University of Oklahoma College of Law

University of Oklahoma College of Law Digital Commons

American Indian and Alaskan Native Documents in the Congressional Serial Set: 1817-1899

3-8-1842

David M. Hughes, Charles Shipman, and John Henderson (To accompany bill H.R. no. 225.)

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.law.ou.edu/indianserialset

Recommended Citation

H.R. Rep. No. 267, 27th Cong., 2nd Sess. (1842)

This House Report is brought to you for free and open access by University of Oklahoma College of Law Digital Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in American Indian and Alaskan Native Documents in the Congressional Serial Set: 1817-1899 by an authorized administrator of University of Oklahoma College of Law Digital Commons. For more information, please contact Law-LibraryDigitalCommons@ou.edu.

DAVID M. HUGHES, CHARLES SHIPMAN, AND JOHN HEN-DERSON.

[To accompany bill H. R. No. 225.]

мо райном долого макен 8, 1842. the sensions, and extending back from the or acres, would raise from

Mr. Burke, from the Commmittee of Claims, made the following acre, for the thirty front acres, an

slody add the unite are hotter REPORT: again and again west doubt

The Committee of Claims, to which was referred the petition of David M. Hughes, Charles Shipman, and John Henderson, report:

That the petitioners claim damages of the United States, for trespasses committed by a party of Creek Indians, emigrating from Florida to Arkansas, in the summer of 1837, under the direction of the officers of the United States, acting under the orders of the War Department, upon certain valuable lands, of which the petitioners were proprietors, and which were situ-

ated on the Gulf of Mexico, in the State of Mississippi.

The petitioners clearly and indisputably establish their title to the lands upon which the trespasses, complained of by them are alleged to have been committed; and they exhibit testimony, equally certain and indisputable, that, on the eighth day of July, 1837, their land was taken possession of by the emigrating Indians, amounting to nearly 5,000 in number, under the command of the officers of the United States, and thence contimed, in possession thereof for the period of two months, or thereabout. And, while in possession of the lands of the petitioners, it appears that they used the wood and timber growing thereon for fuel, and for the construction of shelter, and committed upon said lands much wanton spoliation. It also appears that the season was very dry, and that numerous fires were kept in the Indian encampment night and day, doing much damage to the trees standing thereon, many of which were deemed highly valuable by the petitioners, for their peculiar and rare variety, and many for their fit-

The area occupied by the Indians included thirty acres, fronting upon the Gulf, and thence extending back different distances, to the extent of

half a mile.

All the material facts set forth by the petitioners are proved by the tatements of the officers in charge of the Indian emigration, and connected with the Indian department, and by the depositions of George W. Robinson and Julius C. Monet, Esqs., highly respectable citizens and residents of Hancock county, in the State of Mississippi, in which county the lands upon which the trespasses were committed are situated.

It is believed, therefore, by the committee, that the petitioners are entitled to damages, and it only remains for them to inquire as to their ex-

tent and amount.

The petitioners allege and prove that that portion of the land which was the seat of the trespasses complained of, lying upon or fronting the Gulf, was esteemed very valuable, on account of its being very desirable for summer residences, and peculiarly valuable for its location, and the beautiful and rare trees growing upon it, such as the live oak, magnolia, walnut, wild plum, wild peach, &c.

George W. Robinson states that the front acres would sell at from six hundred to one thousand dollars, and estimates the injury at \$300 per acre

for the front acres, and running back five acres upon the average.

Julius C. Monet states "that the price for lots of one acre, fronting on the seashore, and extending back from five to six acres, would range from eight to twelve hundred dollars, according to their situation and beauty." And the petitioners estimate the damages they have suffered at \$500 per acre, for the thirty front acres, and \$2 for the remaining 2,000 acres, on which they allege the trespasses were committed, making in the whole \$19,000.

In fixing upon a rule by which the damages suffered by the petitioners are to be estimated, the committee cannot adopt, as a hasis, the views entertained by the petitioners, in regard to the value of their land. The value which they place upon their land the committee cannot but regard as imaginary, and may or may not be realized, as accident or contingence.

might determine.

The committee believe the true and just rule to be, to allow the petitioners the value of all trees taken by the Indians for their necessary use, at their intrinsic value on the spot or place where they were taken; and they accordingly report a bill.

third in possession thereof for the period of two receipts, or thereabout

by it the bidles encoupened might and day, doing mech defining to the measurables thereon, many of which were deemed highly valuable by the southmers, for their possibles and have variety, and many for their file

substants of the officers in charge of the judien emigration, and connected with the Jadean department, and by the department of George W. Rebisson and Julius C. Monas, Ergs, highly respectable critices and residents of Hamson country, in the State of Missasappi, in which country the

It is ochevold therefore, by the committee, that the politioners are any