736	412	Giroa, Antonia.....	do.....
306	1736	520	Mestas, Ventura.....	Suit.....
307	1736	685	Padilla, Diego.....	Will.....
308	1736	686	Pacheco, Juan.....	Suit.....
309	1736	752	Romero, Nicolas.....	Conveyance.....
310	1736	753	Romero, Juana Maria.....	do.....
311	1736	754	Roibal, Ygnacio de, et al.....	Suit.....
312	1736	755	Romero, Diego.....	Distribution of estate.....
313	1736	1039	Viaño, José.....	Suit.....
314	1736	1040	Vallegos, Leogardo.....	Conveyance.....
315	1738	21	Angel, Francisco Xavier.....	do.....
316	1738	22	Angel, Francisco Xavier.....	do.....
317	1738	179	Carillo, Juan.....	do.....
318	1738	326	Gonzalez, José.....	Inventory of estate.....
319	1738	327	Griego, Lorenzo.....	Conveyance.....
320	1738	444	Lobato, Agustin.....	do.....
321	1738	521	Martinez, Felix.....	Inventory of estate.....
322	1738	522	Mestas, Francisco Xavier.....	Gift.....
323	1738	523	Martin, Francisco.....	Suit.....

* Refused.

† Revoked by Cruzate.

SCHEDULE—Continued.

No.	Year.	Index No.	Name.	Nature of document.
324	1738	756	Rodriguez, Juan.....	Will.....
325	1738	757	Rodriguez, Melchor.....	Conveyance.....
326	1738	1041	Velasco, Diego.....	Grant.....
327	1739	23	Archiveque, Agustin de.....	Conveyance.....
328	1739	88	Baca, Cristobal.....	Will.....
329	1739	89	Benavides, Juana de.....	Conveyance.....
330	1739	90	Benavides, Juana de.....	Barter.....
331	1739	91	Benavides, Tomasa de.....	Conveyance.....
332	1739	328	Garcia, Lazaro.....	do.....
333	1739	329	Garcia, José.....	do.....
334	1739	330	Garbizo, Manuel Sanz de.....	do.....
335	1739	331	Gabaldon, Juan.....	do.....
336	1739	524	Martin, Geronimo.....	Revocation of grant.....
337	1739	844	Santiesteban, Antonio de.....	Conveyance.....
338	1739	956	Tome, town of.....	Grant.....
339	1739	957	Tenorio, Cayetano.....	Conveyance.....
340	1739	958	Trujillo, Bernardino.....	do.....
341	1739	959	Tafoya, Antonio.....	do.....
342	1739	960	Trujillo, Bernardino.....	do.....
343	1740	272	Fernandez Maria Francisca.....	do.....
344	1740	525	Medina, Maria Magdalena.....	do.....
345	1740	526	Montoya, Antonio.....	Will.....
346	1740	1042	Velasquez, Manuel, et al.....	Gift.....
347	1742	24	Archuleta, Juan José de.....	Grant.....
348	1742	25	Archuleta, Juan José de.....	do.....
349	1742	180	Crespin, Gregorio.....	do.....
350	1742	239	Dominguez, Antonio.....	do.....
351	1742	332	Gonzales, Salvador.....	do.....
352	1742	445	Lobato, Juan Cayetano.....	do.....
353	1742	527	Maese, Catalina.....	do.....
354	1742	645	Ortiz, Nicolas.....	do.....
355	1742	646	Ortiz, Nicolas.....	Suit.....
356	1742	647	Ortiz, Nicolas.....	Will.....
357	1742	758	Reaño, José de.....	Suit.....
358	1742	759	Romero, Diego.....	Distribution of estate.....
359	1142	961	Tafoya, Felipe.....	Petition for land.....
360	1742	962	Tapia, Tomas.....	Grant.....
361	1742	1043	Valdez, Dominguez.....	Petition for land.....
362	1743	26	Armijo, Vicente de.....	Will.....
363	1743	237	Duran, José.....	Grant.....
364	1743	528	Montoya, Antonio.....	Will.....
365	1743	760	Romero, Diego.....	Distribution of estate.....
366	1743	963	Tagle, José de Riaño.....	Will.....
367	1743	964	Tagle, José de Riaño.....	Distribution of estate.....
368	1744	27	Altamirano, Antonio Tafoya.....	Will.....
369	1744	92	Baca, Bernabe.....	Suit.....
370	1744	93	Benavides, Juan de.....	Conveyance.....
371	1744	333	Gutierrez, Francisco.....	Suit.....
372	1744	334	Guerrero, Francisco.....	Donation.....
373	1744	335	Guerrero, Francisco.....	Gift.....
374	1744	336	Gonzales, Sebastiana, heirs of.....	Grant.....
375	1744	529	Martin, Jacinto.....	do.....
376	1744	530	Martin, Margarita.....	Inventory of estate.....
377	1744	761	Roay Carilla, Francisco.....	Gift.....
378	1744	762	Riaño, José.....	do.....
379	1744	763	Roay Carillo, Francisco.....	do.....
380	1744	764	Roay Carillo, Francisco.....	do.....

* Mine.

REPORT OF THE
SCHEDULE—Continued.

No.	Year.	Index No.	Name.	Nature of document.
381	1744	965	Turrieta, José Maria de.....	Petition for land....
382	1745	337	Griego, Maria.....	Grant.....
383	1745	338	Gutierrez, Gregorio.....	Suit.....
384	1745	339	Gabaldon, Juan Manuel.....	Will.....
385	1745	765	Real de Aquilar, Alfonso.....	do.....
386	1745	845	Salus, José.....	Suit.....
387	1745	966	Terrus, José.....	Will.....
388	1745	967	Tafoya, Cristobal, et al.....	Division of land....
389	1746	94	Baca, Josefa.....	Will.....
390	1746	181	Codallas y Rabal, Joaquin.....	Coveyance.....
†391	1746	182	Cisneros, Antonio.....	Will.....
392	1746	183	Casados, Antonio, et al.....	Suit.....
393	1746	184	Chaves, Nicolas de.....	Compromise.....
394	1746	340	Garcia, Luis.....	Suit.....
395	1746	413	Jaramillo, Roque Jacinto.....	Grant.....
396	1746	414	Garcia, Ramon Jurado.....	Compromise.....
397	1746	846	Saenz de Garazú, Manuel.....	Conveyance.....
398	1746	847	Serrano, Miguel Martin.....	Suit.....
399	1747	185	Coyota, Juanotilla.....	Inventory of estate..
400	1747	341	Garcia, Luis.....	do.....do.....
401	1747	342	Garcia de Noriega, Luis.....	Will.....
402	1748	28	Abiquiú, Ojo Caliente, Pueblo Quemado	Petition to abandon towns.
403	1748	240	Duran, Antonio.....	Inventory of estate..
404	1748	531	Menchero, Juan Miguel.....	Petition for land....
405	1748	532	Martinez, Salvador.....	Ejectment.....
406	1748	533	Mestas, Ventura.....	Suit.....
407	1748	534	Martin, Antonio.....	do.....
408	1748	848	Sandia, Mission of.....	Grant.....
409	1749	186	Chama, town of.....	Abandonment prohibited.
410	1749	535	Martinez, Francisco.....	Conveyance.....
411	1749	648	Ortiz, Francisco.....	Will.....
412	1749	718	Pueblo Quemado, town of.....	Petition for land....
413	1749	968	Trujillo, Leogardo.....	Will.....
414	1750	187	Cordova, José.....	Conveyance.....
415	1750	188	Cordova, Lazaro.....	do.....
416	1750	189	Cordova, Antonio.....	do.....
417	1750	344	Gonzales, Maria Gandelaria.....	Will.....
418	1750	345	Garduño, Felipe.....	Conveyance.....
419	1750	346	Gonzales, Francisco.....	Will.....
420	1750	536	Martin, Rosa.....	do.....
421	1750	537	Martin, Pedro.....	Conveyance.....
422	1750	766	Romero, Juan de Dios.....	do.....
423	1750	767	Ramos, Manuel.....	Will.....
424	1750	768	Ramos, Manuel.....	Conveyance.....
425	1750	769	Rael de Aguilar, Alfonso, heirs of.....	Grant.....
426	1750	849	Salazar, Antonio.....	Conveyance.....
427	1750	969	Trujillo, Pedro Antonio.....	do.....
428	1750	1044	Vigil, Manuel Gregorio.....	do.....
429	1751	29	Alameda, inhabitants of.....	Trespass.....
430	1751	30	Armijo, Antonio de.....	Conveyance.....
431	1751	31	Rael de Aguilar, Julian.....	Testamentary suit...
432	1751	32	Apodaca, Nicolas de.....	Conveyance.....
433	1751	95	Baptista, Pedro.....	do.....
434	1751	190	Casillas, Salvador.....	do.....
435	1751	241	Duran, Juan.....	do.....

° Not granted.

† Imperfect.

SCHEDULE—Continued.

No.	Year.	Index No.	Name.	Nature of document.
436	1751	242	Dominguez, Antonio	Conveyance
437	1751	261	Esquivel, José	do
438	1751	262	Esquivel, Jose	Gift
439	1751	347	Garcia, Juan Esteban	Suit
440	1751	348	Gallegos, Manuel	Conveyance
441	1751	349	Gracioso, Luis Fuero	do
442	1751	446	Lopez, Manuel	do
443	1751	538	Martin, Pedro	do
444	1751	539	Montoya, Josefa	do
445	1751	540	Martin, Antonio	Suit
446	1751	649	Alguin, Bartolome	Grant
447	1751	850	Sena, Sebastiana de	Conveyance
448	1751	970	Trujillo, Manuel	Division of estate
449	1751	971	Trujillo, Cristobal	Conveyance
450	1751	972	Trujillo, Blas	do
451	1751	973	Trujillo, Antonio	do
452	1751	974	Tenorio Teresa	do
453	1751	975	Trampas, town of	Grant
454	1751	1045	Valerio, Manuel	Conveyance
455	1751	1046	Vigil, Francisco Montes	do
456	1751	1047	Vigil, Juan Bautista	do
457	1751	1048	Valerio, Felix	do
458	1752	96	Barela, Salvador	do
459	1752	97	Barela, Salvador	do
460	1752	243	Duran, Catalina	Inventory of estate
461	1752	350	Garcia, Francisco	Conveyance
462	1752	351	Garduño, Maria Diego	Inventory of estate
463	1752	352	Gabaldon, Juan	Grant
464	1752	353	Garcia, Juano de los Reyes	Will
465	1752	541	Mestas, Cristobal	Suit
466	1752	542	Mestas, Ventara	Conveyance
467	1752	543	Martin, Marcos	do
468	1752	643	Naranjo, Jose Antonio	Trespass
469	1752	851	Sandoval, Antonio	Conveyance
470	1752	976	Trujillo, Bartolome	Grant
471	1753	33	Apodaca, Vicente	Gift
472	1753	98	Borrego, Diego Vasquez	Will
473	1753		Barela, Salvador	Conveyance
474	1753	99	Barrera, Francisco	do
475	1753	100	Barela, Tiburcio	do
476	1753	191	Córdova, Ana Maria	Will
477	1753	192	Casillas, Tomas	Conveyance
478	1753	193	Coyota, La	Inventory of estate
479	1753	354	Gomez, Diego	Conveyance
480	1753	406	Herrera, Juan Manuel de	Will
481	1753	415	Jaramillo, Juana de	Conveyance
482	1753	416	Jurado, Ramon Garcia, et al	Grant
483	1753	447	Lopez, Geronimo	Conveyance
484	1753	544	Mora, Manuel	Gift
485	1753	545	Martin, Manuel	Conveyance
486	1753	546	Martin, Miguel	Will
487	1753	547	Medina, Jose de	Conveyance
488	1753	650	Ojo, Caliente, town of	Resettlement
489	1753	687	Pacheco, Juan Jose	Suit
490	1753	688	Puerco River Settlements	Grant for
491	1753	770	Roibal, Maria de	Conveyance
492	1753	852	Saez, Francisco	Gift
493	1753	853	Sandoval, Gregoria	do
494	1753	854	Sena, Maria Francisca de	Conveyance

REPORT OF THE
SCHEDULE—Continued.

No.	Year.	Index No.	Name.	Nature of document.
495	1753	977	Torres, Jose	Conveyance
496	1753	978	Torres, Jose	do
497	1753	1049	Villa Real, Clara de	Inventory of estate
498	1753	1050	Valerio, Manuel	Suit
499	1753	1051	Varela, Antonio	Grant
500	1754	355	Garcia, Jose	Will
501	1754	407	Herrera, Jose de	Conveyance
502	1754	548	Marquez, Bartolome	do
503	1754	549	Marquez, Diego	do
504	1754	771	Romero, Juan de Dios	Grant
505	1754	1052	Vera, Jose Romo de	Will
506	1754	1053	Vigil, Juan Montes	Grant
507	1754	1054	Vigil, Cristobal	Petition for land
508	1755	101	Baca, Antonio	Will
509	1755	195	Crispin, Gregorio	Conveyance
510	1755	356	Gallejo, Juan	do
511	1755	408	Herrera, Juan Bautista	do
512	1755	550	Marquez, Bartolome	do
513	1755	551	Mifioa, Jose	Gift
514	1755	689	Pacheco, Marcos	Conveyance
515	1755	772	Rivera Salvador Matias	do
516	1755	855	Sandoval, Miguel de Dios	Will
517	1755	979	Tenorio, Josefa	Gift
518	1756	552	Moreno, Jose	Will
519	1756	856	Sisneros, Juana	do
520	1756	857	Sandoval, Felipe de	Gift
521	1756	858	Sandoval, Felipe de	Conveyance
522	1756	979	Tenorio, Josefa	do
523	1757	553	Martin, Isidro	do
524	1757	980	Trujillo, Gertrudis	do
525	1758	34	Armijo, Tomas de	do
526	1758	554	Moya, Lucas	do
527	1758	651	Ortega, Tomas de	do
528	1758	774	Rael de Aguilar, Manuela	Will
529	1758	859	Sandoval, Felipe de	Conveyance
530	1758	860	Sena, Bernardino de	Will
531	1758	861	Sandoval, Andres de	Conveyance
532	1758	981	Tafoya, Felipe de	do
533	1758	982	Tafoya, Miguel de	do
534	1759	35	Anaya, Francisco de	do
535	1759	102	Benavides, Tomasa de	do
536	1759	357	Gamboa, Cristobal	do
537	1759	555	Montaño, Urbano	do
538	1759	983	Trujillo, Francisco	do
539	1759	984	Tafoya, Juana de	do
540	1760	36	Abiquin, town of	Resettlement
541	1760	37	Armijo, Ysabel de	Conveyance
542	1760	652	Ortiz, Toribio	Division of estate
543	1760	862	Sena, Antonio de	Conveyance
544	1761	103	Borrogo, Diego Vasquez	Inventory of estate
545	1761	358	Gallegos, Juan	do
546	1761	556	Maldonado, Jose	Conveyance
547	1761	719	Quetites, town of	Grant
548	1761	985	Tafoya, Juan	Conveyance
549	1762	38	Angel, Marcial	do
550	1762	104	Benavides, Tomasa de	Inventory of estate
551	1762	105	Baca, Antonio	Suit
552	1762	273	Fragoso, Gabriel	Conveyance
553	1762	274	Fernandez, Juan Jose	do

SCHEDULE—Continued.

No.	Year.	Index No.	Name.	Nature of document.
554	1762	409	Hurtado, Bartolo.....	Will.....
555	1762	448	Dozano, Jose.....	Conveyance.....
556	1762	557	Mestas, Joaquin.....	Grant.....
557	1762	775	Rivera, Antonio.....	Will.....
558	1762	776	Romero, Juana.....	Conveyance.....
559	1762	986	Tafoya, Juan.....	do.....
560	1762	1055	Vigil, Juan Montes.....	Will.....
561	1762	1056	Vigil, Manuel.....	Conveyance.....
562	1763	106	Baca, Pablo.....	do.....
563	1763	195	Chacon, Francisco.....	do.....
564	1763	359	Garcia, Manuel.....	Will.....
565	1763	558	Martin, Manuel.....	Gift.....
566	1763	559	Martin, Gertrudis.....	Inventory of estate.....
507	1763	690	Pacheco, Juan.....	Conveyance.....
508	1763	863	Sena, Maria Francisco de.....	Inventory of estate.....
509	1763	864	Sanches, Francisco.....	Suit.....
510	1763	865	Sena, Tomas Antonio.....	Grant.....
511	1763	987	Torres, Marcial.....	Inventory of estate.....
512	1763	988	Torres, Martin.....	Conveyance.....
513	1764	39	Armijo, Manuel, et al.....	Grant.....
514	1764	107	Baca, José.....	Conveyance.....
515	1764	108	Baca, José.....	do.....
516	1764	109	Baca, Juan Esteban.....	Partition of lands.....
517	1764	196	Chaves, Quiteria.....	Division of estate.....
518	1764	244	Dominguez, Antonio.....	Conveyance.....
519	1764	245	Duran, Salvador.....	do.....
520	1764	246	Duran de Armijo, Manuel.....	Will.....
521	1764	360	Gonzales, Rosalia.....	Gift.....
522	1764	361	Gonzales, Francisco.....	Conveyance.....
523	1764	417	Juanguave, Juan Esteban.....	Will.....
524	1764	418	Jarramillo, Luis.....	do.....
525	1764	449	Lujan, Juan José.....	Conveyance.....
526	1764	450	Lucrecio, José Martin.....	do.....
527	1764	451	Lozano, José.....	Suit.....
528	1764	560	Madrid, Cristobal.....	Will.....
529	1764	561	Martin, José.....	Conveyance.....
530	1764	562	Mestas, Joaquin.....	do.....
531	1764	563	Martin, Simon.....	do.....
532	1764	564	Mestas, Mateo.....	Will.....
533	1764	565	Martin, Francisco.....	do.....
534	1764	777	Roiбал, Tomas.....	Conveyance.....
535	1764	778	Romero, Francisco Xavier.....	Suit.....
536	1764	779	Rodriguez, Francisco Xavier.....	Will.....
537	1764	866	Segura, Simon.....	do.....
538	1764	989	Tapia, Cristobal.....	Conveyance.....
539	1764	1068	Zamora, José.....	do.....
540	1764	1069	Zamora, José.....	do.....
541	1764	1070	Zamora, José.....	do.....
542	1765	110	Begtia, Antonio de.....	Will.....
543	1765	197	Chaves, Maria.....	do.....
544	1765	362	Gallegos, José Marcilo.....	Suit.....
545	1765	363	Gallegos, Manuel.....	Inventory of estate.....
546	1765	452	Lozano, Maria Manuela.....	Will.....
547	1765	453	Lobato, Agustin.....	Conveyance.....
548	1765	566	Martin, Antonio.....	do.....
549	1765	644	Nieto, Francisco.....	Copartnership.....
550	1765	691	El Paso, town of.....	Suit.....
551	1765	720	Quelites, town of.....	do.....
552	1765	780	Romero, Pedro, et al.....	Grant.....

REPORT OF THE
SCHEDULE—Continued.

No.	Year.	Index No.	Name.	Nature of document.
553	1765	781	Romero, Francisco.....	Inventory of estate..
554	1765	782	Rael de Aguila, Nicolas	Conveyance.....
555	1765	990	Trujillo, Casimiro Antonio.....	Division of land.....
556	1765	1057	Vigil, Domingo Montes	Suit
557	1766	111	San Blas, town of.....	do.....
558	1766	275	Fragoso, Francisco Xavier.....	Will
559	1766	454	Lucero, Miguel.....	do.....
560	1766	567	Maves, Nicolas.....	do.....
561	1766	568	Martin, Pablo.....	Grant
562	1766	569	Montoya, Josefa	Will
562	1766	570	Madrid, Antonio.....	Conveyance.....
563	1766	571	Montoya, Miguel, and Santiago.....	Suit
564	1766	783	Romero, Maria.....	do.....
565	1766	784	Rodriguez, Manuel	Conveyance.....
566	1766	867	Sanz de Garbizu, Juana.....	Gift
567	1766	868	Sandoval, Antonio de	do.....
568	1766	991	Sena, Domingo de.....	Conveyance.....
569	1766	1023	Unaimo, Juan Cayetano	do.....
570	1766	1058	Vigil, Salvador, and Ygnacio.....	do.....
571	1767	40	Ascension, Maria de.....	Suit
572	1767	41	Apodaca, Ynes de	Conveyance.....
573	1767	42	Alderete, Joaquin de.....	Will
574	1767	112	Baca, José.....	Conveyance.....
575	1767	198	Cardenas, Petrona de	Will
576	1767	199	Chaves, Antonio, and Pedro.....	Grant
577	1767	247	Duran, Juan José	Suit
578	1767	276	Fernandez, Carlos.....	Conveyance.....
579	1767	364	Gonzalez, Juan Francisco.....	do.....
580	1767	365	Gonzalez, Pedro Antonio.....	do.....
581	1767	419	Jurado, Catalina	Will
582	1767	572	Martin, Juan Francisco	do.....
*583	1767	573	Montaño, Juan Bautista.....	Petition for land.....
584	1767	574	Montoya, Antonio Nerio.....	Conveyance.....
585	1767	575	Moya, Manuel	do.....
586	1767	576	Montoya, Santiago	Grant
587	1767	577	Maldonado, José.....	Conveyance.....
588	1767	578	Martinez, Vicente.....	do.....
589	1767	579	Madrid, Cristobal.....	Will
590	1767	580	Marguez, Bartolome	Conveyance.....
591	1767	653	Ortega, Tomas de.....	do.....
592	1767	692	Puerco River, settlers of.....	Trespass.....
593	1767	693	Padilla, Francisco.....	Conveyance.....
594	1767	785	Rodriguez, Vicente	do.....
595	1767	896	Sabinal, inhabitants of.....	Division of lands
596	1767	992	Tenorio de Alua, Miguel.....	Conveyance.....
597	1768	43	Alarid, José Ygnacio, et al.....	Grant
598	1768	113	Belen, town of.....	Suit
599	1768	200	Chaves, Ygnacio, et al.....	Grant
600	1768	201	Chaves, Nicolas de.....	Will
601	1768	248	Duran, Salvador.....	Conveyance.....
602	1768	366	Garcia, Ramon.....	Will
603	1768	420	Jarramillo, Jose, and Xavier.....	Grant
604	1768	455	Lopez, Geronimo.....	Conveyance.....
605	1768	581	Mestas, Joaquin.....	Grant
606	1768	582	Miera, Bernardo de.....	do.....
607	1768	583	Montoya, Nerio Antonio	do.....

*Refused.

SCHEDULE—Continued.

No.	Year.	Index No.	Name.	Nature of document.
608	1768	584	Montoya, Paulin	Grant
609	1768	585	Madrid, Tomas	Conveyance
610	1768	586	Maese, José	do
611	1768	654	Alguin, Manuel	Will
612	1768	694	Inhabitants of Atrisco	Petition for lands
613	1768	695	Padilla, Jose	Division of property
614	1768	786	Romero, Maria Rosalia	Suit
615	1768	787	Rodriguez, Isidro	Conveyance
616	1768	870	Serrano, Pedro Martin	Will
617	1768	871	Sandoval, Julian de	Suit
618	1768	872	Salazar, Pablo, and Cristobal	Division of property
619	1769	44	Armijo, Antonio de	Grant
620	1769	114	Baca, Baltazar	do
621	1769	367	Garcia, Francisco	Conveyance
622	1769	421	Jaramillo, Luis	Grant
623	1769	456	Leiba, Simon de	Conveyance
624	1769	457	Lopez, Geronimo	Will
625	1769	587	Martin, Maria	do
626	1769	588	Manzanares, Manuel	Conveyance
627	1769	589	Manzanares, Manuel	do
628	1769	655	Ojo Caliente, town of	Location
629	1769	657	Ortega, Lucia	Suit
630	1769	655	Ojo Caliente, town of	Resettlement of
631	1769	721	Quintana, Manuel	Conveyance
632	1769	722	Quintana, Leonicio	do
633	1769	788	Rivera, Jose Miguel	Will
634	1769	789	Romero, Juan Bautista	Conveyance
635	1769	873	Salazar, Jose	do
636	1769	874	Salazar, Jose	do
637	1769	875	Sanchez, Jacinto	do
638	1769	993	Teyes, Manuel	do
639	1770	115	Benavides, Domingo de	Will
640	1770	368	Gallegos, Cristobal, heirs of	Suit
641	1770	790	Roibal, Juana de	Will
642	1770	876	Sanchez, Juan Ygnacio	Conveyance
643	1770	880	Saez, Antonio	Will
644	1770	994	Trujillo, Maria Francisco	do
645	1771	116	Baca, Martin	Trespass
646	1771	202	Carnuel, town of	Petition to abandon settlement.
647	1771	458	Lujan, Ysabel	Will
648	1771	590	Martinez, Monica	Distribution of estate.
649	1771	591	Maese, Ysidro	Conveyance
650	1771	645	Las Nutrias, inhabitants of	Petition for lands
651	1771	696	Pacheco, Jose	Suit
652	1771	791	Romero, Pedro, et al	Grant
653	1771	792	Romero, Miguel	Will
654	1771	793	Rivera, Juan Felipe	Distribution of estate.
655	1771	794	Rodriguez, Vicente	Conveyance
656	1771	995	Tafoya, Felipe	Will
657	1772	45	Alavid, Ygnacio	Conveyance
658	1772	117	Baca, Jose	Will
659	1772	227	San Fernando and San Blas	Grant
660	1772	459	Lujan, Francisco	Conveyance
661	1772	460	Lopez, Antonio Jose	Suit
662	1772	592	Martin, San Gil. Marcial	do
663	1772	795	Rodriguez, Manuel	Conveyance
664	1772	796	Rodriguez, Vicente	do
665	1772	797	Rodriguez, Marcos	Will

REPORT OF THE
SCHEDULE—Continued.

No.	Year.	Index No.	Name.	Nature of document
666	1772	878	Sanchez, Juan Christobal	Suit
667	1772	879	Sena Maese, Bernardo	Conveyance
668	1772	996	Trujillo, Manuel	do
669	1772	1024	Urbano, Antonio	Will
670	1772	1059	Valencia, Joaquin	Conveyance
671	1773	118	Baca, Maria de Jesus	do
672	1773	369	Garcia, Salvador	do
673	1774	46	Albuquerque, inhabitants of	Petition for land
674	1774	47	Alire, Tomas	Conveyance
675	1774	119	Brito, Manuela	do
676	1774	594	Martin, Vicente	Will
677	1774	723	Quintana, Jose	Suit
678	1775	203	Carrisal, inhabitants of	Resettlement of
679	1775	798	Romero, Domingo	Conveyance
680	1775	799	Romero, Miguel	Inventory of estate
681	1776	48	Armijo, Gertrudis	do
682	1776	249	Duran, Jose	Conveyance
683	1776	658	Ortega, Francisco	do
684	1776	877	Salazar, Francisco Pablo	Petition for land
685	1777	49	Atencio, Lázaro	Will
686	1777	50	Archiveque, Maria de Guad'l	Conveyance
687	1778	51	Alemeda, heirs of	Suit
688	1778	370	Gabaldon, Maria Antonia	Conveyance
689	1778	800	Roibal, Manuela	Will
690	1779	278	Fernandez, Carlos	Conveyance
691	1779	461	Lobato, Jose Manuel	do
692	1780	997	Torres, Cayetano	Inventory of estate
693	1780	1060	Vigil, Manuel	do
694	1781	595	Madrid, Tomas	Will
695	1781	659	Ortega, Antonio	do
696	1782	660	Ortega, Francisco	do
697	1783	250	Duran y Chaves, Jose	Inventory of estate
698	1784	120	Bustamante, Josefa	Mortgage
699	1784	280	Fernandez, Juan Antonio	Inventory of estate
700	1784	998	Tafoya, Antonio	Will
701	1784	1061	Vaca, Jesus	do
702	1785	279	Fernandez, Juliana	do
703	1785	371	Gutierrez, Clemente	Inventory of estate
704	1785	596	Mestas, Josefa	Will
705	1785	661	Ortega, Antonio	do
706	1785	881	Silva, Juan Jose	Conveyance
707	1786	204	Chaves, Francisco Antonio, et al	Boundary suit
708	1786	462	Luna, Antonio de	Inventory of estate
709	1786	597	Miera, Anacleto	Petition
710	1786	697	Perea, Eugenio	Suit
711	1786	999	Troncoso, Vicente	Conveyance
712	1789	52	Armenta, Antonio	Grant
713	1789	121	Baca, Miguel	Suit
714	1789	372	Griego, Jose Antonio	Will
715	1789	598	Maldonado, Jose	do
716	1789	663	Miguel, Ortiz	Conveyance
717	1789	1000	Trujillo, Jose Manuel	Will
718	1790	1062	Velarde, Jose Manuel	Grant
719	1791	374	Griego, Josefa	Gift
720	1792	112	Baca, Ysabel	Suit
721	1792	698	Padilla, Juana	Petition
722	1792	699	Peña, Jose de la	do
723	1793	463	Lucero, Fernando	Conveyance

SCHEDULE—Continued.

No.	Year.	Index No.	Name.	Nature of document.
724	1793	464	Torres, Diego, et al.	Petition
725	1793	599	Martin, Viviana	Gift
726	1793	600	Martin, Juan Francisco	Will
727	1793	601	Miranda, Francisco Xavier	Title deeds
728	1793	602	Maese, Maria Miculea	Suit
729	1793	664	Ojo Caliente, town of	Grant
730	1794	465	Lucero, Jose Antonio, et al.	Conveyance
731	1794	1001	Trujillo, Bárbara	Suit
732	1795	882	Santa Cruz, town of	do
733	1796	883	San Fernando, settlers of	Possession
734	1798	375	Garcia, Francisco and Antonio	Grant
735	1799	123	Baca, Jose Maria	Will
736	1799	251	Domingo, Maria	Suit
737	1799	376	Gallegos, Miguel	Conveyance
738	1799	885	Sena, Matias	Will
739	1799	886	Sais, Agustin	Conveyance
740	1800	124	Baca, Maria Barbara	Distribution of estate.
741	1800	205	Cebolleta, town of	Grant
742	1800	603	Montoya, Paulin	Suit
743	1801	1002	Trujillo, José and Mariano	do
744	1803	54	Armijo, Salvadora	Inventory of estate
745	1803	125	Bado, town of	Grant
746	1803	887	San José del Bado, town of	Possession
747	1804	206	Cebolleta, town of	Petition for abandon- ment
748	1804	604	Mares, Marcial	Will
749	1805	665	Ortega, Vivian de	Conveyance
750	1806	377	Garcia, Juan Cristobal	do
751	1807	378	Garcia de la Mora, José	Grant
752	1808	207	Cebolleta, town of	do
753	1808	208	Cortes, Teresa	Suit
754	1808	379	Garcia, Antonio	Complaint
755	1808	380	Gonzalez, Toribio	do
756	1808	605	Mirabal, Miguel	Petition
757	1809	55	Aragon, José Manuel	Life estate
758	1809	209	Chaves, José Antonio	Suit
759	1809	210	Cañon, settlers of	Trespass
760	1809	211	Chaves, Ursula	Suit
761	1809	606	Martin, Juan Manuel	Compromise
762	1809	699	Peña, José de la	Petition
763	1809	700	Pino, heirs of	Suit
764	1810	202	Chaves, Buenaventura	Division of estate
765	1810	607	Marques, Maria	Suit
766	1810	608	Montoya, José	Petition
767	1810	1003	Tenorio, de Alua, Manuel	Suit
768	1810	1004	Torris, Diego, et al	Trespass
769	1811	466	Luna, Antonio de	Suit
770	1811	701	Padilla, José Ubaldo	Petition
771	1812	609	Martin, Maria Viviana	Title deeds
772	1812	610	Montaño, Juana Barbara	Suit
773	1812	688	Segura, Juan	Conveyance
774	1813	611	Madrid, Antonio Xavier	Will
775	1813	1005	Trujillo, Francisco, et al.	Petition for land
776	1813	1006	Trujillo, Francisco	Grant
777	1814	126	Barela, José	Suit
778	1814	127	Bustos, José Antonio, et al.	do

REPORT OF THE
SCHEDULE—Continued.

No.	Year.	Index No.	Name.	Nature of documents
779	1814	612	Martin, Pedro	Inventory of estate..
780	1814	613	Montoya, Marcial, et al	Petition for land
781	1814	702	Padilla, Jose Antonio	Suit
782	1814	703	Peña, Juan de Dios	Petition for land
783	1814	1007	Trujillo, Francisco	Grant
784	1814	1063	Vial, Pedro	Will
785	1815	252	Delgado, Manuel	Distribution of estate.
786	1815	381	Garcia, Luciano	Payment for land
787	1815	666	Ortiz, Feliciano	Will
788	1815	667	Ortiz, Matias	Ejectment
789	1815	801	Red River (settlement)	Grant
790	1815	802	Roibal, Vicente, et al	do
791	1815	889	Silva, Maria Polonia	Suit
792	1815	1064	Valverde, Jose Antonio	Grant
793	1816	213	Consuebe, Antonio, et al	Suit
794	1816	668	Ortiz, Jose Vicente	do
795	1817	263	Esquibel, Clemente	Conveyance
796	1817	704	Padilla, Jose Martin	Inventory of estate..
797	1817	890	Socorro, inhabitants of	Execution of grant
798	1818	56	Aguilar, Juan de	Suit
799	1818	382	Garcia, Xavier, et al	Petition for land
800	1818	614	Martinez, Diego Antonio	Complaint
801	1818	705	Padilla, Jose Martin	Inventory of estate..
802	1818	706	Perez, Jose Miguel	Grant
803	1819	214	Cebolleta, town of	do
804	1819	264	Esquivel, Juan Rafael	Will
805	1819	281	Fernandez, Santiago	Petition, revalidat of grant
806	1819	707	Pino, Juan Esteban	Suit
807	1819	1008	Tafoya, Ignacio, et al	Grant
808	1820	128	Bernal, Tomas	Title deeds, El Paso..
809	1820	129	Benavides, Jesus	Suit
810	1820	215	Candelaria, Maria de la Luz	do
811	1820	265	Esquibel, Alejandro	Will
812	1820	383	Gutierrez, Maria Victoria	Trespass
813	1820	467	Lucero, Bernardo	Suit
814	1820	615	Martin, Manuel	Grant
815	1820	1025	Urioste, Tomas	Will
816	1821	130	Baca, Esteban	Petition for land
817	1821	131	Ballejo, Manuel	Trespass
818	1821	216	Chaves, Ursula	do
819	1821	384	Gurulé, Toribio	do
820	1821	385	Garcia, Juan	Petition for land
821	1821	891	Ortiz, Juan Rafael	do
822	1822	57	Alarid, Jose Antonio	Suit
823	1822	132	Benavides, Luis	Will
824	1822	133	Baca, Jose	Grant
825	1822	217	Chaves, Ursula	Suit
826	1822	386	Gallegos, Tomas Antonio	do
827	1822	616	Mirabal, Maria Nieves	Grant
828	1822	617	Montoya, Pablo	do
829	1822	892	Sandoval, Matias	do
830	1822	893	Sena, Rafael	do
831	1822	894	Sandoval, Felipe	do
832	1828	59	Albo, Agapito	Petition for land
833	1823	253	Dolores, corporation of	Information concern lands

○ Imperfect.

† Refused.

SCHEDULE—Continued.

No.	Year.	Index No.	Name.	Nature of document.
834	1823	410	Heath, John G.	Grant
835	1823	803	Rivera, Maria Luisa	Will
836	1823	895	Sanchez, Jose Manuel	Trespass
837	1823	896	Salaices, Jose	Petition
838	1824	134	Baca, Manuel	do
839	1824	266	Esquivel, Clemente	Conveyance
840	1824	282	Fernandez, Domingo	Grant
841	1824	283	Fernandez, Domingo, et al	Petition for land
842	1824	387	García, Francisco	do
843	1824	618	Montoya, Pablo	Grant
844	1824	619	Martin, Jose Antonio	Suit
845	1824	620	Madrid, Jose Ignacio	do
846	1824	669	Ortiz, Ignacio	Petition for land
847	1824	670	Ortiz, Ignacio	Grant
848	1824	708	Pino, Juan Esteban	Protest against grant
849	1824	709	Peria, Maria Manuela	Complaint
850	1824	804	Romero, Jose Guadalupe	Petition for land
851	1824	805	Romero, Pablo, et al	do
852	1824	806	Rivera, Miguel	do
853	1824	897	Sena, Miguel and Felipe	do
854	1824	898	Sainora, Rafael, et al	do
855	1824	899	San Miguel, inhabitants of	Suit
856	1824	900	Sandoval, Antonio	Petition for land
857	1824	1009	Tectolote, town of	Grant
858	1824	1065	Vergara, Ignacio Sanchez	Petition for land
859	1825	60	Armijo, Juan Antonio	Confirmation of grant
860	1825	61	Abiquiú, town of	Grant
861	1825	135	Benarides, Rafael	do
862	1825		Benarides, Jesus	
863	1825	136	Baca, José Francisco	Petition for land
864	1825	137	Baca, Luis Maria Cabeza de	Grant
865	1825	138	Benavides, Luis	Petition for land
866	1825	218	Chares, Antonio	Grant
867	1825	284	Fernandez, Domingo	Petition for land
868	1825	388	Gallegos, José Maria	do
869	1825	135	Lobato, Pedro	Grant
870	1825	621	Martin, José Antonio	Suit
871	1825	671	Ortega, Andres	Petition for land
872	1825	710	Peña, Pedro José	Grant
873	1825	807	Rivera, Miguel	do
874	1825	808	Rivera, Miguel	Suit
875	1825	901	Sapello, settlement of	Proceedings touching grant
876	1825	1066	Velarde, Miguel	Suit
877	1826	62	Alarid, José Ramon	Information touching Pecos pueblo
878	1826	63	Alarid, José Ramon	Lands at La Cuesta
879	1826	139	Baca, José Francisco	Petition for land
880	1826	254	Duran, Augustin, et al	Grant
881	1826	285	Fernandez, Domingo, et al	Petition for land
882	1826	389	García, Juan Eusebio	Title deeds
883	1826	622	Martinez, Bernardo	Petition for land
884	1826	623	Montoya, Mariano	Petition
885	1826	624	Madrid, Bernardo	Suit
886	1826	809	Rivera, Manuel	Grant
887	1827	64	Antures, Salvador	Suit
888	1827	140	Bustos, Cristobal	do
889	1827	141	Baca, Juan Esteban	do
890	1827	267	Esquivel, Ventura	Conveyance

REPORT OF THE
SCHEDULE—Continued.

No.	Year.	Index No.	Name.	Nature of document
891	1827	390	Garcia, Miguel.....	Title deeds.....
892	1827	469	Lujan, Juan José.....	Conveyance.....
893	1827	470	Lucero, Juan.....	Will.....
894	1827	724	Quintana, Miguel.....	Conveyance.....
895	1827	810	Rodriguez, José.....	Petition for land.....
896	1827	1010	Trujillo, Manuel.....	Suit.....
897	1827	1011	Tafoya, José.....	do.....
898	1827	1012	Tafoya, Gertrudis.....	do.....
899	1828	286	Fernandez, Domingo, et al.....	Petition for land.....
900	1828	391	Garcia, José Domingo, et al.....	do.....
901	1829	142	Baca, Tomas, et al.....	Report touching land.....
902	1829	143	Baca, Tomas, et al.....	Petition for land.....
903	1829	219	Cañada, inhabitants of.....	Grant.....
904	1829	287	Fernandez, Rafael, et al.....	Petition for land.....
905	1829	288	Fernandez, Domingo, et al.....	do.....
906	1829	392 }	Garcia, Miguel.....	Report on application..... for land.
907	1829		Goslin, William.....	
908	1829	393	Giron, Salvador.....	Suit.....
909	1829	625	Martinez, Juan de Jesus.....	Protest.....
910	1829	811	Rodriguez, Mariano.....	Report on petition.....
911	1829	1013	Trujillo, José Manuel.....	do.....
*912	1830	220	Chambers, Samuel.....	Petition for land.....
913	1830	394	Gallegos, Maria de la Luz.....	Will.....
914	1830	471	Lobato, Francisco.....	do.....
915	1830	626	Montoya, Maria Gertrudis.....	do.....
916	1830	812	Romeo, José Guadalupe.....	Report on petition.....
917	1830	902	Salazar, Juan Damasio.....	Conveyance.....
918	1831	65	Abiquí, town of.....	Suit.....
919	1831	144	Baca, Micaela.....	Will.....
920	1831	145	Baca, José Francisco.....	do.....
921	1831	221	Chaves, Vicente.....	Suit.....
922	1831	255	Duran, Agustin.....	Petition for land.....
923	1831	395	Garcia, José Victor.....	Suit.....
924	1831	627	Montoya, Pablo.....	do.....
†925	1831	672	Ortiz, Juan Rafael.....	Petition for land.....
926	1831	903	Sena, Antonio.....	Lease.....
927	1832	66	Abreú, Ramon.....	Petition for land.....
928	1832	146	Baca, Micaela.....	Distribution of estate.....
929	1832	147	Baca, Juan Manuel.....	Suit.....
930	1832	396	Gonzales, Rafael.....	Petition for land.....
931	1832	711	Pratt, George R.....	do.....
932	1832	904	Santiesteban, Alejandro.....	Suit.....
933	1832	905	Sena, Manuel.....	do.....
934	1832	906	Sena, Manuel and Felipe.....	Conveyance.....
935	1832	907	Sena, Miguel.....	Petition for land.....
936	1833	148	Bustamante, Manuel.....	Suit.....
937	1833	673	Ortiz, Juan Rafael.....	Grant.....
†938	1833	674 }	Ortiz, José Francisco.....	do.....
939	1833		Cano, Ignacio.....	
940	1833	712	Padilla, Diego.....	Will.....
941	1833	908	Sanchez, Guadalupe.....	do.....
942	1833	1014	Talomo, Dolores.....	Grant.....
943	1834	222	Castillo, Maria de.....	Suit.....
944	1835	67	Armijo, Antonio.....	do.....
945	1835	68	Armijo, Antonio.....	Petition.....
946	1835	268	Estrada, José Silvestre.....	Grant.....
947	1835	397	Garduño, Julian.....	Suit.....
948	1835	909	Sena, Miguel.....	Trespass.....
949	1835	910	Sanchez, Rafael.....	Suit.....

