# University of Oklahoma College of Law University of Oklahoma College of Law Digital Commons

American Indian and Alaskan Native Documents in the Congressional Serial Set: 1817-1899

1-29-1852

Elliott McCollock

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.law.ou.edu/indianserialset

Part of the Indigenous, Indian, and Aboriginal Law Commons

## **Recommended Citation**

H.R. Rep. No. 68, 32nd Cong., 1st Sess. (1852)

This House Report is brought to you for free and open access by University of Oklahoma College of Law Digital Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in American Indian and Alaskan Native Documents in the Congressional Serial Set: 1817-1899 by an authorized administrator of University of Oklahoma College of Law Digital Commons. For more information, please contact Law-LibraryDigitalCommons@ou.edu.

32d Congress, 1st Session. Rep. No. 68.

H. OF REPS.

#### ELLIOTT McCOLLOCK.

#### JANUARY 29, 1852. Laid upon the table, and ordered to be printed.

Mr. NABERS, from the Committee on Private Land Claims, made the following

### **REPORT**:

#### The Committee on Private Land Claims, to whom was referred the memorial of Elliott McCollock, have had the same under consideration and submit the following report:

It appears from the memorial that by the treaty of 1842, made and concluded on behalf of the United States, with the Wyandot tribe of Indians, in the State of Ohio, there was given to the memorialist one section of land in the Indian country, to which said tribe removed. The memorialist, being averse to removing to said Indian country, and the land given him by the United States being unsaleable, on account of its location beyond the borders of our settlements, prays that he may be allowed to relinquish it to the general government, and receive in lieu of it other lands, not in the Indian country, or script therefor, receivable at our land offices, where government lands are subject to private entry.

The committee are not able to discover any sufficient reason for the interposition of Congress in this case, and in their opinion the prayer of the petitioner ought not to be granted.