

University of Oklahoma College of Law

## University of Oklahoma College of Law Digital Commons

---

American Indian and Alaskan Native Documents in the Congressional Serial Set: 1817-1899

---

5-4-1846

### Julius Eldred and sons. (To accompany bill H.R. no. 404)

Follow this and additional works at: <https://digitalcommons.law.ou.edu/indianserialset>



Part of the [Indigenous, Indian, and Aboriginal Law Commons](#)

---

#### Recommended Citation

H.R. Rep. No. 584, 29th Cong., 1st Sess. (1846)

This House Report is brought to you for free and open access by University of Oklahoma College of Law Digital Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in American Indian and Alaskan Native Documents in the Congressional Serial Set: 1817-1899 by an authorized administrator of University of Oklahoma College of Law Digital Commons. For more information, please contact [Law-LibraryDigitalCommons@ou.edu](mailto:Law-LibraryDigitalCommons@ou.edu).

JULIUS ELDRED AND SONS.

[To accompany bill H. R. No. 404.]

MAY 4, 1846.

Mr. JAMES B. HUNT, from the Committee on Public Lands, made the following

REPORT :

*The Committee on Public Lands, to whom was referred the memorial of Julius Eldred and sons, asking payment for services and expenses incurred in removing the copper rock (so called) from Lake Superior, respectfully report :*

That the memorialists represent, that, in the year 1841, J. Eldred procured from the sub-Indian agent at the Sault a license for Samuel Ashman, an Indian trader, for the purpose of trading with the Chippewa Indians on Lake Superior ; that Eldred and Ashman, under that license, purchased of Okondokon, the head chief of the Ontonagon band of said tribe, the large mass of copper, usually called the copper rock, then lying in the bed of the Ontonagon river, for the sum of one hundred and fifty dollars, forty-five of which was paid down, and the residue was to be paid on the removal of the rock, which was subsequently done ; that said Eldred employed several men and boats, and ascended the Ontonagon river to the rapids, then crossed over the mountain to the rock, which they raised and placed on skids, but were unable to remove it to the boats. In 1842 he made another effort to remove the rock, but did not succeed. He then prepared, at Detroit, a portable iron railway and car, and, with a capstan, tackle, and blocks, and in the year 1843, with the assistance of twenty men and his railroad, transported the rock over the mountain, and brought it to the shore of the lake, where it was claimed by General Cunningham, the mineral agent, as the property of the United States. Cunningham then agreed that if Eldred would give up the rock, he should be paid for his time and expenses, and for the further expense of removing it to Detroit ; and that when the Secretary of War should order it to be removed to Washington, Eldred should accompany it as the agent of the government, and be compensated for his services. The memorial states that he complied with these conditions ; and on his arrival at Washington, (which was in November, 1833,) he presented his account to the Secretary of War, and was informed there was no appropriation for the payment ; that he had no authority to pay the claim, and that he must seek relief from Congress.

It appears that, at the ensuing session of Congress, application was made to the Senate, and the Committee on Public Lands made a favorable report

in the month of April, 1844, (see Senate Report No. 260, 1st session 28th Congress,) and introduced a joint resolution, which subsequently passed the Senate, but was not acted on in the House for want of time. At the last session of Congress no action was had on the subject.

The memorial is verified by the affidavit of Julius Eldred, who was the actor in the business; and all the circumstances in the case are proved by witnesses, letters, &c., from respectable persons, and which are printed in the Senate report above mentioned. Mr. Eldred purchased this lump of copper from the Indians previous to the treaty for the sale of their lands to the United States. There is no question as to the right of the Indians to sell this mineral while they owned the land, and Eldred, under his license to trade, had a right to purchase. The officers of the government, in their great anxiety to procure this specimen of native copper, improperly, if not illegally, interfered with his operations, and deprived him of the possession of his property.

It appears, from the letter of General Cunningham, the mineral agent, that the Indian chief, in his presence, admitted that he sold the rock to Eldred in 1841, and had received his pay, as stated in the memorial. He also certifies that Eldred, on the 10th of September, 1843, paid Hammond & Co. \$1,765 for the services which they had rendered in removing as well as obtaining possession of the rock. It appears, from the statement and affidavit of D. F. Hilt, that some men from the Upper Mississippi had taken possession of the rock when Eldred arrived there, in 1843, with his men and machinery, to remove it, and, rather than abandon it, and to avoid strife and difficulty, agreed to pay, and did pay for their services, and for the peaceable possession of the rock, the sum above stated by Cunningham, in addition to the amount paid to the Indian chief.