* Refused.

† Refused.

‡ Mine.

SCHEDULE—Continued.

No.	Year.	Index No.	Name.	Nature of document.
950	1836	628	Montes, José Victoriano.....	Grant.....
951	1836	675	Ortiz, Juan Felipe.....	Petition for land....
952	1836	713	Pino, Juan Esteban.....	Suit.....
953	1836	725	Quintana, Teodocio.....	Petition for land....
954	1837	149	Barcelo, Trinidad.....	Suit.....
955	1837	472	Langhan, John S.....	do.....
956	1839	69	Angel, José Manuel.....	Petition for land....
957	1839	256	Dolores, town of.....	Grant.....
958	1839	269	Esperance, Pierre.....	Petition for land....
959	1839	911	Sanchez, Rafaela.....	Trespass.....
960	1839	912	Sanchez, Manuel.....	Inventory of estate..
961	1839	1015	Terrus, Francisco Baca y.....	Petition for land....
962	1840	1016	Terrus, Francisco Baca y.....	Grant.....
963	1841	{ 150	Beaubien, Charles.....	} do.....
964	1841	{ 150	Miranda, Guadalupe.....	
965	1842	151	Boué, Santiago.....	do.....
966	1842	223	Chaves, Ignacio, et al.....	do.....
967	1842	224	Conejos river, settlement.....	do.....
968	1842	630	Moro, town of.....	Distribution of land..
969	1842	913	Santa Fé, corporation.....	Gift.....
970	1842	914	Sarracino, Juan José.....	Petition for land....
971	1843	225	Cordova, Juan de Jesus.....	Suit.....
972	1843	398 {	Griego, Luis.....	} Grant.....
973	1843		Garza, Florencio de la.....	
974	1843	399	Gutierrez, Maria de los Reyes.....	Will.....
975	1844	70	Aline, Juan Lorenzo.....	Possession of land....
976	1844	71	Armijo, Maria Concepcion.....	Suit.....
977	1844	72	Alarid, Juan Nepomuceno.....	Will.....
978	1844	73	Armijo, Maria Concepcion.....	Suit.....
979	1844	74	Armijo, Josefa.....	Conveyance.....
980	1844	75	Aguilar, Nasario.....	Possession of land....
981	1844	152	Barcelo, Maria Gertrudis.....	Conveyance.....
982	1844	153	Beaubien, Charles.....	Petition for land....
983	1844	226	Coquindo, Juan.....	Conveyance.....
984	1844	227	Carbonó, Luis.....	Grant.....
985	1844	228	Corporation of Santa Fé.....	Claim to Ciénega.....
986	1844	473	Leroux, Antoine.....	Grant.....
987	1844	474	Leyba, Carmen.....	Conveyance.....
988	1844	475	Lucero, Salvador.....	Suit.....
989	1844	631	Mascareña, Miguel.....	Grant.....
990	1844	632	Mascareña, Miguel.....	do.....
991	1844	633	Montoya, Felipe.....	do.....
992	1844	714	Perea, Nicolasa.....	Trespass.....
993	1844	715	Padilla, Rafael.....	Conveyance.....
994	1844	726	Padilla, Maria de los Angeles.....	do.....
995	1844	814	Romero, José Dolores.....	Suit.....
996	1844	915	Sandoval, Francisco.....	Conveyance.....
997	1844	916	Sandoval, Diego.....	Grant.....
998	1844	917	Sandoval, Diego.....	do.....
999	1844	918	Sapelló, settlement.....	Decision relative to..
1000	1844	1026	Uribarri, José Francisco.....	Petition for lands....
1001	1845	257	Doña Ana, colony.....	Correspondence rela- tive to.
1002	1845	634	Martinez, Margarita.....	Trespass.....
1003	1845	635	Manuelitas, town of.....	Titles to.....
1004	1845	676	Otero, Juan.....	Grant.....
1005	1845	919	Santa Fé, corporation of.....	Petition for land....
1006	1845	920	Sapelló.....	Refusal to grant.....
1007	1845	921	Scolley, John.....	Petition for land....

REPORT OF THE
SCHEDULE—Continued.

No.	Year.	Index No.	Name.	Nature of document.
1008	1845	922	Sanchez, Felipe	Conveyance
1009	1846	154	Aguilar, Luis	Petition for land
1010	1846	923	Chaperito, town of	Grant
1011	1846	636	Martinet, Chaffré	Petition for land
1012	1846	637	Montoya, Juan José	do do
1013	1846	677	Otero, Antonio José	Suit
1014	1846	815	Ramirez, Jorge	do

SURVEYOR GENERAL'S OFFICE,
Santa Fé, New Mexico, August 1, 1856.

The foregoing schedule contains a correct list of such of the documents relating to land in New Mexico as were selected from the public archives in Santa Fé.

WM. PELHAM,
Surveyor General of New Mexico

Abstract of the grants to land selected from the public records of the Territory, found in the archives of Santa Fe, New Mexico.

28

No.	Index No.	Date.	Name of grantees.	Locality of grant.	Name of granting officer.	Grade.	Remarks.
1	1	-----1685	Avalos, Pedro	Dofia Ana county	Domingo Jirouza Petros de Cruzate	Governor	Mine.
2	2	Feb. 1, 1696	Archuleta, Anada	Santa Fe county	Diego de Vargas Zapata Lusan	do	
3	8	Aug. 3, 1715	Arias de Quiros, Diego	Santa Fe county	Juan Ygnacio Flores Mogollon	do	
4	12	Mar. 23, 1717	Arias de Quiros, Diego	Santa Fe county	Juan Paez Hurtado	Lt. Governor	Mine.
5	24	Aug. 20, 1742	Archuleta, Juan Jose de	Santa Fe county	Gaspar Domingo de Mendoza	Governor	Mine.
6	25	Sept. 4, 1742	Archuleta, Juan Antonio de	Santa Fe county	Gaspar Domingo de Mendoza	do	
7	39	July 17, 1764	Armijo Manuel, et al.	Santa Fe county	Tomas Velez Cachupin	do	Mine.
8	43	Mar. 21, 1768	Alarid, Jose Ygnacio, et al	Rio Arriba county	Pedro Fermin de Mendinueta	do	
9	44	May 18, 1769	Armijo, Antonio de	Santa Fe county	Pedro Fermin de Mendinueta	do	
10	52	Feb. 14, 1789	Armenta, Antonio de	Santa Ana county	Fernanda de la Concha	do	
11	61	May 2, 1825	Abiquiu, town of	Rio Arriba county	Bartolome Baca	Political Chief	
12	35	Mar. 3, 1825	Armijo, Jose Nestor	San Miguel county	Provincial Deputation of New Mexico		
13	223	Feb. 18, 1842	Archuleta, Miguel	Santa Fe county	Juan Andres Archuleta	Prefect	
14	1014	Dec. 19, 1833	Abreu, Marcelina, et al.	Santa Fe county	Francisco Baca y Ortiz	First Alcalde	Mine.
15	84	May 16, 1727	Basquez, Jose	Rio Arriba county	Juan Domingo de Bustamante	Governor	Revoked by
16	86	Aug. 17, 1728	Brito, Juan de Leon	Santa Fe county	Gaspar Domingo de Mendoza	do	Cruzate.
17	114	Dec. 16, 1796	Baca, Baltazar	Socorro county	Pedro Fermin de Mendinueta	do	
18	125	Mar. 12, 1803	Bado, San Miguel del	San Miguel county	Fernando Chacon		
19	133	April 8, 1822	Baca, Jose	Santa Fe county	Corporation of Santa Fe		
20	135	Mar. 3, 1825	{ Benavides, Rafael ---- } { Benavides, Jesus ---- }	San Miguel county	Provincial Deputation of New Mexico		
21	137	{ -----1821 -----1825	{ Baca, Luis Maria Cabezade	San Miguel county	{ Provincial Deputation of Durango Provincial Deputation of New Mexico		
22	150	-----1841	Beaubien, Charles, et al.	Taos county	Provincial Deputation of New Mexico		
23	151	Oct. 31, 1842	Boné, Santiago	Taos county	Juan Andres Archuleta	Political Chief	
24	254	Dec. 16, 1826	Baca, Francisco y Ortiz	Santa Fe county	Provincial Deputation of New Mexico		
25	156	Mar. 9, 1707	Casabaga, Lorenzo de	Bernalillo county	Martin Hurtado	Alcalde Mayor	

ABSTRACT—Continued.

No.	Index No.	Date.	Name of grantee.	Locality of grant.	Name of granting officer.	Grade.	Remarks.
26	157	April 12, 1708	Casados, Francisco Jose de	Santa Fe county	El Marquez de la Pezuela	Governor	
27	167	Aug. 29, 1714	Crispin, Cristobal, et al	Rio Arriba county	Juan Ygnacio Flores Mogollon	do	
28	169	July 25, 1716	Corporation of Santa Fe	Santa Fe county	Juan Ygnacio Flores Mogollon	do	
29	180	Aug. 8, 1742	Crispin, Gregorio	Santa Fe county	Gaspar Domingo de Mendoza	do	
30	199	June 15, 1767	Chaves, Antonio, & Pedro	Bernalillo county	Pedro Fermin de Mendinueta	do	
31	200	Jan. 20, 1768	Chaves, Ygnacio, et al	Bernalillo county	Pedro Fermin de Mendinueta	do	
32	205	Jan. 23, 1800	Cebolleta, town of	Valencia county	Fernando Chacon	do	
33	207	July 24, 1808	Cebolleta, town of	Valencia county	Alberto Manez	do	
34	214	May 29, 1819	Cebolleta, town of	Valencia county	Facunda Melgares	do	
35	218	Feb. 25, 1825	Chaves, Antonio	Socorro county	Territorial Deputation of New Mexico		
36	219	May 2, 1829	Cañada, inhabitants of	Taos county	Provincial Deputation of New Mexico		
37	223	Feb. 18, 1842	Chaves, Ygnacio, et al	Santa Fe county	Juan Andres Archuleta	Prefect	
38	224	Mar. 4, 1842	Conesos river	Taos county	Provincial Deputation of New Mexico		
39	227	July 8, 1844	Carbono, Luis	Taos county	Juan Andres Archuleta	Prefect	
40	229	Mar. 10, 1846	Chaperito, town of	San Miguel county	Departmental Assembly of New Mexico		
41	674	Dec. 18, 1833	Cano, Ygnacio, et al	Santa Fe county	Francisco Baca y Ortiz	First alcalde	Mine.
42	923	Mar. 10, 1846	Chaperito, town of	San Miguel county	Departmental Assembly of New Mexico		
43	1020	Feb. 22, 1710	Cordoba, Simon, et al	Rio Arriba county	El Marquez de la Pezuela	Governor	
44	232	Sept. 24, 1715	Dominguez, Benito	Santa Fe county	Juan Ygnacio Flores Mogollon	do	
45	237	Aug. 16, 1743	Duran, Jose	Santa Fe county	Gaspar Domingo de Mendoza	do	
46	60	Mar. 3, 1825	Duran, Agustin, et al	San Miguel county	Provincial Deputation of New Mexico		Confirmat'n of grant.
47	254	Dec. 16, 1826	Duran, Agustin, et al	Santa Fe county	Provincial Deputation of New Mexico		
48	256	Aug. 9, 1839	Dolores, Real de, town of	Santa Fe county	Provincial Deputation of New Mexico		
49	865	June 2, 1763	Duran, Manuel, et al	Chalchiguite	Tomas Velez Cachupin	Governor	Mine.
50	1020	Feb. 22, 1710	Duran, Sebastian, et al	Rio Arribi county	El Marquez de la Pezuela	do	
51	268	Oct. 22, 1835	Estrada, Jose Silvestre	Taos county	Archuleta, Juan Andres	Prefect	
52	277	Mar. 13, 1772	San Fernando and San Blas, towns of	Puerco river	Pedro Fermin de Mendinueta	Governor	
53	282	Feb. 15, 1824	Fernandez, Domingo	Santa Fe county	Corporation of Santa Fe		
54	865	June 2, 1763	Fernandez, Bartolome, et al	Chalchiguite	Tomas Velez Cachupin	Governor	Mine

55	291	May 11, 1793	Garcia de Noriega, Maria	Santa Fe county	Pedro Rodriguez Cubero	do
56	297	Feb. 6, 1796	Garcia, Francisco	Rio Arriba county	Martin Hurtado	Senior Justice
57	167	Aug. 29, 1714	Griego, Nicolas	Rio Arriba county	Juan Ygnacio Flores Mogollon	Governor
58	309	June 16, 1715	Guejosa, Francisca An nia de	Taos county	Juan Ygnacio Flores Mogollon	do
59	314	Oct. 7, 1716	Garcia, Francisco	Bernalillo county	Felix Martinez	do
60	315	Nov. 5, 1716	Gutierrez, Antonio	Bernalillo county	Felix Martinez	do
61	320	Feb. 17, 1735	Garcia de Noriega, Juan Esteban	Rio Arriba county	Juan Paez Hurtado	Lt. Governor
62	322	April 14, 1735	Garcia de Rivas, Manuela	Rio Arriba county	Juan Paez Hurtado	do
63	332	Aug. 22, 1742	Gonzales, Salvador	Santa Fe county	Gaspar Domingo de Mendoza	Governor
64	336	Sept. 20, 1744	Gonzales, Sebastiana, heirs of	Santa Fe county	Joaquin Codallos y Rabal	do
65	337	Jan. 10, 1745	Griego, Maria	Santa Fe county	Joaquin Codallos y Rabal	do
66	352	June 7, 1752	Gabaldon, Juan	Santa Fe county	Tomas Velez Cachupin	do
67	375	Mar. 6, 1798	Garcia, Francisco and An tonio	Santa Ana county	Fernando Chacon	do
68	378	April 7, 1807	Garcia de la Mora, Jose	Rio Arriba county	Joaquin de Alencaster	do
69	398	Feb. 4, 1843	Griego, Luis	Santa Fe county	Corporation of Santa Fe	
70			Garza, Florencio de la			
71	519	Mar. 29, 1735	Garcia, Jose de	Rio Arriba county	Hurtado, Juan Paez	Lt. Governor
72	400	July 4, 1700	Herrera, Tomas de	Santa Fe county	Diego de Vargas Zapata Lujan	Revoked by Cruzate.
73	410	April 19, 1823	Heath, John G	Dofia Ana county	Corporation of El Paso	
74	223	Feb. 18, 1842	Hidalgo, Urcanor, et al	Santa Fe county	Juan Andres Archuleta	Prefect
75	413	Feb. 12, 1746	Herrera, Manuel de, et al	Rio Arriba county	Joaquin Codallos y Rabal	Governor
76	411	Mar. 5, 1699	Jorge, Ysabel	Santa Fe county	Pedro Rodriguez Cubero	do
77	413	Feb. 12, 1746	Jaramillo, Roque Jacinto	Rio Arriba county	Joaquin Codallos y Rabal	do
78	416	Nov. 5, 1753	Jurado, Ramon Garcia	Puerco river	Tomas Velez Cachupin	do
79	420	Feb. 27, 1768	Jaramillo, Jose and Xavier	Bernalillo county	Francisco Trebol Navarro	do
80	421	July 20, 1769	Jaramillo, Luis	Navajo county	Pedro Fermin de Mendinueta	do
81	422	Jan. 15, 1693	Lucero de Godoy, Juan	Santa Fe county	Diego de Vargas Zapata Lujan	do
82	423	July 30, 1697	Lucero de Godoy, Antonio	Santa Fe county	Pedro Rodriguez Cubero	do
83	433	Aug. 27, 1714	Lobato, Bartolome	Rio Arriba county	Juan Ygnacio Flores Mogollon	do
84	435	Nov. 25, 1715	Lobato, Bartolome	Rio Arriba county	Juan Ygnacio Flores Mogollon	do
85	436	Dec. 13, 1715	Lobato, Bartolome	Rio Arriba county	Felix Martinez	do
86	437	Nov. 14, 1716	Lobato, Bartolome	Rio Arriba county	Juan Paez Hurtado	Lt. Governor
87	441	May 25, 1728	Leiba, Jose de	Santa Fe county	Juan Domingo de Bustamante	Governor
88	445	Aug. 22, 1742	Lobato, Juan Cayetano	Santa Fe county	Gaspar Domingo de Mendoza	do
89	135	March 3, 1825	Lobato, Pedro, et al	San Miguel county	Provincial Deputation of New Mexico	do

ABSTRACT No. 2—Continued.

No.	Index No.	Date.	Name of grantee.	Locality of grant.	Name of granting officer.	Grade.	Remarks.
90	223	Feb. 18, 1842	Lujan, Maria de Jesus----	Santa Fe county----	Juan Andres Archuleta----	Prefect-----	-----
90	473	Jan. 30, 1844	Leroux, Antoine-----	Taos county-----	Juan Andres Archuleta-----	do-----	-----
91	1020	Feb. 22, 1710	Lobato, Bartolome, et al..	Rio Arriba county--	El Marquez de la Pezuela----	Governor-----	-----
92	476	Sept. 18, 1693	Madrid, Roque-----	Santa Fe county----	Diego de Vargas Zapata Lujan	do-----	-----
93	477	March 2, 1695	Martin, Domingo-----	Santa Fe county----	Diego de Vargas Zapata Lujan	do-----	-----
94	478	Mar. 21, 1695	Maese, Luis-----	Santa Fe county----	Diego de Vargas Zapata Lujan	do-----	-----
95	493	July 10, 1713	Mendoza, Gonzalo Hoyo de	Rio Arriba county--	Juan Ygnacio Flores Mogollon	do-----	-----
96	500	Mar. 18, 1716	Montoya, Antonio-----	Santa Ana county--	Felix Martinez-----	do-----	-----
97	501	Mar. 27, 1716	Martin, Francisco-----	Rio Arriba county--	Francisco Rodriguez Cubero----	do-----	-----
98	503	Oct. 26, 1716	Martinez, Antonio-----	Taos county-----	Felix Martinez-----	do-----	-----
99	507	Mar. 30, 1721	Martin, Antonio-----	Bernalillo county--	Juan Domingo de Bustamante----	do-----	-----
100	-----	-----	{ Martin, Gerónimo-----	Rio Arriba county--	Juan Paez Hurtado-----	Lt. Governor-----	-----
101	518	March 2, 1735	{ Martin, Ygnacio-----				
			{ Manzanares, Pascual--				
			{ Manzanares, Tomas---				
102	519	Mar. 29, 1735	Martin, Jacinto-----	Rio Arriba county--	Juan Paez Hurtado-----	do-----	Revoked by Cruzate.
103	527	July 22, 1742	Maese, Catarina-----	Santa Fe county----	Gaspar Domingo de Mendoza----	Governor-----	-----
104	529	July 24, 1744	Martin, Jacinto-----	Taos county-----	Joaquin Codallos y Rabal-----	do-----	-----
105	557	Aug. 12, 1762	Mestas, Joaquin-----	Bernalillo county--	Tomas Velez Cachupin-----	do-----	-----
106	568	Feb. 11, 1766	Martin, Juan Pablo-----	Socorro county----	Tomas Velez Cachupin-----	do-----	-----
107	576	July 16, 1767	Montoya, Santiago-----	Bernalillo county--	Tomas Velez Cachupin-----	do-----	-----
108	581	Jan. 20, 1768	Mestas, Joaquin-----	Bernalillo county--	Pedro Fermin de Mendinueta----	do-----	-----
109	582	Feb. 9, 1768	Miera, Bernardo de-----	Valencia county----	Pedro Fermin de Mendinueta----	do-----	-----
110	583	March 7, 1768	Montoya, Nerio Antonio--	Santa Ana county--	Pedro Fermin de Mendinueta----	do-----	-----
111	584	Sept 16, 1768	Montoya, Paulin-----	Santa Ana county--	Pedro Fermin de Mendinueta----	do-----	-----
112	615	Aug. 12, 1820	Martin, Manuel-----	Rio Arriba county--	Facundo Malgares-----	do-----	-----
113	616	April 8, 1822	Mirabal, Maria Nieves----	Santa Fe county----	Corporation of Santa Fe-----	-----	-----
114	618	Nov. 19, 1824	Montoya, Pablo-----	San Miguel county--	Provincial Deputation of New Mexico	-----	-----
115	628	Sept. 26, 1836	Montoya, Jose Victoriano--	Rio Arriba county--	Jose Albino Perez-----	Governor-----	-----
116	150	-----, 1841	Miranda, Guadalupe, et al	Taos county-----	Provincial Deputation of New Mexico	-----	-----

117	631	March 7, 1844	Mascarellas, Miguel	Taos county	Juan Andres Archuleta	Profect	
118	632	July 2, 1844	Mascarellas, Miguel	Taos county	Juan Andres Archuleta	do	
119	633	July 2, 1844	Montoya, Felipe	Taos county	Juan Andres Archuleta	do	
120	1009	Nov. 19, 1824	Montoya, Salvador, et al.	San Miguel county	Provincial Deputation of New Mexico		
121	60	Mar. 30, 1825	Martinez, Jose, et al.	San Miguel county	Provincial Deputation of New Mexico		Confirmat'n
122	1020	Feb. 22, 1710	Madrid, Matias & Jose, et al.	Rio Arriba county	El Marquez de la Pezuela	Governor	of grant.
123	638	May 10, 1700	Nieto, Cristobal	Santa Fe county	Pedro Rodriguez Cubero	do	
124	780	Feb. 17, 1765	Nutrias, town of	Valencia county	Tomas Velez Cachupin	do	
125	640	July 12, 1714	Ortiz, Nicolas	Santa Fe county	Juan Ygnacio Flores Mogollon	do	
126	644	Mar. 11, 1735	Ontiberos, Josefa de	Rio Arriba county	Juan Paez Hurtado	Lt. Governor	Revoked by
127	645	May 20, 1742	Ortiz, Nicolas	Santa Fe county	Gaspar Domingo de Mendoza	Governor	Cruzate.
128	649	July 24, 1751	Olquin, Bartolome	Taos county	Tomas Velez Cachupin	do	
129	664	Sept. 11, 1793	Ojo, Caliente, town of	Rio Arriba county	Fernando de la Concha	do	
130	670	April 12, 1824	Ortiz, Ygnacio	Santa Fe county	Bartolome Baca	Political chief	
131	673	Mar. 3, 1833	Ortiz, Juan Rafael	Santa Fe county	Corporation of Santa Fe	do	
132	674	Dec. 18, 1833	Ortiz, Francisco and Cano Ygnacio	Santa Fe county	Francisco Baca y Ortiz	First Alcalde	Mine
133	676	Mar. 15, 1845	Otero, Juan	Valencia county	Departmental assembly of New Mexico		
134	727	April 30, 1819	Ortiz, Antonio	San Miguel county	Facundo Melgares	Governor	
135	802		Ortiz, Marcelino	San Cristobal			
136	681	May 14, 1718	Padilla, Diego de	Bernalillo county	Antonio Valverde Cossio	Governor	
137	688	Oct. 21, 1753	San Fernando & San Blas	Puerco river	Tomas Velez Cachupin	do	
138	582	Feb. 9, 1768	Padilla, Pedro	Valencia county	Pedro Fermin de Mendinueta	do	
139	706	Dec. 3, 1818	Perez, Jose Miguel	Taos county	Mining Deputation of Chihuahua		
140	710	Mar. 3, 1825	Perea, Pedro Jose	San Miguel county	Territorial Deputation of New Mexico		
141	398	Feb. 4, 1843	Provencio, Francisco, et al.	Santa Fe county	Corporation of Santa Fe		
142	719	April 1, 1761	Quelites, town of	Valencia county	Francisco Martin del Valle	Governor	
143	733	Sept. 24, 1704	Real de Aquilar, Alfonso	Santa Fe county	Juan Paez Hurtado	Lt. Governor	
144	739	Aug. 12, 1713	Real de Aquilar, Alfonso	Santa Fe county	Juan Ygnacio Flores Mogollon	Governor	
145	741	Oct. 17, 1716	Romero, Francisco Xavier	Rio Arriba county	Felix Martinez	do	
146	769	April 27, 1750	Real de Aquilar Alfonso, heirs of	Santa Fe county	Tomas Velez Cachupin	do	
147	771	Mar. 18, 1754	Romero, Juan de Dios	Taos county	Tomas Velez Cachupin	do	
148	780	Feb. 17, 1768	Romero, Pedro	Valencia county	Tomas Velez Cachupin	do	
149	791	Feb. 1, 1771	Romero, Pedro, et al.	Valencia county	Pedro Fermin de Mendinueta	do	
150	801	Dec. 23, 1815	Red River	Taos county			Imperfect.
151	802		Roibal, Vicente	San Cristobal			Imperfect.
152	615	Aug. 12, 1820	Romero, Pablo	Rio Arriba county	Facundo Melgares	Governor	

ABSTRACT No. 2—Continued.

No.	Index No.	Date.	Name of grantee.	Locality of grant.	Name of granting officer.	Grade.	Remarks.
153	807	Mar. 1, 1825	Rivera, Miguel.....	San Miguel county.	Departmental assembly of New Mexico	-----	-----
154	809	Mar. 16, 1826	Rivera, Manuel.....	Santa Fe county.	Territorial Deputation of New Mexico	-----	-----
155	817	May 8, 1696	Santa Cruz, town of.....	Rio Arriba county.	Diego de Vargas Zapata Lujan.....	Governor	-----
156	819	Mar. 9, 1699	Silva, Antonio de.....	Rio Arriba county.	Pedro Rodriguez Cubero.....	do	-----
157	822	Dec. 23, 1703	Sanchez, Jacinto.....	Santa Ana county.	El Marquez de la Nava de Brazinos.....	do	-----
158	824	July 27, 1707	Sanchez, Bartolome.....	Rio Arriba county.	Francisco Cuervo y Valdez.....	do	-----
159	827	Nov. 25, 1711	Sanchez, Bartolome.....	Rio Arriba county.	El Marquez de la Pezuela.....	do	-----
160	829	Aug. 25, 1714	Salazar, Antonio de.....	Rio Arriba county.	Juan Ygnacio Flores Mogollon.....	do	-----
161	830	April 28, 1715	Serna, Cristobal de.....	Taos county.	El Marquez de la Pezuela.....	do	-----
262	833	Jan. 4, 1716	Sanchez, Bartolome.....	Rio Arriba county.	Juan Garcia de la Riva.....	do	-----
163	848	April 7, 1748	Sandia, mission of.....	Bernalillo county.	Joaquin Codallos y Rabal.....	do	-----
164	865	June 2, 1763	Sena, Tomas Antonio, et al.	Chalchiguite.....	Tomas Velez Cachupin.....	do	Mine
165	892	April 8, 1822	Sandoval, Matias.....	Santa Fe county.	Corporation of Santa Fe.....	-----	-----
166	893	April 8, 1822	Sena, Rafael.....	Santa Fe county.	Corporation of Santa Fe.....	-----	-----
167	894	April 8, 1822	Sandoval, Felipe.....	Santa Fe county.	Corporation of Santa Fe.....	-----	-----
168	398	Feb. 4, 1843	Silva, Juan and Jose, et al.	Santa Fe county.	Corporation of Santa Fe.....	-----	-----
169	916	Oct. 5, 1844	Sandoval, Diego.....	Taos county.	-----	-----	-----
170	917	Oct. 5, 1844	Sandoval, Francisco.....	Taos county.	-----	-----	-----
171	923	Mar. 10, 1846	Saenz, Juan, et al.....	San Miguel county.	Departmental assembly of New Mexico.	-----	-----
172	926	Jan. 3, 1701	Trujillo, Diego.....	Rio Arriba county.	Pedro Rodriguez Cubero.....	Governor	-----
173	936	Aug. 11, 1716	Tamariz, Felipe.....	Santa Fe county.	Felix Martinez.....	do	-----
174	941	May 7, 1722	Tafoya, Altamira Cristobal	Rio Arriba county.	Juan Domingo de Bustamante.....	do	-----
175	949	Mar. 30, 1730	Tafoya, Juan and Antonio.	Rio Arriba county.	Gervacio Cruzate de Góngora.....	do	-----
176	954	Aug. 23, 1734	Trujillo, Bartolome.....	Rio Arriba county.	Gervacio Cruzate de Góngora.....	do	-----
177	956	----- 1733	Tome, town of.....	Valencia county.	Gaspar Domingo de Mendoza.....	do	-----
178	962	June 2, 1742	Tapia, Tomas.....	Santa Fe county.	Gaspar Domingo de Mendoza.....	do	-----
179	975	July 18, 1751	Las Trampas, settlement of	Taos county.	Tomas Velez Cachupin.....	do	-----
180	976	Oct. 5, 1752	Trujillo, Bartolome.....	Rio Arriba county.	Tomas Velez Cachupin.....	do	-----
181	1006	Nov. 13, 1813	Trujillo, Francisco.....	Rio Arriba county.	Manuel Garcia.....	Justice of Peace	-----
182	1008	Feb. 11, 1819	Tafoya, Ygnacio, et al.....	Bernalillo county.	Facundo Melgares.....	Governor	-----
183	1009	Nov. 19, 1824	Tecolote, town of.....	San Miguel county.	Provincial Deputation of New Mexico.	-----	Claim filed & confirmed; original much torn, and very imperfect.

184	1014	Dec. 19, 1833	Talomo, Dolores	Santa Fe county	Francisco Baca y Ortiz	First Alcalde	Mine
185	1016	June 4, 1840	Terrus, Francisco Baca y	Santa Fe county	Juan Andres Archuleta	Prefect	
186	1017	Nov. 2, 1709	Ulibarri, Juan de	Bernalillo county	El Marquez de la Pezuela	Governor	
187	1018	Dec. 1, 1709	Ulibarri, Juan de	Santa Fe county	El Marquez de la Pezuela	do	Mine
188	1019	Jan. 11, 1719	Ulibarri, Juan de	Santa Fe county	El Marquez de la Pezuela	do	
189	1020	Feb. 22, 1710	Ulibarri, Juan de, et al	Rio Arriba county	El Marquez de la Pezuela	do	
190	1022	Jan. 20, 1735	Ulibarri, Antonio de	Rio Abajo	Juan Paez Hurtado	Lt. Governor	
191	1029	Jan. 2, 1710	Vigil, Francisco Montes	Bernalillo county	El Marquez de la Pezuela	Governor	
192	1041	Nov. 11, 1736	Velasco, Diego	Rio Arriba county	Juan Domingo de Bustamante	do	
193	967	June 11, 1745	Villalpando, Jose	Rio Arriba county	Tomas Velez Cachupin	do	
194	1051	Feb. 7, 1753	Varela, Antonio	Bernalillo county	Tomas Velez Cachupin	do	
195	1053	May 16, 1754	Vigil, Juan Montes	Taos county	Tomas Velez Cachupin	do	
196	1062	Sept. 2, 1790	Velarde, Jose Manuel	Bernalillo county	Fernando de la Concha	do	
197	1064	June 22, 1815	Valverde, Jose Antonio	Santa Ana county	Alberto Maynez	do	

The foregoing abstract contains the grants selected from the public records of the Territory, found in the archives of the former governments, deposited at Santa Fe, New Mexico.

WILLIAM PELHAM, *Surveyor General of New Mexico.*

SURVEYOR GENERAL'S OFFICE, SANTA FÉ, NEW MEXICO, *September 30, 1856.*

CLAIM No. 1.

Schedule of documents accompanying claim No. 1.

Grant, Spanish, copy, No. 1.

Grant, translation, No. 2.

Justo Pino and wife, to Preston Beck, jr., conveyance, No. 3.

Manuel D. Pino and wife, to Preston Beck, jr., conveyance, No. 4.

Testimony, No. 5.

Notice, No. 6.

Decision, No. 7.

Grant of the farm (Sitio) of the "Ojito de las Gallinas," given in favor of Don Juan Estevan Pino, with the title of "Hacienda de San Juan Bautista del Ojito del Rio de las Gallinas," year 1823.

Señor Geffe Politico Superior Interino de esta Provincia.

El ciudadano Juan Esteban Pino, vecino y residente en esta ciudad de Santa Fé, capital de la misma provincia del Nuevo Mejico, ante VS. con el debido respeto, hago presente: Que hallandome dueño de considerable número de vienes de campo, y no tener un sitio propio y capaz de poderlos custodiar con alguna seguridad y mé todo para su adelantamiento progresivo; y siendome indispensable solicitar los medios que coadyuben á la industria á que aspiro par sostener, con la decencia y decora, que siempre he acostumbrado, á numerosa familia que me rodea. En tal virtud, suplico á VS. si lo tuviere asi de justicia, me conceda, á nombre del supremo gobierno de la nacion Mejicana, y con el título de merced, el sitio del Ojito que llaman de las Gallinas, que está por el camino que va á el de las Conchas, á los rumbos de oriente á sur, puliendo por sus linderos los puntos siguientes; por el norte, las mojoneras del sitio de D. Antonio Ortiz, y la mesa del Aguage de la Yegua; por el sur, el Rio de Pecos; por el oriente, la mesa de Pajarito; y por el poniente, la punta de la mesa de los Chupaines; en donde ofrezco, siempre que VS. se serva donar á mi favor dicho sitio, el que se denominará "*Hacienda de San Juan Bautista del Ojito del rio de las Gallinas.*" Poseerlos con mis expresados bienes de campo y abrir labor en la tierra de dicho sitio, segun lo permitan las aguas y localidades: sirviendose VS. elevar esta mi solicitud á la Exma. diputacion provincial de este Territorio, para que, en su vista, y con el consejo de dicha corporacion, proveer VS. lo que estime conveniente, por todo lo cual—á VS. pido y suplico se sirva hacer como llevo pedido en lo que recibiré la gracia que impetro, costas, protestas, y lo necesario.

Santa Fé, 6 de Diciembre de 1823, 3^o y 2^o.

JUAN ESTEVAN PINO.

[Rubric]

Don Bartolomé Baca, Gefe Superior Politico Interino de la Provincia del Nuevo Méjico y Presidente de la Exma. Diputacion Provincial de su Territorio, &c.

Por presentada y admitida la presente solicitud que antecede (en el comun por no haber de ningun sello en esta provincia) pase á la Exma. diputacion provincial para que dicha honorable corporacion se acuerde lo que estime conveniente a pedimento del interesado, publicandolo á SE. que el que firma esta decreto haga las reflexiones siguientes :

1^a. No ignora SE. que la reduccion de los terrenos comunes á dominio particular es una de las Providencias que mas imperiosamente requieren el bien de los pueblos y el fomento de la agricultura é industria: y que es un socorro á los ciudadanos industriosos no propietarios. 2^a. Tampoco se puede ocultar á SE. que en esta provincia el retraso de su agricultura é industria, particularmente en las crias de ganados mayores y menores, ha sido por el inveterado abuso de que todos los terrenos sean comunes, por cuya causa ninguno se fomenta: y asi se ve de un solo golpe que sesando semejante preocupacion progresaran los ganados de as ta, lunar y caballar, pudiendo contar la provincia con algunos ascenderos de proporciones y esto mismo servirá de estimulo á los demas ciudadanos que no sean indolentes. 3^a. Que siendo tanto el número de vagos que hai en esta provincia y no habiendo en toda ella ningun establecimiento público de correccion, resulta que a causa de no haber adonde destinar á los agresores, por esta falta quedan los delitos comunes, y las autoridades atropelladas. 4^a. Que exijidos los terrenos comunes (y mas los que como el que solicita esta parte, se hallan á gran distancia de las poblaciones) á dominio particular, resulta un auxilio á los fondos públicos, un recurso á las autoridades, y lo que es mas, la extencion de la poblacion, á costa de un solo particular. Por lo supuesto, y no resultar perjuicio de tercero, puede SE. si lo tuviere á bien, segun las reflexiones que dejo sentadas, conceder la merced que solicita el interesado, pues en hacerlo asi acreditará SE. que promueve las trabas que diametralmente se oponen á los ramos principales de la agricultura é industria de esta provincia, que yacen aletargados por la preocupacion de sus propios habitantes, advirtiendo que el pretente tiene suficientes bienes de campo para ocupar el terreno que solicita.

Santa Fé del Nuevo Méjico, 18 de Diciembre de 1823.

BARTOLOMÉ BACA.

Certifico yo el infraescrito Secretario de la Exma. Diputacion Provincial de este Territorio de la Provincia del Nuevo Mexico que en el libro de actas de las sesiones de dicha corporacion á fojas 75 número 70, á la vuelta de dicha foja se halla estampado el párrafo siguiente :

“El Señor Presidente manifestó una solicitud de Don Juan Esteban Pino, reducida á que se le señale, mercene, y documento en debida forma un terreno capaz para criadero de ganado mayor, menor y caballada haciendo en el referido terreno una labor como lo permitan sus aguas y localidad; pidiendo se le señalen por linderos en el ex-

presado sitio, que deberá tener el titulo de *Hacienda de San Juan Bautista del Ojito del Rio de las Gallinas*, los puntos siguientes : Por el norte, las mohoneras del sitio de Don Antonio Ortiz y la mesa del aguajeda la Yegua; por el sur, el Rio de Pecos; por el oriente, mesa de Pajarito; y por el ponient, la punta de la mesa de los Chupaines. Admitido á discucion, y despues de haber hecho varias reflexiones los Señores Don Antonio Ortiz y Don Pedro Garcia, se resolvió, que sin mas demora se le conceda al referido Don Juan Esteban Pino el sitio que solicita, pues de la preocupacion de no señalar propiedad en los terrenos comunes resultaba el todo, ó la mayor parte del retraso de la agricultura é industria de este provincia; y que para que dicha concesion no carezca de ningun requisito, acordó esta diputacion que por su secretario se le den las constancias que lo acrediten como á propietario de aquel terreno, en el que deberá poner dicho Señor Pino formales mohoneras que hagan señal de sus tierras propias, concediendose las á nombre del supremo gobierno de la nacion Mejicana, y como á ciudadano que está en todo el ejercicio de sus derechos, sin que por falta de requisito, que en su solicitud no se exprese, deje de reconocerse en esta provincia como legitimo dueño del terreno que se le ha concedido; advirtiendole que SE. ha visto con la mayor deferencia la expresada solicitud.

Concuenda fiel y legal can la original que existe en esta secretaria que es á mi cargo. Santa Fé, 23 Diciembre de mil ochocientos veinte y tres, tercero la Independencia y segundo de la Libertad.

JUAN BAUTISTA VIGIL, *Secretario*

En la ciudad de Santa Fé, capital de la provincia del Nuevo Méjico, á los veinte y tres dias del mes de Diciembre, de mil ochocientos veinte y tres tercero de la Independencia y segundo de la Libertad, Yo Don Bartolomé Baca, Gefe Politico superior interino, de esta provincia, en virtud de las facultades que me son conferidas y de que han estado revestidos mis antecesores, previo el acuerdo de la Exma. Diputacion Provincial de este Territorio, he venido en conceder, á nombre del supremo gobierno de la nacion, á Don Juan Esteban Pino, y por esta credencial de merced, el sitio que solicita en el Rio de las Gallinas, que deberá llamarse *Hacienda de San Juan Bautista del Ojito del Rio de las Gallinas*, reconociendo por linderos, por el norte, las mohoneras del sitio de Don Antonio Ortiz y la mesa del Aguaje de la Yegua; por el sur, el Rio de Pecos; por el oriente, la mesa de Pajarito; y por el poniente, la punta de la mesa de los Chupaines; en cuyos puntos fijos deberá poner mohoneras formules y bien construidas, para que en tiempo se reconozca por linea divisoria de las tierras que se le han concedido; para que con arreglo á las leyes de la materia, que ahora rigen, ó que en adelante requieren pueda gozarlas par si y sus herederos legitimos, con lo demas que previenen las generales del derecho; y que poniendose en posesion por los términos acostumbrados, pueda dicho propietario poner en aquel terreno las mejoras que le sean convenientes, constru y endo casas, corrales, y todo aquello que es consiguiente al logro de la industria que va á plantear. Y por esta diligencia asi lo decreté mandé y firmé con los testigos de mi asistencia á falta de mi Secretario y de Escribano Nacional que no le hay de nin-

una clase en toda la comprehencion de esta provincia que es á mi cargo, y en el presente papel comun por que no le hay del sellado. Dado en la referida ciudad, en el referido dia, mes y año que de todo doy fee.

BARTOLOMÉ BACA.

