University of Oklahoma College of Law

University of Oklahoma College of Law Digital Commons

American Indian and Alaskan Native Documents in the Congressional Serial Set: 1817-1899

2-21-1838

Samuel G. Phillips

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.law.ou.edu/indianserialset



Part of the Indigenous, Indian, and Aboriginal Law Commons

Recommended Citation

H.R. Rep. No. 597, 25th Cong., 2nd Sess. (1838)

This House Report is brought to you for free and open access by University of Oklahoma College of Law Digital Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in American Indian and Alaskan Native Documents in the Congressional Serial Set: 1817-1899 by an authorized administrator of University of Oklahoma College of Law $\label{lem:decomposition} \mbox{Digital Commons. For more information, please contact Law-LibraryDigitalCommons@ou.edu.} \\$

SAMUEL G. PHILLIPS.

FEBRUARY 21, 1838.
Read, and laid upon the table.

Mr. WHITTLESEY, of Ohio, from the Committee of Claims, made the following

REPORT:

The Committee of Claims, to whom was referred the petition of Samuel G. Phillips, report:

That the petitioner states he was a resident in the county of Harris, in the State of Georgia, and that he was greatly injured, in the destruction of his property, by the Creek Indians, in the year 1836. He says he had thirty acres of corn, and ten acres of cotton, which were destroyed by the Indians; and he had corn fodder, which was destroyed by the Indians or by our own troops, and which he is not able to state positively; and, in addition thereto, he was driven from his farm, and lost his crops, and the opportunity of raising other crops. He estimates his crops at \$1,600; and, saying he is a poor man, he prays for relief. His affidavit is affixed to the petition, and Wilder Phillips sustains the allegations in the petition generally, except as to the corn fodder. If it was a case in which relief could be recommended, in justice to the United States, the committee would require more testimony to support it; but cases of a similar kind have been uniformly disallowed, because the United States are under no moral obligation to pay for them.

The following resolution is submitted:

Resolved, That the petitioner is not entitled to relief.

was the an experience (in real Plant a first

which with company being the disch spe

Thomas Allen, print.