

University of Oklahoma College of Law
University of Oklahoma College of Law Digital Commons

American Indian and Alaskan Native Documents in the Congressional Serial Set: 1817-1899

1-29-1852

Joseph Newell

Follow this and additional works at: <https://digitalcommons.law.ou.edu/indianserialset>

 Part of the [Indian and Aboriginal Law Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

H.R. Rep. No. 64, 32nd Cong., 1st Sess. (1852)

This House Report is brought to you for free and open access by University of Oklahoma College of Law Digital Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in American Indian and Alaskan Native Documents in the Congressional Serial Set: 1817-1899 by an authorized administrator of University of Oklahoma College of Law Digital Commons. For more information, please contact darinfox@ou.edu.

JOSEPH NEWELL.

JANUARY 29, 1852.

Laid upon the table, and ordered to be printed.

Mr. JENKINS, from the Committee on Private Land Claims, made the following

REPORT:

The Committee on Private Land Claims, to whom was referred the petition of Joseph Newell, report:

That the petitioner sets forth, that, by the treaty of 1842, made between the United States and the Wyandot tribe of Indians, in the State of Ohio, there was conveyed to him one section of land, in the Indian country, to which said tribe of Indians removed. Although connected with said tribe of Indians by marriage, yet he is averse to removing among them, arising from his acquaintance with the white people and their language. That he is unable to dispose of said land, for the reason that it is situated in an Indian settlement, remote from the residence of white people. The petitioner therefore prays for the passage of an act authorizing him to exchange the said quarter section for other lands belonging to the United States, not in the Indian country.

The committee see no sufficient reason for granting the prayer of the petitioner. Doubtless many individuals own lands which they would be quite anxious to exchange for more eligible locations upon the public domain, if the law should authorize such exchange. But the owner of unsaleable property must submit to the slight inconvenience of disposing of it himself. The committee, therefore, adopt the following resolution:

Resolved, That the prayer of the petitioner be not granted.

Hamilton, print.