RAFAEL SARRACINO, } *Asistencias.*
SANTIAGO ABREU, }

En la referida ciudad de Santa Fé á los veinte y cuatro dias del mes de Diciembre de mil ochocientos veinte y tres 3^o y 2^o., Yo el referido Señor Gefe Politico Dip., que en virtud de haber concedido á Don Juan Esteban Pino, la merced del sitio de la Hacienda de San Juan Bautista del Ojito del Rio de las Gallinas, segun consta en esta expediente, debia mandar y mandé que archivandose estas diligencias, se facilite al interesado una copia para que le sirva de constancia en todo tiempo. Y por este anto asi lo decreté, mandé y firmé con los testigos de mi asistencia de que doi fee.

BARTOLOMÉ BACA.

JOÉ RAFAEL SARRACINO, } *Asistencias.*
SANTIAGO ABREU, }

Es copia del original que queda en este archivo que es á mi cargo. Santa Fé, 27 de Diciembre de 1823.

BARTOLOMÉ BACA.

En el nueva Hacienda de San Juan Bautista del Ojito del Rio de las Gallinas á los veinte y nueve dias del mes de Agosto del año corriente de 1825, Yo Don Tomas Sena, Alcalde constitucional de la jurisdiccion de San Miguel del Bado de Pecos, en virtud de la orden que en oficio, veinte del corriente me comunica el Señor Don Bartolomé Baca, Gefe Superior Politico Interino de este Territorio del Nuevo Méjico que original antecede á esta diligencia, y previa la merced de terreno concedida por la Exma. Diputacion Territorial, á Don Juan Esteban Pino, vecino de la ciudad de Santa Fé, a quien doy fee conozco, certifico y juro haberme presentado los enunciados documentos con los cuales acredito ipso facto, que el referido Don Juan Esteban Pino es dueño legitimo de la resada hacienda de San Juan Bautista del Ojito del Rio de las Gallinas, en fee de lo cual, y cumpliendo con lo aprehendido en la citada superior orden, he puesto en posion de dicha hacienda, presentando con los mi asistencia las mohoneras que cita la misma merced, concididas por toda la jurisdiccion de mi cargo, en los linderos que cita en su licitud, y la merced que se le ha concedido, declarando, que desde esta fecha le empieza á correr el tiempo, que para corroborar su derecho le favorece el axioma probado en las leyes de posecion, &c.

Dado en la nueva hacienda de San Juan Bautista del Ojito del Rio de las Gallinas, dicho dia, mes y año de que certifico, firmando el presente documento con los testigos de mi asistencia, á falta de Escribano Municipal, que no lo hay en todo este Territorio, de que doy fee.

TOMAS SENA,
Alcalde Presidente.

JOSÉ MIGUEL SENA, *Asistencia.*

Ante mi :

JOSÉ MIGUEL SANCHEZ,
Secretario de Ayuntamiento.

PECOS, *Julio 15 de 1848.*

Traspasamos todo el derecho y accion que tenemos en estos documentos en favor de nuestras esposas Doña Josefa Ortiz y Doña Gertrudis Rascon, con todas las formalidades que el derecho requiera, haciendoles gracia y donacion de los terrenos que contienen dichos documentos, para, mera é irrevocable, con todos los requisitos que sean necesarios, mas deba y pueda valer y para constancia lo firmamos con dos testigos, hoy dia de la fecha.

MANUEL DOROTEO PINO.
JUSTO PASTOR PINO.

MANUEL VARELA, }
BLAS ORTEGA, } *Testigos.*

TERRITORY OF NEW MEXICO, }
County of Santa Fé. }

Before me, the undersigned, clerk of the United States district court for the first judicial district of said Territory, this day personally appeared the above named Manuel Doroteo Pino, to me personally known to be the identical person who signed the foregoing deed, acknowledged that he signed, sealed, and delivered the same as his voluntary act and deed, on the day of the date thereof.

In testimony whereof, I have hereunto set my hand, and affixed [SEAL.] the seal of said court, this 20th day of June, 1853.

R. H. TOMPKINS, *Clerk*

SURVEYOR GENERAL'S OFFICE, TRANSLATOR'S DEPARTMENT,
Santa Fé, New Mexico, September 30, 1856.

The foregoing is a true copy of the original grant and documents therewith accompanying, on file in this office.

DAVID V. WHITING,
Translator

SURVEYOR GENERAL'S OFFICE,
Santa Fé, New Mexico, September 30, 1856.

David V. Whiting, whose signature appears subscribed to the foregoing certificate, was, at the time of signing the same, the translator at this office.

WM. PELHAM,
Surveyor General

[Translation.]

Sir, acting superior political chief of this province :

The citizen Juan Estevan Pino, inhabitant and resident of this city of Santa Fé, capital of the same province of New Mexico, before

your honor, with due respect, represents: That finding himself the owner of a considerable amount of stock, (vienes de campo,) and having no proper and capable place in which to take care of it, with security and method for its progressive advancement, and being indispensable for me to solicit the means that assist industry, to which I aspire, to sustain, with the decency and respect which I have always esteemed, a numerous family with which I am surrounded; in virtue of which I pray your honor, if you should so consider it just, to grant to me, in the name of the supreme government of the Mexican nation, and with the title of *grant*, the place of the Little Spring, (Ojito,) which is called of Las Gallinas, which is on the road which goes to the one of Las Conchas, in the direction of east and south, asking for its boundaries the following points: on the north the landmarks of the farm of Don Antonio Ortiz, and the table lands of the "Aguage de la Yagua;" on the south the river Pecos; on the east the table land of Pagarito; and on the west the point of the table land of Las Chupaines—where I offer, provided your honor is pleased to grant said place in my favor, which will be styled "*Hacienda de San Juan Baptista del Ojito del Rio de las Gallinas*," to possess with my afore-said stock (vienes de campo) and open cultivation (labor) on the lands of said farm as the localities and water will allow. Your honor being pleased to elevate this my request to the most excellent provincial deputation of this Territory, in order that in view of it, and with the force of said corporation, your honor will provide what you may deem proper, wherefore, I request and pray your honor to do as I request, by which I will receive the grace I impetrate—costs, protests, whatever is necessary.

JUAN ESTEVAN PINO, [Rubric.]

SANFA FÉ, the 6th of December, 1823, 3d and 2d.

Bartolomo Baca, Acting Superior Political Chief of the province of New Mexico and President of the most excellent Provincial Deputation of its Territory, &c.

The present foregoing petition, (solicitud,) on common paper, there being none of any seal in this province, being considered as presented and accepted, let it pass to the most excellent provincial deputation, in order that said honorable corporation be pleased to resolve what they may deem proper, at the request of the party interested; praying its excellency that the one signing this decree makes the following remarks:

1. Its excellency does not ignore that the reduction of the public lands to private property is one of the steps that the good of the country and the encouragement of agriculture and industry imperiously demand, and that it is an assistance to the industrious citizens who are not property holders.
2. It cannot be hidden from I. E. that in this province the decline in its agriculture and industry, particularly in the raising

of black cattle and sheep, has been principally on account of the inveterate abuse of all the lands being public, on which account none is improved, and thus can be seen at one single glance, that when this prejudice ceases, horned cattle, sheep, and horses will increase. the province will then depend on some means of resource which will at the same time stimulate the remaining citizens who are not indolent.

3. That the number of vagrants in this province being so great, and there being no public establishment of correction in any part of it, the result is, that there being no place where the aggressor can be sent, for this reason crimes remain unpunished and the authorities insulted.

4. That the public lands, much more such as this party solicits, which are at a great distance from the settlements, being reduced to private property, a benefit would accrue to the public funds, a great resource to the authorities, and, what is more, the extension of the population at the expense of one single individual.

For the reasons given, and no injury resulting to any third party, I. E. may, if it sees proper according to the remarks which I have made, bestow the grant which the party interested solicits, as in so doing I. E. will prove that they endeavor to remove the obstacles that are so diametrically opposed to the principal branches of the agriculture and industry of this province, which remain in a lethargic state for the only reason of the prejudices of its own inhabitants observing that the petitioner has sufficient stock to occupy the land he solicits.

BARTOLOME BACA,
[Rubric.]

SANTA FÉ OF THE NEW MEXICO, Dec. 18, 1853.

I, the undersigned, secretary of the most excellent provincial deputacion of this territory of the province of New Mexico, certify that in the journal of the proceedings of said corporation, at page 75, number 70, on the other side of said leaf is to be found recorded the following paragraph: The President presented a petition from Don Juan Estevan Pino containing the request that a piece of land of sufficient capacity for raising black cattle, sheep, and horses, be marked out, granted, and registered (documented) to him; cultivating on the aforesaid land as the water and locality may allow, requesting to be allowed as boundaries of the aforesaid farm, which shall be styled the "Hacienda of San Juan Bautista del Ojito del Rio de las Gallinas," the following points: on the north, the land-marks of the farm of Don Antonio Ortiz, and the table-land of the Aguage de la Yegua; on the south, the Pecos river; on the east, the table-land of Pajarito; and on the west, the point of the table-land of the Chupaines. Being open for discussion, and several remarks being made by Don Antonio Ortiz and Don Pedro Garcia, it was resolved that, without any further delay, the land solicited by the aforesaid Don Juan Estevan Pino be granted to him, as the decline in the agriculture and industry of this province is occasioned in whole or in part by the prejudice existing against making grants on the public lands, and in order that said grant may not be wanting in any requisite, this deputacion resolved

that, through its secretary the proofs of his proprietorship to said land be given to him, on which land Mr. Pino shall fix formal boundaries that will make known his own property, granting the same to him in the name of the supreme government of the Mexican nation, and as to a citizen who is in the full exercise of his rights, not being prevented from the want of any requisite which in his petition is not set forth, from being recognized as the legitimate owner of the land granted to him, observing that I. E. has considered said petition with the greatest preference."

The above is a true and legal copy of the original existing in this secretary's office, which is under my charge. Santa Fé, December 23d, one thousand eight hundred and twenty-three, third of the independence and second of liberty.

JUAN BAUTISTA VIGIL, *Secretary.*
[Rubric.]

City of Santa Fé, capital of the province of New Mexico, on the twenty-third day of the month of December, one thousand eight hundred and twenty-three, third of the independence and second of liberty, I, Don Bartolome Baca, acting superior political chief of this province, by virtue of the powers upon me conferred and with which my predecessors have been vested, with the previous consent of the most excellent provincial deputation of this Territory, I have granted, and by this patent do grant, in the name of the supreme government of the nation, to Don Juan Estevan Pino the land he solicits on the Gallinas river, which shall be called the Hacienda of San Juan Bautista del Ojito del Rio de las Gallinas, recognizing as boundaries, on the north, the land-marks of Don Antonio Ortiz, and the table-land of the Arroyo de la Yegua; on the south, the Pecos river; on the east, the table-land of Pagarito; and on the west, the point of the table-lands of the Chupaines; on which fixed points he will place formal and well constructed boundaries, so that in all time to come the dividing line of the land granted to him may be known; that according to the laws referring to the matter, and which are now in force, or which hereafter in force, he may enjoy the same, himself and his law-heirs, with the other provisions of the general laws; and being placed in possession in the manner accustomed, said proprietor may place such improvements on said land as he may deem proper—building houses, enclosures, and whatever may be necessary for the promotion of the enterprize he is about to establish. And by this procedure I have so decreed, ordered, and signed with my attending witnesses, in the absence of my secretary and of a national notary, of which class there are none within the limits of this province under my charge, and on this common paper, there being none of the stamped. Given in the aforesaid city, on the aforesaid day, month, and year, to all which I certify.—Bartolome Baca; attending, Rafael Sarricino; attending, Santiago Abrew.

In the aforesaid town of Santa Fé, on the twenty-fourth day of the month of December, one thousand eight hundred and twenty-three, 3d and 2d, I, the aforesaid political chief, said: that by virtue of having bestowed on Don Juan Estevan Pino the grant of the farm of the Hacienda de San Juan Bautista del Ojito del Rio de las Gallinas,

as appears by this patent, I ought to direct, and did direct, that these proceedings being recorded, a copy be furnished to the party interested, that it may be a proof in his favor at all times; and by this act I have so decreed, ordered and signed, with my attending witnesses, to which I certify. Bartolome Baca; attending, José Rafael Sarra-cino, Santiago Abrew. A copy of the original of which is deposited in these archives under my charge.

BARTOLOME BACA

[Rubric.]

SANTA FE, December 27, 1823.

In the new Hacienda of San Juan Bautista del Ojito del Rio de las Gallinas, on the twenty-ninth day of the month of August, of the present year, 1825, I, Don Tomas Sena, constitutional justice (alcalde) of the corporation of the jurisdiction of San Miguel del Bado de Pecos, by virtue of the order communicated to me in a despatch of Don Bartolome Baca, acting superior political chief of this Territory of New Mexico, of the twentieth instant, which, in its original, precedes this proceeding and previous the grant of land bestowed by the most excellent provincial deputation to Don Juan Estevan Pino, resident of the city of Santa Fé, whom I certify to be known to me. I certify and swear that the aforesaid documents, which accredited *ipsa facto* that the aforesaid Don Juan Estevan Pino is the legitimate owner of the aforesaid farm of San Juan Bautista del Ojito del Rio de las Gallinas, were presented to me. In witness whereof, and in compliance with the provisions of the foregoing superior order, I have placed him in possession of the said Hacienda, pointing out with my attending witnesses the landmarks referred to in said grant, known in all the jurisdiction of my charge, the boundaries set forth in his petition and in the grant which was bestowed to him; declaring that, from this date, the time for him to corroborate his claim, which the axiom proved in the laws of possession, &c., favors him with, will commence.

Given in the new farm of San Juan Bautista del Ojito del Rio de las Gallinas, on said day, month and year, to which I certify, signing the present document, with my attending witnesses, in the absence of a national notary, there being none in all this Territory, to which I certify.

THOMAS SENA, [Rubric.]
President Justice.

Attending, JOSE MIGUEL PEÑA, [Rubric.]

Before me, JOSÉ MIGUEL SANCHEZ, [Rubric,] Clerk of Corporation.

PECOS, July 15, 1848.

We transfer all the right and interest we possess in the foregoing documents in favor of our wives, Doña Josefa Ortiz and Doña Gertrudis Rascon, with all the formalities which the law requires, granting and donating to them the lands contained in said documents, unconditionally, perfectly, and irrevocably, with all the requirements

which may be necessary, should it or might it be worth more; and in proof whereof we sign, with two witnesses, this day of the date.

MANUEL DORATIO PINO.

[Rubric.]

JUSTO PASTOR PINO.

[Rubric.]

Witnesses:

MANUEL VARELA. [Rubric.]

BLAS ORTEGA. [Rubric.]

SURVEYOR GENERAL'S OFFICE,

Translator's Department, Santa Fé, N. M., May 19, 1855.

I do hereby certify the foregoing to be a translation of the documents marked A and B, in claim No. 2, to the Ojito de las Gallinas; that the two above-mentioned documents are in part originals, and in part copies of the proceedings had in reference to the grant of the aforesaid premises, and that they are embodied in the foregoing translation.

DAVID V. WHITING, *Translator.*

SURVEYOR GENERAL'S OFFICE,

Santa Fé, New Mexico, September 30, 1856.

The foregoing is a true copy of the translation made in this office of the original grant to the Ojito de las Gallinas, filed by Preston Beck, junior, the present claimant.

WM. PELHAM, *Surveyor General.*

This indenture, made the thirtieth day of October, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and fifty-four, between Justo Pino, of the county of San Miguel, and Territory of New Mexico, and Gertrudis Rascon, his wife, of the one part, and Preston Beck, junior, of the city and county of Santa Fé, and Territory aforesaid, of the other part, witnesseth: That the said Justo Pino and Gertrudis Rascon, his wife, for and in consideration of the sum of five hundred dollars, to them in hand paid by the said Preston Beck, junior, at and before the ensealing and delivery hereof, the receipt whereof is hereby acknowledged, have granted, bargained, and sold, and by these presents do grant, bargain, and sell, alien, enfeoff, and release and confirm unto the said Preston Beck, junior, and to his heirs and assigns, the following described tract or parcel of land, situate, lying and being in the county of San Miguel, and Territory aforesaid, known as the "Hacienda de San Juan Bautista del Ojito del Rio de las Gallis

nas," lying on the Pecos river, and bounded on the north by the sites of Don Antonio Ortiz and the Mesa del Aguage de la Yegua, on the south by the Pecos river, on the east by the Mesa de Pajarito and on the west by the point of the Mesa de la Chupaines; being the same property granted by Bartolome Baca, governor of the province of New Mexico, to Don Juan Estevan Pino in the year one thousand eight hundred and twenty-three, with all the rights, liberties, privileges, and appurtenances belonging thereto, or in any manner thereto appertaining, together with all the buildings and improvements, and also the reversions, remainders, rents, issues, and profits thereof, and all the right, title, and interest of the said Justo Pino and wife, of, in, and to the same, or any part thereof. To have and to hold the said tract of land, hereditaments, and premises, hereby granted and mentioned, or intended so to be, with the appurtenances, unto the said Preston Beck, junior, his heirs and assigns, to the only proper use and behoof of the said Preston Beck, junior, his heirs and assigns forever. In witness whereof, the said Justo Pino and Gertrudis, his wife, have hereunto set their hands and seals the day and year first above written.

JUSTO P. PINO. [L. s.]
GERTRUDIS RASCON. [L. s.]

Signed, sealed, and delivered in presence of—

Testigo :

JESUS MA. BACA.

Received on the day of the date of the foregoing indenture of Preston Beck, junior, grantee therein named, five hundred dollars, the consideration money therein mentioned.

Witness :

JUSTO P. PINO.
GERTRUDIS RASCON.

TERRITORY OF NEW MEXICO, }
County of Santa Fé. }

Before me, the undersigned, clerk of the United States district court for the first judicial district of said Territory, this day came Justo Pastor Pino, to me personally known to be the same person who signed the foregoing deed of conveyance as a party to the same, and acknowledged that he executed said deed by signing, sealing and delivering it, this thirtieth day of October, A. D. 1854, to Preston Beck, junior, for the objects and considerations therein stated.

Given under my hand and the seal of said court, this 30th day of [L. s.] October, A. D. 1854.

L. D. SHEETZ, *Clerk.*

TERRITORY OF NEW MEXICO, }
County of Santa Fé. }

Before me, the undersigned, clerk of the United States district court for the first judicial district of said Territory, this day came

Gertrudis Rascon, to me personally known to be the same person who executed the foregoing deed of conveyance as a party to the same, and acknowledged that she executed the same by signing, sealing, and affixing it, on the day of the date thereof, to Preston Beck, junior, for the objects and considerations therein stated; and the said Gertrudis Rascon, being by me informed of the contents of said deed, said that she had done so freely and voluntarily, and without compulsion or illicit influence on the part of her husband.

Given under my hand and the seal of said court, this 7th day of [L. s.] May, A. D. 1855.

L. D. SHEETZ, *Clerk.*

TERRITORY OF NEW MEXICO, }
County of San Miguel. }

I, Antonio Nieto, clerk of the probate court within and for the county of San Miguel, do hereby certify that the foregoing document is registered in the book of deeds, for that purpose kept in my office, at pages 165, 166, 167, and 168.

In testimony whereof, I have hereunto signed my name and affixed my private seal, (no seal of office having been provided,) [L. s.] this 4th day of June, A. D. 1855.

ANTONIO NIETO,
El del Juez de Pruebas.

TERRITORY OF NEW MEXICO, }
County of Santa Fé. }

This is to certify that the foregoing deed is recorded in pages 91, 92, and 93, on book of record letra B, in this office.

In testimony whereof, I have hereunto set my hand and the seal of the probate court of said county, this 8th day of May, A. [L. s.] D. 1855.

JESUS MA. SENA Y BACA,
Clerk of Probate Court.

SURVEYOR GENERAL'S OFFICE,
Santa Fé, New Mexico, September 30, 1856.

I certify that the foregoing is a true copy of the original on file in this office.

WILLIAM PELHAM,
Surveyor General.

Know all men by these presents, that we, Manuel Doroteo Pino and Josefa Ortiz, wife of said Manuel D. Pino, both of the county of

San Miguel, and Territory of New Mexico, of the first part, for and in consideration of the sum of one dollar to us in hand paid, and in consideration of the covenants and agreements hereinafter made, have bargained, sold, aliened and conveyed, and by these presents do bargain, sell, alien, and convey unto Hugh N. Smith, as trustee for Preston Beck, a certain ranch, or farm, lying and being situate in the Cañada de Pecos, in the county of San Miguel, and lying on both sides of the Pecos river, bounded on the north by the lands of Manuel Barella and Blas Ortega, east by public lands, south by the lands of Pablo Moya, Manuel Barella, and Vincente Quintana, and on the west by public lands, containing about three hundred acres of land, and heretofore known as the ranch of Manuel Pino, at Pecos, together with all the rights, privileges, improvements and appurtenances thereunto belonging, or in anywise appertaining unto the said Hugh N. Smith, in trust, as hereinafter mentioned. Also, a certain other tract or parcel of land lying and being in said county of San Miguel, being the one undivided half of the *Hacienda de San Juan Bautista del Ojo to del Rio de las Gallinas*, lying on the Pecos river, and bounded on the north by the *sitio* of Don Antonio Ortiz and the Mesa del Aguaje de la Yegua, on the south by the river Pecos, on the east by the Mesa de Pajarito, on the west by the point of the Mesa de los Chupaines, being the same granted by Bartolome Baca, governor of the province of New Mexico, to Don Juan Estavan Pino, in the year one thousand eight hundred and twenty-three, with all the rights, privileges, appurtenances and improvements thereunto belonging, or in anywise appertaining, unto the said Hugh N. Smith, as trustee, as aforesaid. Provided, nevertheless, that the foregoing conveyance of the two said tracts or parcels of land are made upon the following condition: That whereas we, Manuel D. Pino and Josefa Ortiz, are indebted to Preston Beck in the sum of two thousand four hundred and sixty dollars, to which payment well and truly to be made within one year from the even date of these presents, we jointly and severally bind ourselves, with interest from this date until paid, at the rate of twelve per cent. per annum: Now, if we, or either of us, should well and truly pay or cause to be paid, to said Preston Beck the said sum of two thousand four hundred and sixty dollars, as aforesaid, with interest, as expressed, at twelve per cent. per annum, on or before the fifteenth day of June, one thousand eight hundred and fifty-four, then, and in that event, this conveyance shall be null and void and of no effect; but if default should be made in payment of said sum and interest, as aforesaid, on the fifteenth day of June, one thousand eight hundred and fifty-four, then the said Hugh N. Smith, as trustee, as aforesaid, shall have power to advertise the same for sale by giving thirty days' notice of the time and place of sale, and terms of sale, by notice in the public newspaper of the city of Santa Fé, and by ten hand-bills, put up in public places in the county of Santa Fé and county of San Miguel, and shall, in pursuance of said notice, sell at public sale, in some public place in the city of Santa Fé, to the highest bidder, for cash in hand, both the two tracts or parcels of land herein conveyed, and shall execute and deliver to the purchaser a deed in fee simple for same; and with the proceeds of said sale he shall pay the expenses thereof, and

then discharge and pay our indebtedness of two thousand four hundred and sixty dollars with interest, as aforesaid, to Preston Beck, or the same so far as the proceeds will extend; and the surplus, if any, after charging the debt to said Beck, shall be paid to Josefa Ortiz. And we do covenant and agree that we will forever warrant and defend the title to the two tracts of land herein conveyed against the lawful claim or claims of any and all persons whatever.

In testimony whereof, we have hereunto set our hands and seals this fourteenth day of June, in the year of our Lord one thousand eight hundred and fifty-three.

MANUEL DOROTEO PINO. [L. S.]
JOSEFA ORTIZ. [L. S.]

Signed, sealed and delivered in the presence of witnesses:

JOSEPH NANGLE. [L. S.]
JOSÉ E. ORTIZ. [L. S.]

TERRITORY OF NEW MEXICO, }
County of Santa Fé. }

This 14th day of June, A. D. 1853, personally appeared before me, the undersigned, clerk of the United States district court for the first judicial district of said Territory, the above named Josefa Ortiz, wife of Manuel D. Pino, proven to me to be the same person who signed the above deed by two reliable witnesses; and being examined by me separate and apart from her said husband, acknowledged that she signed, sealed and delivered the foregoing deed on the day and year, and for the purposes therein mentioned, as her voluntary act and deed, without any threat or compulsion of her said husband; and the above named Manuel Doroteo Pino, to me personally known to be the identical person who signed the foregoing deed, and acknowledged that he signed, sealed and delivered the same on the day and year, and for the purposes therein mentioned, as his voluntary act and deed.

In testimony whereof, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed the seal of said court the day above written.

R. H. TOMPKINS, *Clerk.*

SURVEYOR GENERAL'S OFFICE,
Santa Fé, New Mexico, September 30, 1856.

The foregoing is a true copy of the original on file in this office.

WM. PELHAM,
Surveyor General.

Testimony of Jesus Maria Baca.

Questioned by counsel for Preston Beck, jr.:

Question. Do you know the farm of San Juan Bautista del Rio de las Gallinas?

Answer. I do.

Question. When were you there the first time, and when the last?

Answer. I was there the first time in 1838, and the last in 1845.

Question. Was there any stock there then, and to whom did it belong?

Answer. I was at the house on the Gallinas in 1838. There were about 800 or 900 head of large cattle there, belonging to Don Juan Esteban Pino. In 1845 I was there myself with sheep belonging to Don Justo Pino.

Questioned by the Surveyor General:

Question. Do you know where the house of Don Juan Esteban Pino was situated?

Answer. Yes; I was at the house.

Question. Do you know any road leading to the Conchas, which was called the old road?

Answer. I know of three roads, but do not know which was called the old road.

JESUS MA. BACA Y SALAZAR

Donaciano Vigil sworn.

Questioned by counsel for P. Beck, jr.:

Question. Did you know Bartolome Baca?

Answer. I did.

Question. What office did he hold in this Territory in 1823?

Answer. That of political chief.

Question. Was there any difference, that you know of, in the power and authority of the governors, and those of the political chiefs, in this Territory, under the Mexican government?

Answer. There was not.

Question. Did you hold any office under the Mexican government about the year 1823; and in what capacity were you employed?

Answer. I held no office; I was a soldier.

Question. When did you first hold office under the Mexican government?

Answer. In the year 1824.

Question. Did you continue to hold office from that time continuously up to the period of the change of government in favor of the United States?

Answer. I did.

Question. Do you know the signature of Juan Esteban Pino?

Answer. I do.

Question. And Bartolome Baca and Juan Bautista Vigil? and have you seen them sign?

Answer. I do; and have seen them sign. (Whereupon he was shown the signature to document B.)

Question. Are they genuine?

Answer. They are.

Question. Do you know what the custom was of obtaining possession of the public lands under the Spanish and Mexican governments?

Answer. Yes; under both governments.

Question. What was the custom previous, and what after the establishment of the provincial deputation?

Answer. The custom previous was, that the governor or the deputation, one or the other, made the grants of lands, and the justice nearest to the lands placed the parties in possession. There was no change in the custom from the Spanish to the Mexican government. The colonization laws continued the same.

Cross-examined by counsel for Hatch :

Question. Was it not customary to obtain the approval of the King, under the Spanish government, to all grants made by the government?

Answer. No.

Question. Have you not seen, in the archives of this Territory, grants approved by the Kings or Queens of Spain?

Answer. Only the one made to Oñate, who held certain prerogatives. A special order came afterwards, authorizing the governors to make grants of lands.

Question. Was it not customary to obtain the approval of the superior government to grants made by the governors, after the declaration of independence, under the Mexican government?

Answer. It was not.

Question. Have you not seen in the archives any grants so approved by the superior government during the time you held office?

Answer. I have not; nor have any been forwarded for approval.

Question. Have you seen any of the grants made to the Pueblo Indians?

Answer. I have.

Question. By whom were they signed?

Answer. By the King.

Question. How many of the grants made to the Pueblo Indians have you seen?

Answer. That of Sandia.

Question. Do you know where the special order, giving authority to the governors to grant lands, is to be found; and by whom was it given?

Answer. It should be in the archives. It was given by the King.

Question. Was the order in manuscript, or was it ever published?

Answer. I do not know if it was published. I was not yet born.

Question. Have you ever seen that order?

Answer. I do not remember; but I have seen a copy made by the government in the possession of Don Alejandro.

Re-examined by counsel for Beck :

Question. Have you ever seen any other grants made by the King, or the superior government, to private individuals, besides the one referred to?

Answer. I have not.

DONACIANO VIGIL.

Tomíngo Fernandez sworn.

Questioned by Surveyor General :

Question. Are you a citizen of the United States; and how long have you resided in the Territory?

Answer. I am a citizen of the United States, and was born in the Territory.

Question. How old are you?

Answer. Seventy-two years of age.

Questioned by counsel for P. Beck, jr. :

Question. Did you know Juan Esteban Pino?

Answer. I did.

Question. Did you know Bartolome Baca?

Answer. I did.

Question. Did you know Juan Bautista Vigil?

Answer. I did.

Question. Are you acquainted with the signature of Bartolome Baca?

Answer. I am ; I have often seen him sign several documents.

Question. Have you seen Bartolome Baca sign his name?

Answer. I have seen him sign orders and decrees.

Question. Have you seen Juan Bautista Vigil sign his name ; and do you know his signature?

Answer. I have seen him sign, and know his signature.

Question. What office did Bartolome Baca hold in 1823?

Answer. He was captain of militia and political chief of the Territory.

Question. Is the signature on document B the signature of Bartolome Baca ; and is the other that of Juan Bautista Vigil?

Answer. It is the signature of Bartolome Baca, and the one following is that of Juan Bautista Vigil, secretary of the provincial deputation.

Question. Do you know Don Thomas Sena, justice of the peace of San Miguel?

Answer. I did know him.

Question. Do you know the signature of Don Thomas Sena, justice of San Miguel?

Answer. I do.

Questioned by the attorney for Hatch :

Question. How do you know the signature of Thomas Sena?

Answer. Because he resided in Santa Fé, and afterwards moved to El Bado. He was my uncle. I have been at his house, and have often seen him sign. I know his signature, and the signature on document A is his hand writing.

Questioned by the attorney for Mr. Beck :

Question. Is the signature of Bartolome Baca to document A genuine?

Answer. It is. Sometime he wrote a large hand ; but it is the same.

Question. Who are the children and heirs of Juan Esteban Pino?

Answer. Don Manuel Doroteo Pino and Don Justo Pino. I know of no others.

Question. Whom did the heirs marry?

Answer. Don Manuel Doroteo married Doña Josefa Ortiz, and Don Justo married Doña Gertrudis Rascon.

Question. Did you have charge of the archives of the Territory, and for how long?

Answer. Yes ; from 1825 to 1846, with some interruption.

Question. State if you have seen document B in the archives?

Answer. I have.

Question. Did you know Don Antonio Ortiz, merchant in Santa Fé, in the year 1823?

Answer. I did know him.

Question. Was he a member of the provincial deputation at the time?

Answer. I do not know. The deputation was established in the year 1822. Don Antonio was a member at that time; but I do not know if he was in 1823.

Question. Was Antonio Ortiz, member of the deputation, the same who was a merchant in Santa Fé?

Answer. He was the same.

Question. What was the custom to obtain possession of the public domain?

Answer. Before the establishment of the provincial deputation it was customary to apply to the governor of the Territory. In the time of Don Diego de Vaeges, as they were at war, he granted possession himself. Afterwards, application was always made to the governor, and he referred the petition to the justice of the peace to report if the grant would be to the injury of any third person or not. If there was no impediment the possession was granted. Afterwards, when the provincial deputation was established, application was made to the deputation through the president, who was the political chief, and the petition was referred, by a decree of the secretary, to the prefect, or the corporation, under whose jurisdiction the land petitioned for was situated.

Question. Did the governors and political chiefs exercise the same powers and authority in the Territory?

Answer. They did.

Question. Did you know Melgares and his handwriting?

Answer. I did.

Question. What office did Facundo Melgares hold in the year 1818?

Answer. He was acting governor.

Question. Did you know Vicente Villanueva?

Answer. I did.

Question. And his handwriting?

Answer. Also.

Question. Did you know Francisco Ortiz and his handwriting?

Answer. Yes. The signatures to document C are genuine; also the signatures of Francisco Ygnacio de Madariaga, Manuel Baca, and Pedro Saracino, to document C are genuine.

Question. What difference was there between the powers of the political chiefs and those of the governors?

Answer. They were the same. Before the establishment of the provincial deputation, they made the grants themselves; and after the establishment of the deputation, they consulted with it.

Question. What difference was there between the powers of Bartolome Baca, political chief, and those of Facundo Melgares, governor?

Answer. Their powers were the same.

DOMINGO FERNANDEZ.

Francisco Montoyo sworn.

Questioned by counsel for P. Beck, jr. :

Question. Were you in the employ of Don Juan Esteban Pino, and for how long?

Answer. Yes; from the year 1825 to 1836.

Question. Did you know a farm (sitio) called La Hacienda de San Juan Bautista del Rio de las Gallinas?

Answer. I did.

Question. Have you been there often? Do you know the place well? and when were you there the first time?

Answer. I have been there often. In 1825 I was there for the first time after the settlement was made.

Question. Do you know the table-lands of the Aguage de la Yegua?

Answer. I do.

Question. Do you know the table-lands of Pajarito?

Answer. I do.

Question. Do you know the point of the table-lands of the Chupaines?

Answer. I do.

Question. And the Pecos river?

Answer. Also.

Question. Do you know the Conchas?

Answer. I do.

Question. Are the table-lands of the Aguage de la Yegua, Pajarito, and Chupaines well known?

Answer. They are.

Question. Do you know the road that leads to the Conchas spring?

Answer. I do. There are two old roads.

Question. Where do those two roads cross the Gallinas river?

Answer. One crosses a little above the house on the Gallinas, and the other above Chaperito.

Question. To what house on the Gallinas do you refer?

Answer. To the house of Don Juan Esteban Pino.

Question. Have you seen any landmarks (mojneras) of Don Antonio Ortez, or any other boundaries, to the north of the farm; and what were they?

Answer. I have seen landmarks on the top of table-lands of the Aguage de la Yegua.

Question. Have you seen any others at any other place?

Answer. I have not seen any others, except at the house where he kept his cows, on the little hill near the river.

Question. Are they of the same kind of landmarks as were used to designate boundaries at that time, and are they there still?

Answer. They are. They ought to be there yet. I have not been there since the year 1838.

Question. Were those landmarks on any of the roads leading to the Conchas, or near any of the two roads mentioned? and near which of the two were they?

Answer. They are not on or near any of the two roads; they are on the side of the road leading to Lo de Mora, on the table-land of the Aguage de la Yegua.

Question. Where is the house situated, referred to by you, where the cows were kept?

Answer. On the little hill of Chaperito, itself distant one or two miles from the town of Chaperito, above the town.

Question. Do you know where the farm (sitio) of Antonio Ortez is situated?

Answer. I do.

Question. Do you know if Antonio Ortez had a farm (sitio) there; have you seen it?

Answer. I know it by hearsay; not because I have seen it.

Question. To what point on the Gallinas river was occupied by Don Juan Esteban Pino exclusively as pasture ground for his stock?

Answer. To the head of the Cañon del Agua.

Question. Is that cañon above or below the town of Chaperito?

Answer. It is above.

Question. Are you acquainted with the Cañada de Lauriano?

Answer. I am.

Question. Where is that cañon?

Answer. On the east of the Gallinas, above Chaperito.

Question. Do you know if from Chaperito to the house was occupied exclusively by Don Juan Esteban Pino? and for how long?

Answer. Don Juan Esteban Pino occupied from above Chaperito to the house for more than eleven years.

Question. Did others have the same right as Don Juan Esteban Pino to pasture their stock on that same place?

Answer. They had not. All those who came upon it were driven off.

Question. Does the table-land of the Aguage de la Yegua touch the Gallinas river at any point?

Answer. It does not.

Question. What is the shortest distance from the table-land of the Aguage de la Yegua to the Gallinas river?

Answer. I cannot calculate how far it may be?

Question. Did the overseers and hands of Don Juan Esteban Pino occupy the land up to the table-land of the Aguage de la Yegua?

Answer. They did.

Question. What is the distance from the table-land of the Aguage de la Yegua to where the upper road, leading to the Conchas, crosses the Gallinas?

Answer. A person can go in one-half day's journey from where the road crosses the river to the table-land.

Question. Does the upper road, leading to the Conchas, go over the Mesa, or can a person go on that road to the Conchas without going over the Mesa?

Answer. It does not go over; it goes below.

Question. When were you last at the house on the Gallinas?

Answer. Last year.

Question. When was the last time you know of Pino's having stock there?

Answer. In the year 1844, or 1845, the sons of Don Juan Esteban Pino removed the stock from there.

Question. Do you know in what year Don Juan Esteban Pino died?

Answer. I think he died towards the end of 1838 or 1839.

Question. Who were the overseers of Don Juan E. Pino when you knew the farm?

Answer. The overseer of the fields was Don José Felipe Ortez; of the cows and sheep, the late Don Isidro Sandoval; afterwards, Francisco Salazar, Luis Griego, and many others.

Question. How many times in a year, or in a month, did you go to the farm?

Answer. About every fifteen days. I went backwards and forwards nearly all the time I was in the employ of Don Juan Pino.

Question. Did you pass by there often in going to the Cumanches, before and after the farm was settled?

Answer. I did; before and afterwards.

Cross-examined by counsel for Hatch:

Question. Have you ever resided on the Gallinas river, or in its vicinity?

Answer. I have never resided on the Gallinas river.

Question. In what capacity did you live with Juan Esteban Pino during the eleven years that you were with him?

Answer. To travel backwards and forwards from the farm on horseback.

Question. Who succeeded Luis Griego as overseer on the farm of Juan Esteban Pino?

Answer. José Miguel Flores, deceased.

Question. How long was José Miguel Flores overseer?

Answer. Not more than six months.

Question. Who succeeded José Miguel Flores?

Answer. I do not remember; I think it was Marcos Sandoval.

Question. Where is Marcos Sandoval now?

Answer. I believe he ought to be at Cow river.

Question. Did Don Juan Esteban Pino abandon his farm, or did his overseers abandon it when they had stock there?

Answer. They did not abandon it.

Question. Do you know who erected those landmarks, and what lands they divided?

Answer. I do not know who erected them. Up to the landmarks belonged to Don Juan Pino; beyond them I do not know—I believe it was public land.

Question. How many landmarks were there; and were they in a direct line?

Answer. I remember three; they were from the Aguage de la Yegua to where the Cañon del Agua intersects the Gallinas river.

Question. What was the distance between the landmarks?

Answer. About one hundred varas.

Question. How far is it from the Aguage de la Yegua to Chaperito?

Answer. About one-half day's journey.

Question. How far is it from the table-land of the Aguage de la Yegua to the little house where the cows were kept?

Answer. A person could go there in one-half day.

Question. How far is it from the table-lands of the Aguage de la Yua to the Pecos river, going south?

Answer. I do not know; it may be at least ten or twelve leagues.

Question. How far is it, more or less, from the point of the Chupaines to Pajarito?

Answer. About the same distance.

Question. Do you know how long the two roads going to the Conchas have been there?

Answer. When I first knew the country they were there.

Question. From where did the two roads leading to the Conchas start?

Answer. From San Miguel.

Question. What distance is there between the two roads where they cross the Gallinas?

Answer. Five or six leagues.

Question. How far is it from the farm of Juan Esteban Pino to Chaperito?

Answer. Five or six miles, more or less.

Question. What distance is there from Chaperito to the upper road going to the Conchas?

Answer. From nine to twelve miles.

Question. What is the distance from San Miguel to the Conchas?

Answer. One day's travel.

Question. When you knew the two roads, which was used the most—the upper or the lower one?

Answer. The one was as much travelled as the other.

Questioned by the Surveyor General:

Question. Did the landmarks denote the boundaries of Ortiz or Pino?

Answer. I knew them as Don Juan Pino's.

Questioned by counsel for Hatch:

Question. Who showed them to you as the boundary of Don Juan Pino?

Answer. Himself.

Question. Who were with you when he pointed them out to you?

Answer. There were some peons with us. Those peons are now dead.

Question. Who was the overseer when Pino pointed them out to you?

Answer. Don Vicente Ortiz, deceased.

FRANCISCO MONTOYA.

Francisco Salazar sworn.

Questioned by counsel for P. Beck, jr.:

Question. Do you know the farm of San Juan Bautista del Rio de las Gallinas?

Answer. I do.

Question. How long have you known it?

Answer. Since the year 1826.

Question. How long did you live there; and in what capacity were you employed?

Answer. I do not remember how long. I was steward for the deceased Pino; I had charge of his stock.

Question. Do you know the table-lands of the Aguage de la Yegua, Pajarito, and Chupaines, and the Pecos river?

Answer. I do.

Question. Are those points well known in the neighborhood?

Answer. I believe they are.

Question. How long were you steward, more or less?

Answer. I had charge of the stock for seven years.

Question. From what point on the Gallinas, upwards, was known as the farm of San Juan Bautista del Rio de las Gallinas?

Answer. To where the table-lands of the Cañada of Aguilar dip or slope the most—opposite, I believe, to Chaperito, a little above or a little below.

Cross-examined by counsel for Hatch :

Question. How did you know, or who told you, that was the boundary of the farm?

Answer. I did not, nor do I, know the boundary. Don Juan Pino's property was considered as reaching to that point.

Question. While you had charge of the stock of Don Juan Pino, did you pasture the cattle above that point?

