

University of Oklahoma College of Law
University of Oklahoma College of Law Digital Commons

American Indian and Alaskan Native Documents in the Congressional Serial Set: 1817-1899

2-17-1846

Aaron B. Mead and others.

Follow this and additional works at: <https://digitalcommons.law.ou.edu/indianserialset>



Part of the [Indian and Aboriginal Law Commons](#)

Recommended Citation

H.R. Rep. 287, 29th Cong., 1st Sess. (1846)

This House Report is brought to you for free and open access by University of Oklahoma College of Law Digital Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in American Indian and Alaskan Native Documents in the Congressional Serial Set: 1817-1899 by an authorized administrator of University of Oklahoma College of Law Digital Commons. For more information, please contact darinfox@ou.edu.

AARON B. MEAD AND OTHERS.

FEBRUARY 17, 1846.

Read, and laid upon the table.

Mr. BENTON, from the Committee on Indian Affairs, made the following

REPORT :

The Committee on Indian Affairs, to which was referred the petition of H. S. Commayer, on behalf of Aaron B. Mead and others, for the payment of certain claims against the Ottawa Indians, report :

That the petitioner asks Congress to pass an act to authorize the payment of an order signed by eight chiefs, head men, and warriors of the Ottawa tribe of Indians, out of any moneys due, or to become due, to said tribe from the United States; which order bears date the 30th day of August, 1837.

By this order the President of the United States is requested to pay, out of specific funds mentioned therein, the several sums to the individual creditors named in the following list :

No. 1. John E. Hunt	-	-	-	-	\$2,018	35
2. Forsyth & Hull	-	-	-	-	1,455	00
3. R. A. Forsyth	-	-	-	-	1,317	08
4. Isaac Hull	-	-	-	-	145	00
5. S. A. & J. H. Sargent	-	-	-	-	202	80
6. Elisha Mark	-	-	-	-	84	57
7. A. B. Mead	-	-	-	-	63	91
8. N. Gee & Co.	-	-	-	-	104	67
9. J. H. Forsyth	-	-	-	-	935	48
10. John Hollister	-	-	-	-	1,000	00
11. G. B. Abell & Co.	-	-	-	-	982	32
12. William Fowler & Co.	-	-	-	-	21	50
13. S. L. Collins & Co.	-	-	-	-	177	00
14. George McKay	-	-	-	-	6	00
15. Thacher & Whiting	-	-	-	-	18	00
16. John Race	-	-	-	-	26	06
17. G. & H. Humphrey	-	-	-	-	3	88
18. John Patrick	-	-	-	-	120	00
19. Chase, Sill, & Co.	-	-	-	-	26	13
20. Samuel Alcott	-	-	-	-	100	00
21. Steamboat General Brady	-	-	-	-	62	52
22. Goodhue & Co.,	-	-	-	-	11	44
23. Smith, Green, & Co.	-	-	-	-	79	35

No. 24. A. Jessup	-	-	-	-	\$22 75
25. Porter Kelsey	-	-	-	-	15 00
26. Welcōme Pray	-	-	-	-	8 50
27. E. W. Hedges, \$189 31; E. W. Hedges, \$10	-	-	-	-	199 31
28. James Kinney	-	-	-	-	133 70
29. Spears & Hutchinson	-	-	-	-	26 55
30. O. Williams & Co.	-	-	-	-	23 76
31. Francis Pulah	-	-	-	-	22 00
32. Dayton Riley	-	-	-	-	23 25
33. Mrs. Taylor	-	-	-	-	6 00
34. Mark Evans	-	-	-	-	6 00
35. N. O. Archer, for T. E. Green	-	-	-	-	44 08
36. G. H. Brown	-	-	-	-	1 00

These claims amount, in the aggregate, to \$9,508 16. In 1843 Congress passed an act authorizing the payment of \$7,302 78 on account of these claims. This act, however, was not in pursuance of the order above mentioned, but of another made by nineteen chiefs and head men of the Ottowas, at their new homes beyond the Mississippi, on the 2d of September, 1839.

This order is nearly the same in substance and language as the one of 1837, and concludes with this language:

"The following is a list of the claims which, on our behalf, we are willing to allow, and wish paid:"

To Forsyth & Hull	-	-	-	-	\$1,455 38
R. A. Forsyth and others	-	-	-	-	2,529 00
Elisha Mark	-	-	-	-	84 57
Isaac Hull	-	-	-	-	195 00
James H. Forsyth	-	-	-	-	935 48
B. F. Hollister	-	-	-	-	50 00
James Wilkison	-	-	-	-	35 00
John E. Hunt	-	-	-	-	2,018 35

On referring to the list of creditors first given, it will be seen that the claim of R. A. Forsyth is set down at \$1,317 00; in the list last quoted "R. A. Forsyth and others" are allowed \$2,529 00. The committee have no means of ascertaining to what "others" the balance of \$1,212 00 over and above R. A. Forsyth's individual claim was paid, or intended to be paid. It must be presumed, in the absence of all proof to the contrary, that these other creditors are among those whose claims were allowed by the council of 1837. Indeed, the Committee of Claims, in their report upon the application of John E. Hunt and others, at the 2d session of the 27th Congress, say that "these claims were presented and payment demanded," and that they "were examined and allowed" by the council of 1837.

The petitioner represents that of the \$9,508 16 admitted to be due by the order of 1837, \$6,424 89 have been paid, leaving a balance still unpaid of \$3,083 27.

The act of 1843 made an appropriation of \$7,302 78 for the payment of these claims, \$7,252 78 of which have been expended; leaving a balance of \$50 of the appropriation in the treasury.

It is to be observed that the order of 1837, in virtue of which the present

claims are pressed, was made when a part of the tribe were about to remove to the west of the Mississippi, and when "the claimants were opposing obstacles to the removal of the debtors." Adding to this the fact that that order was signed by only eight of the chiefs and head men of the tribe, raising a doubt as to their authority to investigate and allow these claims, your committee do not feel authorized, as at present advised, to recommend the interposition of Congress.

The act of the 28th Congress, 1st session, authorizing the payment, under the last-mentioned order, of the claim presented by H. S. Commayer, assignee of E. W. Hedges, as well as the bill reported by this committee at the second session of that Congress, "for the relief of John Hollister and others," cannot, in view of the facts, be considered as safe precedents. This is sufficiently proved by the following statement :

Amount authorized to be paid to John E. Hunt and others by act of 1843	\$7,302 78
Amount authorized to be paid to H. S. Commayer, assignee of E. W. Hedges; by act of 1844	223 84
Amount proposed to be paid by bill of 2d session of 28th Congress to John Hollister and others	3,273 33
	<hr/>
	10,799 95
Aggregate amount of order of 1837 was	9,508 16
	<hr/>
Showing an excess of	<u>\$1,291 79</u>

It will be thus seen that these precedents would defraud the Ottawa Indians of over *twelve hundred dollars*.

In reference to the bill reported by this committee at the second session of the last Congress, it is deemed proper to say that it was founded on a misconception of the facts, induced by the imperfect or erroneous representations of the papers and proofs before them; that it came up for consideration in committee near the close of the session, amidst the great pressure of business, and that no written report was submitted with it. It is believed that no such bill could have passed the ordeal of this committee after a full investigation.

Without expressing an opinion as to the validity or justice of any individual claim presented by petitioner, the committee are constrained, in view of the foregoing considerations, to recommend that the prayer of the petitioner be denied.