University of Oklahoma College of Law University of Oklahoma College of Law Digital Commons

American Indian and Alaskan Native Documents in the Congressional Serial Set: 1817-1899

1-22-1839

Report : Petition of J. Hernandez

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.law.ou.edu/indianserialset

Part of the Indigenous, Indian, and Aboriginal Law Commons

Recommended Citation

S. Doc. No. 130, 25th Cong., 3rd Sess. (1839)

This Senate Document is brought to you for free and open access by University of Oklahoma College of Law Digital Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in American Indian and Alaskan Native Documents in the Congressional Serial Set: 1817-1899 by an authorized administrator of University of Oklahoma College of Law Digital Commons. For more information, please contact Law-LibraryDigitalCommons@ou.edu.

E 130]

The committee for bear to go into the multiplied circumstances that make up and argravate this case, or to protract this report by a recapitulation of the evidence that has been exhibited to them. The brief and general statement which the **EETATE CIETINU SHIT FO STANSE AI** out, and will be sufficient to enable the Senate to determine whether, and to what extent, the petitioner is entitled to <u>ingrala</u>tive relief.

-mos lint of belinde to ren JANUARY 22; 1839. do lo at estimatos ed T

Mr. TIETON made the following REPORT:

[To accompany Senate bill No. 232.]

The Committee of Claims, to which was referred the petition of General Joseph M. Hernandez, have had the same under consideration, and report:

That the petitioner is the owner of two valuable and extensive plantations in the eastern district of the Territory of Florida. That, at the commencement of the Seminole war, they were both in a high and prosperous state of improvement and cultivation; the one a sugar plantation, called St. Josephs, and the other a cotton plantation, called Mala Compra, situated about three miles from the first. On the cotton plantation was the man-sion-house of the proprietor, and on each of them, respectively, all such costly and extensive structures, buildings, and machinery, as were suitable and proper to their successful cultivation. In the early stages of the Seminole war, in the winter of 1835 and 1836, and in the spring of the latter year, both these plantations were taken military possession of by the troops of the United States, and the houses occupied and fortified as military posts and places of defence; and, during the course of that winter and spring, both the places were, as occasion and exigency required, occupied, evacuated, and reoccupied by various detachments and bodies of troops, until the Indians, availing themselves of their temporary evacuation, burned all the buildings of any consequence or value on the sugar plantation, and, on the other plantation, the cotton-house that had been more particularly prepared and used as a place of defence. Much valuable property was burnt up in these houses.

During the period of the occupation of these plantations by the troops of the United States, there were stored upon them large quantities of corn, hay, sugar cane, &c., all the property of the petitioner, and they were also well stocked with cattle. These means of subsistence and comfort were, to a great amount in value, taken possession of by the troops, or for their use, and were actually used and consumed by them. By these causes—by their military occupation—by that destructive license which is its too general attendant, and, finally, by the Indian warfare and its ravages, both these plantations have been literally desolated and made waste. The slaves that cultivated them, eighty in number, were driven off by the Indians, three of the most valuable of them captured, and most of them have since been a burden instead of a profit to their owner.

Blair & Rives, printers.

[130]

The committee forbear to go into the multiplied circumstances that make up and aggravate this case, or to protract this report by a recapitulation of the evidence that has been exhibited to them. The brief and general statement which they have made is abundantly supported by testimony, and will be sufficient to enable the Senate to determine whether, and to what extent, the petitioner is entitled to legislative relief.

The committee is of opinion that the petitioner is entitled to full compensation for all his property used and consumed by the troops of the United States, and for any damage done by them during their occupation of his plantations; and, furthermore, in consequence of that occupation, that the United States are bound to compensate him for the value of the houses, &c., that were burnt by the Indians, and also to pay him a just compensation for the use of his houses and premises while in the occupation of their troops.

of the Linite i States, and the houses recorpord and founded, as military posts and ricers of defence , and, damag her course of vint winter and polyng.

their military occupation-by that destructive license which is its too

these plantations have Been literally despired and made waste. The slaves that cultivated them, eighty in mumbel, were driven all by the Indiana.