Answer. I did.

Question. How far beyond?

Answer. As far as the river had sufficient width.

Question. Did other persons pasture their cattle there also?

Answer. The deceased Pino gave orders to drive off all the stock; I never drove any off.

Question. Up to what points had you orders to drive stock off?

Answer. No limits were given to me; I was ordered to drive off all stock on the river.

Question. What is the distance from the house on the farm of Pino to the Cañada of Aguilar?

Answer. Six miles, more or less.

Question. Do you know the road leading to the Conchas, and which crosses the Gallinas?

Answer. I do.

Question. Where does the road which is nearest the house cross the Gallinas?

Answer. A little above the house, about one mile, more or less.

Question. What is the distance from the upper road going to the Conchas from the road which runs above the house?

Answer. There is a great distance, perhaps twelve miles.

Question. Does the uppermost road cross the river above or below the Cañada of Aguilar?

Answer. Above.

Question. Did Pino have a mill-dam on the Gallinas river when you were there?

Answer. He had.

Question. Does the road nearest the house cross the river above or below the dam?

Answer. Above.

Question. Which of the three roads to the Conchas was the most travelled when you were there?

Answer. The one below the house.

Question. When you were steward for Don Juan Esteban Pino, did he show you the boundaries of the farm?

Answer. No.

Question. Have you seen any landmarks in the neighborhood?

Answer. I have seen many mounds,* erected probably by the Indians. I have seen one, which is perhaps not yet demolished, on the little hill of the Gordo, a little below the Siendre.

Question. When you were there, were those mounds recognized as the landmarks of any person?

Answer. No. There were mounds on the high hills above and below the house.

Question. Where have you resided since the year 1826?

Answer. From 1826 to 1828 I resided at Galisteo; from that time to the present I have resided at Purertecito, in the county of San Miguel.

Question. Do you know Francisco Montoya?

Answer. I do.

Question. Was he in the employ of Pino while you were steward of the farm?

Answer. I did not see him during that time.

Question. Did you see him at any time at the farm during the six years you were there, and how often?

Answer. I have seen him there, I cannot say how often. He went once for oxen; at other times for tallow and other various articles. I saw him three or four times.

Question. Are you acquainted with Chaperito?

Answer. I am.

Question. How long has it been settled?

Answer. I do not know. I do not remember in what year.

Question. How many families reside at Chaperito?

Answer. I do not know; there are many; about forty families.

Question. Are there any settlements above Chaperito, and between Chaperito and La Siendre, on the Gallinas river?

Answer. I believe there are. I have not been there for a long time. I have understood there are persons all along up the river; but I have not been there.

Question. What is the distance from the Aquage de la Yegua to the Pecos river?

Answer. About seven or eight leagues.

Question. What is the distance from Pajarito to the Pecos river?

Answer. About nine miles, more or less.

Questioned by the Surveyor General:

Question. Was the town of Chaperito in existence when you were steward for Don Juan Pino?

Answer. It was not.

Question. Which of the three roads to the Conchas is the largest?

Answer. The lower one—the one below the house.

Question. How old are you?

* Mojoneas signifies mounds as well as landmarks, and the term is used in its former signification in this instance.—Translator.

Answer. Forty-seven years of age.

Re-examined by counsel for Beck :

Question. Did you know that country in 1819?

Answer. No; I knew it in 1826.

Questioned by the Surveyor General :

Question. Which of the three roads was known as the old road when you were there?

Answer. The one above the house above the mill-dam.

FRANCISCO SALAZAR

Luis Griego sworn.

Questioned by counsel for Beck :

Question. Do you know the farm of San Juan Bautista del Rio de las Gallinas?

Answer. Yes.

Question. Have you resided there, and for how long?

Answer. Yes. The first time from April, 1825, until November, 1826; afterwards I was there as overseer of the cows from the month of January, 1833, until March, 1834.

Question. Do you know the table-land of the Aguage de la Yegua?

Answer. Yes.

Question. Do you know the table-land of Pajarito?

Answer. I do.

Question. And the point of the table-land of the Chupaines?

Answer. Yes.

Question. And the Pecos river?

Answer. I know it.

Question. Are they well known points?

Answer. They are.

Question. Do you know the Conchas?

Answer. I know two places called the Conchas—the one is called “El Rincon de las Conchas,” and the other “El Ojito de las Conchas.”

Question. Do you know the Cañon of Laureano?

Answer. I do.

Question. Into what river does it empty, and where?

Answer. In the Gallinas river, on the west.

Question. Does it empty above or below Chaperito.

Answer. Above, on the north.

Question. Do you know the road or roads leading to the Conchas?

Answer. I know two roads—the upper one leads to the Rincon de las Conchas, and the other passes by the little spring of the Conchas (Ojito de las Conchas.)

Question. Do you know how long those roads have been there?

Answer. They were there when I went there for the first time, in 1826.

Question. Do you know where the little table-land of the Conchas is?

Answer. It is the same that forms the table-land of the Rincon de las Conchas.

Question. Is it to the north or south of the Aguage de la Yegua?

Answer. It is east of the Aguage de la Yequa.

Question. How much land did you occupy when you were overseer of the stock at the farm of San Juan Bautista de las Gallinas as belonging to Pino?

Answer. While I was overseer I occupied the land covered by the cattle as far as the animal in the lead went.

Question. Did you prohibit others from pasturing their stock on this land, and to what distance?

Answer. I had orders from Don Juan not to allow flocks to be pastured within the points first questioned, that is, within Pajarito on the east, the table lands of the Aguage de la Yequa on the north, on the west the table land of the Chupaines, and on the south the Pecos river.

Question. Did you allow them to pasture within those limits or not?

Answer. When I saw them I drove them off, as I was ordered. They only pastured there when I did not see them, as it was a large range.

Question. Between the Aguage de la Yequa and the Chupaines, and the Gallinas, what was the northern boundary of the range?

Answer. I recognized the point of the table land of the Aguage de la Yequa as the northern boundary. I recognized no land marks, as I did not know there were any.

Question. Is the town of Chaperito to the north or south of the point of the table land of the Aguage de la Yequa?

Answer. To the north.

Question. Do you know where the range of Don Antonio Ortiz was?

Answer. I do.

Question. Where was it?

Answer. In those years it was called the "Valles de San Augustin," now it is full of people and fields.

Question. How far are the Valles de San Augustin above Chaperito, more or less?

Answer. Over one league.

Question. How far is it from the point of the table land of the Chupaines to the Pecos river, towards the south?

Answer. About two leagues, more or less.

Question. What is the distance, more or less, from the table land of Pajarito to the Pecos river, in a southerly direction?

Answer. It is some distance. I have not travelled it; I have only seen it in the distance; it cannot be less than twenty leagues, more or less.

Question. How far is it from Pajarito to the Pecos river, at its nearest point?

Answer. About fifteen or eighteen leagues.

Question. What is the distance, more or less, from Pino's house to the mouth of the Gallinas river?

Answer. It is over two leagues south.

Question. How many head of stock did Pino have on his farm, more or less?

Answer. He had over seven hundred head of cattle. I do not know how many thousand sheep and goats.

Question. How many sheep and goats do you think he had, more or less?

Answer. By his will, which he made in 1833, when he went to Mexico as deputy, he had eighty thousand.

Question. Where does the lower road leading to the Conchas cross the Gallinas?

Answer. Above the house, to the north.

Question. How far is it from where the lower road crosses the river to the upper road?

Answer. About three leagues.

Question. Which of these two roads is the most used?

Answer. The one nearest to the house, I saw, was travelled the most in those years.

Question. Do you know the roads now?

Answer. I do.

Question. Which of the two roads is most travelled now?

Answer. It is many years since I have seen either of them travelled.

Questioned by the surveyor general:

Question. Which of the two roads was called the road to the Conchas?

Answer. The upper road was called the road to the "Rincon de los Conchas," and the lower one, which passed by the house, was called the "Cumanchero" road, and led to the little spring of the Conchas. It was also called the road to the Ojito de las Conchas.

Question. While you had charge of the stock did you see any landmarks there?

Answer. I did not.

Question. While you were overseer did not Pino show you any landmarks?

Answer. He did not tell me to examine the boundaries.

Questioned by the counsel for P. Beck, jr.:

Question. Do you know where the Gallinas river forms the corner of a table land?

Answer. It forms a corner to the north of the Chupaines, on the eastern side, where the road comes down by the little hill of La Cruz del Tecolote, and leads to the house.

Question. Does the river form that corner above the upper road leading to the Conchas, or below?

Answer. Below.

Question. Do you know that point on the Gallinas river called, "where it forms a corner of a table land."

Answer. On the Gallinas river where it forms a corner I do not know. The river has many turns and forms many corners.

Question. On what point on the Gallinas river are those corners?

Answer. All along the river.

Question. Do you know where it forms the corner of a table land?

Answer. The point called El Rincon de los Chupaines is not on the river.

Cross-examined by counsel for Hatch:

Question. Do you know where the cañon of Aguilar is?

Answer. I do not.

Question. Is it above or below the road leading to the Rincon de las Conchas?

Answer. Below.

Question. Is it between the two roads?

Answer. It is.

Question. Do you know any other cañon of Aguilar besides the one referred to by you?

Answer. I have not known any other in that neighborhood.

Question. In which direction is the little table land of the Conchas from the cañon of Aguilar?

Answer. To the north of east.

Question. In what direction is the cañada of Sauriano from the cañon of Aguilar?

Answer. To the north.

Question. When you knew these two roads, was any of them a wagon road, and which of the two?

Answer. The one by the house.

Question. Was the other more than a bridle path?

Answer. It was travelled by pack mules and by animals brought from ranches.

Question. Do you know what the upper road was called?

Answer. In those years it was called the Vireda Blanca.

Question. Do you know where the road comes down the table land to the Gallinas river?

Answer. Above the cañada of Aguilar.

Question. How far?

Answer. It is far.

Question. On which side of the Gallinas river is the cañada of Moreno?

Answer. The eastern side and empties towards the west.

Question. Was the farm abandoned before Pino died, and when?

Answer. I went to Mexico in 1834, the stock was there, Pino died in 1838.

Question. Did you not state on a previous occasion before the court that they abandoned the farm on account of the enemy?

Answer. No, I stated that I left there for fear of the Indians, but I delivered the stock to another overseer.

Question. What was the name of the overseer to whom you delivered the stock?

Answer. Don Vicente Ortiz.

Question. How long was Don Vicente Ortiz overseer?

Answer. I do not know, I went to Mexico.

Question. Do you know Francisco Montoya?

Answer. I do.

Question. Was Francisco Montoya with Pino while you were there?

Answer. He was not there permanently; I knew him when he made journeys to the Gallinas, to San Miguel and to the Rio Abajo.

Question. How many times was Montoya at the Galligas while you were there, more or less?

Answer. Four or five times, more or less, to bring cows and other things.

Re-questioned by the council for Beck :

Question. Did Mr. Pino request you to return to the farm as overseer when you returned from Mexico?

Answer. He requested me to continue in the service of the house in 1838, but I did not wish to do so.

Question. Why did you come away from the farm in 1834 when you left it?

Answer. Because my father did not wish me to continue there, as the Indians had stolen some cattle from me in January.

Question. What damage did the Indians do?

Answer. They stole the animals; I had to go round the farm, they wounded one man in the leg, they stripped one man and let him go, and they killed two oxen as they went off.

Re-questioned by the council for Hatch :

Question. Do the two roads leading to the Conchas intersect each other towards the east?

Answer. They do not.

Question. Did you know of any other road leading from the "Rincon de las Chupaines" to the Conchas, besides the two mentioned?

Answer. I did not.

Questioned by the surveyor general :

Question. Did Pino reside at any time upon his farm, and did he break up land for cultivation?

Answer. He did, and broke up land and gathered crops also.

Question. How much land did he cultivate?

Answer. I cannot tell how much. He cultivated the valley on both sides of the river.

LOUIS GRIEGO.

Testimony of Francisco Bragado, witness for Alexander Hatch.

Questioned by the council for Hatch :

Question. Where do you live?

Answer. Lives in San Miguel.

Question. How long have you lived there?

Answer. From his birth up to the present time, and is about years of age.

Question.. Are you well acquainted with the section of country know as the Gallinas, Chaperito and Conchas?

Answer. I am

Question. How long have you known it?

Answer. From the time I was about 12 years of age.

Question. Do you know where the old road runs from San Miguel to the Conchas?

Answer. I do.

Question. State where the old road crosses the Gallinas river.

Answer. It crosses directly opposite the mouth of the cañon commonly known by the name of El Salitre.

Question. Do you know where the old house stood on the farm of San Juan Bautista del Rio de las Gallinas?

Answer. It stood about one league below where the road crosses the river.

Question. About what year was it when you first knew that road?

Answer. The first time I went on a trip I travelled that road. I was then about twelve years old.

Question by surveyor general. To what point does the road run after it crosses the Gallinas river?

Answer. It goes to the Conchas—to the Conchas spring

A question by the surveyor general. Was the road much used at that time?

Answer. It was much used. It was a narrow road. It was not a wagon road.

Question. Did you travel it after that first time often, and how often?

Answer. I did about eight or ten times.

Question. Is that road in existence now, and can it be traced?

Answer. Some portions can yet be seen; others are obliterated.

Question. Can it be traced in the section of country near the Gallinas, and by what marks?

Answer. It can, if I am furnished with some paper. I will trace the road from where it starts at San Miguel to where it joins the new road. (The party being furnished with materials, drew the map.)

Question. When you first knew the old road to the Conchas, did you know of any other road leading there; and if so, in what direction did it run?

Answer. I did not know any other.

Question. If there is any other road or bridle path leading to the Conchas, how long have you known them?

Answer. I have known the road travelled now by wagons and carts, running below the old road, since 1832.

Question. Do you know the Vereda Blanca, and how long have you known it?

Answer. I have known it since 1841.

Question. Does it run north of the old road, and how far north?

Answer. It runs four or five leagues north, where it crosses the Gallinas, from the old road.

Question. When you first knew the old road to the Conchas, did it have the appearance of a new road, or an old one?

Answer. It had already been travelled many years when I knew it.

Examined by counsel for Beck:

Question. When did you first know the old road mentioned by you, was it not called the road to the Cumanchuia?

Answer. It was not. It was called the camino de las Conchas by those travelling with me. Where the same road ascends the Chupaines Mesa, and comes down towards Tecolote, it is called to this day the Cumanche trail.

Question. Is there not another road leading to the Conchas that

passes along the foot of the Mesa below the Mesa del Aguage de la Yequa?

Answer. I know of no such road.

Question. Have you ever lived on the Gallinas tract of land?

Answer. I have not. I have been there frequently for some time with my flocks.

Question. Did you pasture your flocks on the Conchas or Gallinas?

Answer. At both places.

Question by the surveyor general. Have you any interest in the Pino claim, or any house or improvement on that claim?

Answer. I have not.

FRANCISCO BRAGADO Y RIBERA. [Rubric]

Sworn and subscribed before me, this 13th July, 1856.

WM. PELHAM,
Surveyor General

Antonio Saens sworn.

Questioned by counsel for Hatch :

Question. Do you know the farm of San Juan Bautista del Rio de las Conchas?

Answer. I do not.

Question. Are you acquainted with Chaperito?

Answer. I am.

Question. Have you ever been at the ruins of farm?

Answer. I have not.

Question. Who distributed the lands of Chaperito?

Answer. Don Juan de Dios Maese.

Question. Who distributed the lands below Chaperito, on the Gallinas river?

Answer. I did.

Question. Do you know the road leading to the Conchas?

Answer. I have never travelled it, or seen it. I have only heard it passes above Pino's house.

Question. To what point up the Gallinas river was considered as the farm of Don Juan Pino when you distributed the land?

(Objected to by opposite counsel. Objection overruled by court.)

Answer. When I distributed the lands, I inquired of the parties interested in the distribution, and they answered that from the old road down belonged to Don Juan Pino.

Question. Did you distribute lands above or below this old road?

Answer. Above.

Question. Do you know where Mr. Hatch's farm is situated?

Answer. I do.

Question. Where is it situated?

Answer. Mr. Hatch took possession on the land which I gave to Don Miguel Jaramillo at the very cañada of Aguilar. I do not know how much further down he has taken.

Question. Is the cañada of Aguilar above or below the town of Chaperito.

Answer. Below.

Question. How far is it from Chaperito to cañada de Aguilar, more or less?

Answer. One league, more or less.

Question. In what year did you distribute those lands?

Answer. In the year 1845.

Questioned by the surveyor general:

Question. In what year did you know the country around Chaperito?

Answer. In the year 1845.

Question. Which is the road leading from San Miguel to the Conchas, and is there more than one?

Answer. I have never been there. I am not a native of this country. I came here in 1822, and went to Las Vegas in 1841.

Question. Do you know of any road leading from San Miguel to the Conchas, called the old road?

Answer. I do not. The roads that come down to the valleys all lead to the Conchas and other places.

Questioned by counsel for Beck:

Question. How often have you been at the cañada de Aguilar.

Answer. Three or four times after it was settled.

Question. Were you ever there before it was settled?

Answer. When I made the first distribution.

ANTONIO SAENS.

SURVEYOR GENERAL'S OFFICE, TRANSLATOR'S DEPARTMENT,
Santa Fé, New Mexico, August 25, 1856.

The foregoing is a correct translation of the testimony taken in the claim of Preston Beck, jr., present claimant to the Ojito de las Conchas.

DAVID V. WHITING, *Translator.*

SURVEYOR GENERAL'S OFFICE,
Santa Fé, New Mexico September 30, 1856.

The foregoing is a true copy of the translation, made in the office, of the original testimony taken in the claim of Preston Beck, jr., to the Ojito de las Gallinas.

WM. PELHAM,
Surveyor General of New Mexico.

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, }
Territory of New Mexico, } ss.

To the Surveyor General of New Mexico:

Preston Beck, junior, a citizen of the United States, and resident of the Territory of New Mexico, respectfully represents to you, that he is the claimant and legal owner, in fee, of a certain tract of land, lying and being situate in the county of San Miguel, in said Territory

of New Mexico, and known as the "Hacienda de San Juan Bautista del Ojito del Rio de las Gallinas," and bounded on the north by the land marks of the sitio of Don Antonio Ortiz, and the Mesa of the "Agnage de la Yegua;" on the south by the river Pecos; on the east by the Mesa of Pajarita; on the west by the point of the Mesa of the Chupaines; all of which points and boundaries are well-known landmarks in the said county of San Miguel; and the said Preston Beck, the "present claimant," claims a perfect title to said land by virtue of a grant made on the twenty-third day of December, in the year one thousand eight hundred and twenty-three, by Bartolome Baca, governor and superior political chief of the province of New Mexico, by and with the advice and approbation of the provincial deputation of the said province of New Mexico, to one Juan Estevan Pino, a citizen of Mexico; which said grant was made as aforesaid by authority of the laws, usages, and customs of the republic of Mexico, in force at that time, and of the laws and regulations of Spain, which were declared and recognized to be in force and effect at that time in the republic of Mexico. For which power and authority see "Collection of the Decrees and Orders of the Cortes of Spain," which are considered in force in Mexico, published in Mexico by Martano Galvan, in 1829, page 56, and from pages 91 to 101. See also the decree of Mexico of June 4, and September 18, 1823, pages 123 and 180 of 2d vol. Galvan's Decrees. See Ordenanzas de Terras y Aguas, and 8 Peters' Reports, 436; 15 Peters', 130; 1 Howard, 24; 6 Peters', 691; Holcomb's United States Digest, 358 to 363.

The said Preston Beck, claimant, further states that he cannot show the quantity of land claimed by him, except as set forth in said grant, as within the above described well known meets and boundaries; nor can he furnish a plat of survey, as no survey has ever been executed. Claimant further states that one Alexander Hatch, and about one hundred other persons have settled upon said grant without a title from any person, or from any government, and with a full knowledge of the existence of the claim now presented. Claimant further states that, by virtue of said grant, Juan Estevan Pino was lawfully put in possession of said tract of land by the competent authorities, and settled upon said claim with a large amount of property, and there held possession of the same for the space of twenty-one years, and until expelled by the hostilities of the savage Indian tribes; that upon the death of Juan Estevan Pino, the said tract of land was inherited by his two sons, Justo Pino and Manuel D. Pino, who were his only heirs, and the present claimant claims his title by virtue of deeds from Justo Pino and Gertrudes Rascon his wife, and from Manuel D. Pino and Josefa Ortez his wife; all of original grants and deeds of transfer and documentary titles, marked A, B, C, D, E, are herewith filed and made part of this claim. Claimant files this his said claim before you, under the 8th section of the act of Congress, approved 22d July, 1854, entitled "An act to establish the offices of surveyor general of New Mexico, Kansas, and Nebraska, to grant donations to actual settlers therein, and for other purposes," and respectfully asks confirmation by you of his said claim.

PRESTON BECK, Jr.,
By H. N. SMITH, *his Attorney*

SURVEYOR GENERAL'S OFFICE,
Santa Fé, New Mexico, September 30, 1856.

The foregoing is a true copy of the original on file in this office.

WM. PELHAM,
Surveyor General.

PRESTON BECK, Jr.,
 Assignee of the heirs of Juan Estevan Pino, }
versus
 ALEXANDER HATCH and others. }

This case was filed in this office by Preston Beck, jr., as present claimant, under a conveyance from the heirs of Juan Estevan Pino and their wives, on the 10th day of May, 1855.

On the 6th day of December, 1823, Juan Estevan Pino, a citizen of this Territory, petitioned Bartolomé Baca, acting superior political chief of the province of New Mexico, for a grant of land in the county of San Miguel, to be known as the "Hacienda de San Juan Bautista del Ojito del Rio de las Gallinas," with the following boundaries: on the north, the landmarks of the tract of Don Antonio Ortiz, and the table lands of the Aguage de la Yegua; on the south, the Pecos river; on the east, the table lands of Pajarito; and on the west, the point of the table land of the Chupaines.

His petition was transmitted by Bartolomé Baca, acting superior political chief, to the most excellent provincial deputation of New Mexico, on the 18th day of December, 1823, recommending the grant to be made to the petitioner, and, in compliance with the recommendation of the political chief, the provincial deputation of New Mexico did, on the 23d day of December, grant to Juan Estevan Pino, for himself and his legitimate heirs, the land asked for in the petition, with the boundaries therein set forth.

On the same day Bartolomé Baca, acting superior political chief, issued his granting decree, in pursuance of the action taken by the provincial deputation on the premises, requiring the party to place permanent and fixed landmarks to his possession, in order that in all time to come the dividing line of his possession might be known.

On the 29th day of the month of August, 1825, the party was placed in possession of the land granted to him by Don Tomas Sena, constitutional justice (alcalde) of the corporation of the jurisdiction of San Miguel del Bado, with all the formalities required by law.

On the 15th day of July, 1848, Manuel Dorotéo Pino and Justo Pastor Pino, the legitimate heirs of Juan Estevan Pino, transferred all their right, title, and interest in and to the above grant of land, to their wives, Doña Josefa Ortiz and Doña Gertrudis Rascon.

On the 18th day of June, 1853, Manuel Dorotéo Pino and Josefa Ortiz, his wife, conveyed to Hugh N. Smith, trustee for Preston Beck, jr., the one undivided half of said tract of land known as the "Hacienda de San Juan Bautista del Ojito del Rio de las Gallinas," and on the 30th day of October, 1854, Justo Pastor Pino and Gertrudis Rascon,

his wife, conveyed to Preston Beck, jr., the remaining undivided one half of said tract of land.

The present claimant alleges in his petition that one Alexander Hatch, and about one hundred other persons, have settled upon said grant without a title from any person, or from any government, and with a full knowledge of the existence of the grant filed by the claimant.

The above case was set for hearing on the 20th day of August, 1855, and the testimony presented by the claimant being taken, the counsel for claimant rested his case; on the 13th day of July, 1856, the counsel for the settlers closed their testimony and rested their case.

The case was argued by Mr. Hugh N. Smith and Mr. R. H. Tompkins for the claimant, and Mr. M. Ashurst for Alexander Hatch and others.

The following are the principal objections made by the counsel for Hatch, *et al.*, against the confirmation of the grant:

1. The want of authority vested in the political chief of the Territory, Bartolomé Baca, to make a grant of land—the republic of Mexico having just severed itself from the sovereignty of the Spanish government and no permanent government being established, and all the laws of the Spanish government previously in force being annulled by the act of independence.

2. The indefiniteness of the grant, there being no landmarks established as required by law.

3. That Juan Estevan Pino, the grantee, had forfeited all right to the land granted to him, on account of its non-occupancy for the time required by law.

This case was argued very elaborately by the counsel on both sides, and many points concerning the boundaries of the grant were introduced in the testimony and the arguments, which this office deems unnecessary, at present, to notice, as they have no direct reference to the validity of the grant.

This case has been considered by this office with much attention; and as it is understood that the validity of nearly all the private land claims in this Territory depends upon the same principles, all the authorities that could be procured, having any bearing on the case, have been carefully examined and maturely deliberated.

The documents presented in this case are original, and the signatures of the granting officers and conveyors are proven by testimony to be genuine, and the chain of title from the original grantee to the present claimant is complete.

The government of Spain vested in the supreme officers of the ultramarine provinces under its sovereignty, the right of separating from the public domain such portions of land as they deemed proper for the promotion of agriculture, and the encouragement of stock-raising, as will be seen by reference to the orders and decrees of the Spanish government, and the recopilation of the Indies.—White's Recopilacion. When Mexico was severed from the sovereignty of Spain, and became a separate and independent government, in the year 1821, it was expressly declared that "all the authority necessary for the administration of justice, and other public acts, should emanate from

the empire [Mexican] itself. It has seen proper to confirm and continue in office all the authorities for the present, and according to the plan of Igualá and the treaties of the city of Córdoba, in order to legalize the exercise of their respective powers."—Galvan's Decrees, vol. 1.

The fifteenth article of the plan of Igualá declares that "all political, civil and military, and ecclesiastical officers, shall continue as they are at the present day; those only shall be removed who do not subscribe to the plan," &c.—Galvan's Decrees, vol. 1, page 4.

The twelfth section of the treaty of Córdoba also declares that "the provisional assembly shall be governed by the laws then in force, where they are not contrary to the plan of Igualá."—Galvan's Decrees, vol. 1, page 7.

There was, therefore, no interregnum in the government, and the plan of Igualá and the treaty of Córdoba vested in the officers of the new government the same power and authority exercised by them under the sovereignty of Spain.

In the absence of the governor from the Territory, it was customary for the oldest member of the provincial deputation to assume his duties, under the title of "*Gefe Político*," as in the present case, and full power and authority vested in him to do and perform all the duties appertaining to the office of the governor. In the present case, however, the grant was made by the provincial deputation, the supreme authority of the Territory, and the granting decree of the political chief was but a fulfilment of the enactment of that body—of whose authority to dispose of the public domain there is no question.

The boundaries set forth in the granting decree are natural points well known to all the community; and in the absence of any survey, which was not required in the grant, are amply sufficient to designate such portion of land as was intended to be severed from the public domain.

The evidence presented by the claimant shows that the grantee did have possession of the land granted to him—that he occupied it with his stock, and cultivated certain portions of it, and that he continued to do so until he was driven off by the hostile Indians. Not having voluntarily abandoned the land, he did not, therefore, forfeit his right to the grant.

The intention of the provincial deputation, and the recommendation of the governor, and no conditions being attached to it, makes the grant a positive and absolute one, and vests in the grantee a title in fee to all the land embraced within the boundaries set forth in the granting decree.

Objections made by counsel against the validity of the grant were therefore overruled.

Believing this to be one of the cases coming under the provisions of the treaty of Guadalupe Hidalgo of 1848, and having strong claims to validity under the decisions of the Supreme Court of the United States in similar cases, the grant made to Juan Estevan Pino to a certain tract of land in the county of San Miguel, and known as the "Hacienda de San Juan Bautista del Ojito del Rio de las Gallinas," and of which Preston Beck, jr., is the present claimant, is hereby

approved; and the Congress of the United States is respectfully recommended to cause a patent to be issued to the said Preston Beck, jr., by the proper department, and cause the same to be surveyed.

WILLIAM PELHAM,
Surveyor General of New Mexico

SURVEYOR GENERAL'S OFFICE,
Santa Fé, New Mexico, September 30, 1856.

The foregoing is a true copy of the original decision now on file in this office.

WILLIAM PELHAM,
Surveyor General of New Mexico

Documents accompanying claim No. 3.

- Grant, Spanish copy, No. 1.
- Grant, translation, No. 2.
- Testimony, notice, No. 3.
- Decision, No. 4.

CLAIM No. 3.

AÑO DE 1739 AÑOS.

Nueva Polacion de Nuestra Señora de la Concepcion de Tomé Dominguez, criada y fundada por el Señor Don Gaspar Domingo de Mendoza, Gobernador y Capitan General de este Reyno de la Nueva Méjico, consta en cuatro fojas, con esta.

SEÑOR ALCALDE MAYOR: Todos los firmados en esta comparacion ante Vm. y juntos y congregados, y cada uno de por si, decimos; que para que sea servido el Señor Gobernador de darles un sitio adonde llaman Tomé Dominguez, á los primeros que lo solicitaron y se desistieron de poblar, por cuyo motivo pedimos merced de dicho sitio. Por lo cual suplicamos á Vmd. sea muy servido ****[eaten by mice]**** por aquel entónces, á ****[eaten by mice]**** dichos pobladores, hallando nosotros en disposicion de poblar en el tiempo de la ley; á Vmd. pedimos se sirva darnos la merced que tiene recojida, pues sabe impetramos con justicia y necesidad, pues nos hallamos, tan estrechos, careciendo de leñas, pastos para nuestras hacienditas y lograr el ensancharnos, á sembrar y crido, pues no se puede ya en esta villa de Albuquerque, por los muchos pisos que experimentamos, y el no poder lograr ni lo que criamos, y en alguna manera, ni nuestras labores; por cortedad de agnas; y en los mas, tierras que las tenemos cortas y muy estrechos, &c. Por todo lo cual, á Vmd. pedimos, y suplicamos sea muy servido el concedernos lo que llevamos pedido que recibiremos

merced con justicia, y juramos en toda forma no ser de malicia, protestamos costas, y en lo necesario, &c.

Juan Barela—Joseh Salas—Juan Ballejos—Manuel Carrillo—Juan Montañó—Domingo Cedillo—Matias Romero—Bernardo Ballejo—Gregorio Jaramillo—Francisco Sanchez—Pedro Romero—Phelipe Barela—Lugardo Ballejos—Agustin Gallego—Alonzo Perea—Thomas Samora—Nicolas Garcia—Ignacio Baca—Salvador Manuel—Francisco Silva—Francisco Rivèra—Juan Antonio Zamora—Miguel Lucero—Juchin Sedillo—Simon Samora—Xpritoval Gallegos—Juan Ballejos, grande—Jacinto Barela—Diego Gonzalez.

En esta villa de San Phelipe de Albuquerque, en dos dias del mes de Julio del año de mil setecientos y trienty y nueve años, por ante mi, el Capitan Juan Gonzalez Baz, Alcalde Mayor de dicha villa y su jurisdiccion, la presentaron los contenidos en ella, y por mi vista, digo: Que noles puedo dar la merced que piden, por tener ya recogida, por órden de mi Gobernador, hasta tanto que consulte con su Señoria, á quien hago remision de este pedimento para que en su vista determine su Señoria lo que mas convenga. Asi lo provei, y firmé, anuando por receptoria, con dos testigos de asistencia, á falta de escribano publico y real, que no lo hay en este reyno, fecho ut supra.

JUAN GONZALES BAZ.

Testigo:

BSR. ALEJANDRO GONZALEZ.

SALVADOR MARTINEZ.

Don Gaspar Domingo de Mendoza, Gobernador y Capitan General de este Reyno de la Nueva Méjico, por S. M. visto este, lo hube por presentado, y en atencion á la súplica que hacen los mencionados en él, les concedo, en nombre de S. M. (Dios le guarde) la merced del sitio que piden, llamada el sitio de tomé Dominguez, para si, sus sucesores, y quien mas derecho tenga bajo los requisitos y circunstancias que en semejantes casos se requieren, y el que halla de ser sin perjuicio de alguno el poblarlo, mantenerlo y beneficiarlo el tiempo que previenen las leyes, por lo que debia de mandar y mandé. Que dicho alcalde mayor, ó su theniente, á quienes corresponde, los ponga en posesion de las mencionadas tierras, arreglandose en todo, á lo que á cada uno debe corresponder, á fin de que se eviten las diferencias que en adelante pueden ofrecerse. Asi lo provei, mandé y firme, anuando por receptoria, con testigos de asistencia, á falta de Escribano real y publico, que no lo hay en este reyno, y en el comun papel por no correr otro.

DON GASPARD DOMINGO DE MENDOZA.

ANTONIO DE HERRERO.

JOSEH. TERRUS.

PRESENTACION.—En la nueva Poblazon de Nuestra Señora de la Concepcion de Thomé Dominguez, criada y fundada por el Señor Don Gaspar Domingo de Mendoza, actual Gobernador y Capitan General de este Reyno de la Nueva Méjico, en treinta dias del mes de Julio del año de mil setecientos treinta y nueve años. Yo, el Cappn. Juan Gon-

zalez Baz, Alcalde Mayor y Cappn. á Guerra de la Villa de San Phelipe de Albuquerque, y los distritos de su jurisdiccion, en virtud del auto pronunciado y arriba proveido por dicho Sor: Publico y pregonada la real posesion, que en órden á lo mandado se debia dar, juntos todos los que la debian aprender, pasé al referido puesto, y puntos todos, los enteré del auto; los coxi de la mano, los pacié, por la tierra, dieron voces, arrancaron y ervas, tiraron piedras, segun los requisitos que el derecho dispone, y habiendose aposeionado de dichas tierras á los nuevos pobladores, les di el titulo, y avocacion que en la poblacion debian tener, siendo el que llevo referido, de Nuestra Señora de la Concepcion de Thomé Dominguez, cuya titular fiesta prometieron guardar y celebrar cada un año, y puesta la primera diligencia, pasé á señalar los linderos, que segun el primer escrito tenian pedidos, siendo los siguientes: Por el poniente, el Rio del Norte; por el sur, el parage que comunmente llaman do los tres Alamos; por el oriente, con la sierra madre que llaman de Sandia; y por el norte, con la punta de los Esteros del cerro que llaman de Tomé Dominguez, en cuyos principales linderos les mandé perpetuar sus memorias, con perpetuas mohoneras señalandoles tambien, en modo de buena economica, por lo general pastos, aguas y abrebaderos, y usos y costumbres, para todos, y que los gozen sin contradiccion alguna, con apercibimiento que cada uno los goze, sin contradiccion alguna, por iguales partes, asi el mas rico, como el mas pobre, y en virtud de lo mandado les pronuncio, por bastante titulo esta real posesion, para que gozen sus tierras por si, sus hijos, herederos y sucesores, ahora y siempre, á su voluntad, mandandoles, como les mando, lo pueblen dentro del término que por reales ordenanzas se dispone, y para su mayor quietud, paz, tranquilidad y buena concordia, pasé á señalarles las tierras que cada familia debia sembrar, siendo á cada una, la longitud de una fanega de maiz de sembradura, dos fanegas de trigo, huerta y solar de casa, siendo como sigue: Se señaló á Francisco Sanchez lo dicho en general, por el poniente con el Rio del Norte y **[torn]** arroyo ó brazo seco que sale de dicho Rio **[torn]** lindando con tierras de Matias Romero **[torn]** con ellas las tierras de Ignacio Baca, con ellas Lugardo Ballejo, con estas lindan las tierras **[torn]** estas lindan las tierras de Bernardo Ballejo **[torn]** tierras de Juan Ballejo, el grande con estas **[torn]** Gregorio Jaramillo, con estas lindan las tierras de Josefa Gutierrez, con estas, un cuerpo de las tierras de su hermano Gregorio Gutierrez **[torn]** las tierras lindando con ellas, las de Miguel Lucero **[torn]** con ellas las de Francisco de Silva, con estas lindan las de Juan Ballejo el mozo, con estas lindan las tierras de Manuel Carrillo, y su hermana Jacinta Martin Carrillo **[torn]** un cuerpo las tierras de Juan Barela **[torn]** Bentura Romero, Domingo Sedillo, **[torn]** Salvador Manuel Marquez, Manuel Cedillo, **[torn]** Jacinto Barela, Agustin Gallego, siendo **[torn]** les pertenece y queda señalada **[torn]** por la parte del oriente **[torn]** Cristobal Gallego, Felipe Barela **[torn]** Simon Zamora y Juan Montañón **[torn]** que llevan señalado, en tierras heriasas, para que segun **[torn]** paresca estar convencidos **[torn]** posesion fue dada **[torn]** habiendo quedado todos muy gustosos, ahora y en todo tiempo, sin faltar

en un solo punto á lo mandado, ni lo que deben observar dichos pobladores.

Y para que conste, lo firmé antuando por receptoria, con los testigos de asistencia, á falta de Escribano publico y Real que no le hay en este Reyno, en dicho dia ut supra, &c.—enmendado—Franco. Sanchez—vale—

JUAN GONZALES BAZ.

Testigo :

ALEJANDRO GOÑZALEZ.

YSIDRO SANCHEZ.

SURVEYOR GENERAL'S OFFICE, TRANSLATOR'S DEPARTMENT.

Santa Fé, New Mexico, September 30, 1856.

The foregoing is a true copy of the original grant of Tomé, as contained in the certified copy made in the year 1836, by order of Albino Perez, civil and military political chief of the Territory of New Mexico, and filed by the claimants, the original, taken from the archives of the Territory and on file in this office, being so much torn and destroyed by mice as to be very imperfect and scarcely legible.

DAVID V. WHITING,
Translator.

SURVEYOR GENERAL'S OFFICE,

Santa Fé, New Mexico, September 30, 1856.

David V. Whiting, whose genuine signature appears subscribed to the foregoing certificate, is, and was, at the time of signing the same, the Translator of this office.

WM. PELHAM,
Surveyor General of New Mexico.

[Translation.]

Year 1739.

New settlement of "Nuestra Señora de la Concepcion de Tomé Dominguez," instituted and established by Don Gaspar Domingo de Mendoza, governor and captain general of this kingdom of New Mexico, contained in four pages, including this.

SIR SENIOR JUSTICE: All the undersigned appear before you, and all jointly, and each one for himself, state, that in order that his excellency the governor may be pleased to donate to them the land called Tomé Dominguez, granted to those who first solicited the same, and who declined settling thereupon, we therefore ask that the land be granted to us; we therefore pray you to be pleased *[eaten by mice]*

at that time to *[eaten by mice]* said settlers, we being disposed to settle upon the same within the time prescribed by law; we pray you to be pleased to give us the grant which you have caused to be returned, as you are aware that our petition is founded upon justice and necessity, our present condition being very limited, with scarcity of wood, pasture for our stock, and unable to extend our cultivation and raising of stock in this town of Albuquerque, on account of the many foot-paths encroaching upon us, and not allowed to reap the benefit of what we raise, and, in a measure, not even our crops on account of the scarcity of water, and with most of us our lands are of little extent and much confined, &c. In view of all which we pray and request you to be pleased to grant our petition, by doing which we will receive grace with justice; and we swear in all form that it is not done in malice; we protest costs and whatever may be necessary.

Juan Barela, Joseh Salas, Juan Ballejos, Manuel Carillo, Juan Montaña, Domingo Cedillo, Matias Romero, Bernardo Ballejo, Gregorio Jamarillo, Francisco Sanchez, Pedro Romero, Phelipe Barela, Lugardo Ballejos, Augustin Gallegos, Alonzo Perea, Thomas Samora, Nicholas Garcia, Ignacio Baca, Salvador Manuel, Francisco Silva, Francisco Rivera, Juan Antonio Zamora, Miguel Lucero, Achim Sedillo, Simon Samora, Xpritoval Gallegos, Juan Balle grande, Jacinto Barela, Diego Gonzalez.

In this town of San Phelipe de Albuburque, on the second day of the month of July, in the year one thousand and seven hundred and thirty-nine, before me, Captain Juan Gonzalez Baz, senior justice of said town and its jurisdiction, came the persons contained in the above petition, which by me seen, I state: That I cannot deliver to them the grant asked for, as it has been returned by order of my governor, until I consult with his excellency, to whom this petition is referred, that seeing it, his excellency may determine whatever may be proper. I have so ordered and signed, acting by appointment, with two attending witnesses, in the absence of a public or royal notary, there being none in this kingdom. Date, *ut supra*.

JUAN GONZALEZ BAZ.

Witness:

B. S. R. ALEJANDRO GONZALEZ.

SALVADOR MARTINEZ.

Don Gaspar Domingo de Mendoza, governor and captain general of this kingdom of New Mexico, for his majesty, having seen the above, I considered it as presented, and in view of the request of the individuals therein contained, grant to them, in the name of his majesty, whom may God preserve, the land petitioned for, called the land of Tomé Dominguez, for themselves, their successors, and whoever may have a right thereto under the conditions and circumstances required in such cases, and which is to be without prohibition to any one desiring to settle the same, holding and improving it during the time required by law. In view of which, I should order, and did order, that said senior justice or his lieutenant, whose duty it is, shall place them in the possession of the aforementioned lands, giving in all cases to each one the portion he may be entitled to in

order to avoid difficulties which may occur in the future. I have so provided, ordered, and signed, acting by appointment, with attending witnesses, in the absence of a royal or public notary, there being none in this kingdom, and on common paper, there being none other.

