University of Oklahoma College of Law

University of Oklahoma College of Law Digital Commons

American Indian and Alaskan Native Documents in the Congressional Serial Set: 1817-1899

2-17-1846

William Mathis

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.law.ou.edu/indianserialset



Part of the Indigenous, Indian, and Aboriginal Law Commons

Recommended Citation

H.R. Rep. 286, 29th Cong., 1st Sess. (1846)

This House Report is brought to you for free and open access by University of Oklahoma College of Law Digital Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in American Indian and Alaskan Native Documents in the Congressional Serial Set: 1817-1899 by an authorized administrator of University of Oklahoma College of Law $\label{lem:decomposition} \mbox{Digital Commons. For more information, please contact Law-LibraryDigitalCommons@ou.edu.} \\$

WILLIAM MATHIS.

FEBRUARY 17, 1846. Read, and laid upon the table.

Mr. BENTON, from the Committee on Indian Affairs, made the following

REPORT:

The Committee on Indian Affairs, to whom were referred the papers of William Mathis, in relation to his accounts for blacksmith's work for the Cherokee Indians, report:

That the widow of said Mathis presents a claim against the United States for work done by her husband in his lifetime for the Cherokee Indians, for six years ending in 1831.

Several depositions are produced to sustain this claim, and a permit of the national treasurer of the Cherokee nation, in pursuance of a law of that nation, to Bear's Paw and others, to employ William Mathis for one year, bearing date June 16, 1830; but it is not shown, nor are the committee advised, how the United States are responsible for the payment of this claim under, and by virtue of, such permit. If the work alleged to have been done by Mathis was done in pursuance of any treaty between the United States and the Cherokees, it is quite probable that compensation has already been made in the usual way; and if not, the United States certainly are not justly chargeable.

The proofs are imperfect, vague, and informal, and confusedly made up from recollection, (the original accounts of Mathis having been lost,) after the lapse of ten or fifteen years. They are quite inadequate to sustain a stale claim. The committee ask to be discharged.

Elektron the section of the

Richie & Heiss, print.