University of Oklahoma College of Law

University of Oklahoma College of Law Digital Commons

American Indian and Alaskan Native Documents in the Congressional Serial Set: 1817-1899

3-19-1838

Report on Issue of Certain Land Patents

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.law.ou.edu/indianserialset



Part of the Indigenous, Indian, and Aboriginal Law Commons

Recommended Citation

S. Doc. No. 311, 25th Cong., 2nd Sess. (1838)

This Senate Document is brought to you for free and open access by University of Oklahoma College of Law Digital Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in American Indian and Alaskan Native Documents in the Congressional Serial Set: 1817-1899 by an authorized administrator of University of Oklahoma College of Law Digital Commons. For more information, please contact Law-LibraryDigitalCommons@ou.edu.

IN SENATE OF THE UNITED STATES.

MARCH 19, 1838.

Submitted, and ordered to be printed.

Mr. SEVIER submitted the following

REPORT:

[To accompany Senate bill No. 267.]

The Committee on Private Land Claims, to which was referred Senate bill, No. 267, to authorize the President of the United States to issue patents to the persons and for the land therein mentioned, report:

That the bill under consideration proposes to authorize the President of the United States to issue patents for the reservations to O-ca-chee, for one section of land, and to Be si-ah for four sections of land, which appears to have been reserved to them by the second article of the treaty of the 27th Office, 1832, out of the country ceded by the Pottawatamie Indians to the States. The committee have examined the treaty, and find, by the second article of it, that the land for which patents are desired, never was caded to the United States, but, on the contrary, was reserved; and as the United States never owned the land in question, exempt from the Indian title, the committee are unwilling to authorize the President to issue a patent for the and which the United States never owned. The titles to the reservations to O-ca-chee and Be-si-ah, and all others enumerated in the second article of the seaty, are merely possessory, and are in nowise distinguishable from the ordinary tenures by which the Indians hold their lands; and imphatically reservations in a cession of their country, the Indians ned have all the right to the reservations they ever had, because they never parted with their right to them, but, on the contrary, expressly reserved their rights, and therefore no patents can or ought to issue.

In support of the view taken by the committee, they offer the opinion of the Attorney General of the United States, which was officially given in

ases nearly analogous.

GENERAL LAND OFFICE, March 17, 1838.

Sir: In compliance with your verbal request, I have the honor to enclose, herewith, a copy of the Attorney General's opinion of the 20th September, 1833, in reference to Indian reservations, under the Pottawatamie treaty of the 20th October, 1832.

Blair & ixes, printers.

In reference to your inquiry at this office yesterday, I have to inform that on inquiry it appears that Mr. Butler, the Attorney General, is not a this time in Washington.

With great respect,
Your obedient servant,
JAS. WHITCOL

The Hon. A. H. SEVIER,

Senate of the United States.

ATTORNEY GENERAL'S OFFICE, September 20, 1833

SIR: In answer to the question you put to me upon the nature of the title held by the Indians of the tribe of the Pottawatamies of the Prairie in whose favor certain reservations of land were made by the treaty of the 20th of October, 1832, I have the honor to state that in my opining the original Indian title in their reservations was not extinguished on the ratio of the treaty. It ceded by the first article a certain tract of compute to the United States, and by the second article reserved from the cession large quantities of lands in favor of certain Indians named. These reservations are excepted out of the grant made by the treaty, and did not the fore, pass by it. Consequently the title remains as it was before the treaty that is to say, the lands reserved are still held under the original Indian title.

The character of the title to these portions could not be affected by grant which did not embrace them, and from the operation of which the are in express terms excepted. And as they are still held under the organal Indian title, the Indian occupants cannot convey them to individual and no valid cession can be made of their interest, but to the United States

I am, sir, very respectfully, Your obedient servant,

R. B. TANE

The honorable the SECRETARY OF WAR.

The committee recommend the rejection of the bill.