DON GASPAR DOMINGO DE MENDOZA.

ANTONIO DE HERRERO.

JOSEH TERRUS.

POSSESIÓN.—In the new settlement of “Nuestra Señora de la Concepcion de Thomi Dominguez,” instituted and established by Don Gaspar Domingo de Mendoza, actual governor and captain-general of this kingdom of New Mexico, on the thirtieth day of the month of July, in the year one thousand seven hundred and thirty-nine, I, Captain Juan Gonzalez Baz, senior justice and war captain of the town of San Phelipe de Albuquerque, and the districts within its jurisdiction, by virtue of the decree issued and above provided by said governor, the royal possession ordered to be given being published and promulgated, and the parties concerned being together, I proceeded to the mentioned place, and all being present, I notified them of the decree; I took them by the hand, walked with them over the land; they cried out, pulled up weeds, threw stones, as required by law; and giving placed the new settlers in possession of said lands, I gave them title and vocacion they should have in the settlement, which bears the name aforementioned, of “Nuestra Señora de la Concepcion de Thomi Dominguez,” whose titular feast they promised to observe and celebrate every year. And the first proceedings having been noted, I proceeded to establish the boundaries as contained in the first petition, which are as follows: on the west the Del Norte river, on the south the place commonly called “Los Tres Alamos,” on the east the main ridge called Sandia, and on the north the point of the marsh at the hill called Tomé Dominguez; at which principal boundaries I ordered them to perpetuate their existence with permanent landmarks, pointing out to them also, as a means of good economy, their common pastures, water, and watering places, and uses and customs for all, to be used without any dispute, with the condition that each one is to use the same without dispute, in equal portions, the richest as well as the poorest; and by virtue of what has been ordered, I pronounce this royal possession as sufficient title for themselves, their children, heirs and successors, to hold their lands now and forever at their will; directing them, as I do direct them, to settle the same within the time prescribed by the royal ordinances; and for their greater quietude, peace, tranquillity and harmony, I proceeded to point out the land each family should cultivate, each one receiving in length a sufficient quantity to plant one fanega of corn, two of wheat, garden and house lot, as follows: To Francisco Sanchez, the general boundary on the west, the Del Norte river and (torn) arroyo or dry branch running out from said river, (torn) bounded by lands of Matias Romero, (torn); with them the lands of Ygnacio Baca; with them Lugardo Ballejo; with these the lands of (torn) these are bounded by the lands of Bernardo Ballejo, (torn) lands of Juan Ballejo el grande; with these (torn) Gregorio Jaramillo; with these are bounded the lands of Josefa

Gutierrez; with these a body of the lands of her brother Gregorio Gutierrez, (torn) the lands bounded by them; those of Miguel Lucero, (torn;) with them those of Francisco de Silva; with these are those of Juan Ballejo the youngest; with these are bounded the lands of Manuel Carrillo and his sister Jacinta Martin Carrillo, (torn) a body of the lands of Juan Barela, (torn) Ventura Romero, Domingo Sedilla, (torn) Salvador Manuel Marquez, Manuel Carrillo, (torn) Jacinto Barela and Augustin Gallejo, (torn) on the east, (torn) Cristobal Gallejos, Felipe Barela, (torn) Simon Zamora and Juan Montaño, (torn) which are distributed on uncultivated ground in order that (torn) appear to be agreed upon (torn) possession was given, (torn) all having expressed themselves satisfied now and in the future, without failing to comply in one single instance with what had been ordered, nor in which said settlers should observe. And in order that it may so appear, I signed, acting by appointment, with attending witnesses, in the absence of a public and royal notary, there being none in this kingdom, on said day *ut supra &c.*, corrected.

FRANSISCO SANCHEZ.
JUAN GOZALEZ BAZ.

Witnesses :

ALEJANDRO GONZALEZ.
YSIDRO SANCHEZ.

—
SURVEYOR GENERAL'S OFFICE, TRANSLATOR'S DEPARTMENT,
Santa Fé, New Mexico, August 25, 1856.

The foregoing is a correct translation of the copy of the original grant to the settlers of Tomé, filed by the claimants.

DAVID V. WHITING,
Translator.

—
SURVEYOR GENERAL'S OFFICE,
Santa Fé, New Mexico, Séptember 30, 1856.

The foregoing is a true copy of the translation made in this office of the grant to the settlers of Tomé, filed by the claimants.

WM. PELHAM,
Surveyor General.

TERRITORY OF NEW MEXICO, }
Santa Fé county. }

To the Hon. William Pelham, surveyor general of the Territory of New Mexico :

Your petitioners, the inhabitants of the sitio of Tomé, in the county of Valencia, New Mexico, would respectfully state to you, that in the year 1739 one Juan Barela and others petitioned one Gaspar Domingo Mendoza, then captain-general and governor of the province of New Mexico, under the crown of Spain, for a grant of the sitio of Tomé Dominguez. Your petitioners would further state, that said petition was

duly presented, and being fully considered by said governor, a grant of land was made to said petitioners on the 30th day of July, 1739, called the sitio of Tomé Dominguez, situate now in the county of Valencia, and bounded on the west by the Rio del Norte; on the south by the stopping place called the Three Trees; on the east with the Sierra Madre, called Sandia; and on the north by la punta de los Esteros del Serro que llaman de Tomé Dominquez; which said grant was made to said petitioners and their heirs in fee, and was duly taken possession of by said grantees, in accordance with the forms of law then in force, and has ever since that time been in the quiet and peaceable possession of said grantees, their heirs and assigns, without any adverse claim of any kind from any source whatever. Your petitioners further state that the town of Tomé is situated on said grant, and the residence and farms of your petitioners, who have inherited the same from the original grantees, or acquired them by purchase. Your petitioners further state that they are not able to state the number of leagues contained within the boundaries of said grant, as no survey of the same has as yet ever been made, but the boundaries mentioned in said grant are well known and easily identified.

Your petitioners, the inhabitants of said sitio, to the end that their title may be recognized and perfected under the act of Congress, in such case made and provided, herewith present a copy of said grant for your consideration, and report marked with the letter (W.) All of which is respectfully submitted.

The inhabitants of Tomé:

By JOHN S. WATTS, *Attorney.*

SURVEYOR GENERAL'S OFFICE,
Santa Fé, New Mexico, September 30, 1856.

The foregoing is a true copy of the notice filed in this office by the attorney of the inhabitants of the sitio of Tomé for a confirmation of their grant.

WM. PELHAM,
Surveyor General.

Town of Tomé.

SURVEYOR GENERAL'S OFFICE,
Santa Fé, New Mexico, September 2, 1856.

The claim to this town was filed in this office on the 6th day of August, 1856.

In the year 1739 Juan Barela and others petitioned Juan Gonzalez Baz, the senior justice of the town of Albuquerque, to grant them a tract of land which had been previously granted to one Tomé Dominguez, and which grant had been revoked by the governor and captain-general.

This petition was referred by the senior justice, on the 2d day of July, 1739, to Gaspar Domingo de Mendoza, governor and captain-general of the Territory, who granted them the lands in the name of hi

Majesty; and on the 30th day of July, 1739, Juan Gonzalez Baz, senior justice, and war captain of the town of San Philippe de Albuquerque, and the districts under its jurisdiction, placed the said Barela and companions in possession of the land so granted, with the following boundaries: on the west, the Del Norte river; on the south the place commonly called "Los Tres Alamos;" on the east the Sierra Madre, called Sandia; and on the north the point of the marsh of the hill called Tomé Dominguez.

The original grant of the land embraced in this claim was selected from the archives of the Territory found at this place, but in so dilapidated a condition, and so much eaten by mice, that it is not legible. This office has, therefore, acted upon a certified copy, made in the year 1836, filed by the claimant.

The signature of the governor and captain-general on the original grant, on comparison with others of the same officer in this office appears to be genuine, and the boundaries on the original are complete, and correspond with those on the copy.

The heirs and successors of the parties to whom this grant was made have been in the peaceable possession of the land for one hundred and seventeen years, without any adverse claim from any person whatsoever.

The decrees and orders of the King of Spain, and the recapitulation of the Indians, conferred upon the governors of Territories under its jurisdiction the power of distributing the public lands, and this grant was made in pursuance of the power so vested; and the grant to the said town of Tomé is therefore approved, and the Congress of the United States respectfully recommended to confirm the same, causing a patent to issue therefor by the proper department, and that the land embraced within the limits set forth in the grant be surveyed.

WM. PELHAM,

Surveyor General of New Mexico

SURVEYOR GENERAL'S OFFICE,
Santa Fé, New Mexico, September 30, 1856.

The foregoing is a true copy of the original on file in this office.

WM. PELHAM,

Surveyor General of New Mexico

CLAIM No. 3.

Documents accompanying claim No. 3.

Grant, (Spanish copy,) No. 1.

Grant, (translation,) No. 2.

Testimony, No. 3.

Decision, No. 4.

[Valga por el Sello Tercero, para los años 832 y 833.]

[Rubric.]

SOR. JEFE POLITICO: El C. Manuel Martinez en union de ocho hijos varones y algunos otros que voluntariamente me quieren acompañar, ante VS. como mas arreglado á derecho proceda, parezco y digo. Que habiendo registrado un terreno para labor y pasteo de bienes de tiempo, situado á las márgenes del Rio de Chama, y conocido por el nombre de la Tierra Amarilla, cuyos linderos son: Por el oriente, la cordillera de la sierra; por el poniente, la linea del Puerto á la Laguna de los Caballos; por el norte, el Rio de Navajo; y por el sur, el Rio de las Nutrias; suplico á VS. tenga la bondad de elevar esta mi solicitud al conocimiento de la Exma. Diputacion Territorial á efecto de que SE. se sirva concederme la correspondiente merced, á nombre de la soberania de la Nacion Mejicana, á que tenemos el honor de pertenecer; y cuya gracia solicita el impetrante, por estar satisfecho de que no resulta perjuicio de tercero, ni mucho ménos de comunidad, respecto de hallarse separado de los confines de esta jurisdiccion de Abiquiu, de donde soy vecino, cosa de siete leguas, mas que ménos.

La presente solicitud, no lleva otro objeto que el de mejorar de situacion; pues aunque en esta jurisdiccion disfruto algunos terrenos para labor, es evidente que aquellos se hallan tan dementados, envejecidos, y ensados, que ya el es mero mas esquisito; y el trabajo mas laborioso, no basta para cosechar siquiera lo muy preciso.

En tal virtud y á SE. por conducto de VS. pido y suplico se sirva conceder á mi solicitud; para que el dia que la parca corte el hilo á mi vida, esta la termine con el consuelo de que dejo á mi familia un recurso para subvenir á su subsistencia, sin necesidad de sacrificios y afanes de mucho costo, y ningun provecho. Juro, &c.

ABIQUIU, 23 de Abril de 1832.

MANUEL MARTINEZ.

SANTA FÉ, Abril 29, 1832.

Como lo pide el presentante, pase esta instancia á la Exma. Diputacion Territorial.

ABREU.

Sria. de la Exma. Diputacion de este Territorio, Quado. No. 1, fs. 3.

SANTA FÉ, Abril 25, 1832.

En seccion de esta dia ha acordado SE. pase esta instancia al Ayuntamiento Constitucional de Abiquiu para que informe.

ABREU, Srio.

ABIQUIU, Mayo 15 de 1832.

En obediencia al decreto del Señor Gefe Politico, fecha 25 de Abril, y determinacion de la Exma. Diputacion Territorial á que informe el Ayuntamiento la solicitud del C. Manuel Martinez del terreno de tierra que se interesa á posear con sus hijos y demas vecinos que lo acompañen, en seccion de esta dia, despues de bien discutido y premeditado, es de parecer que las tierras que solicita el impetrante son de bella calidad, de mucho pasto, agua, leña y capaz de mantener quini-

entas familias sin propiedad ni perjuicio de tercero, quedando libres los pastos y abrebaderos comunes para todos los habitantes de esta jurisdiccion de Abiquiu, por ser los pastos comunes, y de consiguiente si VE. tuviere á bien de conceder la posesion, no tierre impedimento de tercero, es cuanto puede informar sobre el particular.

Pablo Ygno. Gallegos—José Maria Chaves—Franco. Anto. Atencio—José Anto. Martinez—Anto. Miera.

ANTO. ABAD. DURAN,

Secretari

EXMO. SEÑOR: El C. Manuel Martinez, vecino de la jurisdiccion de Abiquiu, en la solicitud que sobre posesion de tierras tiene ante VE. pendiente, respetuosamente espone, que habiendose dignado VS. acordar en seccion de 25 del pasado Abril el que mi peticion pasase al respetable Ayuntamiento de este pueblo para que informase sobre el particular, mas como dicha corporacion no objeta mi pretencion, con obise alguno directo, para que no se me conceda la propiedad que solicito, ántes dice; que la feracidad del terreno es, en concepto de dicha corporacion, capaz para mantener hasta quinientas familias; pero como pone la coartada, para que sea propiedad legitima, diciendo, "quedando libres los pastos y abrebaderos comunes para todos los habitantes de esta jurisdiccion de Abiquiu," me veo en la presicion de ocurrir de nuevo á VE. manifestando: que estando el terreno que solicito, distante de Abiquiu y sus ejidos siete leguas, mas que ménos me seria mas perjudicial que beneficioso el terreno que en propiedad he pedido, respecto de que no puede ser comun lo que se destina á propiedad particular, pues para esto se señalan los linderos de su demarcacion; sin perjuicio de los pastos, abrebaderos, caminos y travesías para todo individuo que transite con ganados, caballadas, etc., por otros puntos desiertos, y que no esten sujetos á propiedad particular de uno ó mas individuos, como la que he pedido, pues ciertamente seria doloroso el que los ganaderos de Abiquiu fuesen á poner rancho de pascoteo permanente, dentro de los linderos que señala la propiedad que pretendo, bajo la salvaguardia de que la merced se concedia quedando comun á los de Abiquiu, los pastos y abrebaderos, para usar de ellos libremente y en perjuicio de los propietarios; y esto solo serviria para suscitar pleitos y contiendas interminables, respeto que lo que es de todos en comun, no es de nadie en propiedad.

Sube de punto Exmo. Señor, la necesidad que de esto tengo, á causa de que el terreno que poseo en esta jurisdiccion, ha quedado sin riego de pie, por haberseme despojado de la acequia con que unicamente lo podia hacer. Por lo espuesto, á VE. pido y súplico se sirva tomar en consideracion las razones vertidas en esta instancia, para que si la benignidad de VE. fuere servida acceder á mi peticion sé me dé testimonio de todo lo practicado, para que me sirva de titulo y resguardo en todo tiempo, ó como VE. determine mejor en el asunto, como que es merced lo que pido. Juro, etc.

ABIQUIU, 16. de Julio de 1832.

MANUEL MARTINEZ.

Quado. 1º, f. 4.—*Secretaria de la Exma. Diputacion Territorial de Nuevo Méjico, Santa Fé, Julio 20 de 1832.*

La Exma. Diputacion, en seccion del dia de ayer se ha servido, en consecuencia de la solicitud antecedente, aprobar los art. sigtes.

1º. Se donará á los impetrantes el sitio de la Tierra Amarilla, bajo la marcacion de limites que sitan.

2º. El Alcalde Constitucional de Abiquiu pasará á hacer dicha donacion, entregando á cada uno de los que se agreguen impetrantes, determinado número de varas á poder recibir de semilla, como de 4 á 5 fas. de trigo en un cálculo prudente, que á esto le parezca y les haga un documento de la donacion.

3º. Que los pastos, abrebaderos, y caminos queden libres segun las costumbres comunmente tenidas en toda poblacion.

4º. Que por el secretario de VE. se entriegun á las partes los autos sobre la materia, proveyendoles la respectiva noticia de lo que se ha terminado, á fin de que sean presentados al Alcalde del punto referido, y este practique lo en ella dictado.

Proveelo en observancia de lo resuelto.

ABREU, *Secretario.*

SURVEYOR GENERAL'S OFFICE, TRANSLATOR'S DEPARTMENT,
Santa Fé, New Mexico, September 30, 1856.

The foregoing is a true copy of the original grant on file in this office.

DAVID V. WHITING,
Translator.

SURVEYOR GENERAL'S OFFICE,
Santa Fé, New Mexico, September 30, 1856.

David V. Whiting, whose genuine signature appears subscribed to the foregoing certificate, is, and was at the time of signing the same, the translator of this office.

WM. PELHAM,
Surveyor General of New Mexico.

[Translation.]

[Equivalent to seal third, for the years 1832 and 1833.]

To SIR POLITICAL CHIEF :

The citizen Manuel Martinez, together with eight male children, and others who may voluntarily desire to accompany him, appears before your Excellency in the manner most conformable to law, and states that having registered a tract of land for cultivation and pasturage,

situated on the banks of the Chama river, and known as the *Tierra Amarilla*, bounded on the east by the range of mountains, on the west on a line with the mouth of the laguna de los Caballos, on the north by the Navajo river, and on the south by the Nutrias river. I pray your excellency to have the kindness to lay this, my petition, before the most excellent territorial deputation, for the purpose of obtaining from its excellency the proper grants in the name of the sovereign of the Mexican nation, to which we have the honor to belong, which grant is solicited by the petitioner, as he is satisfied that it will injure no third party, much less any community, being distant from the borders of the jurisdiction of Abiquiu, where I reside, about seven leagues more than less.

The present petition has no other object than that of improving the condition; and although I am the proprietor of certain tillable lands within this jurisdiction, it is evident that they are so old, and so much impoverished and worn, that with the most exquisite labor they will not produce a sufficient crop for our necessary subsistence.

Therefore, through your excellency, I pray and request its excellency to accede to my petition, so that on the day when fate shall cut asunder the thread of my life, it may be terminated with the assurance that I leave my family with the means of procuring their subsistence without the necessity of sacrifice and anxieties which cost a great deal, and are of no benefit. I swear, &c.

MANUEL MARTINES.

ABIQUIU, *April 23, 1832.*

SANTA FÉ, *April 25, 1832.*

As requested by the petitioner, this petition is submitted to the most excellent territorial deputation.

ABREU.

Office of the secretary of the most excellent deputation of this Territory. Book No. 1, page 3.

SANTA FÉ, *April 25, 1832.*

In session of to-day, its excellency has resolved to refer this petition to the constitutional corporation of Abiquiu to report thereon.

ABREU, *Secretary.*

ABIQUIU, *May 15, 1832.*

In compliance with the decree of his excellency, the political chief dated April 25, and the resolution of the most excellent territorial deputation, requiring the corporation to report on the petition of the citizen Manuel Martin, in reference to the lands he desires to possess with his children, and other residents who may accompany him, in session of to-day, after having well discussed and meditated the matter, they are of the opinion that the lands solicited by the petitioner are of excellent quality, having an abundance of pasture, water, and

wood, and capable of supporting five hundred families without property, and without any injury to third parties, leaving the pasture and watering places free to all the inhabitants of this jurisdiction of Abiquiu, the pastures common. Therefore, if its excellency sees proper to grant the possession, there is no opposition from any third party. This is all they can report concerning the matter.

PABLO YGN'O GALLEGOS.
JOSE MARIA CHAVAS.
FRAN'CO ANT'O ATENCIO.
JOSE ANT'O MARTINEZ.
ANT'O MIERA.

ANTONIO ABAD DURAN,
Secretary.

MOST EXCELLENT SIR: The citizen Manuel Martinez, resident of the jurisdiction of Abiquiu, concerning the petition pending before your excellency, asking for a grant of land, respectfully states that its excellency having been pleased to resolve in session of the 25th of April last that my petition be referred to the respectable corporation of this place, to report concerning the matter; but as said corporation has made no positive objection to the grant I solicit, on the contrary they state that the fertility of the soil is in their opinion capable of supporting as many as five hundred families; but as they place limits to the legitimate possession thereof, remarking, leaving the pasture and watering places free to all the inhabitants of this jurisdiction of Abiquiu, I am compelled to renew my application to your excellency, stating that the land I solicit in fee being distant several leagues more than less from Abiquiu and its environs, it would be more injurious than beneficial to me, as what is reduced to private property cannot be common for this purpose. The boundaries of the land are established without presenting the use of pastures, watering places, roads and highways to any individuals who may journey with his stock, &c., to other vacant places that may not be held as private property by one or more individuals as that which I have petitioned for. It would certainly be unjust that the stock-raisers of Abiquiu should proceed to establish permanent stock ranges within the limits of the property I seek to obtain, under the guarantee that the grant was made on condition that the pasture and watering places should be common with those of Abiquiu, to be freely used to the injury of the proprietors, which will have no other tendency than that of causing endless disputes and difficulties—that which is held in common being of no one individual. In view of which, I pray and request your excellency to be pleased to take into consideration the reasons set forth in this petition, in order that if the graciousness of its excellency be pleased to grant my petition, I may be furnished with a copy of all that has been done to answer as a title on security in the future, or as its excellency may best determine in the matter, grace being what I pray for. I swear, &c.

MANUEL MARTINEZ.

ABUQUIU, July 16, 1832

Book 1, p. 4.—Office of the Secretary of the most excellent territory of the deputation of New Mexico. Santa Fé, July 20, 1832.

The most excellent deputation in session of yesterday, in view of the foregoing petition, have been pleased to approve the following articles :

1. The tract of the Tierra Amarilla is granted to the petitioners with the boundaries set forth by them.

2. The constitutional justice of Abiquiu will proceed to make said grant, delivering to each one of those who may unite with the petitioners a certain number of varas in which, in his opinion, they can sow, by a prudent calculation, four or five fanegas of wheat, executing to them a deed of grant therefor.

3. That the pastures, watering places, and roads, shall be free, according to the custom prevailing in all settlements.

4. That the secretary of its excellency shall deliver the proceedings in the matter to the parties, providing them with the proper notice of what has been done, in order that it may be presented to the justice of the aforementioned place, who shall proceed as therein required. I have complied with what has been resolved.

ABREU, *Secretary*

SURVEYOR GENERAL'S OFFICE

Translator's Department, August 25, 1856.

The foregoing is a correct translation of the original grant made to Manuel Martinez, on file in this office.

DAVID V. WHITING,
Translator

SURVEYOR GENERAL'S OFFICE

September 30, 1856.

The foregoing is a true copy of the original translation on file in this office.

WM. PELHAM,
Surveyor General of New Mexico

Bartolome Archuleta, Antonio Quintana, Salvador Valdez, and José Ygnacio Suazo, being duly sworn, answered to the interrogatories propounded to them in the following manner, viz :

Question. Do you know if Manuel Martinez ever held a grant to a certain tract or parcel of land called the Tierra Amarilla, and bounded on the north by the Navajo river, on the south by the Nutrias river, on the east the mountain range, and on the west on a line with the mouth of the Laguna de los Caballos ?

Answer. He did have such a grant.

Question. Did Manuel Martinez, the father of the present claimant, have possession of the land granted, and for how long a period?

Answer. He occupied the land with his stock from the time the grant was made up to his death, whenever the Indians were quiet, and his children have occupied since when the Indians were not at war.

Question. How many years, more or less, has the land been occupied, from the time the grant was made, up to the time the stock was last upon the land?

Answer. The land has been occupied off and on by the grantee and his heirs with their stock, from 1832 until about two years since, making about twenty-two years more or less.

Question. Was Manuel Martinez put in possession by the constitutional alcalde of Abiquiu, according to law, when the grant was made; and if not, state the reason why it was not done?

Answer. In consequence of the hostilities of the Indians, and the danger incurred in leaving the settlements, the alcalde did not proceed to place them in possession, as required by law; but so soon as hostilities ceased, the grantee took possession of the land with his stock.

his
BARTOLOME × ARCHULETA.
mark

his
ANTONIO × QUINTANA.
mark

his
SALVADOR × VALDEZ.
mark

his
JOSE YGNACIO × SUAZO.
mark

Witness:

A. P. WILBAR.

DAVID V. WHITING.

Sworn and subscribed before me, this 22d day of August, 1856.

WM. PELHAM,
Surveyor General.

Donaciano Vigil, being duly sworn according to law, declares and says, that the signatures of Santiago Abreu, political chief, and Ramon Abreu, secretary of the most excellent territorial deputation of New Mexico, affixed to the grant made to Manuel Martinez on the 20th day of July, 1832, are the genuine signatures of the individuals therein signed, as political chief and secretary aforesaid.

DONACIANO VIGIL.

Sworn and subscribed before me, this 23d day of August, 1856.

WILLIAM PELHAM,
Surveyor General.

SURVEYOR GENERAL'S OFFICE,
Santa Fé, New Mexico, September 30, 1856.

The foregoing is a true copy of the original on file in this office.

WILLIAM PELHAM,
Surveyor General

*Francisco Martinez, present claimant, heir of Manuel Martinez,
 original grantee.*

This claim was filed in this office the 25th of August, 1856.

On the 23d day of April, 1832, Manuel Martinez petitioned Santiago Abreu; political chief of the Territory of New Mexico, for a certain tract of land situated in the county of Rio Arriba, called the Tierra Amarilla, and bounded on the north by the Navajo river; on the south by the Nutrias river; on the east, the mountain range; and on the west, the mouth of the Laguna de los Caballos.

On the 29th of April, 1832, this petition was referred by the political chief to the most excellent territorial deputation, who on the same day referred it to the corporation of Abiquiu, requiring it to report on the propriety of making the grant as prayed for by the petitioner.

On the 15th day of May, 1832, the corporation of Abiquiu reported favorably on the petition, requiring, however, that the pastures and watering places should remain free, for the benefit of the inhabitants of Abiquiu.

On the 16th of July, 1832, Manuel Martinez petitioned anew the political chief, objecting to the pastures and watering places being excepted.

On the 20th day of July, 1832, the most excellent territorial deputation granted to Manuel Martinez and those who should accompany him the land petitioned for under certain conditions therein contained, and directed the constitutional justice of Abiquiu to place the parties in possession of the land so granted, leaving the pastures, watering places and roads, free, according to the customs existing in every settlement.

The action of this office is taken on the original documents filed by the claimant.

The signatures, by the testimony of Donaciano Vigil, are proven to be genuine.

The absence of the certificate of the justice of Abiquiu does not invalidate the grant; the testimony presented in the case showing that the justice of Abiquiu proceeded to place the parties in possession, but was compelled to return to Abiquiu on account of the hostilities of the Indians.

The testimony also shows that the original grantee and the present claimant have been in the peaceable and quiet possession of the land for a period of twenty-two years.

The provincial deputation was authorized by the laws of the republic of Mexico to make donations of land to individuals; and this case

being covered by the treaty of Guadalupe Hidalgo and the decision of the Supreme Court of the United States, in the case of J. C. Fremont vs. the United States, the grant made to Manuel Martinez, of which Francisco Martinez is the present claimant, is deemed by this office to be a good and valid grant; and the Congress of the United States is hereby respectfully recommended to confirm the same, and cause a patent to be issued therefore, by the proper department, and the land embraced within the boundaries set forth in said grant to be surveyed.

WILLIAM PELHAM,

Surveyor General of New Mexico.

SURVEYOR GENERAL'S OFFICE,

Santa Fé, New Mexico, September 10, 1856.

SURVEYOR GENERAL'S OFFICE,

Santa Fé, New Mexico, September 30, 1856.

I certify the foregoing to be a true copy of the original on file in this office.

WILLIAM PELHAM,

Surveyor General, New Mexico.

Schedule of Pueblo grants, &c.

Jemez	-	-	-	-	-	-	No. 1
Acoma	-	-	-	-	-	-	No. 2
San Juan	-	-	-	-	-	-	No. 3
Picurio	-	-	-	-	-	-	No. 4
San Felipe	-	-	-	-	-	-	No. 5
Pecos	-	-	-	-	-	-	No. 6
Cohiti	-	-	-	-	-	-	No. 7
Santa Domingo	-	-	-	-	-	-	No. 8
Taos	-	-	-	-	-	-	No. 9
Santa Clara	-	-	-	-	-	-	No. 10
Tesuque	-	-	-	-	-	-	No. 11
San Ildefonso	-	-	-	-	-	-	No. 12
Piñaque	-	-	-	-	-	-	No. 13
Testimony of Donaciano Vigil	-	-	-	-	-	-	No. 14
Royal decree: grants to be made to Pueblo Indians	-	-	-	-	-	-	No. 15

1689.—En el Pueblo de N^{ra}. S^a. de Guadalupe del Passo del Rio del Norte en veinte dias del mes de Sep^{to}. de mil seissientos y ochenta y nueve años el Señor Gou^{er}. y Capⁿ. Gen^l. Dⁿ. Domingo Jironza Petrote de Cruzate dijo que por quanto en el alcanze que se dio en el reino de la Nueva Mex^{co}. de los Yndios Queres, y los Apostatas, y los Teguas y de la nacion Thanos y despues de hauer peleado con todos los demas Yndios de todos pueblos un Yndio del Pu^o. de Zia llamado Bartolome de Ojeda que fue el que mas se señalo en la vatalla acudiendo á todas partes se rindio biendose heudo de un valazo y un flechasso, lo cual como dicho es mandé que denajo Juram^{to}. declare como se alla el Pu^o.

de Jemez que fueron unos Yndios Apostas de aquel reino de la Nueva Mex^{co}. pues fue el que le dió la muerte al Padre Fr. Juan de Jesus Morador.

Preguntado que si este Pu^o. voluera en algun tiempo á Apostata como ha sido costumbre entre ellos y dice el confesante que no que ya está muy medido en temor, que aunque estauan abillantados con lo que les hania susedido a los de el Pu^o. de Zia el año pasado Jusgan que era un imposible que dejaran de dar la obediencia, por lo cual se concedió por el Señor Gou^{er}. y Capⁿ. Gen^l. D^a. Domingo Jironza Petroz de Cruzate, los linderos que aqui anocto: para el norte una legua y para el oriente una legua y para el poniente una legua y para el sur una legua midiendo estas de las cuatro esquinas del templo que queda en medio del Pu^o. assi lo proveyó, mandó, y firmo su ss^a á mi el presente Secretario de g^{ov}. y g^a.

DOMINGO JIRONZA PETROZ DE CRUZATE.

Ante mi:

DON PEDRO LADRON DE GUITARA,
Se^o. de Gⁿ. y Gue^l.

SURVEYOR GENERAL'S OFFICE, TRANSLATOR'S DEPARTMENT,
Santa Fé, New Mexico, September 30, 1856.

The foregoing is a true copy of the original on file in this office.

DAVID V. WHITING,
Translator

SURVEYOR GENERAL'S OFFICE,
Santa Fé, New Mexico, September 30, 1856.

David V. Whiting, whose genuine signature appears subscribed to the foregoing certificate, is, and was at the time of the signing of the same, the translator of this office.

WM. PELHAM,
Surveyor General of New Mexico

In this town of our lady of Guadalupe del Passo del Rio del Norte, on the twentieth day of the month of September, in the year one thousand six hundred and eighty-nine, his excellency Don Domingo Jironza Petroz de Cruzate, governor and captain-general, stated that whereas, in overtaking Queres Indians and the Apostates, and the Sheguas, and those of the Thanos Nation, in the kingdom of New Mexico, and after having fought with all the Indians of all the other pueblos, (villages,) an Indian of the pueblo of Zia, named Bartolomé Ojeda, one of those who were most conspicuous in the battle, sending his aid everywhere, being wounded by a ball and an arrow, surrendered, who, as formerly stated, I ordered to declare under oath the condition

of the pueblo of Jemez, who were apostate Indians of that kingdom, having killed their priest, friar Juan de Jesus Morador. Being interrogated if this pueblo would rebel again in the future, as it was primary for them to do, the deponent answered no; that they were much intimidated, and although they were concerned with those of Zia in what had occurred in the year previous, he judged it would be impossible for them to fail in giving in their allegiance.

Whereupon his excellency Don Domingo Jironza Petroz de Cruzate, granted them the boundaries herein set forth: on the north one league, on the east one league, on the west one league, and on the south one league—to be measured from the four corners of the temple, which is situated in the centre of the pueblo (village.) His excellency so provided, ordered, and signed before me, the present secretary of government and war, to which I certify.

DON DOMINGO JIRONZA PETROZ DE CRUZATE.

Before me:

DON PEDRO LADRON DE GUITARA,

Secretary of Government and War.

SURVEYOR GENERAL'S OFFICE, TRANSLATOR'S DEPARTMENT,
Santa Fé, New Mexico, October 14, 1855.

The foregoing is a correct translation of the original on file in this office.

DAVID V. WHITING, *Translator.*

SURVEYOR GENERAL'S OFFICE, *September 30, 1856.*

The foregoing is a true copy of the original translation on file in this office.

WM. PELHAM,

Surveyor General of New Mexico.

1689.—En el Pueblo de N^{ra}. S^a. de Guadalupe del Passo del Rio del Norte, en veinte dias del mes de Sep^t. de mil seissientos y ochenta y nueve años El Señor Gou^{er}. y Capⁿ. Gen^l. Dⁿ. Domingo Jironza Petroz de Cruzate dijo que por quanto en el alcanze que se dio en el Pueblo de Acoma y el poder que tiene en los Yndios Queres y en los Apostatas de la Nueva Mex^{co}. se tomo la prouidencia de á un Yndio llamado Bartolome que fue el que mas se señaló en las batallas, acudiendo á todas se rindio biendose heudo de un balaso y un flechasso, y ia balado le mande declarase la verda y dijese en su cofession el estado en que se halla el Pueblo de Acoma y los demas Apostatas de aquel Reyno, y porque el Yndio es ladino en lengua Castellana, y capas y

que saue leer y escreun. Y fue examinado delante del Gen^l. Pedro Venero de Possado que hauia benido de buelta de la Nueva Mex^o. y habia estado en el Pueulo de Acoma. Y tambien fué llamado el M^o. de Campo Baninguez Mendoza, para que el Yndio Bartolomé de Ojeda denajo declare como se llama.

Preguntado si esta en disposicion de confesar la berdad en lo que supiere y le fuere preguntado, y preguntado como se llama, de donde es natural, que edad y oficio tiene, y si saue como se alla Acoma y la Laguna, que vecinos se allan, y dijo que se llama Bartolomé Ojeda, y que es natural de la prouinc^a. de la Nueva Mex^o. en el Pu^o. de Zia, que tendrá de veinte y uno ó veinte y dos años, poco mas ó ménos, y que noa tenido mas oficio que el ejercicio de la g^a., y que saue como se alla Acoma y la Laguna porque fue Apostata en las prouinc^{as}. de la N^a. Mexico; y esto responde.

Preguntado.—Que como siendo *ciendo* vecinos la Laguna y Acoma peliaban tanto y que porque se mudó al Peñol siendo unos Yndios tan auilantados, hauian dejado su Pueulo, y responde que se hauian mudado al Peñol los Acomas siendo unos Yndios muy auilantados auian dejado su Pu^o., y responde que se hauian mudado al Peñol los Acomas por las muchas gerras que unos y otros Pueulos tenian; y esto responde.

Preguntado—Que cual fue la causa que se aucindaran estos dos Pueulos y que compromiso tuieron y que porque peleauan, y dijo el confessante que se auia echo vecino la Laguna de Acoma por el interes de la mucha agua que en aquel Pueulo habia pero siempre aguardó las sobras de lo que al Pu^o. de Acoma quede; y esto responde.

Preguntado, cuales son los linderos que consta tener Acoma ahonde quedaran sugetos amuos Pueblos, y dize el confesante que el cerro Prieto queda al norte, y que el ojo de Agua del Gallo queda al poniente, y que el cerro de Cuero queda enfrente del Pueblo Viejo de Acoma, y que el Peñol está al lado del sur, y que cuando fue el Yndio Pocpec abecitar los Pueulos el fué el que afirmó todo lo expuesto porque es un Yndio de nazon Teguas, natural del Pu^o. de S^a. Ju^a. quien obedecio toda la tierra cuando el alsamiento, y andaua en compañía con Alonza Catiti y D. Luis Tupaba y otros muchos capitanes de aquellos pueulos auian afirmado que la agua era del Pueulo de Acoma y la Laguna solo quedo a aguardar las sobras que al Pueulo les quede; y esto responde.

Preguntado que si saue mas de lo a dicho y si la Laguna tendia otra defenza por lo que toca á la agua, y dijo que no que aun quando se hauian mudado el Pueulo al Peñol, no hauia perdido el derecho de la agua y que no lo ynorauan los Lagunas ni ningun otro Pueulo lo inora porque es notorio, y que lo que tiene dicho es la verdad por el juram^{to}. que tiene fecho en que se afirmó y ratificó siendo leydo y dada á entender esta merced y lo firmó junto con dicho Señor Gou^o. y Cap^o. Gen^l. ante mi el presente Secretario de gou^o. y guerra, quede elia doy fee.

DOMINGO JIRONZA PETROZ DE CRUZATE.
BARTOLOMÉ DE OJEDA.

Ante mi:

DON PEDRO LADRON DE GUITARA.

SURVEYOR GENERAL'S OFFICE, TRANSLATOR'S DEPARTMENT,
Santa Fé, New Mexico, September 30, 1856.

The foregoing is a true copy of the original on file in this office.

DAVID V. WHITING,
Translator.

SURVEYOR GENERAL'S OFFICE,
Santa Fé, New Mexico, September 30, 1856.

David V. Whiting, whose genuine signature appears subscribed to the foregoing certificate, is, and was at the time of the signing of the same, the translator of this office.

WM. PELHAM,
Surveyor General of New Mexico.

1689.—In the town of our lady of Guadalupe del Paso del Rio del Norte, on the twentieth day of the month of September in the year one thousand six hundred and eighty-nine, His Excellency Don Domingo Jironza Petroz de Cruzate, governor and captain general, stated that whereas in the overtaking which was had in the pueblo of Acoma, and the power he has over the Queres Indians and over the Apostates in New Mexico, he provided that an Indian named Bartolome, who was the most conspicuous in the battles, lending his aid everywhere, and surrendered, being wounded by a ball and an arrow, and being already crippled, I ordered him to state the truth, and declare in his confession the condition of the pueblo of Acoma and that of the other Apostates in that kingdom, and as the Indian is well versed (ladino) in the Spanish language, and intelligent, and can read and write, and was examined before General Don Pedro Venero de Passada, who had returned from New Mexico, and had been at the pueblo of Acoma, and the militia officer, Bamingues Mendoza, was also called, in order that the Indian Bartolome should below state what his name is.

Interrogated—if he is disposed to confess the truth in what he knew and might be asked, and interrogated what is his name, where he is a native of, his age, and what his occupation is, and if he knows the condition of Acoma and Laguna, who are neighbors; and he answered his name was Bartolome de Ojeda, and that he is a native of the pueblo of Zio, in the province of New Mexico; that he is twenty-one or two years of age, more or less; that he has had no other occupation than that of a warrior, and that he knows the condition of Acoma and Laguna, because he was an Apostate in the province of New Mexico; and this is his answer.

Interrogated—how was it that Laguna and Acoma, being neighbors, they disagreed so much, and how was it that they had moved to El Peñol, and being such arrogant Indians, why had they left their pueblo; and he answered that the Acomas had moved to Ell Peñol,

being such arrogant Indians, and he answered that the Acomas had moved to El Peñol on account of the many wars between one pueblo and the other; and this is his answer.

Interrogated—what was the cause of these pueblos living near each other, and what agreement was there between them, and why did they disagree; and the deponent answered that Laguna moved near Acoma on account of the abundance of water there was in that pueblo, but always for the purpose of collecting the surplus remaining from the pueblo of Acoma; and this is his answer.

Interrogated—what are the existing boundaries of Acoma, and to how much is each pueblo restricted; and the deponent answered that the Prieto mountain is to the north, and that the Galo spring is to the west, and that the Cubero mountain is opposite the old pueblo of Acoma, and that the Peñol is on the south side; and that when the Indian Pocpec visited the pueblos he ratified the above, as he is an Indian of the Tequa tribe and a native of the pueblo of San Juan, who was obeyed by all the land at the time of the insurrection and was in company with Alonzo Catiti; and Don Luis Tapabir and several other captains of those pueblos had affirmed that the water belonged to the pueblo of Acoma, and that Laguna was to collect the surplus remaining from the pueblo; and this is his answer.

Interrogated—if he knows more than what he has stated, and if Laguna has any other defence to make concerning the water, and he answered that he had not; that although the pueblo had removed to El Peñol it had not lost its right to the water, and that the Laguna Indians were not ignorant of the fact, as it is notorious; and that what he has stated is the truth under the oath which he has taken, which he affirms and ratifies, this grant being read and explained to him he signed it with his excellency the governor, and captain general aforesaid, before me, the present secretary of government and war to which I certify.

DOMINGO JIRONZA PETROZ DE CRUZATE.
BARTOLOME DE OJEDA.

Before me,

DON PEDRO LADRON DE GUITARA.
Secretary of Government and War.

—
SURVEYOR GENERAL'S OFFICE, TRANSLATOR'S DEPARTMENT,
Santa Fé, New Mexico, May 10, 1856.

The foregoing is a correct translation of the original on file in this office.

DAVID V. WHITING, *Translator.*

—
SURVEYOR GENERAL'S OFFICE.
Santa Fé, New Mexico, September 30, 1856.

I certify that the foregoing is a true copy of the original on file in this office.

WILLIAM PELHAM,
Surveyor General.

