University of Oklahoma College of Law

University of Oklahoma College of Law Digital Commons

American Indian and Alaskan Native Documents in the Congressional Serial Set: 1817-1899

3-29-1844

Harvey Heth

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.law.ou.edu/indianserialset



Part of the Indigenous, Indian, and Aboriginal Law Commons

Recommended Citation

H.R. Rep. No. 388, 28th Cong., 1st Sess. (1844)

This House Report is brought to you for free and open access by University of Oklahoma College of Law Digital Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in American Indian and Alaskan Native Documents in the Congressional Serial Set: 1817-1899 by an authorized administrator of University of Oklahoma College of Law $\label{lem:decomposition} \mbox{Digital Commons. For more information, please contact Law-LibraryDigitalCommons@ou.edu.} \\$

Name of SCHOOL SACROSPINS AS A STREET

HARVEY HETH. [To accompany bill H. R. No. 184.]

Макон 29, 1844.

Mr. Hughes, from the Committee on Indian Affairs, made the following

REPORT:

The Committee on Indian Affairs, to whom was referred House bill (No. 181) for the relief of Harvey Heth, for Indian depredations, with accompanying papers in relation to the claim of said Heth, submit the following report:

It appears from the evidence in this case, that in the year 1836 a band of Pottawatomie Indians encamped near Logansport, in the State of Indiana, and, whilst there, destroyed about twenty-one acres of corn, which respectable witnesses testify was worth at least \$400. This case was submitted to the Commissioner of Indian Affairs, who, on the 10th of August, 1843, decided it to be just and reasonable in amount, and accordingly directed the \$400 to be paid out of the annuities due said Indians; but on the 14th of the same month reconsidered his decision, and decided the case did not come within the provisions of the law passed in 1834, regulating the intercourse with Indians, because the depredations were committed within the State of Indiana, and whilst the Indians resided there upon lands to which the Indian title had been extinguished. But, from a careful examination of the treaty concluded with these Indians, 11th of February, 1837, it appears that the Indians then ceded to the United States all their reservations of land retained by them under the treaties of October 26 and 27 in the year 1832, and stipulated to remove to the southwest of the Missouri river within two years after the ratification of the treaty of the 11th of February, 1837.

At the time the field of corn was destroyed, the Indians were residing on their own land, the title to which they had never parted with till the treaty of the 11th of February, 1837, and then reserving the right of possession for two years after the ratification of the treaty.

From this state of the case, the Indians actually left their own lands, encamped near Logansport, and wantonly destroyed the property of Mr. Heth. If the Indians had resided within the State of Indiana, not upon lands of their own, then the case would not come within the provisions of the intercourse law of 1834; but as they resided on their own lands, the title to which they had never parted with till February, 1837, the committee cannot see any good reason why this case does not come within the provisions of the law of 1834. The Indians unquestionably left their own lands, although within the limits of the State of Indiana, and destroyed the property of Mr. Heth;

yet, the very fact of the Indians leaving their own land, and committing the trespass upon the property of Mr. Heth, clearly, in the opinion of the committee, brings this case within the intercourse law. The evidence is full and complete that the Indians did destroy the field of corn; and the papers also show that Mr. Heth has made oath that he has neither himself, his representative, attorney, nor agent, has violated the provisions of the intercourse law of 1834, by seeking or attempting to obtain private satisfaction for said claim, &c.

The committee, therefore, report back the bill for the relief of Harvey Heth,

without amendment, and recommend its passage.

REPORT:

ring popura in relation to the claim of said Meth, and mis the followegactic depart from the evidence in this case, that in the year lights a bond of rations Indians openiqued near Logoraport, in the Softs of Ludena,

white there destroyed about twenty are after at come was rainpitted who commissioner of limited attacks, who on the 10th of August, 1203, and it to be just and remainable in august, and adjust of directed the

The he paid out of the apparent the sant is house; but on the fath of a mouth moon, dard his deriver, and their the car that not within the provisions of the law powed in 1824, regulating the interest with Indians, because the departments were committed within the

d Indiana and winds the Indian resided share upon builds to which has title had been extinguished. But form a resultd extensional

that the Indians then earled to the United Story all their representations of creations by though another the treatment of Occalion 20 and 27 in the

in time the field of corn was descroyed, the Indiana were residing on

in two years after the radibation of the lighty.

of near Logonsport, and wantonly destroyed the property of Mrs Hellaindians and resided within the State of Indiana, not upon lands of their destroyers.

of 1854; but as they resided on their own lands, the title to which they's test of white till Exhaust, 1837, the committee control see may be one for the control see any title to the control of the law.

The Indian suggestion of their own lands, atthough within
or the State of Indiana, and destroyed the property of Mr. Helb;