

University of Oklahoma College of Law

## University of Oklahoma College of Law Digital Commons

---

American Indian and Alaskan Native Documents in the Congressional Serial Set: 1817-1899

---

1-31-1850

### Report : Petition of J. Williams

Follow this and additional works at: <https://digitalcommons.law.ou.edu/indianserialset>



Part of the [Indigenous, Indian, and Aboriginal Law Commons](#)

---

#### Recommended Citation

S. Rep. No. 29, 31st Cong., 1st Sess. (1850)

This Senate Report is brought to you for free and open access by University of Oklahoma College of Law Digital Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in American Indian and Alaskan Native Documents in the Congressional Serial Set: 1817-1899 by an authorized administrator of University of Oklahoma College of Law Digital Commons. For more information, please contact [Law-LibraryDigitalCommons@ou.edu](mailto:Law-LibraryDigitalCommons@ou.edu).

IN SENATE OF THE UNITED STATES.

JANUARY 31, 1850.

Submitted, and ordered to be printed.

Mr. FELCH made the following

REPORT:

[To accompany bill S. No. 40.]

*The Committee on Public Lands, to whom was referred the petition of Joseph P. Williams, praying the confirmation of his claim to certain lands, and also a bill for his relief in respect to the same, respectfully report:*

By a statement obtained by the committee from the General Land Office, it appears that the petitioner was the owner of Choctaw certificate No. 13, for three hundred and twenty acres of land, and on the 30th day of November, 1848, he was permitted to locate under it, at the Helena land office, in Arkansas, the south half of section No. 25, township 13 north, range 10 east, containing three hundred and twenty acres. To this land the petitioner claimed a pre-emption right, and the Choctaw certificate above mentioned was used by him in payment therefor. His pre-emption right, as to the east half of said premises, was founded on his own claim as a settler under the act of 1841, and was proved and allowed. As to the west half of the premises, he claimed such right under Cherokee pre-emption certificate No. 88, of which he held an assignment from the administrator of James Costley, deceased.

But this last mentioned certificate, at the time of the location of the land, was not valid. It originally belonged to Costley, but had been assigned by him in his lifetime, and the assignee had located lands under it. Some three years after the assignment by Costley, it had been again sold and assigned by Costley's administrator, and by several subsequent assignments came into the hands of the petitioner. Having been once satisfied by a location of land to the full quantity mentioned in it, it was at the time of the assignment by the administrator, and the second location by the petitioner, totally void and inoperative.

From this statement it is evident that, although the petitioner entered the land in question, and applied to its purchase the Choctaw certificate No. 13, he had no right under it (the Cherokee certificate of pre-emption No. 88 not being in force) so to enter the west half of said half section.

It appearing, however, at the land office, that there is no adverse claim or right which would interfere with the confirmation of the land to the petitioner, and there being no evidence of a want of good faith on his part, or of any knowledge of the invalidity of the Cherokee certificate,