Año de 1689.—En el Pueblo de N^{ra}. S^a. de Guadalupe del Passo del Rio del Norte, en veinte y cinco dias del mes de Sep^{to}. de mil seissientos ochenta y nueve años, el S^{or}. Gou^{er}. y Capⁿ. Gen^l. D^a. Domingo Jironza Petroz de Cruzate dijo que por quanto en el alcance que se dió en el reyno de la Nueva Mex^{oo}. de los Yndios Queres y los Apostatas y los Teguas, y de la naz^{on}. Thanos, y despues de hauer peleado con todos los demas Yndios de todos Pueulos; un Yndio del Pu^o. de Zia, llamado Bartolomé de Ojeda que fue el que mas se señaló en la vatalla acudiendo á todas partes se rindio biendose heudo de un valaso y un flechasso; lo cual como dicho es mande que denajo de juram^{to}. declare como se alla el Pu^o de S^a. Juan que fue Apostata y anduno en las vatalas de aquel reyno de la Nueva Mex^{oo}. pues fueron unos Yndios muy malos.

Preguntado que si esta Pu^o. voluera en algun tiempo como ha sido comunbre entre ellos; y dize el confesant que no, que aunque era verdad que todos los Yndios andanan encorporados con los de Zia, con lo que les hauia susidido el año pasado jusgana era un ynposible que dejaran de dar la obediencia; por lo cual se consedió por el Señor Gou^{er}. y Capⁿ. Gen^l. D^a. Domingo Jironza Petroz de Cruzate los linderos que aqui anocto: para el norte el Rio Vravo del Norte, completando una legua por esta banda y la otra, midiendola en la esquina del templo que queda del Pu^o. al norte; y al oriente una legua; y al poniente una legua; y al sur una legua.

Preguntado si tiene mas que decir de esos Yndios por lo que toca á su condicionarse y andar con los Apostatas; y dise el confesante que no; y fue uno de todos los pueblos que se señalo cuando la primer conquista que linerto la vida de su Misionero, y que lo que tiene dicho es la verdad por el juram^{to}. que tiene echo, en que se afirmó y ratificó por muchas veces, y siendole leyda y dada á entender esta merced y lo firmó con el Señor Gou^{er}. y Capⁿ. Gen^l. ante mi el presente Secret^o. de Gou^{er}. y guerra que de ello doy fee.

DOMINGO JIRONZA PETROZ DE CRUZATE.
BARTOLOMÉ DE OJEDA.

Ante mi:

DON PEDRO LADRON DE GUITARA,
Se^o. de G^a. y Gue^a.

SURVEYOR GENERAL'S OFFICE, TRANSLATOR'S DEPARTMENT,
Santa Fé, New Mexico, September 30, 1856.

The foregoing is a true copy of the original on file in this office.

DAVID V. WHITING,
Translator.

SURVEYOR GENERAL'S OFFICE,
Santa Fé, New Mexico, September 30, 1856.

David V. Whiting, whose genuine signature appears subscribed to

the foregoing certificate, is, and was at the time of the signing of the same, the translator of this office.

WM. PELHAM,
Surveyor General of New Mexico.

1689.—In the town of our lady of Guadalupe, del Passo del Rio del Norte, on the twenty-fifth day of the month of September, in the year one thousand six hundred and eighty-nine, his excellency Don Domingo Jironza Petroz de Cruzate, governor and captain general, stated that, whereas, in overtaking the Queras Indians, and the Apostates, and the Teguas, and those of the Thanos nation, in the kingdom of New Mexico, and having fought with all the Indians of all the other pueblos, (villages,) an Indian named Bartolomé de Ojeda, of the pueblo (village) of Zia, one of those who were most conspicuous in the battle, lending his aid everywhere, being wounded by a ball and an arrow, surrendered, and who, as above stated, I ordered to state, under oath, the condition of the pueblo (village) of San Juan, (very rebellious Indians,) who apostatized and took part in the wars of that kingdom of New Mexico.

Being interrogated if this pueblo (village) would rebel again at any future time, as it was customary for them to do, the deponent answered no; that, although it was true they were connected with those of Zia in what had taken place in the year previous, he judged that it was impossible for them to fail in giving in their allegiance.

Therefore his excellency Don Domingo Jironza Petroz de Cruzate, governor and captain general, granted them the boundaries herein set forth: on the north the Rio Bravo del Norte, completing one league on both sides of the river, measuring from the northern corner of the temple of said pueblo (village) on the east, and on the west one league and on the south one league.

Being asked whether he had anything further to state concerning these Indians, and touching rebellions and being in league with the Apostates, the deponent answered that he had not; that in the first conquest it was the pueblo that distinguished itself the most, by saving the life of its missionary, and that what he had stated was the truth, under the oath which he had taken, which he confirmed and ratified several times; and this grant having been read and explained to him he signed it, with his excellency the governor and captain general, before me, the present secretary of government and war, to which I certify.

DOMINGO JIRONZA PETROZ DE CRUZATE.

Before me,

DON PEDRO LADRON DE GUITARA,
Secretary of Government and War.

SURVEYOR GENERAL'S OFFICE, TRANSLATOR'S DEPARTMENT,
Santa Fé, New Mexico, November 18, 1855.

I, David V. Whiting, translator, do hereby certify the foregoing to be a literal translation of the original grant to the pueblo of San Juan, filed in this office.

DAVID V. WHITING, *Translator.*

SURVEYOR GENERAL'S OFFICE,
Santa Fé, New Mexico, September 30, 1856.

I certify that the foregoing is a true copy of the original translation on file in this office.

WM. PELHAM, *Surveyor General.*

Año de 1689.—En el pueblo de N^{ra}. S^a. de Guadalupe del Passo del Rio del Norte en veinte y cinco dias del mes de Sep^{ra}. de mil seiscientos ochenta y nueve años el Señor Gou^{er}. y Capⁿ. Gen^l. D^a. Domingo Jironza Petroz de Cruzate dijo que por quanto en el alcance que se dió en el reino de la Nueva Mex^{co}. de los Yndios Queres y los Apostatas y los Teguas y de la naz^{on}. Thanos; y despues de hauer peleado con todos los demas Yndios de todos puenlos, un Yndio del Pu^o. de Zia Hamado Vartolomé de Ojeda que fue el que mas se señalo en la batalla acudiendo á todas partes se rindió biendose herido de un vallasso y un flechasso; lo cual como dicho es mande que denajo de juram^{to}. declare como se alla el Pu^o de Picuris que fué Apostata y que anduno en las vatallas de aquel reyno de la Nueva Mex^{co}. pues fueron unos Yndios muy alzados.

Preguntado que si este Pu^o. voluera en algun tiempo á Apostatarse como ha sido costumbre entre ellos, y dize el confesante que no; que ya esta muy metido en temor que aunque estavan abillantados con lo que les havia susedido á los de el Pu^o. de Zia el año pasado, jusgana que era un ynposible que de jaran de dar la obediencia; por lo qual se medieron por el Señor Gou^{er}. y Capⁿ. Gen^l. D^a. Domingo Jironza Petroz de Cruzate, los linderos que aqui anocto: por el norte una legua, y por el oriente una legua, y por el sur una legua, y por el poniente una legua; y medidas estas cuatro lineas de las cuatro esquinas del templo que queda al lado del Sanct^o. del Pu^o., y asi lo proveyó, mandó, y firmó su ss^a. a mi el presente Se^o. de gou^{er}. y guerra que de ello doy fee.

DOMINGO JIRONZA PETROZ DE CRUZATE.

Ante mi:

DON PEDRO LADRON DE GUITARA,
 Se^o. de Gⁿ. y Gue^a.

SURVEYOR GENERAL'S OFFICE, TRANSLATOR'S DEPARTMENT,
Santa Fé, New Mexico, September 30, 1856.

The foregoing is a correct copy of the original on file in this office,
 DAVID V. WHITING,
Translator.

SURVEYOR GENERAL'S OFFICE,
Santa Fé, New Mexico, September 30, 1856.

David V. Whiting, whose genuine signature appears subscribed to the foregoing certificate, is, and was at the time of the signing of the same, the translator of this office.

WM. PELHAM,
Surveyor General of New Mexico.

1689.—In the town of our lady of Guadalupe del Passo del Rio del Norte, on the twenty-fifth day of the month of September, in the year one thousand six hundred and eighty-nine, his excellency Don Domingo Jironza Petroz de Cruzate, governor and captain general, stated that, whereas, in overtaking the Queras Indians, and the Apostates, the Thequas, and those of Thanos nation, in the kingdom of New Mexico, and having fought with all the Indians of all the other pueblos; (villages,) an Indian named Bartolomé de Ojeda, of the pueblo of Zia, one of those who were most conspicuous in the battle, lending his aid everywhere, being wounded by a ball and an arrow, surrendered; and, as previously stated, I ordered to declare, under oath, the condition of the pueblo (village) of Picuris, (very rebellious Indians,) who apostatized and took part in the wars of that kingdom of New Mexico.

Being interrogated if this pueblo (village) would rebel again at any future time, as it was customary for them to do, the deponent answered no; that, although it was true they were connected with those of Zia in what had taken place in the year previous, he judged it was impossible for them to fail in giving in their allegiance.

Therefore his excellency Don Domingo Jironza Petroz de Cruzate, governor and captain general, granted the boundaries herein set forth: on the north one league, and on the east one league, and on the west one league, and on the south one league; these four lines to be measured from the four corners of the temple situated on the western side of the pueblo, (village,) and his excellency so provided, ordered, and signed before me, the present secretary of government and war, to which I certify.

DON DOMINGO JIRONZA PETROZ DE CRUZATE.

Before me,

DON PEDRO LADRON DE GUITARA,
Secretary of Government and War.

SURVEYOR GENERAL'S OFFICE, TRANSLATOR'S DEPARTMENT,
Santa Fé, N. M., June 5, 1856.

The foregoing is a correct translation of the original on file in this office.

DAVID V. WHITING, *Translator.*

SURVEYOR GENERAL'S OFFICE,
Santa Fé, New Mexico, September 30, 1856.

The foregoing is a true copy of the original translation in this office.

WM. PELHAM, *Surveyor General.*

En el Pueblo de N^{ra}. S^a. de Guadalupe del Passo del Rio del Norte, en veinte dias del mes de Sep^{to}. de mil seissientos y ochenta y nueve años, el Señor Gou^{er}. y Capⁿ. Gen^l. Dⁿ. Domingo Jironza Petroz de Cruzate, dijo que por quanto en el alcanze que se dió en el reyno de la Nueva Mex^{co}. de los Yndios Queres y los Apostatas y los Teguas y de la Maz^{on}. Thanos, y despues de hauer peleado con todos los demas Yndios de todos Puelos, un Yndio del Pu^o. de Zia, llamado Batolomé de Ojeda que fue el que mas se señalo en la vatalla acudiendo á todas partes, se rindió biendose herido do un valaso y un flechasso, lo cual como dicho es mandé que denajo de juramento declare como se alla el Pu^o. de San Felipe que fué Apostata y que anduno en las vatallas de el reino de la Nueva Mex^{co}. pues fueron unos Yndios muy alzados. Preguntado, que si este Pu^o. voluera en algun tiempo como ha sido umbre ellos y dize que no, que aunque era verdad que todos los Yndios andauan en corporados con los de Zia con lo que les hauia sucedido el año pasado jugaba era un ynposible que dejaran de dar la obediencia; por lo cual se consedió por el Señor Gou^{er}. y Capⁿ. Gen^l. Dⁿ. Domingo Jironza Petroz de Cruzate los linderos que aqui anoto: para el norte, el vosque grande que mira al oriente, y al oriente una legua, y al poniente una legua, y al sur un vosquecito que queda en frente de un serro que nombran la culenra y que da en frente de la lanor de los Santanas, assi lo proveyó, mandó y firmo suss^a. a mi el presente Secret^o. de g^r. y g^a. de ello doy fee.

DOMINGO JIRONZA PETROZ DE CRUZATE.

Ante mi:

DON PEDRO LADRON DE GUITARA,
 Se^o. de Gⁿ. y Gueⁿ.

SURVEYOR GENERAL'S OFFICE, TRANSLATOR'S DEPARTMENT,
Santa Fé, New Mexico, September 30, 1856.

The foregoing is a true copy of the original on file in this office.

DAVID V. WHITING,
Translator.

SURVEYOR GENERAL'S OFFICE,
Santa Fé, New Mexico, September 30, 1856.

David V. Whiting, whose genuine signature appears subscribed to the foregoing certificate, is, and was at the date of the signing of the same, the translator of this office.

WM. PELHAM,
Surveyor General of New Mexico.

1689.—In the town of our lady of Gaudalupe del Passo del Rio del Norte, on the twentieth day of the month of September, in the year one thousand six hundred and eighty-nine, his excellency Don Domingo Jironza Petros de Cruzate, governor and captain general, stated that whereas in overtaking the Queras Indians, and the Apostates, and the Theguas, and those of the Thanos nation, in the kingdom of New Mexico, and after having fought with all the Indians of all the other pueblos, (villages,) an Indian named Bartolomé de Ojeda, of the pueblo (village) of Zia; one of those who were most conspicuous in the battle, lending his aid everywhere, being wounded by ball and arrow, surrendered, and who, as above stated, I ordered to state under oath the condition of the pueblo of San Phelipe, that apostated and took part in the wars of that kingdom of New Mexico, and who were very rebellious Indians.

Being interrogated if this pueblo (village) would rebel again at any future time, as it was customary for them to do, answered no; that although it was true the Indians were connected with those of Zia in what had taken place in the year previous, he judged it was impossible for them to fail in giving their allegiance.

Therefore, his excellency Don Domingo Jironza Petroz de Cruzate, governor and captain general, granted them the boundaries herein set forth. On the north, the large grove (Bosque Grande) which is towards the east, and on the east one league, and on the west one league, and on the south a little grove, which is in front of a hill called Culcura, opposite the fields of the Santa Ana Indians. His excellency so provided, ordered and signed before me, the present secretary of government and war, to all of which I certify.

DON DOMINGO JIRONZA PETROZ DE CRUZATE.

Before me:

DON PEDRO LADRON DE GUITARA,
Secretary of Government and War.

SURVEYOR GENERAL'S OFFICE, TRANSLATOR'S DEPARTMENT,
Santa Fé, New Mexico, October 10, 1855.

The foregoing is a correct translation of the original on file in this office.

DAVID V. WHITING, *Translator.*

SURVEYOR GENERAL'S OFFICE,
September 30, 1856.

The foregoing is a true copy of the original translation on file in this office.

WILLIAM PELHAM,
Surveyor General of New Mexico.

1689.—En el Pueblo de N^{ra}. S^a. de Guadalupe del Passo del Rio del Norte, en veinte y cinco dias del mes de Sep^{ro}. de mil seissientos y cinquenta y nueve años, el Señor Gou^{er}. y Capⁿ. Gen^l. Dⁿ. Domingo Jironza Petroz de Cruzate, dijo que por quanto en el alcanze que se dió en los de la Nueva Mex^{co}. de los Yndios Queres, y los Apostatas, y los Peguas y de la nacion Thanos, y despues de hauer peleado con todos los demas Yndios de todos pueulos, un Yndio del Pu^o. de Zia, llamado Bartolomé de Ojeda que fue el que mas se señaló en la vatalla viniendo á todas partes, se rindió biendose herido de un valaso y un chasso lo cual como dicho es, mandé que denajo de juram^{to}. declare como se halla el Pu^o. de Pecos, aun queda muy metido á honde el sol sale y fueron unos Yndios Apostatas de aquel reino de la Nueva Méjico.

Preguntado: que si este Pu^o voluera en algun tiempo, como ha sido costumbre en ellos, Y dise el confesante que no; que ya está mui metido en temor que aunque estaban abilantados con lo que les hauia sucedido a los de el Pu^o. de Zia el año pasado jugana que era un imposible que dejaran de dar la obediencia; por lo cual se consedieron por el Señor Gou^{er}. y Capⁿ. Gen^l. Dⁿ. Domingo Jironza Petroz de Cruzate, los linderos que aqui anoto: para el norte una legua, y para el oriente una legua, y para el poniente una legua, y para el sur una legua; y medidas estas cuatro lineas de las cuatro esquinas del Pu^o. dejando á salvo el templo que queda al medio dia del Pu^o., y asi lo proveyó, mandó y firmó su ss^a. a mi el presente Secretario de gou^{er}. y guerra, que de ello doi fee.

DON DOMINGO JIRONZA PETROZ DE CRUZATE.

Ante mi:

DON PEDRO LADRON DE GUITARA,
Se^o. de Gⁿ. y Gu^a.

SURVEYOR GENERAL'S OFFICE, TRANSLATOR'S DEPARTMENT,
Santa Fé, New Mexico, September 30, 1856.

The foregoing is a correct copy of the original on file in this office.

DAVID V. WHITING,
Translator.

SURVEYOR GENERAL'S OFFICE,
Santa Fé, New Mexico, September 30, 1856.

David V. Whiting, whose genuine signature appears subscribed to

the foregoing certificate is, and was at the time of signing the same, the translator of this office.

WM. PELHAM,
Surveyor General of New Mexico

1689.—In the town of our lady of Guadalupe del Paso del Rio del Norte, on the twenty-fifth day of the month of September, in the year one thousand six hundred and eighty-nine, his excellency the Governor and Captain General Don Domingo Jironza Petroz de Cruzate, said that whereas in overtaking the Queres Indians, and the Apostates, and the Teguas, and those of the Thanos nation in New Mexico, and having fought with all the Indians of all the other pueblos, (villages,) an Indian named Bartolomé de Ojeda, of the pueblo (village) of Zia, one of those who were most conspicuous in the battle, lending his aid everywhere, being wounded by a ball and an arrow, surrendered, and who, as above stated, I ordered to state under oath the condition of the pueblo (village) of Pecos, situated very far in the direction of the rising sun, and were very rebellious Indians of that kingdom of New Mexico.

Being interrogated if this pueblo (village) would [rebel] again, at any future time, as was their custom, the deponent answered no; that they were very much intimidated, and that although they were elated with what had happened with those of the pueblo (village) of Zia in the year previous, he judged it was impossible for them to fail in giving in their allegiance.

Therefore, his excellency Don Domingo Jironza Petroz de Cruzate, governor and captain general, granted unto them the boundaries that I herein set forth. On the north one league, and on the east one league, and on the west one league, and on the south one league, and these four lines to be measured from the four corners of the pueblo (village,) leaving out the temple, which is situated to the south of said pueblo (village,) and he so provided, directed and signed with me, the secretary of government and war, to which I certify.

DOMINGO JIRONZA PETROZ DE CRUZATE.

Before me:

DON PEDRO LADRON DE GUITARA,
Secretary of Government and War.

SURVEYOR GENERAL'S OFFICE, TRANSLATOR'S DEPARTMENT,
Santa Fé, New Mexico, October 2, 1855.

The foregoing is a correct translation of the original on file in this office.

DAVID V. WHITING, *Translator.*

SURVEYOR GENERAL'S OFFICE,
Santa Fé, New Mexico, September 30, 1856.

I certify that the foregoing is a true copy of the original translation on file in this office.

WILLIAM PELHAM, *Surveyor General.*

Año de 1689.—En el Pu°. de N°. S°. de Guadalupe del Passo del Rio del Norte en veinte y cinco dias del mes de Sep°. de mil seissientos y ochenta y nueve años, el Señor Gou^{er.} y Cap°. Gen°. D°. Domingo Jironza Petroz de Cruzate dijo que por quanto en el alcance que se dio en el de la Nueva Mex°. de los Yndios Queres y los Apostatas y los Pegues y de naz°. Thanos y despues de hauer peleado con todos los den^{os} Yndios de todos Pu°. , un Yndio del pu° de Zia llamado Vartolomé de Ojeda, que fue el que mas se señaló en la vatalla acudiendo a todas partes se rindió biendose herido de un balazo y un flechasso lo cual como dicho es mande que denajo de juram°. declare como se alla el Pu°. de Cochiti que fue Apostata y que anduno en las vatallas de ██████████ reyno de la Nueva Mex°. pues fueron unos Yndios muy alzados. Preguntado, que si este Pu°. voluera en algun tiempo a Apostatarse ██████████ ha sido costumbre entre ellos y dize el confesante que no ; que ya está muy metido en temor; que aunque estaban los Yndios muy aniantados, y ania sido un Pueulo muy revelde pero con lo que les hauia ██████████ sedido en Zia el año pasado jusgana que era un imposible que no dieran la obediencia.

Preguntado, que si tiene mas que desir de esos Yndios por lo que toca á rebolucionarse y andar con los Apostatas, y dize el confesante que no; y que esuerdad que fue un Pu°. muy revelde pero que con lo que les hauia susedido el año pasado en el Pu°. de Zia, jusgana era un imposible que dejaran de dar la obediencia; por lo cual se consedió por Señor Gou^{er.} y Cap°. Gen°. D°. Domingo Jironza Petroz de Cruzate los linderos que aqui anocto : para el norte una legua; y para el oriente una legua; y para el poniente una legua; y para el sur á la punta de una loma pelada que queda en serca de una agua que corre ahonde el sol sale y entra al Rio Vrabo esa den ****[torn]**** por su rreueldia.

Y siendole leida y dada a entender esta su confecion, y dijo el confesante que lo que tiene dicho es la verdad por el juram°. que tiene echo, en que se afirmó y ratificó por muchas veces y siendo efectivo lo firmó junto con dicho Señor Gou^{er.} y Cap°. Gen°. , ante mi el presente Secret°. de Gou^{er.} y guerra; que de ello doy fee.

DOMINGO JIRONZA PÉTROZ DE CRUZATE.
BARTOLOMÉ DE OJEDA.

Ante mi :

DON PEDRO LADRON DE GUITARA,
Se°. de g°. y gue°.

SURVEYOR GENERAL'S OFFICE, TRANSLATOR'S DEPARTMENT,
Santa Fe, New Mexico, September 30, 1856.

The foregoing is a true copy of the original on file in this office.

DAVID V. WHITING,
Translator

SURVEYOR GENERAL'S OFFICE,
Santa Fe, New Mexico, September 30, 1856.

David V. Whiting, whose genuine signature appears subscribed to the foregoing certificate, is, and was at the time of the signing of the same, the translator of this office.

WM. PELHAM,
Surveyor General of New Mexico

Year 1689.—In the town of our Lady of Guadalupe del Paso del Rio del Norte, on the twenty-fifth day of the month of September, in the year one thousand six hundred and eighty-nine, his excellency Don Domingo Jironza Petroz de Cruzate, governor and captain general, stated that, whereas, in overtaking, in the kingdom of New Mexico, the Queres Indians and the Apostates and the Theguas, and those of the Thanos nation, and after having fought with all the other Indians of all the pueblos, an Indian of the pueblo of Zia, called Bartolome de Ojeda, who distinguished himself the most in the battle, lending his aid everywhere, and surrendered, being wounded with a bullet and an arrow, who as afore stated, I ordered to declare under oath, the condition of the pueblo of Cochiti, that apostatized and took part in the wars in that kingdom of New Mexico, as they were very rebellious Indians.

Questioned. If the pueblo would rebel again at any future time, as it was customary with them. The deponent answered no; that they were very much intimidated. That although they were very arrogant Indians, and was a very rebellious pueblo, but that with what had happened to them at Zia in the year previous, he judged that it was impossible for them to fail in yielding obedience.

Questioned. If he has anything further to state in regard to these Indians, concerning their rebelling and associating with the Apostates, and the deponent answered, no; and that it is true that it was a very rebellious pueblo, but with what had happened to them in the previous year, in the pueblo of Zia, he judged that it was impossible for them to fail in yielding their obedience. Therefore, his excellency, Don Domingo Jironza Petroz de Cruzate, governor and captain general, granted them the boundaries I herein set forth: On the north one league, on the east one league, on the west one league, on the south, to the point of a barren hill, near a stream of water, running in the direction of the rising sun, and which empties into the Bravo river. This they owe for being rebels.

And this, his confession, having been read and explained to him, and the deponent answered that what he had stated was the truth, under the oath which he had taken, which he affirmed and ratified several times, and such being the case, he signed with said governor and captain general, before me the present secretary of government and war. To which I certify.

BARTOLME DE OJEDA,
DOMINGO JIRONZA PETROZ DE CRUZATE.

Before me,

DON PEDRO LADRON DE GUITARA,
Secretary of Government and War.

SURVEYOR GENERAL'S OFFICE, TRANSLATOR'S DEPARTMENT,
Santa Fé, New Mexico, May 9, 1856.

I, David V. Whiting, translator, certify the foregoing to be a correct translation of the original on file in this office.

DAVID V. WHITING,
Translator.

SURVEYOR GENERAL'S OFFICE,
Santa Fé, New Mexico, September 30, 1856.

I certify that the foregoing is a true copy of the original translation on file in this office.

WILLIAM PELHAM,
Surveyor General.

Año de 1689.—En el Pueblo de N^{ra}. Señora de Guadalupe del Passo del Rio del Norte a los veinte dias del mes de Septiembre, de 1689 años, el Señor Gov^{er}. y Capⁿ. Gen^l. Dⁿ. Domingo Jironza Petroz de Cruzate dijo que por quanto en el alcance que se dió en el Pueulo de Santo Domingo, de la nacion Queres y Apostatas del Nuevo Mexico, el dicho Pueulo quedó saluo del naufragio a un cuando ese Pueulo obedeció á un Yndio, Bartolomé de Ojeda, natural del Pu^o. de Zia, que fue el que mas se señaló en las batallas. Pero sin hacer mencion de esta resosimil peregrinacion a segun se halla el reyno de la Nueva Mex^o., y mirandose el Yndio inutil y con su proseso, ya declarado y no tuno esperanzas de viuir y siendo acusado y esaminado en su confecion por el ministro de Campo Baninguas Mendoza, pues denajo de juram^{to}. dijo era natural de la Prou^a. de la Nueva Mex^o., y que tiene de edad veinte y uno ó veinte y dos años, poco mas ó ménos, y que no ha tenido mas oficio que el ejercicio de la ag^a. y siendo capas dicho Señor Gov^{er}. y Capⁿ. Gen^l. del rasonamiento del Yndio le dijo declare la verdad.

Preguntado cuales su los linderos que consta tener San^{to}. Domingo, y dize el confesante que al norte las lornas pelades que quedan serca á un machuela que corre de ahonde el sol sale y se junta con el Rio

Vravo del norte, y al oriente una agua que llama de Alonzo Catiti, serca de un serro Vlanco de Jaspe, y al poniente un serrito que esta en la orilla de un arroyo, y se alla una cueva al lado del mediodia y al su en la orilla de una lorna que por nombre tiene Vlanca Pelada que queda al lado del oriente.

Preguntado que si saue mas de lo que ha dicho, y dijo el confesante que lo que tiene dicho es la verdad por el juram^{to}. que tiene echo en que se afirmô y ratifico muchas veces. Y siendole leida y dada á entender esta merced y la firmô junto con dicho Señor Gou^{or}. y Capⁿ. Gen^l. ante mi, el presente Secret^o de Gou^{or}. y guerra, que de ello doy fee.

DOMINGO JIRONZA PETROZ DE CRUZATE.
BARTOLOMÉ DE OJEDA.

Ante mi :

PEDRO LADRON DE GUITARA,
Se^o. de gⁿ. y gue^a.

SURVEYOR GENERAL'S OFFICE, TRANSLATOR'S DEPARTMENT,
Santa Fé, New Mexico, September 30, 1856.

The foregoing is a true copy of the original on file in this office.

DAVID V. WHITING,
Translator.

SURVEYOR GENERAL'S OFFICE,
Santa Fé, New Mexico, September 30, 1856.

David V. Whiting, whose genuine signature appears subscribed to the foregoing certificate, is, and was at the time of the signing of the same, the translator of this office.

WM. PELHAM,
Surveyor General of New Mexico.

[Translation.]

1689.—In the town of our Lady of Guadalupe del Passo del Rio del Norte, on the twentieth day of the month of September, in the year one thousand six hundred and eighty-nine, his excellency Don Domingo Jironza Petroz de Cruzate, governor and captain general, stated that whereas, in overtaking the Queres nation and the Apostates of New Mexico, at the pueblo of Santo Domingo, escaped shipwreck, although this pueblo (village) obeyed an Indian by the name of Bartolome de Ojido, a native of the pueblo of Zia, and one of those who were most conspicuous in the battle, but without making any reference to this wonderful journey, considering the condition of New

Mexico, and the Indian finding himself powerless, and this process issued, and not expecting to live, and being accused and examined in his confession by the army chaplain, Barrenquez Mendoza, he declared under oath that he was a native of the province of New Mexico, that he is twenty-one or two years of age, more or less, and that he has had no other occupation than that of agricultural agent, and his excellency the governor general, being satisfied with the reasoning of the Indian, required of him to state the truth.

Being interrogated what are the boundaries of Santo Domingo, the deponent answered: On the north the Lomas Pelados, (Barren Hills,) near a rivulet running from where the sun rises, and empties into the Rio Bravo del Norte, and to the east a water (cena aqua,) called Monzo Catiti, near a white hill of alabaster, and to the west a little hill which is on the bank of the dry bed of a stream and where there is a cave on the south, to the side of a hill having the name of Blanca Palado towards the east.

Being interrogated if he knew more than what he had already stated the deponent answered that what he had stated was the truth, under the oath which he had taken, which statements he affirmed and ratified several times, and this grant having been read to him and he being informed thereof, he signed with his excellency the governor and captain general, before me, the present secretary of government and war, to which I certify.

DON DOMINGO JIRONZA PETROZ DE CRUZATE.
BARTOLOME DE OJEDA.

Before me,

PEDRO LADRON DE GUITARA,
Secretary of Government and War.

SURVEYOR GENERAL'S OFFICE, TRANSLATOR'S DEPARTMENT,
Santa Fé, New Mexico, March 20, 1856.

The foregoing is a correct translation of the original on file in this office.

DAVID V. WHITING,
Translator.

SURVEYOR GENERAL'S OFFICE,
September 30, 1856.

The foregoing is a true copy of the original translation on file in this office.

WM. PELHAM,
Surveyor General of New Mexico.

Recibí el oficio de V. R. de 11 del corriente sobre medidas de la leagua de Barreno del Pueblo de Taos, que se debe contar de cinco mil varas

desde la cruz del cementerio para todos rumbos; sobre cuyo punto he puesto decreto con fecha de hoy para que el Alc^l. mayor de ese partido se arregle á él mesclando la equidad y la justicia, para que no sea tan grave el quebranto de los vecinos introducidos en suelo ageno; a cuyo efecto espero contribuya V. R. con sus buenos oficios.

Dios g^{no}. V. R. m^a. a^a.

SANTA FÉ, 15 de Abril de 1815.

ALBERTO MAYNEZ.

Al M. R. P. Fray JOSÉ BENITO PEREYRO.

SURVEYOR GENERAL'S OFFICE, TRANSLATOR'S DEPARTMENT,
Santa Fé, New Mexico, September 30, 1856.

The foregoing is a true copy of the original on file in this office.

DAVID V. WHITING,
Translator.

SURVEYOR GENERAL'S OFFICE,
Santa Fé, New Mexico, September 30, 1856.

David V. Whiting, whose genuine signature is subscribed to the foregoing certificate, was the translator of this office at the time of signing the same.

WM. PELHAM,
Surveyor General of New Mexico.

[Translation.]

The communication of your reverence of the 11th instant is received concerning the measurement of the league of land of the pueblo of Taos, which shall contain five thousand varas from the cross in the cemetery towards the four cardinal points, concerning which matter I have to-day issued a decree to the senior justice of that jurisdiction, requiring him to be governed thereby, mingling equity with justice, so that the citizens who are on alien lands may not be injured more than what is absolutely necessary, in which I trust your reverence will co-operate with your kind offices.

God preserve you many years!

SANTA FÉ, April 15, 1815.

ALBERTO MAGUEZ.

Very Reverend Friar JOSÉ BENITO PEREYRO.

SURVEYOR GENERAL'S OFFICE, TRANSLATOR'S DEPARTMENT,
Santa Fé, New Mexico, August 2, 1856.

The foregoing is a correct translation of the original on file in this office.

DAVID V. WHITING, *Translator.*

SURVEYOR GENERAL'S OFFICE,
Santa Fé, New Mexico, September 30, 1856.

The foregoing is a true copy of the original translation now in this office.

WM. PELHAM,
Surveyor General.

Pablo Romero, governor, Juan Reyno, war captain, and Benito Casillas, cacique of the pueblo of San Geronimo de los Thaos, being duly sworn by the surveyor general of New Mexico, and in the presence of General A. G. Mayers, Indian agent for the pueblos of New Mexico, they made answer as follows to the following interrogatories founded to them :

Question. Have you the original title-deeds to the pueblo lands granted by the Spanish government?

Answer. We have not.

Question. Did your pueblo ever have any title-deeds to their lands?

Answer. By tradition from our forefathers we know that a grant was made to our pueblo at the same time that grants were made to all the other pueblos of the Territory. By tradition we also know that there were certain fixed boundaries to our lands. We do not know when or how the original grant was lost; it was not in the pueblo when we were born.

Question. Do the lands of the pueblo extend one league from the church in the direction of the four cardinal points of the compass?

Answer. By tradition we also know that our lands extended one league to each of the cardinal points measured from the cross in the centre of the burial-ground.

Question. Have you any tradition in your pueblo of the length of time the pueblo has been in existence?

Answer. The pueblo was settled before the conquest, and it has been continuously occupied from that time up to the present.

Question. Do you make your livelihood by the cultivation of the soil entirely?

Answer. We rely upon the cultivation of the soil entirely for our support. We raise wheat and corn, and have a few head of stock. We are very poor.

his
PABLO × ROMERO, *Governor.*
mark.

his
JUAN × REYNO, *War Captain.*
mark.

his
BENITO × CASILLAS, *Cacique.*
mark.

Witnesses :

DAVID V. WHITING,

A. G. MAYERS,

Agent for the Pueblo Indians of New Mexico.

Sworn and subscribed before me, at the office of the surveyor general of New Mexico, on the 2d day of August, 1856.

W. PELHAM, *Surveyor General.*

SURVEYOR GENERAL'S OFFICE,
Santa Fé, New Mexico, September 30, 1856

The foregoing is a true copy of the original testimony on file in this office.

WM. PELHAM,
Surveyor General of New Mexico.

SANTA CLARA.

Pascual, governor, Jose Maria, lieutenant-governor, Jose Pablo, chief of the pueblo of Santa Clara, were duly sworn by the surveyor general, in his office, at Santa Fé, in the presence of General A. G. Mayers, Indian agent; whereupon they answered the interrogatories propounded to them in the following manner, viz:

Question. Did the pueblo of Santa Clara receive a grant from the government of Spain, and was it understood by tradition in the pueblo that such a grant was ever in their possession?

Answer. The old men of the pueblo say that they had a grant from the King; but, as we are young men, we never saw it, the document having been lost before we arrived at years of discretion.

Question. Are the lands of the pueblo considered to extend one league from the church to the four cardinal points of the compass?

Answer. The grants made to all the pueblos called for the same amount of land, and we claim the same amount that the other pueblos contain.

Question. Have you any tradition in your pueblo of the length of time it has been in existence, and was the oldest man among you born in the pueblo?

Answer. We do not know how long the pueblo has been in existence. The oldest man was born in the pueblo. We do not know the number of generations that have passed since the pueblo was occupied.

Question. Do you raise corn, wheat, fruit, and stock in the pueblo, and do you subsist entirely by agricultural pursuits?

Answer. We do raise corn and wheat and a little fruit, and a few head of stock. Our support is derived entirely from the produce of the soil. When our crops are not good we suffer for the necessities of life.

PASCUAL, ^{his} + *Governor.*
_{mark.}

JOSE MARIA, ^{his} + *Lieut. Governor.*
_{mark.}

JOSE PABLO, ^{his} + *Chief.*
_{mark.}

Sworn and subscribed before me, this 16th day of June, 1856.

WM. PELHAM,
Surveyor General of New Mexico.

SURVEYOR GENERAL'S OFFICE,
Santa Fé, New Mexico, September 30, 1856.

The foregoing is a true copy of the original testimony on file in this office.

WM. PELHAM,
Surveyor General of New Mexico.

Carlos Vigil, governor, Juan Ramos, lieutenant-governor, José Manuel, sheriff, José, chief of the Indian pueblo of Tesuque, being duly sworn by the surveyor general of New Mexico, and in the presence of General A. G. Mayers, Indian agent for the said pueblos, made answer as follows to the interrogatories made to them :

Question. Have you the original title-deeds to the pueblo lands granted by the Spanish government?

Answer. They have not.

Question. Did your pueblo ever have any title-deeds to their lands?

Answer. There were title-deeds to the pueblo, but the authorities of the Mexican government at Santa Fé took them from them in order to have them copied and revalidated, the originals being much torn; since which time they have neither seen nor heard of them.

Question. Do the lands of the pueblo extend one league from the church in the direction of the four cardinal points of the compass?

Answer. The pueblo contains one league in each direction from the church, but the distance from east to west only has been measured.

Question. Have you any tradition among your pueblo of the length of time the pueblo has been occupied?

Answer. They have not.

Question. Have you any idea of how many generations have passed since the pueblo existed, and if the oldest inhabitant of the pueblo was born there?

Answer. Five generations have passed in the pueblo, (fifty years in each generation;) the oldest inhabitant was born in the pueblo.

CARLOS VIGIL,

Governor.

JUAN RAMOS HERERA,

Lieutenant Governor.

^{his}
JOSÉ × MANUEL, *Sheriff.*

mark.

^{his}
JOSÉ, × *Chief.*

mark.

Sworn and subscribed before me, at the office of the surveyor general of New Mexico, on this 14th day of June, 1856.

WM. PELHAM,
Surveyor General

SURVEYOR GENERAL'S OFFICE,
Santa Fé, New Mexico, September 30, 1856.

The foregoing is a true copy of the original testimony on file in this office.

WM. PELHAM,
Surveyor General of New Mexico.

SAN ILDEFONSO.

Acencio Peña, governor, Antoñico Gabaldon, chief, José Antonio Gonzalez, chief of the pueblo of San Ildefonso, being duly sworn by the surveyor general, at his office, in Santa Fé, in the presence of General A. G. Mayers, Indian agent for the pueblos, they answered the interrogatories propounded to them in the following manner, viz:

Question. Did the pueblos of San Ildefonso receive a grant of the lands they occupy from the King of Spain?

Answer. They did receive a grant.

Question. Where is the grant now?

Answer. We do not know where the grant is now.

Question. Do you know when and how the pueblo was deprived of its possession?

Answer. The priest of the pueblo (Tagle) took it from the pueblo, stating that, as it was torn and scarcely legible, he would take it to Santa Fé for the purpose of having a certified copy of it made. This took place many years ago; the priest died a long time ago. Since it was taken it has not been returned, neither have we heard anything of it.

Question. Have you any tradition of the length of time the pueblo has been settled?

Answer. The pueblo was in existence before the city of Santa Fé was built (1692.)

Question. Has the pueblo been occupied continuously from that date up to the present time by your people?

Answer. It has.

Question. Do you know if the grant of the pueblo called for one league from the church towards the four cardinal points of the compass and if you claim the same amount of land granted to the other pueblos?

Answer. The grant called for one league from the church towards the four cardinal points. We claim the same amount of land the other pueblos have.

Question. Do you raise wheat, corn, and fruit, and stock; and do you subsist entirely by agricultural pursuits?

Answer. We raise wheat, corn, and fruit, and a few horses, and rely upon the cultivation of the soil, exclusively, for our maintenance.

ACENCIO ^{his} × PEÑA, *Governor.*
mark.

ANTOÑICO ^{his} × GABALDEN, *Chief.*
mark.

JOSÉ ANTONIO ^{his} × GONZALEZ, *Chief.*
mark.

Sworn and subscribed before me, this 16th day of June, 1856.

WM. PELHAM,
Surveyor General of New Mexico.

—
SURVEYOR GENERAL'S OFFICE,
Santa Fé, New Mexico, September 30, 1856.

The foregoing is a true copy of the original testimony on file in this office.

WM. PELHAM,
Surveyor General of New Mexico.

—
POJOAQUE.

José de Jesus Montoya, governor, Juan Bautista Sanchez, war chief, and Martias, preserver of the peace of the pueblo of Pojoaque, being duly sworn by the surveyor general, at his office, at Santa Fé, in the presence of General A. G. Mayers, Indian agent for the pueblos, they answered the interrogatories propounded to them in the following manner, to wit:

Question. Did the pueblo of Pojoaque receive a grant for the lands they occupy from the King of Spain?

Answer. They did receive a grant from the King of Spain.

Question. Where is the grant now?

Answer. We do not know where it is now.

Question. Do you know when and how the pueblo was deprived of its possession?

Answer. About forty years since, a land suit occurred between the pueblo and a Mexican, and the title-deed of the pueblo was presented to the alcalde of Chimayo, Bautista Vigil as evidence in the case; since which time the grant has not been heard of.

Question. Have you any tradition of the length of time the pueblo has been in existence?

Answer. The bell of the church of the pueblo bears date 1710; the bell was cast for the church.

Question. Has the pueblo been occupied continuously from that date up to the present time by your people?

Answer. It has been so occupied.

Question. Do you know if the grant of the pueblo called for one league from the church towards the four cardinal points of the compass; and if you claim the same amount of land granted to the other pueblo?

Answer. We claim one league from the corners of the church towards the four cardinal points, and are entitled to the same amount of land granted to the other pueblos.

