

University of Oklahoma College of Law  
**University of Oklahoma College of Law Digital Commons**

---

American Indian and Alaskan Native Documents in the Congressional Serial Set: 1817-1899

---

4-11-1850

Mary W. Thompson. (To accompany bill H.R. no. 230)

Follow this and additional works at: <https://digitalcommons.law.ou.edu/indianserialset>



Part of the [Indian and Aboriginal Law Commons](#)

---

#### Recommended Citation

H.R. Report No. 235, 31st Congress, 1st Sess. (1850)

This House Report is brought to you for free and open access by University of Oklahoma College of Law Digital Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in American Indian and Alaskan Native Documents in the Congressional Serial Set: 1817-1899 by an authorized administrator of University of Oklahoma College of Law Digital Commons. For more information, please contact [darinfox@ou.edu](mailto:darinfox@ou.edu).

MARY W. THOMPSON.

[To accompany bill H. R. No. 230.]

APRIL 11, 1850.

Mr. WALDEN, from the Committee on Invalid Pensions, made the following

REPORT:

*The Committee on Invalid Pensions, to whom was referred the memorial of Mrs. Mary W. Thompson, make the following report:*

The facts in the case of Mary W. Thompson, an applicant for a pension, are set forth in the reports made by the Committee on Invalid Pensions in 1848, and by the Committee on Military Affairs in 1844, which are hereto annexed.

*The Committee on Invalid Pensions, to whom was referred the memorial of Mrs. Mary W. Thompson, widow of the late Lieutenant Colonel Alexander R. Thompson, report:*

That Colonel Thompson was a most gallant officer, and extremely useful in the service, as well in the management of his corps in action and in camp, his personal bravery in conflict, and in his instruction of the officers and troops under his command in preparing them for their duties in the service, while, at the same time, he performed other duties not pertaining to his station, in which he was assisted by his wife, your memorialist, who thus gave her services to the government in the exercise of duties of importance to the country, and which did not pertain to her or to her husband. These facts are most fully sustained by a letter of Major General Gaines, and from other evidences which have been presented to your committee, and to other committees of the House of Representatives in former years, and which, in 1844, induced the Committee on Military Affairs to make a favorable report, accompanied with a bill for her relief, (which report your committee beg leave to make part of their own, and report the bill for the action of the House thereon.) This bill failed to become a law in consequence of the adjournment of Congress. Under a subsequent Congress, in 1846, in the same committee, there was a similarly favorable report prepared, which the Hon. A. C. Nevin, one of the committee, doubts not would have received the support of his committee but for the absence of a portion of the committee. All these con-

curing facts impress your committee with the duty of reporting, as from itself, the bill reported to the House on the 24th May, 1844, which they now beg leave to do.

---

HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES—May 24, 1844.

*The Committee on Military Affairs, to whom was referred the memorial of Mrs. Mary W. Thompson, widow of the late Lieutenant Colonel Thompson, of the army, report :*

That the memorial of the petitioner alleges that Colonel Thompson fell in battle at the head of his regiment, in an engagement with the Indians in Florida, on December 25, 1837; that he entered the service at the commencement of the war of 1812, and distinguished himself during that struggle; that at the close of the war he was retained in the service, and was constantly employed in the practical duties of his profession; that he was engaged during the whole of the Black Hawk war, and rendered important service during the prevalence of the cholera amongst the troops employed in that war; that he was very frequently charged with duties of much value and importance to the government, which he performed without any compensation in addition to his ordinary pay. The petitioner states that "while in Florida he lost his health, and applied for a short leave of absence to restore it, and at the same time to enable him to attend to his own private affairs, which, on account of the embarrassed situation of our commercial interests, were placed in great jeopardy; but of such importance was his presence deemed, that he was denied the privilege. On account of his absence therefrom, I (the petitioner) have suffered great loss; had he been permitted to attend to them, the result would not have been what it now is." The memorial concludes with a prayer for a pension during the time she may remain a widow.

The memorial does no more than justice to the memory and gallant services of one of the most meritorious of those distinguished officers whose virtues and whose bravery have reflected so much credit upon the American army. During a service of some twenty-six years, Colonel Thompson was distinguished in every grade through which he passed.

The committee have been furnished with testimonials in his behalf of the highest commendation, from the most distinguished officers of the army.

Colonel Thompson has also been required to perform public services and agencies of a civil character which were entirely out of the line of his official or military duties, involving high responsibilities. To enable him to discharge these satisfactorily to the government, it was necessary for him to have an assistant; this assistance was rendered by the memorialist, by the devotion of much of her time for a series of years, or rather by giving her time to the government, and thereby rendering important services.

The committee cannot see the propriety of a government like that of the United States deriving benefit from the labor and toil of an accomplished female, and not requiting the obligation; for, although Mrs. Thompson has received the pension to which she was entitled under the

existing laws for five years, as the widow of an officer slain in his country's service, she has received no correspondent return for the many years of service which she herself has rendered.

The committee are not prepared to recommend any extension of the present pension laws; neither would they recommend in this case any course which might tend to establish a precedent for future claims. But the case of the petitioner is peculiar; the services of her husband were such as are rarely, if ever, rendered; her own services were such as are still more rarely rendered; and the circumstances of his death in a war "wherein no glory was to be gained—a war of suffering and disease," wherein *all* was at hazard, and nothing to be gained—must be taken into consideration (in the opinion of the committee) in estimating the compensation to be allowed.

The committee, therefore, report a bill, allowing the memorialist a pension for life.