It also appears, from the papers before the committee, that the Secretary of War, in September, 1843, wrote to the mineral agent, Cunningham, to take possession of the copper rock, and have it shipped to the Sault, and advise the officer at Fort Brady of its arrival, who was to advise the officer of the revenue cutter on Lake Erie that it was ready for him to take on board. In the letter he denies Eldred's right to the rock, but says that justice and equity require that they should be amply compensated for their trouble and expense, and directed the agent (Cunningham) to examine and allow the account, not exceeding \$700; and on the presentation of his certificate at the Ordnance office, it would be paid. If Eldred & Co. claimed to own the article, it would not be recognised, and they must apply to Congress.

It appears that Eldred and sons, according to the agreement first made with the agent, were at the expense of removing it to Detroit. After its arrival there the government took charge of it, and were at the expense of bringing it to Washington, and it was deposited in the public grounds in rear of the War Office, where it remains—another evidence of the vigor of the late administration.

On the 1st of November, 1843, Cunningham gave Eldred a letter to the Secretary of War, as his agent to deliver the rock to the Secretary at Washington, and recommended the payment of his account, and certified to the expenditures which had been made since the 10th of June, 1843. The district attorney of the United States at Detroit also wrote, stating he was the agent of Cunningham; that he had examined the accounts of Eldred, and made inquiries of others, and believed that the rock could not have been removed at a less expense.

The object of Mr. Eldred in procuring this mass of copper was, to exhibit it as a great natural curiosity in this country and in Europe. He had thought of it for a long time previous to his first attempt: he persuaded his sons to assist him with the means of carrying it into execution. The officers of the government thought proper to wrest it from him: they have had possession of it for nearly three years. Age and infirmities have taken from Eldred the ability to carry that design into execution now, even if the article should be returned. And since its exposure to the public gaze, and the many specimens of native copper since procured from that region, (although this specimen still remains unrivalled,) it would scarcely excite sufficient curiosity to make its exhibition profitable.

The committee have come to the conclusion that, as he acted under the direction of the Secretary of War, and his agent, in delivering up the rock, and they promised to reimburse him for his time and expenditures, the subject should be referred to the Secretary of War to be settled, and the amount paid; and they have brought in a bill accordingly.

*The Committee on Public Lands, to whom was referred the petition of George Ranney, of St. Louis county, Missouri, praying Congress to confirm to him the southwest quarter of section three, township 46 N., range 6 east of the 5th principal meridian, lying in 74. Towns, county of St. Louis, State of Missouri, have had the same under consideration, and report:*

That it appears from the testimony that the said tract of land was acquired by the said Ranney at the land office at St. Louis, and a certificate of the said land is filed on the 9th of July, 1840; that the said entry was subsequently cancelled, and a patent for the same refused, by reason that the said land was included in the reservation for the Spanish claim known as the claim of William Ranney, neighbor of Arredina Link.

It further appears by the letter of Thos. H. Brien, Commissioner of the General Land Office, dated September 25th, 1844, that the said land was returned to be held in pre-emptory claims under the act to that effect of the 10th September, 1841, and that George Ranney at that time proved his right of pre-emption and entered the said southwest quarter of section 3, township 46 N., range 6 east, by express purchase and instructions to that effect from the Commissioner General, under date of May 7th, 1845.

This decision was, however, again reversed, and the entry cancelled at the General Land Office on the 15th June, 1848, on the ground that the said land remained within the reservation attached to the "Link claim."

The committee find that the petitioner, relying upon the facts thus were established by him, and twice abandoned by the Commissioner General, but subsequently cancelled, has been in personal occupation of the land for more than six years, and has fenced and improved the whole tract.

The committee are satisfied that nothing exists to defeat the petitioner and realizing the relief asked for, excepting only the existing reservation in respect of the aforesaid "Link claim," and accordingly recommend the passage of the accompanying bill, providing that a patent may issue on the 1st of May 31st, 1848, date of the office's location of said claim.