Question. Do you raise wheat, corn, fruit, and stock, and do you subsist entirely by agricultural pursuits?

Answer. We raise wheat and corn, and depend on what we raise for our subsistence. We do not raise enough to support us comfortably, but live on what we raise, although we suffer sometimes.

JOSÉ DE JESUS MONTOYA, ^{his} X *Governor*.

JUAN BAUTISTA SANCHEZ, ^{mark.} *War Chief*.

MARTIAS, ^{his} X *Preserver of the Peace.*
_{mark.}

Sworn and subscribed before me, this 28th day of June, 1856.

WM. PELHAM,

Surveyor General of New Mexico

—
SURVEYOR GENERAL'S OFFICE,

Santa Fé, New Mexico, September 30, 1856.

The foregoing is a true copy of the original testimony on file in this office.

WM. PELHAM,

Surveyor General of New Mexico

—
Donaciano Vigil, late Secretary of the Territory of New Mexico, being duly sworn, declares that, since the year 1840, the period when he received the charge of the public archives of the Territory of New Mexico, there were no title-deeds of grants made to Indian pueblos of New Mexico in the archives under his charge from that date up to the present time. That occupying the position he did, as secretary as well as recorder of public documents and having had occasion to examine them very often, he had every opportunity of knowing if they were in the archives or not. The said deponent further states, that the lands held by the Indian pueblos of Tesuque Nambé, Santa Clara, and San Ildefonso, were always recognized as belonging to said Indians, by virtue of grants made to them by the authorities of the Spanish government towards the close of the seventeenth century. That from time immemorial they have continued in the pacific and quiet enjoyment of the lands they occupy without any question being raised as to their legal right thereto.

DONACIANO VIGIL.

Sworn and subscribed before me, this 21st day of June, 1856.

WM. PELHAM,

Surveyor General of New Mexico.

SURVEYOR GENERAL'S OFFICE,
Santa Fé, New Mexico, September 30, 1856.

I certify that the foregoing is a true copy of the original on file in this office.

WM. PELHAM,
Surveyor General.

[L. S.]

ONE QUARTER.

Seal fourth, one quarter, for the years one thousand seven hundred and eleven, and twelve and thirteen, and seven hundred and fourteen.

[L. S.]

ONE QUARTER.

Don Fernando de Alencaster Noroma and Silva, Duke of Linares, Marquis of Valdefuentes, Count of Goarca and Porto Alegre, Knight Commander of the Order of Santiago, in the Kingdom of Portugal, Gentleman of the Bed Chamber and Audience of His Majesty, Viceroy Governor and Captain General of New Spain, and President of the Royal Audience thereof:

Whereas His Majesty (whom may God preserve) has been pleased to issue the royal decree in the following terms: The King.—Whereas the Ordinances and laws of the Indies, and especially the eighth, book third of the recopilation of the same, provide that locations, with sufficient water, land, timber, entrances and exits, for cultivation, be given to the settlements and towns (pueblos) of Indians which may be formed, and a common of one league, where they can pasture their cattle, without their being mixed with those of the Spaniards; and whereas I have been informed that these ordinances are not complied with, in any of their provisions, in all the missions of New Spain, the governors and agents not only neglecting to give lands to the Indians, in order that they may form towns, (pueblos,) but even those who have lands are deprived of them by force; selling their children as slaves, and taking their women to their houses as servants; employing them to spin, weave, and labor, without remunerating them for their labor; depopulating, in this manner, the pueblos that have been established at the cost of so much labor by the missionaries, who are prevented from administering to them and teaching them the doctrine; and, consequently, preventing the formation of pueblos by the many Indians recently converted, which would not be the case were the governors and agents to comply with the aforesaid law, and discharge the duties of their office, instead of attending to their private interests: Therefore, by these presents, we direct—my Viceroy of New Spain, the Audience and Governors of the same—that, in view of the displeasure which this information has caused us, in future they provide a remedy for this pernicious abuse, and punish the transgressors of the aforesaid laws; and that in the compliance and fulfilment of the same they use their best endeavors and zeal in order that the lands, water, and pas-

tures granted to them be given to the aforesaid recently converted Indians; and that in no case whatsoever are they, their children, or women, to be used in service without their consent, and the payment of the customary price for labor, (such being agreeable to the service of God and of ourself,) with the assurance that if not complied with, we will proceed to use severe measures; and of the receipt of this decree, and of the publication of such portions as may be necessary to be complied with, and of the result of the measures adopted, report will be made to us by the first opportunity, in order that I may be informed.

Done at Madrid on the fifteenth day of October, one thousand seven hundred and thirteen. I the King: By order of the King, our sovereign Don Diego de Morales Velasco, countersigned with four rubrics.

And said royal decree having been obeyed by me on the fourth instant, I directed that, for the performance and fulfilment of the mandates of his Majesty, it be taken to the Attorney General, (Fiscal); and, having seen his answer, with which I am satisfied, I, by these presents, direct the Governor and Captain General of the province of New Mexico to obey, perform, and execute, punctually and faithfully, the contents of said royal decree, and use every means in order that the newly-converted Indians receive the lands, waters, and commons which are granted to them by the royal ordinances, without permitting any person to use their children or women, for private service, without their free consent, paying them in such event the customary price for their labor, being zealous and careful of the performance of the mandates of his Majesty, such being agreeable to the service of God and his Majesty, under the penalty of five hundred dollars to be irrecoverably forfeited. Mexico, October twenty-second, in the year one thousand seven hundred and fourteen.

THE DUKE OF LINARES,

By order of his Excellency:

CARLOS ROMO DE LA VEGA.

[Rubric.]

[Rubric.]

SURVEYOR GENERAL'S OFFICE, TRANSLATOR'S DEPARTMENT,
Santa Fé, New Mexico, April 15, 1856.

The foregoing is a correct translation of the original on file in this office.

DAVID V. WHITING,
Translator.

SURVEYOR GENERAL'S OFFICE,
Santa Fé, New Mexico, September 30, 1856.

I certify that the foregoing is a true copy of the original on file in this office.

WM. PELHAM,
Surveyor General.

R.—Abstract of private land claims filed in the office of the surveyor general of New Mexico, from January 1, 1855, to August 30, 1856.

No.	Date of grant.	Date when filed.	Name of original grantee.	Name of present owner.	By whom granted.	Location of claim.
-1	Aug. 20, 1715	May 30, 1855	Corporation of Santa Fé.....	Henry Connelly.....	Juan Ygnacio Flores Mogollon, G. & C. G.....	Town of Santa Fé.
2	July 13, 1716	May 30, 1855	Ana de Sandoval y Manzanares.....	Henry Connelly.....	Felix Martinez, G. & C. G.....	Valencia county.
3	Aug. 9, 1742	Oct. 11, 1855	Pedro Vigil de Santillana....	Antoine Leroux.....	Gaspar Domingo de Mendoza, G. & C. G.....	Taos county.
4	July 30, 1814	July 17, 1855	Francisco Trujillo.....	Donaciano Vigil.....	Alberto Mayez, G. & C. G.....	San Miguel county.
5	Mar. 29, 1815	Oct. 11, 1855	Juan de Dios Peña.....	Alexander Vallé.....	Alberto Maynez, G. & C. G.....	San Miguel county.
6	May 29, 1821	June 19, 1855	Luis Maria Cabeza de Baca..	Thomas Cabeza de Baca.....	Provincial deputation of Durango.	San Miguel county.
*7	Dec. 24, 1823	May 10, 1855	Juan Esteban Pino.....	Preston Beck, jr.....	Bartolomé Baca, political chief..	San Miguel county.
8	Nov. 19, 1824	June 28, 1855	Town of Tecolotito.....	Town of Tecolotito.....	Provincial deputation of New Mexico.....	San Miguel county.
9	Aug. 9, 1827	Oct. 11, 1855	Domingo Fernandez.....	E. W. Eaton.....	Provincial deputation of New Mexico.....	Santa Fé county.
10	Nov. 28, 1829	Jan. 9, 1856	Town of Manzano.....	Town of Manzano.....	Provincial deputation of New Mexico.....	Valencia county.
11	Mar. 23, 1835	Sept. 11, 1855	Town of Las Vegas.....	Town of Las Vegas.....	Departmental assembly of New Mexico.....	San Miguel county.
12	Oct. 16, 1837	Feb. 7, 1855	Manuel Alvarez.....	Manuel Alvarez.....	Manuel Armijo, governor.....	San Miguel county.
13	June 8, 1840	June 14, 1855	Juan Ortiz.....	Juan Ortiz.....	Felipe Sena, justice of the peace.	Santa Fé county.
14	Nov. 7, 1840	Jan. 8, 1856	Town of El Tejon.....	Town of El Tejon.....	Antonio Montoya, justice of the peace.....	Santa Ana county.
15	Jan. 11, 1841	Oct. 11, 1855	Charles Beaubien and Guadalupe Miranda.....	Charles Beaubien.....	Manuel Armijo, governor.....	Taos county.
16	Mar. 10, 1841	Jan. 8, 1856	Town of El Torreon.....	Town of El Torreon.....	Antonio Sandoval, prefect.....	Valencia county.
17	Oct. 6, 1842	Jan. 28, 1856	Town of Angostura de Pecos.	Town of Angostura de Pecos.	Juan Antonio Archuleta, acting governor.....	San Miguel county.
18	Dec. 30, 1843	Oct. 11, 1855	Louis Lee.....	Charles Beaubien.....	Manuel Armijo, governor.....	Taos county.
19	Oct. 7, 1845	July 12, 1855	Antonio Sandoval.....	Gervacio Noland.....	Manuel Armijo, governor.....	Valencia county.
20	Nov. 18, 1845	July 10, 1855	Gervacio Noland.....	Gervacio Noland.....	Manuel Armijo, governor.....	Taos county.

* Confirmed.

ABSTRACT Continued.

No.	Date of grant.	Date when filed.	Name of original grantee.	Name of present owner.	By whom granted.	Location of claim.
21	Feb. 10, 1846	Oct. 11, 1855	Guadalupe Miranda.....	Guadalupe Miranda.....	Pablo Melendrez, justice of the peace	Doña Ana county.
22	Feb. 24, 1846	June 14, 1855	Juan Ortiz	Juan Ortiz	José Albino Chacon, justice of the peace	Santa Fé county.
23	Mar. 10, 1846	June 27, 1855	Town of Chaperito.....	Town of Chaperito.....	Departmental assembly of New Mexico.....	San Miguel county.
24	May 27, 1846	Oct. 11, 1855	Andres Trujillo.....	Mary V. Alexander	José Francisco Sandoval, justice of the peace	Taos county.
25	July 25, 1846	Oct. 11, 1855	Guadalupe Miranda.....	Guadalupe Miranda.....	Pablo Melendrez, justice of the peace	Doña Ana county.
26	Jan. 30, 1849	Oct. 11, 1855	José Maria Provencio.....	Guadalupe Miranda.....	Francisco Belarde, justice of the peace	Doña Ana county.
27	Oct. 11, 1855	José Maria Herrera	Guadalupe Miranda.....	Doña Ana county.
28	Oct. 11, 1855	Luciano Rael.....	Guadalupe Miranda.....	Doña Ana county.
29	Feb. 4, 1852	Oct. 11, 1855	Guadalupe Miranda.....	Guadalupe Miranda.....	Rafael Ruelas, justice of the peace.	Doña Ana county.
*30	July 30, 1739	Aug. 6, 1856	Town of Tomé.....	Town of Tomé.....	Gaspar Domingo de Mendoza, governor	Valencia county.
31	Aug. 6, 1856	Juan Antonio Garcia.....	Hugh M. Stephenson.....	José Ordas, lieutenant governor..	Doña Ana county.
*32	July 20, 1832	Aug. 20, 1856	Manuel Martinez	Francisco Martinez	Territorial deputation of New Mexico... ..	Rio Arriba county.

* Confirmed.

The foregoing abstract contains all the private land claims filed in this office from January 1, 1855, to August 30, 1856.

WILLIAM PELHAM, *Surveyor General of New Mexico.*

S.—Abstract of donation claims filed in the office of the surveyor general of New Mexico, from January 1, 1855, to August 31, 1856.

Number.	Date when filed.	Name of claimant.	Date of commencement of settlement.	When entitled to a patent.	Location of claim.	Remarks.
1	January 12, 1855	John Peters.....	January 12, 1854	January 12, 1858	San Miguel county.....
2	February 28, 1855	Alexander Hatch.....	August 1, 1853	August 1, 1857	San Miguel county.....	In litigation....
3	February 28, 1855	Charles A. Hoppin.....	Dofia Ana county.....
4	April 7, 1855	Levi J. Keithley.....	July 1, 1854	July 1, 1858	San Miguel county.....	In litigation....
5	April 7, 1855	Francisco Apidaca.....	June 15, 1854	June 15, 1858	San Miguel county.....
6	May 16, 1855	James M. Giddings.....	May 10, 1853	May 10, 1857	San Miguel county.....
7	June 23, 1855	William B. Tipton.....	June 23, 1853	June 23, 1857	Taos county.....
8	July 6, 1855	David S. Garland.....	June 1, 1855	June 1, 1859	Dofia Ana county.....
9	July 25, 1855	Jackson Cooper.....	Taos county.....
10	September 13, 1855	Hugh M. Beckwith.....	January 1, 1853	January 1, 1857	Socorro county.....
11	December 6, 1855	Antonio José Pineda.....	November 12, 1854	November 12, 1858	Santa Fé county.....
12	December 14, 1855	Charles Jager.....	November 1, 1855	November 1, 1859	Dofia Ana county.....
13	December 22, 1855	Juan Manuel Sandoval.....	December 3, 1855	December 3, 1859	Santa Fé county.....
14	January 2, 1856	Fernando Peña.....	December 13, 1855	December 13, 1859	Santa Fé county.....
15	January 5, 1856	Florencio de la Garza.....	December 13, 1855	December 13, 1859	Santa Fé county.....
16	January 5, 1856	Santiago Abreu.....	November 13, 1855	November 13, 1859	Santa Fé county.....
17	January 7, 1856	Francisco Leyba.....	December 17, 1855	December 17, 1859	Santa Fé county.....
18	January 16, 1856	Fernando Noland.....	July 12, 1855	July 12, 1859	San Miguel county.....
19	January 20, 1856	Timothy McGowan.....	June 4, 1855	June 4, 1859	Dofia Ana county.....
20	January 22, 1856	José Rafael Lucero.....	January 18, 1853	January 18, 1857	San Miguel county.....
21	March 22, 1856	George A. Hayward.....	December 1, 1851	December 1, 1855	Dofia Ana county.....
22	April 3, 1856	José Valdez.....	April 1, 1854	April 1, 1858	Santa Fé county.....
23	April 7, 1856	Faustin Ruiz.....	February 1, 1852	February 1, 1856	Santa Fé county.....
24	April 21, 1856	Francisco Lobato.....	April 10, 1856	April 10, 1860	Santa Fé county.....
25	April 28, 1856	Isaiah Smith.....	March 25, 1856	March 25, 1860	Santa Fé county.....
26	May 21, 1856	Thomas Lopez.....	April 10, 1856	April 10, 1860	Santa Fé county.....
27	May 22, 1856	Pedro Romero.....	April 2, 1856	April 2, 1860	Santa Ana county.....
28	July 2, 1856	José Roque Martínez.....	April 7, 1856	April 7, 1860	Taos county.....

ABSTRACT—Continued.

Number.	Date when filed.	Name of claimant.	Date of commencement of settlement.	When entitled to a patent.	Location of claim.	Remarks.
29	July 5, 1856	Joseph Tebour.....	January 1, 1853	January 1, 1857	Secorro county.....
30	July 5, 1856	Trinidad Poro.....	January 1, 1853	January 1, 1857	Socorro county.....
31	July 5, 1856	José Maria Rascon.....	January 1, 1853	January 1, 1857	Socorro county.....
32	August 2, 1856	Antonio Lobato.....	April 24, 1856	April 24, 1860	Santa Fé county.....

The foregoing abstract contains a list of all the donation claims filed in this office from January 1, 1855, to August 30, 1856.

WM. PELHAM, *Surveyor General of New Mexico.*

SURVEYOR GENERAL'S OFFICE, *Santa Fé, New Mexico, September 30, 1856.*

U.

Estimate of appropriations required for the office of the Surveyor General of New Mexico, for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1858.

Object of appropriation.	Amount.
For salary of the surveyor general - - -	\$3,000 00
For salaries of clerks and messenger - - -	12,000 00
For incidental expenses of the surveyor general's office	3,000 00
For surveying private land claims - - -	30,000 00
For surveying public lands - - -	50,000 00
	98,000 00

WM. PELHAM,
Surveyor General of New Mexico.

SURVEYOR GENERAL'S OFFICE,
Santa Fé, New Mexico, August 31, 1856.

V.

Estimate of appropriations required for the department of Private Land Claims of the office of the Surveyor General of New Mexico, for this fiscal year ending June 30, 1856.

Object of appropriation.	Amount.
For salary of translator - - -	\$2,000 00
For incidental expenses - - -	3,000 00
For establishing boundaries of claims approved -	5,000 00
For the purchase of Spanish and Mexican law books, required as authorities in the investigation of private land claims - - -	750 00
	10,750 00

WM. PELHAM,
Surveyor General of New Mexico.

SURVEYOR GENERAL'S OFFICE,
Santa Fé, New Mexico, August 31, 1856.

ANNUAL REPORT OF THE SURVEYOR GENERAL OF KANSAS.

SURVEYOR GENERAL'S OFFICE,
Wyandott, K. T., October 20, 1856.

SIR: In conformity with your instructions of April 11, 1856, I submit the following report of the operations of this office for the year ending September 30, 1856:

All the lands in Kansas east of range twelve east, except the Indian reservations, have been surveyed into sections embracing two hundred and forty-two townships, (whole and fractional,) all of which are reported to this office. The guide-meridian has been extended south one hundred and fifty miles from the base line. The base line has been extended west from the Missouri river one hundred and eight miles on the parallel of 40°. The principal meridian has been established south from the base line one hundred and fifty miles; and five standard parallels, at a distance of five townships or thirty miles apart, have been run and established from the principal meridian to the eastern boundary of the Territory. One hundred and thirty-five townships have been reported to your office as complete; forty-two are nearly completed, and will be forwarded to you in three or four weeks; and sixty-four townships will probably be reported as completed by the 1st of February next. The surveys of the base line, guide-meridians, and so much of the standard parallel lines as are east of the guide-meridian have been reported to you, and the principal meridian and standard parallels between the principal and guide-meridian will shortly be forwarded. There have been run of township lines in Kansas, since this office was first established, about three thousand and ninety miles, of which two thousand six hundred and sixty-two miles seventy-two chains and twenty-four links have been reported to you, and four hundred and twenty-eight are nearly ready to be forwarded, which will embrace all the township lines north of the fifth standard parallel and east of the guide-meridian.

The whole number of acres surveyed into sections in Kansas is about four million five hundred and ninety-six thousand four hundred and eighty; of this, eight hundred and seventy-nine thousand three hundred and thirty-five acres are Indian trust lands, as follows:

Of the Delawares	558,555	acres.
Of the Kaskaskias, Peorias, Piankeshaws, and Weas..	256,373	"
Of the Ioways	64,406	"

There has been paid out for surveys in Kansas as follows, to wit:

For running guide-meridian and standard parallels.....	\$6,911	22
For running township and subdivisional lines.....	34,410	98
For extra work, not embraced in contracts.....	2,844	77
For running Indian boundary lines.....	816	46
For surveys of trust lands	13,914	12

58,894 55

In Nebraska most of the lands east of the guide-meridian have been surveyed.

The guide-meridian has been extended north from the base line to the Missouri river, and seven standard parallels at distances of twenty-four miles apart have been run east from the guide-meridian to the Missouri river. The principal meridian and the standard parallels between the principal and the guide-meridians are now under contract, of which one hundred and twenty miles of the principal meridian and four standard parallels have been reported to this office as complete. The subdivision of one hundred and thirty townships was contracted for last year; of which twelve were declared abandoned, ninety-four have been reported to this office as completed, eighteen have been forwarded to you, and twenty-four are completed in the field but not reported to this office. Of the townships reported, eighteen are now being corrected in the field. About eleven hundred and ninety miles of township lines have been run, of which one thousand and forty-six miles eighteen chains and nineteen links have been reported to you.

The whole number of acres reported to this office as surveyed in Nebraska is about two million seventy-three thousand and six hundred.

There has been paid out for surveys in Nebraska as follows:

For running guide-meridian and standard parallels.....	\$3,701 26
For running township and subdivisional lines.....	11,491 86
For extra work not embraced in contracts.....	546 50
Total	15,739 62

The survey of the base line is common to both Territories; and for running and re-running the same and destroying marks on erroneous line, there has been paid out as follows:

Original line as run by Johnson.....	\$1,006 32
True line corrected to guide-meridian.....	1,316 37
Same west to principal meridian.....	529 92
Total.....	2,852 61

Aggregate sums paid for surveys in Kansas	\$58,897 55
Aggregate sums paid for surveys in Nebraska.....	15,739 62
Aggregate sum paid for resurvey of base line.....	2,852 61
Total paid for surveys in this district.....	77,489 78

All the surveys in Nebraska have been examined. The surveys north of the Kansas river, and between the fourth and fifth standard parallels in Kansas, have been examined. The work between the second and third and third and fourth standard parallels in Kansas has been but partially examined, one examiner having been taken sick and another driven from the field by the abolitionists.

The number of pre-emption claims registered in this office for the

Territory of Kansas is 3,036. The number of pre-emption claims registered in this office for the Territory of Nebraska is 787.

There are in Kansas east of the principal meridian and north of the fifth standard parallel about two hundred and seventy-seven townships unsurveyed. In Nebraska east of the principal meridian there are two hundred and sixty-three townships not surveyed. The appropriations for the year ending June 30, 1857, will be sufficient to complete the survey of about one-half of these townships; and an equal sum for the year ending June 30, 1858, will be, I should think, ample to complete the survey of all the lands in both Territories east of the principal meridian.

The services of at least ten clerks will be needed in this office; I have that number now in addition to the two furnished by the Indian Department.

I estimate their united services at.....	\$11,800
Surveyor General's salary.....	2,000
Transcribing field notes.....	4,000
Office rent, &c., &c.....	5,000
Total	22,800

The surveys in Nebraska, under the appropriation for the year ending June 30, 1857, will be somewhat retarded by the inability of the contractor to finish the principal meridian and standard parallels this fall. Since the 30th of September last, I have contracted for the township and subdivisional surveys of one hundred and sixty-six townships in Kansas, and forty-two in Nebraska, and shall be able, probably, to extend the contracts in Nebraska to about one hundred townships. In selecting the lands for survey I have been governed by the settlements made and likely to be made this fall and next spring.

Accompanying papers which form a part of this report.

Sketch of the public surveys in Kansas and Nebraska.

Statement of surveys in Kansas out of appropriations of August 4, 1854, and March 3, 1855.

Statement of surveys in Nebraska out of appropriations of August 4, 1854, and March 3, 1855.

General statement of receipts and disbursements by the surveyor general of Kansas and Nebraska, &c.

Estimate of appropriation for the extension of surveys in Kansas and Nebraska.

J. CALHOUN,

Surveyor General of Kansas and Nebraska

Hon. THOS. A. HENDRICKS,

Commissioner of General Land Office, Washington, D. C.

SURVEYOR GENERAL'S OFFICE,
Wyandott, October 20, 1856.

SIR: In compliance with your request, I send you estimates of the sums required for the extension of the surveys in this district for the year ending June 30, 1858.

Estimate of the sums necessary to be appropriated for the extension of surveys in Kansas and Nebraska for the year ending June 30, 1858.

For township and subdivisional lines, (former appropriations being sufficient to complete the base, principal meridian and standard parallels east of the principal meridian,) \$101,000

Estimate of sums necessary to be appropriated for office expenses for the year ending June 30, 1858.

For salary of surveyor general.....	\$2,000
For 1 clerk a \$1,500.....	1,500
For 2 clerks a 1,300.....	2,600
For 7 " a 1,100.....	7,700
For transcribing field-notes.....	4,000
For office rent, stationery, and contingencies.....	5,000
	<hr/>
	22,800
	<hr/>

J. CALHOUN,
Surveyor General of Kansas and Nebraska.

HON. THOS. A. HENDRICKS,
Commissioner General Land Office, Washington, D. C.

General statement of receipts and disbursements by the Surveyor General for Kansas and Nebraska, from September 30, 1855, to September 30, 1856, of the public funds allowed for the several services in his office, by the appropriations of August 4, 1854, and March 3, 1855.

<i>Salary of surveyor general and clerk hire for the year ending September 30, 1856.</i>			
Expended during the 4th quarter of 1855-----	\$3,026 65		
Do-----1st do-----1856-----	3,443 77		
Do-----2d do-----1856-----	3,554 12		
Do-----3d do-----1856-----	4,456 66		
		\$14,481 20	
Balance due the United States from 3d quarter 1855-----	1,825 52		
Cash received from treasurer, 4th quarter, 1855--	4,275 00		
Do-----do-----2d do-----1856--	3,075 00		
Do-----do-----3d do-----1856--	5,050 00		
		14,225 52	
Balance due from United States-----			\$255 68
<i>Salary of surveyor general for superintending "Indian trust cessions," clerk hire, &c., for the year ending September 30, 1856.</i>			
Balance due the surveyor general from 3d quarter of 1855-----	997 49		
Expended during 4th quarter of 1855-----	1,075 05		
Do-----1st do-----1856-----	800 00		
Do-----2d do-----1856-----	910 90		
Do-----3d do-----1856-----	800 00		
		4,583 44	
Cash received from treasurer, 4th quarter, 1855--	3,000 00		
Do-----do-----2d do-----1856--	850 00		
Do-----do-----3d do-----1856--	800 00		
		4,650 00	
Balance due the United States-----			66 56
<i>Amounts for rent of surveyor general's office and incidental expenses for the year ending September 30, 1856.</i>			
Expended during 4th quarter of 1855-----	766 00		
Do-----1st do-----1856-----	592 53		
Do-----2d do-----1856-----	912 79		
Do-----3d do-----1856-----	231 03		
		2,502 35	
Balance due United States from 3d quarter, 1855--	1,715 93		
Cash received from treasurer, 1st quarter, 1856--	500 00		
Do-----do-----3d do-----1856--	550 00		
		2,765 93	
Balance due the United States-----			263 58
Balance due the United States on total-----			74 46

J. CALHOUN,

Surveyor General of Kansas and Nebraska.

SURVEYOR GENERAL'S OFFICE,

Wyandott, Kansas Territory, October 20, 1856.

STATEMENT
OF
SURVEYS OUT OF APPROPRIATIONS
OF
AUGUST 4, 1854, AND MARCH 3, 1855,
IN
NEBRASKA TERRITORY.

Statement of surveys out of appropriations of 4th August, 1854, and 3d March, 1855, in Nebraska Territory.

No.	Name.	Style of work.	Date of contract.	Forwarded to the General Land Office.	Rate per mile.	Quantity charged to United States on account of the general appropriation of 4th August, 1854, and 3d March, 1855.			Net amount of contract.	Per cent. reserved for examination on the field.		Total mileage.	Total amount of surveys.	
						Township lines.	Sectional lines.	Extra work under special instructions.		Rate.	Am't.			
														Days.
						Mls. chs. lks.	Mls. chs. lks.				Mls. chs. lks.			
3	Charles A. Manners	Erecting monument*	April 26, 1855	July 30, 1855	\$12				\$38 88			52 55	\$38 88	
4do.....	Examining base line.....do.....do.....do.....				\$222 04				222 04	
5do.....	Resurveying base line.....do.....do.....	12				720 00			60 00 00	720 00	
5do.....	Guide meridian and standard parallel.do.....	Jan. 10, 1856	12				3,701 26	8	\$321 85	335 20 75	4,023 11	
6do.....	Connexions of base lines.†do.....do.....	12				335 45			27 76 33	335 45	
108do.....	Base line.....	Mar. 28, 1856	Sept. 16, 1856	12				529 92	8	46 08	48 00 00	576 00	
109do.....	Principal meridian and parallel.do.....do.....do.....									
125do.....do.....	July 7, 1856do.....do.....									
12	Blair H. Matthews.	Township lines.....	Aug. 10, 1855	Dec. 20, 1855	7	125 78 80			820 17	7	61 73	125 78 80	881 90	
13	William M. Byers.do.....do.....	Nov. 8, 1855	7	126 28 13			822 55	7	61 91	126 28 13	884 46	
14	M. Thompson, jr.do.....	Aug. 14, 1855do.....	7	106 05 78			690 53	7	51 98	106 05 78	742 31	
26	Jonathan P. Jones.do.....	Sept. 4, 1855	Dec. 20, 1855	7	84 00 23			546 86	7	41 16	84 00 23	588 02	
29	Calhoun & Bostwickdo.....	Sept. 15, 1855do.....	7	116 56 07			759 73	7	57 18	116 56 07	816 91	
30	Ira H. Smith.do.....	Sept. 18, 1855do.....	7	59 60 58			389 02	7	29 28	59 60 58	418 30	
32	Joseph H. Wagner.do.....	Sept. 19, 1855	April 18, 1856	7	83 79 42			546 80	7	41 16	83 79 42	587 96	
35	Bennet Burnam.	Subdividing.....	Sept. 26, 1855	Aug. 5, 1856	5		240 23 77		1,141 41	5	60 07	240 23 77	1,201 48	
27	Isaac C. Stack.do.....	Oct. 1, 1855	Dec. 20, 1856	5		240 67 38	3	46 50	5	60 21	240 67 38	1,250 70	
38	John McNevin.do.....do.....do.....do.....									
39	Horace Tucker.†do.....	Oct. 2, 1855do.....do.....									
40	Justas L. Cozad.do.....do.....	Feb. 27, 1855	5		239 34 89	23	444 50	1,137 32	5	59 86	239 34 89	1,641 68
47	Ira H. Smith.do.....	Oct. 9, 1855do.....do.....									
48	M. Thompson, jr.	Township lines.....	Oct. 12, 1855	Aug. 9, 1856	7	89 55 33			583 90	7	43 94	89 55 33	627 84	
49	Michael McMannus	Subdividing.....	Oct. 19, 1855do.....do.....									
50	James D. White.do.....	Oct. 20, 1855do.....do.....									
51	Johnson Pierson.	Township lines.....do.....do.....do.....									
54	D. F. Tiedemann.	Subdividing and exterior lines.	Oct. 25, 1855	July 11, 1856	5 & 7	3 54 38	264 68 98	3	55 50	1,282 06	5 & 7	68 01	268 43 36	1,405 57
57	Wallace Barnum.	Subdividing.....	Oct. 30, 1855do.....do.....									
58	Charles E. Watson.do.....do.....do.....do.....									
59	Edward Everet.do.....do.....do.....do.....									
60	William N. Byers.	Township lines.....do.....	June 17, 1856	7	167 76 80			1,093 43	7	82 30	167 76 80	1,175 73	

64	Lorin Miller.....	Subdividing.....	Oct. 31, 1855																							
65	John T. Paynter.....	do.....	do.....																							
67	Thomas Paterson.....	do.....	do.....																							
69	William N. Byers.....	do.....	do.....																							
73	Henry C. Derrick [§]	do.....	Nov. 1, 1855																							
74	P. C. Patterson.....	do.....	Nov. 5, 1855																							
78	Michael Murphy.....	do.....	Nov. 20, 1855																							
79	John Y. Clopper.....	do.....	do.....																							
87	Ira H. Smith.....	do.....	Dec. 3, 1855																							
91	Charles Turner.....	Township lines.....	Dec. 10, 1855	June 5, 1856	7	82	03	30		534	09	7	40	20	82	03	30	574	29							
101	Justas L. Cozad.....	Subdividing.....	Mar. 4, 1856																							
118	Joseph H. Wagner.....	do.....	April 7, 1856																							
119	Justas L. Cozad.....	do.....	April 8, 1856																							
124	Michael McMannus.....	do.....	May 12, 1856																							
Total										1,046	18	91	985	35	02	768	54	16,817	37	1,126	92	2,503	43	56	18,712	83

^a Including measurement east to Missouri river.

† Measuring distance to Johnson's line at section and quarter section corners.

‡ Cancelled.

§ Abandoned by consent of surveyor general.

|| Cancelled.

SURVEYOR GENERAL'S OFFICE, Wyandot, Kansas Territory, October 20, 1856

J. CALHOUN, Surveyor General of Kansas and Nebraska.

Statement of surveys in Kansas, out of appropriation

No.	Name.	Style of work.	Date of contract.	Forwarded to Gen'l Land Office.	Rate per mile.
1	J. T. Johnson	Base line	Nov. 2, 1854	Jan. 12, 1855	10
	Deducted for breach of contract				
	Allowed for loss of time, as per contract				
	Balance paid				
2	Joseph Ledlie	Guide meridian	April 26, 1855	Feb. 25, 1856	10
	do	3d and 4th parallel	April 26, 1855	Feb. 25, 1856	10
53	do	Guide mer. and 5th st. par.	Nov. 27, 1855	July 30, 1856	10
110	do	Prin. mer. and parallels	March 28, 1856		
7	Ira H. Smith	Township lines	July 23, 1855	Oct. 1, 1855	7
8	Edward L. Berthoud	Township lines	July 24, 1855	Dec. 17, 1855	7
9	Martin M. Hall	Township lines	July 24, 1855	Sept. 14, 1855	7
10	E. H. Hastings	Township lines	July 27, 1855	Nov. 3, 1855	7
11	William Brown	Township lines	July 30, 1855	Oct. 3, 1855	7
15	E. G. Dial	Sub-dividing and Indian bounds.	Aug. 18, 1855	Oct. 23, 1855	
16	Hugh Robertson	Sub-dividing	Aug. 20, 1855	March 8, 1856	5
17	Freeland & Keys	Sub-dividing	Aug. 20, 1855	April 5, 1856	5
18	Spencer & Arnold	Sub-dividing	Aug. 20, 1855	March 24, 1856	5
19	Parr & White	Sub-dividing	Aug. 20, 1855	Feb. 25, 1856	5
20	Clifton L. Burge	Sub-dividing	Aug. 22, 1855	June 14, 1856	5
21	Crain & Doniphan	sub-dividing, &c.	Aug. 23, 1855	July 30, 1856	5 & 7
22	Joseph Broders	Indian boundary	Aug. 25, 1855	Oct. 23, 1855	
23	James Withrow	Township lines	Aug. 30, 1855	March 17, 1856	7
24	Frederick Hawn	Township lines	Sept. 1, 1855	Dec. 17, 1855	7
25	Martin M. Hall	Township lines	Sept. 3, 1855	Dec. 17, 1855	7
27	John P. Cathcart	Township lines	Sept. 7, 1855	Dec. 17, 1855	7
28	George M. Filford	Sub-dividing	Sept. 7, 1855		
31	William Shields	Sub-dividing	Sept. 19, 1855	Feb. 9, 1856	5
33	D. T. Mitchell	Sub-dividing	Sept. 24, 1855	Jan. 2, 1856	5
34	T. W. Wheatley	Sub-dividing	Sept. 24, 1855	April 5, 1856	5
36	Jacob K. Starr	Sub-dividing	Oct. 1, 1855	March 7, 1856	5
41	W. E. and J. S. Wheeler	Sub-dividing	Oct. 3, 1855	Feb. 20, 1856	5
42	George W. Foster	Sub-dividing	Oct. 4, 1855	March 5, 1856	5
43	Henry J. Adams	Sub-dividing	Oct. 4, 1855	Sept. 10, 1856	5
44	Alexander Oliphant	Sub-dividing	Oct. 8, 1855	March 14, 1856	5
45	Lycurgus Shepard	Sub-dividing	Oct. 8, 1855	April 18, 1856	5
46	Horatio Waldo	Sub-dividing	Oct. 9, 1855	April 18, 1856	5
52	E. H. Hastings	Township lines	Oct. 22, 1855	July 10, 1856	7
53	Lot Coffman	Township lines	Oct. 23, 1855	Jan. 18, 1856	7
55	W. G. Allen	Township lines	Oct. 27, 1855	Dec. 25, 1855	7
	do	Township lines	May 5, 1856	Sept. 6, 1856	7
56	William S. Caldwell	Sub-dividing	Oct. 27, 1855	May 12, 1856	5
61	Thomas Connelly	Sub-dividing	Oct. 30, 1855		
62	Bates & Mitchell	Sub-dividing	Oct. 30, 1855	June 5, 1856	5
63	James T. Swartz	Sub-dividing	Oct. 30, 1855		
66	William J. Card	Sub-dividing	Oct. 31, 1855		
68	Alex. S. Johnson	Sub-dividing	Oct. 31, 1855		
70	John P. Cathcart	Township lines	Oct. 31, 1855	Feb. 13, 1856	7
71	Frederick Hawn	Township lines	Nov. 20, 1855	April 30, 1856	7
72	Walter B. Brackett	Sub-dividing	Nov. 1, 1855		
75	William Weet, jr.	Township lines	Nov. 4, 1855	June 5, 1856	7
76	Edmund O. Flaherty	Sub-dividing	Nov. 17, 1855		
77	Henry C. Derrick	Sub-dividing	Nov. 19, 1855	Aug. 5, 1856	5
80	Henry Bragg	Sub-dividing	Nov. 23, 1855	June 19, 1856	5
81	James Withrow	Township lines	Nov. 23, 1855	March 27, 1856	7
82	John Lampton	Sub-dividing	Nov. 24, 1855	Aug. 28, 1856	5
84	Alfred W. Jones	Township lines	Nov. 27, 1855	March 25, 1856	7
85	William S. Caldwell	Sub-dividing	Nov. 29, 1855	July 2, 1856	7
86	W. G. Allen	Township lines	Dec. 1, 1855	Feb. 20, 1856	7
88	George A. Dunn	Sub-dividing	Dec. 1, 1855	May 17 & Sept. 19, 1856	5
89	Jacob K. Starr	Sub-dividing	Dec. 6, 1855		
90	Clifton L. Burge	Sub-dividing	Dec. 6, 1855	Sept. 24, 1856	5
92	Blair H. Matthews	Township lines	Dec. 17, 1855	Sept. 25, 1856	7
93	Alexander Oliphant	Sub-dividing	Dec. 18, 1855		

No.	Name.	Style of work.	Date of contract.	Forwarded to Gen'l Land Office.	Rate per mile.
94	Parr & Keys.....	Sub-dividing.....	Dec. 22, 1855	May 24, 1856	\$ 50
95	David T. Mitchell.....	Sub-dividing.....	Nov. 29, 1855	May 3, 1856	50
96	Thomas W. Wheatly.....	Township lines.....	Nov. 30, 1855	April 12, 1856	75
97	George W. Foster.....	Sub-dividing.....	Dec. 12, 1855	50
98	John C. Posey.....	Sub-dividing.....	Jan. 3, 1856	50
99	Bates & Mitchell.....	Sub-dividing.....	Jan. 5, 1856	Sept. 1, 1856	50
100	Harvey N. Jenks.....	Sub-dividing.....	Feb. 11, 1856	50
102	William S. Caldwell.....	Sub-dividing.....	Nov. 29, 1855	50
103	W. G. Allen.....	Township lines.....	March 13, 1856	July 9, 1856	75
104	James Withrow.....	Township lines.....	March 17, 1856	50
105	P. G. Hubbard.....	Sub-dividing.....	March 24, 1856	50
106	W. E. and J. S. Wheeler.....	Sub-dividing.....	March 25, 1856	50
107	William Spencer.....	Sub-dividing.....	March 27, 1856	50
111	Samuel Parsons.....	Sub-dividing.....	March 28, 1856	50
112	Jarett Todd.....	Sub-dividing.....	March 29, 1856	50
113	George M. Tilford.....	Sub-dividing.....	March 29, 1856	50
114	Frederick Hawn.....	Sub-dividing.....	March 29, 1856	Sept. 5, 1856	50
115	Calhoun & Geddes.....	Sub-dividing.....	March 29, 1856	50
116	David T. Mitchell.....	Sub-dividing.....	April 1, 1856	50
117	Jones & Brady.....	Sub-dividing.....	April 2, 1856	50
120	Albert A. Crain and James Doniphan ..	Sub-dividing.....	April 9, 1856	50
121	Isaac C. Stuck.....	Sub-dividing.....	April 7, 1856	Sept. 30, 1856	50
122	Bates & Mitchell.....	Sub-dividing.....	April 24, 1856	50
123	Hugh Robertson.....	Sub-dividing.....	April 29, 1856	50
	Jacob K. Starr.....	Delivering notice.....	July 1, 1856	50
	William Weer, jr.....	Examining.....	June 5, 1856	50
	Total.....

Statement of surveys in Kansas out of appropriation of

No.	Name.	Style of work.	Date of contract.	Forwarded to Gen'l Land Office.	Rate per mile.
1	*J. T. Johnson.....	Base line.....	Nov. 2, 1854	Jan. 12, 1855	5
2	Joseph Ledlie.....	Guide meridian.....	April 26, 1855	Feb. 25, 1856	5
do.....	3d and 4th parallel.....	April 26, 1855	Feb. 25, 1856	5
53do.....	Guide mer. and 5th st. par.	Nov. 27, 1855	July 30, 1856	5
110do.....	Prin. mer. and parallels.....	March 28, 1856
7	Ira H. Smith.....	Township lines.....	July 23, 1855	Oct. 1, 1855	7
8	Edward Berthoud.....	Township lines.....	July 24, 1855	Dec. 17, 1855	7
9	Martin M. Hall.....	Township lines.....	July 24, 1855	Sept. 14, 1855	7
10	E. H. Hastings.....	Township lines.....	July 27, 1855	Nov. 3, 1855	7
11	William Brown.....	Township lines.....	July 30, 1855	Oct. 3, 1855	7
15	E. G. Dial.....	Sub-dividing and Indian bounds.	Aug. 18, 1855	Oct. 23, 1855	5
16	Hugh Robertson.....	Sub-dividing.....	Aug. 20, 1855	March 8, 1856	5
17	Freeland & Keys.....	Sub-dividing.....	Aug. 20, 1855	April 5, 1856	5
18	Spencer & Arnold.....	Sub-dividing.....	Aug. 20, 1855	March 24, 1856	5
19	Parr & White.....	Sub-dividing.....	Aug. 20, 1855	Feb. 25, 1856	5
20	Clifton L. Burge.....	Sub-dividing.....	Aug. 22, 1855	June 14, 1856	5
21	Crain & Doniphan.....	Sub-dividing, &c.....	Aug. 23, 1855	July 30, 1856	5 & 7
22	Joseph Broders.....	Indian boundary.....	Aug. 25, 1855	Oct. 23, 1855	7
23	James Withrow.....	Township lines.....	Aug. 30, 1855	March 17, 1856	7
24	Frederick Hawn.....	Township lines.....	Sept. 1, 1855	Dec. 17, 1855	7
25	Martin M. Hall.....	Township lines.....	Sept. 3, 1855	Dec. 17, 1855	7
27	John P. Cathcart.....	Township lines.....	Sept. 7, 1855	Dec. 17, 1855
28	George M. Filford.....	Sub-dividing.....	Sept. 7, 1855	5
31	William Shields.....	Sub-dividing.....	Sept. 19, 1855	Feb. 9, 1856	5
33	D. T. Mitchell.....	Sub-dividing.....	Sept. 24, 1855	Jan. 2, 1856	5
34	T. W. Wheatley.....	Sub-dividing.....	Sept. 24, 1855	April 5, 1856	5
36	Jacob K. Starr.....	Sub-dividing.....	Oct. 1, 1855	March 7, 1856	5
41	W. E. and J. S. Wheeler.....	Sub-dividing.....	Oct. 3, 1855	Feb. 20, 1856	5
42	George W. Foster.....	Sub-dividing.....	Oct. 4, 1855	March 5, 1856	5
43	Henry J. Adams.....	Sub-dividing.....	Oct. 4, 1855	Sept. 10, 1856	5
44	Alexander Oliphant.....	Sub-dividing.....	Oct. 8, 1855	March 14, 1856	5
45	Lycurgus Shepard.....	Sub-dividing.....	Oct. 8, 1855	April 18, 1856	5
46	Horatio Waldo.....	Sub-dividing.....	Oct. 9, 1855	April 18, 1856	5
52	E. H. Hastings.....	Township lines.....	Oct. 22, 1855	July 10, 1856	7
53	Lot Coffman.....	Township lines.....	Oct. 23, 1855	Jan. 18, 1856	7
55	W. G. Allen.....	Township lines.....	Oct. 27, 1855	Dec. 25, 1855	7
do.....	Township lines.....	May 5, 1856	Sept. 6, 1856	7
56	William S. Caldwell.....	Sub-dividing.....	Oct. 27, 1855	May 12, 1856	5
61	Thomas Connelly.....	Sub-dividing.....	Oct. 30, 1855
62	Bates & Mitchell.....	Sub-dividing.....	Oct. 30, 1855	June 5, 1856	5
63	James T. Swartz.....	Sub-dividing.....	Oct. 30, 1855
66	William J. Card.....	Sub-dividing.....	Oct. 31, 1855
68	Alex. S. Johnson.....	Sub-dividing.....	Oct. 31, 1855
70	John P. Cathcart.....	Township lines.....	Oct. 31, 1855	Feb. 13, 1856	7
71	Frederick Hawn.....	Township lines.....	Nov. 20, 1855	April 30, 1856	7
72	Walter B. Brockett.....	Sub-dividing.....	Nov. 1, 1855
75	William Weet, jr.....	Township lines.....	Nov. 4, 1855	June 5, 1856	7
76	Edmund O. Flaherty.....	Sub-dividing.....	Nov. 17, 1855
77	Henry C. Derrick.....	Sub-dividing.....	Nov. 19, 1855	Aug. 5, 1856	5
80	Henry Bragg.....	Sub-dividing.....	Nov. 23, 1855	June 19, 1856	5
81	James Withrow.....	Township lines.....	Nov. 23, 1855	March 27, 1856	7
82	John Lampton.....	Sub-dividing.....	Nov. 24, 1855	Aug. 28, 1856	5
84	Alfred W. Jones.....	Township lines.....	Nov. 27, 1855	March 25, 1856	7
85	William S. Caldwell.....	Sub-dividing.....	Nov. 29, 1855	July 2, 1856	5
86	W. G. Allen.....	Township lines.....	Dec. 1, 1855	Feb. 20, 1856	7
88	George A. Dunn.....	Sub-dividing.....	Dec. 1, 1855	May 17 & Sept. 19, 1856.	5
89	Jacob K. Starr.....	Sub-dividing.....	Dec. 6, 1855
90	Clifton L. Burge.....	Sub-dividing.....	Dec. 6, 1855	Sept. 24, 1856	5
92	Blair H. Matthews.....	Township lines.....	Dec. 17, 1855	Sept. 25, 1856
93	Alexander Oliphant.....	Sub-dividing.....	Dec. 18, 1855
94	Parr & Keys.....	Sub-dividing.....	Dec. 22, 1855	May 24, 1856	5
95	David T. Mitchell.....	Sub-dividing.....	Nov. 29, 1855	May 3, 1856	5
96	Thomas W. Wheatly.....	Township lines.....	Nov. 30, 1855	April 12, 1856	7
97	George W. Foster.....	Sub-dividing.....	Dec. 12, 1855
98	John C. Posey.....	Sub-dividing.....	Jan. 3, 1856
99	Bates & Mitchell.....	Sub-dividing.....	Jan. 5, 1856	Sept. 1, 1856	5

August 4, 1854, and March 3, 1855—Continued.

Net amount of contract on Indian account.						Per cent retained for examination in the field.			Total mileage.	Total amount of surveys.
Indian trust lands.						Rate.	Amount on general account.	Amount on Indian account.		
Townships.	Delawares.	Kaskaskias, Peorias, Pankeshaws, and Weas.	Miamies.	Shawnees.	Wyandotts.					
						\$ 8	\$103 68		108 00 00	\$1,296 00
						8	472 76		492 36 80	5,909 52
						8	127 04		1 09 53	13 43
									132 27 16	1,588 07
						7	53 04		108 20 29	757 77
						7	52 99		108 11 06	756 97
						7	41 38		84 36 52	591 19
						7	50 78		103 51 02	725 46
						7	37 21		75 75 38	531 59
										192 37
						5	75 13		300 43 23	1,502 70
\$381 35						5	18 13	\$20 07	152 67 30	865 45
						5	44 84		179 41 56	897 60
85 93						5	60 25	4 52	259 07 73	1,624 98
						5	75 19		300 61 98	1,503 87
						5 & 7	91 93		331 46 39	1,781 37
				\$296 00						296 00
	\$593 36					7	23 36	44 66	138 66 92	1,059 35
	534 52					7	12 78	40 23	108 15 62	782 37
	525 89					7	21 36	32 06	109 00 69	795 00
	323 34					7	19 65	24 34	89 61 31	628 36
	815 39					5	19 54	42 90	249 66 64	1,332 16
						5	29 98		119 73 08	599 57
62 17						5	26 60	3 27	119 39 03	648 06
	684 95					5	25 16	36 05	244 68 34	1,254 63
4 67						5	55 00	24	221 10 96	1,198 18
	895 17					5	14 95	47 11	248 23 64	1,259 97
	1,188 47					5	4 47	62 55	268 07 76	1,451 49
	592 13					5	21 79	31 16	205 66 77	1,059 16
	1,351 28					5	1 59	71 11	284 38 21	1,454 16
	426 34					5	18 18	22 43	162 38 73	854 30
						7	53 06		108 24 28	758 13
		\$11 60				7	60 45	87	125 12 42	1,026 58
		11 19		483 04		7	15 80	37 19	108 13 66	848 29
						7	40 50			578 59
	125 82					5	2 29	10 71	52 04 70	321 05
						5	44 21		176 68 47	958 28
						7	52 96		108 07 10	849 12
						7	53 07		108 24 86	758 18
						7	100 35		204 65 12	1,455 70
						5	59 47		237 72 12	1,189 51
						5	30 15		120 48 18	603 01
						7	67 93		138 51 41	970 50
		582 86		\$1,448 39		5		106 91	427 50 47	2,138 16
						7	79 67		162 46 76	1,138 19
	583 88					5	14 56	30 73	181 11 68	1,475 23
		545 12	528 42			7	8 68	80 79	182 50 99	1,318 96
						5	53 08		212 27 28	1,061 70
						5	88 43		353 57 85	1,76
						5	74 87		299 41 33	1,497 58
						5	41 75		167 00 91	835 06
		188 88				7	89 98	14 22	212 50 60	1,490 53
		46 45				5	54 22	2 45	226 52 76	1,355 30

Statement of surveys in

No.	Name.	Style of work.	Date of contract.	Forwarded to Gen'l Land Office.	Rate per mile.
100	Harvey N. Jenks	Sub-dividing.....	Feb. 11, 1856		
102	William S. Caldwell.....	Sub-dividing.....	Nov. 29, 1856		
103	W. G. Allen.....	Township lines.....	March 13, 1856	July 9, 1856	\$7.
104	James Withrow.....	Township lines.....	March 17, 1856		
105	P. G. Hubbard	Sub-dividing.....	March 24, 1856		
196	W. E. and J. S. Wheeler.....	Sub-dividing.....	March 25, 1856		
107	William Spencer.....	Sub-dividing.....	March 27, 1856		
111	Samuel Parsons.....	Sub-dividing.....	March 28, 1856		
112	Jarett Todd	Sub-dividing.....	March 29, 1856		
113	George M. Tilford.....	Sub-dividing.....	March 29, 1856		
114	Frederick Hawn.....	Sub-dividing.....	March 29, 1856	Sept. 5, 1856	5
115	Calhoun & Geddes.....	Sub-dividing.....	March 29, 1856		
116	David T. Mitchell.....	Sub-dividing.....	April 1, 1856		
117	Jones & Brady.....	Sub-dividing.....	April 2, 1856		
120	Albert A. Crain and James Donpihan ..	Sub-dividing.....	April 9, 1856		
121	Isaac C. Stuck.....	Sub-dividing.....	April 7, 1856	Sept. 30, 1856	5
122	Bates & Mitchell.....	Sub-dividing.....	April 24, 1856		
123	Hugh Robertson.....	Sub-dividing.....	April 29, 1856		
	Jacob Starr	Delivering notice.....		July 1, 1856	
	William Weer, jr.....	Examining.....		June 5, 1856	
	Total.....				

SURVEYOR GENERAL'S OFFICE,
Wyandott, Kansas Territory, October 20, 1856.

* Final return-surveys set aside by department, erroneous. Ordered to be erased, and sixty miles resurveyed.

Kansas, &c.—Continued.

Net amount of contract on Indian account.						Per cent. retained for examination in the field.			Total mileage.	Total amount of surveys.
Indian trust lands.						Rate.	Amount on general account.	Amount on Indian account.		
Ioways.	Delawares.	Kaskaskias, Peorias, Piankeshaws, and Weas.	Miamies.	Shawnees.	Wyandotts.				Mis. chs. lks.	
						7	\$133 87		273 16 72	\$1,912 46
			\$619 72			5	42 70	\$32 61	301 21 46	1,524 83
										154 00
										551 25
\$34 12	\$8,540 44	\$1,386 10	2,596 53	\$779 04	\$77 89	2,157 33	799 18	9,064 21 29	54,942 88

J. CALHOUN,
Surveyor General Kansas and Nebraska.

H.

ANNUAL REPORT OF SURVEYOR GENERAL OF UTAH.

SURVEYOR GENERAL'S OFFICE,
Salt Lake City, September 30, 1856.

SIR: In compliance with your request of the 10th of April last, I have the honor to transmit herewith my annual report of the office and surveying operations of this Territory from the establishment of the office to October 1, 1856. I arrived here July 27, 1855, and immediately opened an office and entered upon the discharge of my duties, after making a cursory examination of the country in this vicinity. I established the initial point at the corner of Temple block, in Salt Lake city, by causing a suitable monument to be erected for that purpose. The field work from that time embraces a survey of a base line (on line and by offset) from the western base of the Wahsatch mountains, nearly four miles east of the initial point, to a point south of Salt Lake thirty-six miles west. The meridian line has been run (on line and by offset) eighty-four miles north, and seventy-two miles south of the initial point. A contract has been made for extending the meridian to the southern extremity of Youab valley, near one hundred miles south; the work is now progressing. Contracts have been made for surveying and subdividing into townships and sections the whole of Salt Lake valley, east of the lake, Cache, Malade, Blue Spring, and Hansel Spring valleys, on the north, Tuilla, and a part of Rusn valleys, on the west, and Jordan, Utah, Cedar, Tintic, Youab and Sanpete valleys, on the south. The work on all these contracts, except that in Sanpete and a part of Youab valleys, has been returned completed, and is embraced in one hundred and thirty townships and fractional townships. The maps and field-notes have been examined and approved, copies of the most of it have been made for transmission to the department, and the whole of it will be ready by the last of next month. The contractor is now in the field, expecting to finish the survey of Sanpete and Youab valleys before the setting in of winter.

Not having the proper instruments for ascertaining with certainty a parallel of latitude, I took that reported by Captain Stansbury, $40^{\circ} 46' 04''$, the latitude of this city, as being nearly, if not quite, accurate, which would make the forty-second parallel (the north line of the Territory) between eighty-five and eighty-six miles north of the initial point. I therefore directed that the surveys should terminate at the north line of township fourteen, which is eighty-four miles north.

It was soon found, in consequence of local attraction, that accurate lines could not be run with the compass, I therefore directed that the transit or theodolite should be used. The solar compass, furnished the office by the department, was found on trial to be out of adjustment, and all our efforts to adjust it proved unavailing. The expenses of surveying in this Territory, in consequence of its peculiar features and situation, are very large; posts for the corners have

be procured in the cañons, frequently many miles distant, and with water have to be transported on the backs of mules, requiring a large number of men and animals. As it is always necessary to camp near water, the work is often very far away, causing the loss of much time in travelling to and from the work; the unsettled state of the country makes it necessary to keep a constant watch over the camp and animals, compelling the surveyor to employ, besides the usual assistants, men to herd the animals by day and stand guard by night. Each party has employed about twenty men and a dozen of mules. The price for ordinary mules has been the past year from \$150 to \$200 each. I believe all the work thus far has been faithfully executed, but from personal observation, and from information derived from others, I learn that many of the corner posts have been removed and the grounds destroyed; this is done in some instances by the cattle, but more frequently, I regret to say, by persons either with some evil intent or through wanton mischief. I cannot conceive of any mode of establishing corners in this country which cannot be thus destroyed. I hope, however, when the settlers learn how important it is to them to perpetuate these corners that they will see that they are preserved. Fortunately, at present most of them look upon the surveyors as intruders, and feel inimical to their work.

I have made a reconnaissance of the country north to Cache and Salade valleys, west to Tuilla and Rush valleys, and south as far as Sevier river. The Wahsatch mountains extend in nearly a north and south direction across the entire Territory; they curve slightly to the east in passing Utah Lake, and then bend with a gentle sweep to southwest. Spurs from the same range project westward, and divide the country into distinct valleys. Isolated ranges, more or less extensive, reach westward far into, if not entirely across, the great desert. Following up the cañons or gorges in the mountains, many small valleys of great beauty and fertility frequently occur, and it is up these cañons in these sequestered valleys, or on the mountain sides, that the only wood and timber is found. The Oquerrh mountains terminate about fifteen miles WSW. of this place, at this southern end of Salt Lake. The intervening valley of Jordan is covered with sage bushes, and is nearly destitute of water. On the approach to the lake, salærated flats are frequent and extensive. This plain is but little above the level of the lake, which tradition says once covered it; most of it could be irrigated from the Jordan. At the base of the mountains, between them and the lake, there are many springs of brackish water. From the Black Rock, a prominent landmark at the point of the mountains, the road passes for two miles on a narrow path between the mountains and lake. Passing this point Tuilla valley opens before you. This valley is quite level, the land in many places is strongly impregnated with alkali, which renders it unproductive. The principal settlements are E. T. city, Tuilla city, and Grantsville. The Oquerrh mountains bound this valley on the east, and Cedar mountains on the west. To the north it opens upon Salt Lake, extensive flats reach far into the lake, and on the western side connects with Stansbury's island. An isolated mountain lying across the southern extremity of the valley, in connexion with a re-

markable dyke, separates it from Rush valley. This dyke, which is several hundred feet above the valley, with its level top, looks like an artificial embankment. (An old Indian woman, who had apparently seen an hundred winters, told us that when she was a girl the waters of the lake covered the Tuilla valley, and came up to its base.) Rush valley takes its name from a small lake filled with rushes, which lies in the northern part. It has some fine meadows on its margin, which are embraced in the military reservation that surrounds it. A small creek, having its source in a large spring at the foot of Cedar mountains, some ten miles to the southwest of the lake, is the only stream that reaches it; all others being absorbed in the light and porous soil before coming to the plains. South of this the valley appears to be a dry and arid plain, covered with sage. Near the mountains, the hills and benches, there are frequent groves of dwarfish cedars and excellent pasturage. The Indians say there is another stream of water some thirty miles south of the lake, which sinks in the sand and that in a southwestern direction the valley opens into the great desert. The exclusive use of this entire valley has been granted by the legislature to Brigham Young and his associates.

Passing north from this city the road runs near the base of the mountains. A few hundred yards from the city wall are warm springs, strongly impregnated with sulphur and saltpetre. A large stream of water issues therefrom. In the next two or three miles there are several warm and hot springs. The water of a large hot spring issues from the base of the mountain about three miles above the city, being similar in all respects to the others, except the temperature is much higher, being "scalding hot." The traveller is aware of his proximity to these springs before coming in sight, by the strong sulphurous smell that impregnates the surrounding atmosphere. These springs are immediately at the foot of the mountains and the water issues from the rocks with great force, running across the road and overflowing the alkali flats; they form a small lake, which, in the fall and winter, is the resort of numerous water fowl, and in frosty weather sending up clouds of vapor. Passing the hot springs and rounding a spur of the mountains, the road ascends to the bench, and no object of note presents itself until Session settlement is reached, ten miles from the city. In the spring, when the melting snows moisten the ground, these benches produce fine grass, affording excellent pasturage. The houses in Session settlement, like almost all in the Territory, are built of adobes or sun-dried brick. Some of them are two stories high, but no regard is paid to architectural taste. Farmington, another settlement, is about eight miles beyond. It is surrounded by a mud wall, and the buildings are all of adobes. The intermediate country is all enclosed and under cultivation; numerous small streams from the mountains afford water for irrigation; the soil is rich, and produces fine crops when sufficient water can be had; but this year being an unusually dry one, it has been very scarce, and the farmer has not met with large rewards for his labor. The mountains in this vicinity rise abruptly from the valley, and are high, steep and rocky; the sides are destitute of vegetation, except occasional patches of oak scrub and a few pines and aspens on the summits or in the

alches. This small amount of timber is fast disappearing before the wants of the settler. Here Salt Lake makes a bend, and approaches within a mile and a half of the base of the mountains.

North from Farmington the settlements are less frequent. Weber river, a fine stream, four or five rods wide, comes down its cañon with great impetuosity, and by a circuitous course finds its way to the lake; the water is clear and cold, and, if it was taken out in a proper canal, could be made to irrigate the entire valley as far as Salt Lake city. There is no timber on this river near the crossing, but below are a few groves of cottonwood and maples; almost impenetrable willow thickets skirt its margin. About eight miles from the crossing of the Weber is Ogden city, containing two or three thousand inhabitants; it is regularly laid out and partially enclosed by a mud wall; the houses here, as elsewhere, are built of the universal adobes. A short distance beyond is Ogden river, a pretty little stream that flows into the Weber; it is lined with maple and willow bushes; the river here is crossed by a bridge. A little beyond are Mound and Bingham forts, two small settlements surrounded by mud walls. The next twelve miles is a sage plain, extending from the lake to the mountains. Crossing this to a spur of the mountains a warm spring is met with, the waters of which are strongly impregnated with iron, the earth for a long distance around is tinged of a dull and red color. About a mile further on is a large spring of pure fresh water; the land around is rocky and dry, producing nothing except sage and wormwood. An indifferent settlement, called Willow Creek, next occurs; the land around it is very rich and water abundant. At this place the lake again approaches close to the mountains, which rise perpendicularly from the valley, and are composed of solid masses of granite; the inaccessible summits are covered with pine and fir trees. Ten miles from Willow Creek is Box Elder village, around which the inhabitants are building a stone wall. Box Elder creek, a rapid mountain stream, here descends through a cañon, up which a road passes into Cuche valley. A spur from the main range of the Wahsatch mountains separates Cuche from Bear river valley, extending in a north northwest direction into Oregon Territory; following up the Box Elder cañon the road is very rough, often crossing and recrossing the creek. On either hand lofty mountains rise abruptly to great height, giving it an air of wild sublimity. Formerly this cañon contained many groves of pine and maple, but they have disappeared before the axe of the settler, nothing but the stumps being left to show that they once almost filled the valley. Dense thickets of shrubbery, composed of box elder, willows, and service berry bushes form covers for the grizzly bear and other game. The wild hop here grows in great profusion. Leaving the cañon, by ascending a steep hill, a lovely mountain valley, about two miles long and one wide, is entered, which is surrounded on all sides by lofty mountains, covered by the dark pine or broken into rugged peaks, that look as though they have had many battles with the storms. Several small streams, having their course distinctly marked by a fringe of willows, wind through this valley, which is covered with luxuriant grass, appearing still more lovely from the contrast with the dusty plains of

Salt Lake valley. At the head of one of these small creeks the waters of a beautiful spring come gushing through the fissures in a ledge of rocks, and form a deep basin seventy feet in diameter, the bottom of which is covered with clean white gravel, and, in its clear, cold water the smallest fish are distinctly seen. Ascending by a ravine the road then winds for several miles through the mountains, descending by a cañon into Cuche valley. This valley averages about two miles wide and forty long; a considerable portion of it lies in Oregon. Bear river runs through the northern part, and several small streams traverse it in different directions, affording abundant water for irrigation. The principal ones are Cuche or Muddy creek, Clear creek, and G. Bois creek.

It is surrounded by lofty mountains, which tower six or eight thousand feet above the level of the valley; they are generally covered with pine and fir trees; on many of them snow is perpetual. The valley, for the most part is level; the benches begin to rise about a mile from the mountains, and have a gradual slope to their base. The bottoms on the creeks are several feet below the level of the plain, and the descent into them is abrupt; they vary from a half to a mile in width. These creeks, after flowing swiftly over pebbly beds from their mountain sources, wind sluggishly through the luxuriant meadows. The soil of the bottoms is rich and moist; on the prairie it is light and fertile; on the benches it is gravelly and dry. Wild currants are found on the creeks; service berries abound in the mountains, and many flowering plants are scattered over the valley. The streams abound in fish, among which the salmon and speckled trout are pre-eminent. This valley is too much elevated for agricultural purposes, but is fine for stock, though food and shelter would be required for them in some of the winters. The exclusive right to herd cattle in this valley has been given by the legislature to Andrew Morfit and others, agents of the Morman church. They have a large stock here, and their buildings for the herdsmen are the only ones in the valley. Wild geese and ducks are plenty in the creeks, and rear their young upon the banks. Some Shoshonee Indians that were met with complained that they had nothing but fish to eat; that the Mormans had driven away all the deer and elk which they said formerly abounded in these valleys. Bear river passes from this valley through a depression in the mountains into Salt Lake valley. It is crossed by a good ford, near where it debouches from the cañons. Malade river, which comes from the north, approaches near, and following course parallel with Bear river, enters it some twenty miles below. The land on the upper part of Bear river is good; but as it approaches the lake it becomes more and more sterile until it reaches the extensive mud flats, which extend far from the lake. The land in the Malade bottoms is rich and moist, while the plains are gravelly and dry. Westward from the Malade the country is poor, with few springs, mostly of brackish water. There is some good land in Blue Spring valley with the exception of a small part near the head. Hansel Spring valley is a worthless sage plain. A low divide separates this from Raft river valley, which is large, well watered and fertile; but bands of hostile Indians, with whom it is said that white men are associated,

have their haunts in this vicinity, which will, for the present, prevent its settlement.

The valley of the Jordan, south of Salt Lake city and east of the river, is mostly settled and under cultivation. Some streams flowing from the mountains, if carefully husbanded, would afford sufficient water for irrigation; the land for the most part is fertile, but in some places it is too strongly impregnated with salætatus. Warm sulphur springs are found in the southern part of the valley. A low range, known as the Traverse mountains, divides this from Utah. The Jordan passes through it by a deep and rocky gorge, and pursuing a sinuous course through the valley, finds its way through marshes filled with rushes, to Salt Lake. Utah lake, a fine body of fresh water, occupies a considerable part of Utah valley. On the western side of the lake the high bench comes down in most places to its margin. Cedar valley lies west of the lake; a low range of mountains intervenes. It is elevated, possesses some fine land, and has, particularly near the base of the mountains, many groves of dwarfish cedars; there are few settlers in the valley. To the east of Utah lake there is a strip of exceedingly fine land, varying in width from three to ten miles; numerous streams from the mountains supply abundance of water for irrigation. Passing from Jordan into Utah valley the road winds around the point of the Traverse range in a deep cut made in the side of the mountain. Near where the Jordan debouches from the lake, the waters from some warm springs form a considerable marsh on its margin. Dry creek, a fine clear stream where it issues from the mountain, becomes muddy in passing through the valley. Mountainville, close by the mountain, and Lehi, about two miles from the lake, are on the creek. About three miles further is American fork, a yet larger stream, of pure cool water. Mills have been erected on both of these streams. Lake city, a small settlement, is on this stream. South of this city there is a fine grove of cottonwood. Battle creek, another small settlement, lies about three miles east-southeast. The waters of American fork are brought to this place by a canal—some five miles distant. Passing from this to Provo city, the land towards the mountain is high and level; descending from the bench towards the lake are some fine bottoms, which are good mowing grounds. Provo city is on the south side of the Timpanogos, a large bold river, which descends through a large cañon from the mountains. Its waters are clear, and abound in fish; it is about five rods wide, and from three to four feet deep. In passing through the valley it flows through several channels. A short distance beyond Provo the mountains come down almost to the lake.

The shore in this vicinity is very marshy; canes and rushes, extending a considerable distance into the water, rendering the shore quite unprofitable. Springville, on the Pimquan creek, six miles from Provo, takes its name from several large springs in its vicinity. Spanish fork, another large stream, flows through this valley; about six miles beyond Springville, near this creek, there is a considerable settlement, and most of the land on the northern side is under cultivation.

Palmyra, which was a short time since a flourishing village of some forty or fifty houses surrounded by a wall, has been abandoned by its

inhabitants, by order of Brigham Young, (without any ostensible cause,) and, like its namesake in the desert, is becoming a mass of ruins. A few straggling Indians occasionally occupy the dilapidated houses.

A tract of land has been selected by the Indian agent, Doctor Hurt, on the southwestern side of this stream is an Indian farm for the Petetnetete band of Utahs, where this band are at present congregated. They take great interest in the farm, and have materially assisted in its cultivation the past season. The next settlement met with is Petetnetete, on a creek of the same name; this creek sinks soon after passing the settlements. There are many springs in the vicinity, which sink after flowing a short distance. About six miles from Petetnetete is Summit creek and a small settlement. This creek, like the preceding ones, is soon lost in the light and porous soil. This is the last settlement in the Utah valley. Tintick valley is at the head of Utah lake. A range called the Table mountains divides it from Utah. The shores of the lake in this valley are low and marshy, filled with cane and rushes. Currant creek, which takes its name from the many wild currants growing upon its margin, comes through a cañon in the Table mountains, and as it approaches the lake becomes very marshy on its borders. There are several groves of dwarfish cedars in the valley, but no settlers. Yonab valley is separated from Tintick by the Table mountains. On the east are the Wahsatch mountains. A low range of hills near Summit creek divides it from Utah. Currant creek rises in a cluster of springs in the central part of this valley, and flowing northward, passes by a cañon through Table mountains into Tintick valley. Several small streams have their sources in the Wahsatch mountains, but with the exception of Wilcox creek, soon sink into the sand. Salt creek, on which there is a settlement, enters the southern end of this valley, but after running north for some miles is absorbed in the sand. South of Yonab valley a succession of valleys lie along the base of the Wahsatch range, some of which contain considerable settlements. Fillmore city, the capital of the Territory, is situated in one of these valleys, about ninety miles from the southern end of Utah lake, in a southsouthwestern direction. The valley is quite extensive. South of Fillmore the country is supposed to abound in mineral wealth; a large bed of iron ore has been opened. Immediately over the line, in the Territory of New Mexico, extensive deposits of lead have been found and are now being worked. But little known of the country west of these valleys; the great desert comes near them.

Nearly all that is known of the country east of the Wahsatch range is contained in the report of the several United States engineers who have crossed it. There is a settlement on Green river near where it is crossed by the emigrant road, and there are others at Fort Bridges and Fort Supply, fifteen miles above in the same valley. Carson valley, at the eastern base of the Sierra Nevada, together with contiguous valleys, are fast filling up with settlers, and a party have recently left this city intending to make a settlement in Ruby on some eligible valley south of the Humboldt.

All the surveys thus far made have terminated at the base of the

mountains, it being impossible to run lines up the sides. Instead of wandering, the surveys have been closed by drawing direct lines from the termination of one section line to another. No lines have been extended up the cañons, but as I before mentioned, I think it should be done. They contain almost all the valuable wood land; but if surveyed, a departure from the rectangular mode of surveying would be necessary. If these valuable timber lands were divided into small lots not exceeding forty acres each, it would enable every settler to procure a share of the timber.

The exclusive right to every considerable cañon has been granted by the legislature to the favorites of the Mormon church, who compel the settlers to pay high prices for the privilege of getting their wood from them. They have erected saw mills in many of them, and the timber is fast disappearing. By running lines up these cañons, or by carrying some of the main lines by triangulation, or by offset, or by both, across the country, all the surveys in the Territory could be connected with the surveys already made; by running such lines it would develop the topography of the country.

They would pass many minor valleys, some of them containing valuable land. It is desirable that Carson and the contiguous valleys should be surveyed at an early day. If a connexion line is run it should be commenced early in the ensuing season.

Maps in triplicate, exhibiting the progress of the surveys, accompany this.

The following is an estimate of the expenses incident to the survey of the public lands in the Territory of Utah, for the fiscal year ending June 30, 1858.

For compensation of the surveyor general and the clerks in his office	-	-	-	-	-	-	\$7,000
For rent of surveyor general's office, fuel, books, stationery, furniture and incidental expenses	-	-	-	-	-	-	4,000
For continuing the base, principal meridian, correction, parallel, township and section lines, at augmented rates	-	-	-	-	-	-	50,000
Total amount of estimate	-	-	-	-	-	-	61,000

I am, very respectfully, your obedient servant,
 DAVID H. BURR,
Surveyor General of Utah.

REPORT OF COAST SURVEY OFFICE RELATIVE TO PROGRESS OF SURVEY OF KEYS AND ISLANDS ON THE COAST OF FLORIDA.

UNITED STATES COAST SURVEY STATION,
near Ellsworth, Maine, October 14, 1856.

SIR: In continuation of the work on the Florida Keys prosecuted for the General Land Office by the Coast Survey, I have the honor to

communicate the progress of the two triangulation and two plane table parties which have been engaged in it, and copies of the reports of the two topographical parties, for the past season.

As a basis for the plane table work, the triangulation of the Keys since the date of my last report has been extended eastward from Johnson's Key and Point Dora, and terminated at the close of the season at stations in Jacob's Harbor, a distance of thirty-two miles from Johnson's Key. Between these limits the positions of twenty-seven intervening Keys, lying within an area of about 348 square miles, have been determined.

The report of sub-assistant Iardella will be found to contain remarks on the general character of the Keys surveyed by his party, and the details of the method employed in marking the land sections. The topographical sheet, copies of which are herewith transmitted in triplicate, and on the scale $\frac{1}{10000}$ as required for the purposes of the General Land Office, includes a part of Sugar-loaf Key, Cudjoe Key, Loggerhead Key, Summerland Key, Gopher Key, and several smaller Keys not known by any local designations.

I forward, also in triplicate, copies of the topographical sheet of sub-assistant Wainwright, containing the survey of Johnson's Key, Sawyer's Key, and numerous smaller Keys in their immediate vicinity.

His report accompanying describes the local features of the Keys upon which his party was employed.

The two topographical sheets of this season present a surveyed area of thirty-six square miles within 263 miles of shore-line determined by the party.

Very respectfully yours,

A. D. BACHE,
Superintendent.

HON. THOMAS A. HENDRICKS,
Commissioner of the General Land Office.

WASHINGTON CITY, DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA,
June 20, 1856.

SIR: In pursuance of your instructions, I repaired to Key West in November last, but owing to the delay of the United States schooner, Joseph Henry, the commencement of my work was retarded until the 1st of January.

The operation of the party for this year embraced a portion of Sugar-loaf Key, lying about twenty miles northward and eastward from Key West; Cudjoe Key, extending several miles further in the same direction; Loggerhead Key, and Summerland Key; several smaller Keys were also included, situated between Loggerhead and Cudjoe, and around the shores of Summerland, Cudjoe and Sugar-loaf.

Sugar-loaf Key, part of which was surveyed the preceding season, is about ten miles in length, by six in breadth, thus covering nearly fifty square miles. This tolerably extensive area is reduced more

than one-half by the numerous sounds, all connected with each other, that fill up its interior. The depth of water in these bays varies from three or four feet to as many inches.

The shore, extending from Sugar-loaf signal towards Martha signal, is generally sandy up to the limits of the tide, where a belt of long grass separates it from the fringe of woods that stretches along its whole length. Beyond Martha signal, for a distance of three miles, the rocky foundation of the Key is left bare until nearly opposite Eliza signal, where a dense growth of mangrove bushes borders the shore as far as Happy Jack's plantation. The shore then again comes rocky, till it changes into extensive mud-flats around Point Dora signal, where several narrow openings lead into the interior Sound. Besides these entrances there are two creeks at opposite extremities of the Sound. The broader, though not the longer of the two, serves as its outlet, near Sugar-loaf signal. It is about one mile long, with a very uniform breadth. The other, which comes out about three-quarters of a mile below Martha signal, is one and a quarter mile in length, and extremely narrow, hardly allowing a boat to pass in some places. Through this inconvenient and winding creek almost all of the interior work had to be finished, which materially retarded its progress.

The tide runs with great force here, as it is almost the only passage in this direction for the immense sheet of water confined within the Key.

The surface of Sugar-loaf is almost a dead level, covered in some places with forests of mangrove, butterwood, and various less important trees; in others, extending into flat plains, carpeted with a short wiry grass, and dotted here and there with mangrove bushes or single trees.

The soil is usually far from good, though around Happy Jack's it supports various tropical fruits, and produces excellent sweet potatoes. This Key is marked by sixty-four posts—parallel-meridional, and at intersections of the quarter section lines. The rocky foundation of the Key is marked by iron stakes, two and a half feet long, driven into the coral rock four or five inches. They are marked on one side with a cold chisel, the other side with black paint; also by cedar posts four feet long, being driven into the ground two feet, and protected by coral rocks piled around them. The cedar posts are marked on one side with the letters U. S. C. S., and on the other side M. N. P., or P., with black paint.

Cudjoe Key, which lies next to Sugar-loaf, in a northeast direction, is entirely separated by a channel about four miles in length, with a depth varying from two to six feet. It is irregular in shape, and about four and a half miles in one direction by three miles in another.

The shore of Cudjoe is generally rocky, except towards its northern extremity, which is bounded by extensive mud-flats and several small keys, almost entirely covered by the sea at high tide. Like Sugar-loaf, it is filled with lagoons, though these are neither so numerous or so deep. They have seldom any connexion with each other, and at very low tide become dry in many places. A belt of mangrove forests almost entirely surrounds Cudjoe, and clumps of trees are

scattered throughout its interior. A line of pine trees runs from Johnson's plantation almost across the Key, and may be seen from almost any part of the coast. There is some very good land on Cudjoe, though it would hardly repay cultivation, except in a few spots. Cudjoe Key is marked with twenty-four posts, iron and cedar, in the same manner as Sugar-loaf and Summerland.

Summerland Key, which is separated from Cudjoe by a channel much resembling that between Sugar-loaf and Cudjoe, bears a striking resemblance to the last in shape and quality of the land. It is not so large, being three and a quarter miles long, by one and a half mile wide. The shore, like Cudjoe's, is rocky, except towards the northwest, where it is cut up into a great variety of small keys and mud-flats, and filled with lagoons. Near Palmetto signal, there is a forest of palmettoes, which gradually gives place to the usual growth of mangrove. A few families have made a settlement near the shore, just opposite Ramrod signal, where the land is tolerably good. Elsewhere it is generally worthless. A small mangrove key lies not far from this settlement, and between this and Loggerhead we found three small grassy keys with hardly a dozen trees upon them. There are also two small keys, about a quarter of a mile from Cudjoe towards Loggerhead, which form the centre of an extensive shoal, almost blocking up the passage between them and Cudjoe. This key is marked with twenty-five posts, iron, cedar, and yellow pine, the same as Sugar-loaf.

Loggerhead is a long narrow key, about two miles from Cudjoe. It is one mile long by a quarter of a mile in breadth. Its shore is sandy and bordered with trees, while its opposite side is hidden by mangrove bushes, which grow far into the water. A thick forest of mangrove and butterwood extend from these signals through its whole length. This key is marked with four cedar posts.

Gopher is a small key, partially covered with mangrove, lying between Loggerhead and Cudjoe.

My season's work of five months closed on May 28th, and covers an area of seventy square miles, including water; the actual area of ground is about thirty-two square miles and 210 miles of shore line. Mr. F. W. Alexander assisted me in the work, for which great credit is due him.

I have the honor to be your obedient servant,

C. T. IARDELLA,

Sub-Assistant U. S. Coast Survey.

Prof. A. D. BACHE,

Superintendent U. S. Coast Survey.

WASHINGTON, D. C.,

June 10, 1856.

SIR:

*

*

*

*

*

My topographical sheet of the season comprises Johnson's and Sawyer's keys, two other large keys, and thirty-five smaller ones, sur-

ounded by numerous banks. The work lies between $34^{\circ} 41\frac{1}{2}'$ and $34^{\circ} 45\frac{1}{2}'$ north latitude, and $81^{\circ} 33\frac{1}{2}'$ to $81^{\circ} 38\frac{1}{2}'$ north longitude, embracing thirty miles of shore line of keys, fifty-three miles of bank, and three or four miles of interior.

Johnson's key, the principal one of these keys, is one and a half miles long and three quarters wide, in the widest part, covered with high and low mangrove and buttonwood. This key is the only one in the vicinity that has any considerable amount of fast land on it, the greater part of it being intersected by a lagoon. It has about a half square mile of fast land, with a thin coating of soil and the usual coral rock bottom, though it produces fine looking trees and bushes in the interior. This key seems to have been the nucleus from which a large number of small keys have formed almost all around it, and connected with it by large banks, which spread from two and a half to three miles to the westward and one to the northward, covered with these small mangrove keys.

Between the westernmost chain there run channels some four feet deep. At a short distance there does not appear to be more than nine or ten of these little keys, but they are divided up into twenty-four or five, being nothing more than mangrove clumps, overhanging the water which flows through them.

Sawyer's key, the next in size, and the only other one designated by a local name, is about one and three quarter mile long, and not over a quarter of a mile wide. From the water it appears to be quite a large key, but from the small key on which the signal stands there is a coral bank extending one mile in length up to the main key. This is interspersed with small bushes, and covered with water at half tide, and only separated from the bay on the northern side of the key by a strip of bank from eleven to twenty-two yards wide, which runs from the eastern point of the key all around the outside of it, and is the only fast land on it.

The next key in size lies to the northward and westward of Johnson's key. Though about a mile long and a quarter wide, it is nothing more than a coral bank, with clumps of mangrove here and there.

All the other smaller keys are of the same character, being merely clumps of mangrove surrounded by coral banks. The largest of them is but little over two hundred yards long and one hundred wide.

Johnson's key is the only one on which I thought it would be at all useful to put up section posts for the General Land Office, as there is land on it which I think might be cultivated, but not in any large body. The other keys are without value at present for that purpose.

Ten iron stakes, flattened at the top, and marked with the letters U. S. C. S. on one side, and M. or P. on the other, were placed on Johnson's key, the sections being laid off from either side of the middle meridian and parallel.

Respectfully,

S. A. WAINWRIGHT,
Sub-Assistant Coast Survey.

Professor A. D. BACHE,
Superintendent Coast Survey.